#### PUBLIC HEARING: HB 2087, HB 2982, SB 125

TAPES 100, 101 A/B

# HOUSE REVENUE COMMITTEE

### MARCH 23, 1999 ñ 8:30 A.M. - HEARING ROOM A - STATE CAPITOL BUILDING

Members Present: Rep. Ken Strobeck, Chair Rep. Anitra Rasmussen, Vice Chair Rep. Deborah Kafoury Rep. Jeff Merkley Rep. Diane Rosenbaum Rep. Max Williams Rep. Bill Witt

Members Absent: Rep. Jim Welsh

Rep. Lane Shetterly

Staff: Paul Warner, Legislative Revenue Officer
Lizbeth Martin-Mahar, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office
Steve Meyer, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office
Ed Waters, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office
Barbara Guardino, Committee Assistant

Witnesses: Lynn Schoessler, Oregon Housing & Community Services
Frank Brawner, Housing Lobby Coalition, Tillamook
John Van Landingham, Network for Oregon Affordable Housing (NOAH)
Anne M. Williams, St. Vincent de Paul, Eugene
Gary Conkling, Beaverton and Hillsboro School Districts

|               |                     | Jon Chandler, Oregon Building Industry Association   |
|---------------|---------------------|--|
|               |                     | Jeannette Hamby, Hillsboro   |
|               |                     | Laura M. Atterberry, Hillsboro   |
|               |                     | Sen. Burdick, Portland   |
|               |                     | Jim Manary, Oregon Dept. of Revenue  |
|               |                     | Gary Bartholomew, Oregon Association of County Tax Collectors ñ Multnomah County   |
|               |                     | Debby Huggins, Oregon Association of County Tax Collectors ñ Washington County   |
|               |                     | <u>TAPE 100, SIDE A</u>  |
| 005           | Chair Strobeck      | Called meeting to order at 8:40 a.m. Opened public hearing on HB 2087.   |
| <u>PUBLIC</u> | C HEARING ñ HB 2087 |  |
| 012           | Ed Waters           | Referred to Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation (EXHIBIT 1). HB 2087 increases cap on the total amount of tax credit allowed for lending institution loans for construction, development or rehabilitation of housing for low-income families.                |
| 021           | Lynn Schoessler     | Discussed two points in the bill:  |
|               |                     | <ol> <li>Increase in cap limitation from \$4 million to \$5 million</li> <li>Extending sunset until 2010</li> </ol>  |
|               |                     | Gave brief overview of affordable housing tax credit programis operation.  |
|               |                     | Historically, requests for credits have exceeded availability two to one.  |
| 056           | Frank E. Brawner    | Spoke in support of the measure. See written testimony ( <b>EXHIBIT 2</b> ) verbatim. Bill would continue the Oregon Affordable Housing Tax Credit, adopted in 1989.   |
| 135           | John Van Landingham | See Community Building at its Best <b>(EXHIBIT 3)</b> , 1998 annual report for the Network for Oregon Affordable Housing (NOAH). Noted, page 8 contains list of current loans. NOAH has loaned \$50 million to help produce 3,000 units throughout Oregon.       |
|               |                     | Page 9 is list of participating banks, board of directors.   |
|               |                     | About æ of projects used this tax credit.  |
| 187           | Brawner             | None of these projects would have been accomplished without the tax credit. These residences are transitional for residents who might not otherwise ever own their homes. Residents of the NOAH projects take care of their property. Urged adoption of HB 2087. |

| 213 | Rep. Rosenbaum | Asked if \$1million increase in cap represents additional units?  |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 115 | Brawner        | NOAH is out of money now. Increase represents NOAHis ability to continue existing programs.   |
| 120 | Van Landingham | Translates into ability to issue \$25 million in additional loan value to build new units, or additional 1,000 units statewide.   |
| 229 | Chair Strobeck | What happens when a family earns above the level of income?<br>Follow-up questions.   |
| 233 | Schoessler     | They receive notice to move out, but not immediately; they transition out.  |
| 275 | Rep. Merkley   | Noting that families are required to earn 80% of median income, asked witnesses to address the fact that many units are dedicated to the lowest income individuals.   |
| 279 | Schoessler     | Program also houses developmentally disabled, farm workers, who make 30-40% of median income. Gave examples.  |
| 313 | Schoessler     | Median income in Multnomah County is \$31,000 for a four-person family. Median income varies by county.   |
| 345 | Anne Williams  | Spoke in support of the measure. Resources on state and federal level diminish, while the cost of affordable housing continues to increase. Gave example of a 51-unit complex for families in Stayton. Were able to lower rent average of \$82 per unit. 20 young families who were otherwise living in temporary quarters have homes. They are moving toward self-sufficiency. |

### TAPE 101, SIDE A

| 013 | Williams | Discussed what happens when a familyís income begins to rise. St. Vincent DePaul looks at home ownership counseling and training classes as part of the required service component for affordable housing projects. Residents build a 5- or 10-year plan to move from renters and recipients of benefits, to becoming taxpayers. |
|-----|----------|--|
|     |          |  |

042 Chair Strobeck Closed public hearing on HB 2087. Opened public hearing on HB 2982.

### PUBLIC HEARING ñ HB 2982

| 050 | Steve Meyer    | Reviewed HB 2982. See Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation (EXHIBIT 7). Requires assessor to list separately school district exception value each year and maintain exception value and the K-12 tax on this value for 10 years.  |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 062 | Meyer          | Reviewed HB2982-1 amendments (EXHIBIT 8). Says school districts can use this money for capital projects only.   |
| 070 | Chair Strobeck | -1 amendments fix an omission from the original bill.   |
| 079 | Jon Chandler   | Spoke in support of the measure. Oregon Building Industry Association is excited about this bill, which will facilitate construction of schools. Gave brief background on Gov. Kitzhaberís task force on the cost and benefit of growth in Oregon. Task force report noted that the fastest growing areas in the state are making lots of income tax revenue, but property tax revenue has been limited by Measures 5 and 50. The result is, Oregon canít hire enough police or teachers. This bill addresses that problem. Property taxes generated by new construction will be applied to capital infrastructure for 10 years, so new schools can be built. |
| 139 | Chandler       | Basic school support formula remains unchanged under this bill. State simply would not count property taxes derived from new construction. General fund impact will be small.   |
| 170 | Conkling       | Spoke in support of the measure. See Testimony on HB 2982 <b>(EXHIBIT 9).</b> Has been working on issue of funding new schools for a number of years, without much success. Believes state policy is unlikely to change: that the only way to fund schools is through property taxes. Lottery bonds have gone for deferred maintenance. People are already paying property taxes. If state can find a way to capture for a period of time, that value that is already being paid in relation to new growth, school districts could use those dollars to help cover the costs of new construction.<br>This bill entails only taxes levied for K-12 schools.    |
| 239 | Conkling       | Directed membersí attention to Addressing Growth by Funding School Facilities<br>(EXHIBIT 10). Lists proposals for addressing growth and fund new school facilities:<br>Linking Growth and Capital Improvements (HB 2982)<br>Incentives for School Site Donations (HB 3405)<br>Reimbursing Schools for Leased Classroom Space (HB 3406)   |
| 284 | Rep. Shetterly | Questions concerning clarification as to what portion of taxes on a new house. What portion does school keep and what goes to state general fund? Follow-up questions.  |

| 369                     | Rep. Witt      | Asked why tax is would be in effect for 10 years.   |
|-------------------------|----------------|---|
| 365                     | Conkling       | Ten years was for purposes of bonding. This is similar to urban renewal. Only includes taxes levied for K-12; doesnít affect Education Service Districts. |
| 414                     | Rep. Shetterly | Requested some figures on projected impacts to various districts.   |
| <u>TAPE 100, SIDE B</u> |                |   |

| 024 | Chair Strobeck | Figures would vary in region depending upon where growth is occurring. |
|-----|----------------|--|
|     |                |  |
| 035 | Chair Strobeck | Suspended public hearing on HB 2982. Opened public hearing on SB 125.  |

## PUBLIC HEARING ñ SB 125

| 039 | Vice Chair Rasmussen | Set context on how SB 125 came about. In mid-1998, Laura Atterberry was told she was behind on 7 or 8 years of taxes. She had not received bills for these taxes. She was threatened with foreclosure.  |
|-----|----------------------|---|
| 064 | Jeannette Hamby      | Spoke in support of the measure. Senate approved SB 125 approved with a unanimous vote. Focuses on fact that, federal government can only go back three years to correct income taxes. It is the responsibility of the taxpayer to make sure he/she is paying enough taxes. If an error is found, the taxpayer has 30 days to pay or will face foreclosure. Federal government freezes penalties to be fair; wants Oregon to follow federal.  |
| 092 | Laura Atterberry     | House is in foreclosure due to a clerical error that went back five years. She inquired and found that she owed over \$7,000 and had 30 days to pay it or have 16% interest, then foreclosure. She moved in five years before, and has been dealing with problems since she moved in. Now she has a tremendous tax bill and no way to pay it. She canít afford a home equity loan.  |
| 139 | Sen. Burdick         | <ul> <li>SB 125 does two things:</li> <li>1. Shortens time period for correcting back taxes from five years to three</li> <li>2. Puts back taxes on next yearís tax bill, which starts foreclosure clock running at that point. Ms. Atterberryís foreclosure clock began running six years before the mistake was found.</li> <li>County made two mistakes on Ms. Atterberryís property. Tax assessors assessed house when it was only partially built, and should have flagged it for future reassessment. They didnít. Then, after Ms. Atterberry bought the house, her taxes were lower than her neighbors were. She unaware of this. Because of these mistakes, SB 125 includes a limited exemption to forgive Ms. Atterberryís back taxes for that period, along with three or four</li> </ul> |

nearby properties.

| 196                | Lizbeth Martin-Mahar | Reviewed SB 125-A5. See Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation for SB 125-A5 (EXHIBIT 12). Also see Proposed Amendments to A-Engrossed Senate Bill 125 (SB 125-A5) (EXHIBIT 13). Corrects clerical errors. Section 6 deletes lines 22-23 requiring these taxes to be paid back.                  |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| 218                | Martin-Mahar         | Reviewed SB 125-A6. See Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation for SB 125-A6 (EXHIBIT 14). Also see Proposed Amendments to A-Engrossed Senate Bill 125 (SB 125-A6) (EXHIBIT 15).   |
|                    |                      | Sections 1-4 adds a blank for number of years corrected on roll. Does not make distinction between personal property and real property in regard to number of years to correct debt.   |
| 252                | Sen. Burdick         | Has not seen ñA5 and ñA6 amendments. During Senate Revenue hearings, it was pointed out that there is a difference in how real and personal properties are reported. That is why the Senate Revenue committee kept the distinction.  |
|                    |                      | Questions and discussion.  |
| 316                | Jim Manary           | <ol> <li>Explained four parts to SB 125-A5:</li> <li>Adjust error correction statutes in future</li> <li>Number of years allowed to correct</li> <li>Section 6 gives general relief in a limited time period</li> <li>Section 7 more limited relief for Ms. Atterberry and two others</li> </ol> |
| 416                | Manary               | Reviewed ñA6 amendments. These cover the first part of the bill, changes to error correction statutes. Corrections relate to real or personal property. Also leaves open the issue of number of years to go back to correct error.   |
| 452                | Rep. Williams        | Asked, exactly what relief will Ms. Atterberry get under this bill?  |
| 456                | Manary               | All taxes and interest would be waived. She was billed for over \$7,000 plus interest.   |
| -<br><u>TAPE 1</u> | 101, SIDE <u>B</u>   |  |
| 027                | Rep. Shetterly       | Asked Manary about group working with Dept. of Revenue on larger issue of omitted property and error corrections.  |
| 037                | Manary               | Dept. of Revenue had discussions with Associated Oregon Industries, which led to broader discussions.  |

| 045 | Rep. Shetterly   | Concerned that committee should craft bill narrowly enough in relation to Ms. Atterberry that it would not affect broader policy.  |
|-----|------------------|--|
| 060 | Gary Bartholomew | Spoke in support of the measure. Gave brief history of three properties that were put on the roll at partial assessments. Since 1989, properties were assessed at 44% of what should have been on tax roll. Problem with Atterberry's property was discovered first; next door neighbor was next.  |
|     |                  | Third property was behind Atterberry residence, assessed for land only value.  |
| 138 | Bartholomew      | Majority of errors is for omitted property where taxpayer didnít report an addition onto property. Vast majority of corrections is current year only.  |
|     |                  | Asked committee to consider balance between taxpayers who know they are under-<br>assessed and those who are unaware of a mistake.   |
| 115 | Bartholomew      | It used to be that the property owner was permitted as many years to pay the tax as it took to find the error. 1995 legislature removed that provision.  |
|     |                  | Now, these bills are due in 30 days.   |
|     |                  | Vast majority of errors is "omitted property" where construction is done without a permit.<br>Asked committee to make distinction between those who know they are under-assessed<br>and those who are not aware.   |
| 178 | Bartholomew      | Referred to blue sheet, Multnomah County Real Property Tax Roll Additions (EXHIBIT 18).  |
| 214 | Bartholomew      | Multnomah County Assessment & Taxation (EXHIBIT 19).   |
|     |                  | Gives amount of corrections, both increasing and decreasing.   |
| 248 | Bartholomew      | Reviewed letter to Chair Strobeck from Multnomah County, Oregon. Deals with issue of number of years ( <b>EXHIBIT 20</b> ). Summarizes ñA6 amendments, proposes technical changes.   |
| 330 | Debby Huggins    | Spoke in support of the measure with ñA6 amendments. Was part of discussion group that met prior to drafting this bill. It is never easy to bill back six years and expect payment immediately. Idea was to allow three years to pay to minimize hardship to property owner. Delaying foreclosure time clock under less interest than under current statute. |
| 385 | Rep. Merkley     | Does bill distinguish between clerical errors and deliberate omissions? Where?   |
| 394 | Martin-Mahar     | Sections 1-4 deal with this.   |

| 428 | Bartholomew          | Clarified, sections 1-4 clarify both clerical errors and deliberate omissions. Directed membersí attention to green sheet, Oregon Association of County Tax Collectors Suggested Amendments to A-Engrossed Senate Bill 125 and SB 125-A3 (EXHIBIT 21). This is proposed amendments to ñA6 amendments. Would clean up language. |
|-----|----------------------|--|
| 489 | Vice Chair Rasmussen | Closed public hearing on SB 125. Closed public hearing on HB 2982. Adjourned meeting at 10:28 a.m.   |
|     |                      | Submitted by, Reviewed by,   |

Barbara J. Guardino Kim T. James

Committee Assistant Revenue Office Manager

#### Exhibit Summary:

- 1. HB 2087, Waters, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation, 1 p.
- 2. HB 2087, Brawner, Testimony of Frank E. Brawner on H.B. 2087 before the House Committee on Revenue, 2 pp.
- 3. HB 2087, Van Landingham, Community Building At Its Best, Network for Oregon Affordable Housing 1998 Annual Report, 16 pp.
- 4. HB 2087, Van Landingham, Affordable Housing Developed with Oregon Affordable Housing Tax Credit Since 1984, 2 pp.
- 5. HB 2087, Waters, Staff Measure Summary, 1 p.
- 6. HB 2087, Waters, Fiscal Impact Assessment, no expenditure impact, 1 p.
- 7. HB 2982, Meyer, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation, 1 p.
- 8. HB 2982, Meyer, Proposed Amendments to House Bill 2982, 1 p.
- 9. HB 2982, Conkling, Testimony on HB 2982, Beaverton School District, Hillsboro School District, 1 p.
- 10. HB 2982, Conkling, Addressing Growth by Funding School Facilities, Beaverton School District, Hillsboro School District, Oregon Building Industry Association, 1 p.
- 11. SB 125, Martin-Mahar, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation for SB 125-A, 2 pp.
- 12. SB 125, Martin-Mahar, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation for SB 125-A5, 2 pp.
- 13. SB 125, Martin-Mahar, Proposed Amendments to A-Engrossed Senate Bill 125 (SB 125-A5), 1 p.
- 14. SB 125, Martin-Mahar, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation for SB 125-A6, 2 pp.
- 15. SB 125, Martin-Mahar, Proposed Amendments to A-Engrossed Senate Bill 125 (SB 125-A6), 2 pp.
- 16. SB 125, Martin-Mahar, Multnomah County Real Property Tax Roll Additions, 1 p.
- 17. SB 125, Martin-Mahar, Staff Measure Summary, 2 pp.
- 18. SB 125, Bartholomew, Multnomah County Real Property Tax Roll Additions, 1 p.
- 19. SB 125, Bartholomew, Multnomah County Assessment & Taxation Summary of Additions and Subtractions to Tax Rolls, 1 p.
- 20. SB 125, Bartholomew, Multnomah County Oregon, letter to Chair Strobeck, 2 pp.
- 21. SB 125, Bartholomew, Oregon Association of County Tax Collectors Suggested Amendments to A-Engrossed Senate Bill 125 and SB 125-A3, 1 p.