#### SPECIAL HEARING ON TAX PROPOSALS

INFORMATIONAL HEARING: INVITED TESTIMONY

CONSUMPTION: HB 3279, HB 3391, HB 3270, HB 3318

PROPERTY: HB 2560, HB 2606, HB 3271, HB 3315, HB 3446, HB 3447, HB 2586

INCOME: HB 2285, HB 2286, HB 3208, HB 3309, HB 3474, HB 2876,

HB 3015, HB 3016, HB 3248, HB 3133, HB 3273, HB 3314

**BILL INTRODUCTIONS: LC 4320, LC 4315** 

TAPES 104, 105 A/B, 106 A

#### HOUSE REVENUE COMMITTEE

## APRIL 8, 1997 8:30 AM HEARING ROOM A STATE CAPITOL BUILDING

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Tom Brian, Chair

Rep. Lee Beyer, Vice-Chair

Rep. Tony Corcoran

Rep. Randall Edwards

Rep. Leslie Lewis (Excused) Rep. Anitra Rasmussen Rep. Lane Shetterly Rep. Mark Simmons Rep. Ken Strobeck WITNESSES PRESENT: Rep. Lee Beyer Rep. Frank Shields Rep. Randall Edwards Rep. Peter Courtney Rep. Floyd Prozanski Rep. Bryan Johnson Rep. Anitra Rasmussen Rep. Kurt Schrader Chuck Sheketoff, Oregon Law Center Rep. Jo Ann Bowman Don Schellenberg, Oregon Farm Bureau **STAFF PRESENT:** Ed Waters, Economist Brian Reeder, Economist Barbara Guardino, Committee Assistant

# TAPE 104 SIDE A

# **INVITED TESTIMONY**

009 Chair Brian

Called meeting to order at 8:45 a.m.

015 Rep. Corcoran Reiterated Monday comments that during the 1990's, Oregon's tax burden has fallen from 12<sup>th</sup> to 44<sup>th</sup>. Business share has fallen to 39 %;. Primary criticism is legislators fail to deal with tax reform, resulting in passage of inadequate initiatives.

Rep. Comm topics:

Committee members will give overview of tax reform bills. They will address these tonics:

# 1) Consumption tax, Rep. Beyer;

- 3) Homestead proposals, Rep. Edwards;
- 3) Re-bracketing of personal and corporate income tax, Rep. Corcoran and Rep. Devlin;
- 4) Other categories, Rep. Rasmussen

Refer to written testimony, verbatim (EXHIBIT A):

043 Rep. Beyer Directed members' attention to HB 3279, gross receipts tax focused on broadcast industry;

HB 3391 privilege tax on beer and wine. Similar proposal introduced by Liz VanLeeuwen and Rep. Peter Courtney.

Refer to written testimony, verbatim, (EXHIBIT B) HB 3391 raises beer and wine tax by 5 cents per drink. Bill dedicates 80 % of this revenue to Dept. of Human Resources alcohol and drug treatment and prevention programs.

Remaining 20 % goes to State Police for alcohol and drug enforcement. Current tax is 8/10 of 1 penny. Beer tax not been raised since 1977; wine tax since 1983.

Rep. 062 Frank Shields

Oregon's tax on beer is \$5.18 per barrel below the national average. Primary goal of HB 3391 is not to deter drinking, but to fund programs that fight the effects of problem drinking. Those who cause the problem should pay for the solutions. Referred to page 2 of testimony, statistics on cost to state for alcohol/drug abuse. "Impact on State Government" says that 80 % Oregon's prison population and 85 % of parents of foster children have history of alcohol and drug abuse.

His intention is not to deter drinking, but rather to shift the cost of alcohol/drug addiction to those who cause the problem.

130 Rep. Corcoran

Asked where funding currently comes from to fund treatment and police.

135 Rep. Shields This money comes from general fund. Believes voters would back this tax, as they back tobacco tax. Polling by DUII groups says public would prefer a 50/50 split. He wants to see more go into rehabilitation; public wants more go into traffic enforcement.

160 Shields	HB 3391 bill differs from VanLeeuwen bill in that it will raise tax on a can of beer by 5 cents; VanLeeuwen would raise to 4.2 cents per can. Both bills could be modified to work.
180 Rep. Beyer	In relation to rapidly rising costs of corrections budgets, a delegation of judges in Lane County asked legislators to increase the availability of drug and alcohol treatment. Judges keep seeing same people, 80 % are drug and alcohol abusers. Legislature did nothing in 1995. If legislators are serious about cutting cost of prisons, they must to invest in prevention.
199 Rep. Beyer	Continuing testimony, task is to focus on consumption side. None of the proposals to be heard today necessarily have to increase or shift tax load. Basically only four ways to raise money: User fees, income tax, property tax, consumption tax. Oregon has barely used consumption tax, which is one reason other taxes are so high. These bills are places to start.
222 Rep. Beyer	In past, legislature has focused on sales tax. It has failed nine times, and would fail again. Other forms of consumption tax include Value Added Taxes - popular in Europe, but not popular in USA.
247 Rep. Beyer	Consumption tax - Gross Receipts Tax (or business and occupations tax): Two bills on gross receipts tax currently in legislature: HB 3270, Oregon Education Tax Act; and HB 3318, Oregon Gross Receipts Tax Act. Both are based on Washington State Business and Occupation Tax, which is primary education funding source. HB 3318 directed at General Fund and does not contain property tax relief; HB 3270 dedicated to education funding and replaces \$5/1,000 property tax.
286 Rep. Beyer	Refer to Beyer testimony (Exhibit A), page 5, Legislative Revenue Office memo detailing cost of graduated gross receipts tax. Refer to page 9, State of Washington Business and Occupation Tax. Based on business and industry.
321 Rep. Beyer	Advantages of gross receipts tax:

- 1) Generates enough money to replace property tax and re-balances tax burden between residents and businesses
- 2) Does not tax small businesses with incomes under \$300,000, which is 75 % of all businesses in Oregon
- 3) Eliminates double taxation of business
- 4) Keeps tax system competitive with surrounding states, while sharing tax responsibility
- 5) Creates system of taxation based on consumption
- 11) Shifts part of tax burden to out-of-state purchasers. Oregon is a large exporting state.
- 7) Takes advantage of federal tax policy by allowing all taxes collected to be deducted from federal tax returns. Biggest winner for M5 and M47 is federal government.

# TAPE 105 SIDE A

Summed, Gross Receipts Tax is not a radical idea, Washington state has used it for 70 years as primary source to fund education. Ironic that, of two states with similar economies, Oregon is struggling with how to fund its education. Washington state is increasing its funding, reducing its class size, putting more money into higher education.
Questions and discussion concerning Beyer's testimony of replacing property tax revenue with consumption tax for school funding.
Asked how stable a gross receipts tax is.
Stability is a weakness of consumption taxes. Property tax is more stable.
Brief overview of property taxes - since passage of M5, tax burden has grown for homeowners, concern that this is an inequity, and the difference will be locked in once M50 is passed. Property tax can be regressive. M50 and M47 have not addressed issue of affordability. A thriving residential market has driven up homeowner values, canceling effects of M5. M47 was a broad cut and cap, didn't look at who needed the relief, just across the board.
Refer to written testimony (EXHIBIT C) concerning seniors. Supporting HB 2560, which would establish a property tax exemption for the principal residence of a senior citizen age 65 and older. HARRP program provided tax relief for low income homeowners. HARRP was repealed in 1991 when economy was weak. Repealing HARPP increased property taxes by \$179 million. Oregon one of few states that does not offer property tax break for persons on fixed incomes.
If lawmakers remove capital gains tax, they can afford to give seniors a tax exemption. Issue is non-partisan.
Asserted if legislature had kept HARRP, state could have avoided M5. Seniors are afraid they will lose their homes.
Questions and discussion interspersed concerning low income tax breaks.  Noted, Rep. Corcoran's bill, HB 2606, reinstates HARPP Program as written in 1991.  Sliding scale of income.  Directed members' attention to (EXHIBIT D) "House Bills Related to Property Taxes, Preliminary Estimates of Revenue Impacts." Reinstates HARPP program.
Summarized HB 3271: Exempts first \$25,000 of value for residents with home incomes of \$25,000 or less;  HB 3315 and HB 3446 similar: Establish property tax exemption for principal residents for senior citizens up to \$50,000 of assessed value.

These programs will be funded through state's General Fund.

Testified in support of homestead exemptions. These bills are a great starting point. If members are serious about broadening the tax base to give relief so a third cut and cap measure won't occur, they should look at across-the-board relief, as well as relief to those who are being impacted the most. Encouraged members to study homestead exemptions in state of Texas. Colorado, Idaho and Florida also have homestead exemptions.

359 Rep. Prozanski

Encouraged legislators to return tax burden to 50/50 split between businesses and homeowners. Need to consider multiple plans for true tax relief.

#### TAPE 104 SIDE B

Sponsoring two bills, HB 3446, HB 3447: Establish property tax exemption for seniors over certain age, with income under \$32,000. Exempts first \$50,000 of house value. These bills will depend upon voters of HJR 60 to eliminate property tax limitation (M47). Believes Oregon's failure to allow senior citizens to stay in their homes was the primary cause of passage of M47. This is an attempt to remove that. Moot if M50 passes.

055 Rep. Shetterly

Rep.

Johnson

026 Bryan

With reference to seniors, important that members know there is currently a tax deferral for seniors age 65. This seems to be overlooked a lot.

Vice 068 Chair Beyer

The deferral program is a good program, about 1/3 of eligible seniors use it. But, seniors tell him they have nothing left to pass on to their heirs.

 $090\,\frac{\rm Rep.}{\rm Corcoran}$ 

Noted, Sen. Yih has a bill (SB 311) that deals with removal of homes through imminent domain. Deferral is a lien, and some seniors are put into dire straits because they used deferral program.

Refer to written testimony (EXHIBIT E). Concerning "Intangibles Tax." He and economist Ed Waters spent a lot of time trying to rewrite M47, finally decided to get rid of residential property tax. 78 % of property in Oregon isn't even taxed. Is writing a bill that would eliminate residential property tax while putting more tax equity into the system between residences and businesses, without adding to business tax burden. Smaller businesses have not benefited from tax shift. This bill establishes that. 52 % of property is intangible.

166 Rep. Kurt Schrader

Defined intangibles tax: Assets tax on stocks, bonds, accounts receivable, loans, notes, mutual funds. Third leg to the stool -- income tax, business property tax.

197 Rep. Schrader

Benefits: Shifts tax burden without penalizing business community. Encourages business expansion. Based on ability to pay. Businesses will only pay higher taxes if stock markets rise.

231 Rep. Corcoran

Asked if this constitutes a shift from personal property tax.

Rep. This tax is revenue neutral, average Oregonian would have a huge tax break, shift goes

236 Schrader onto wealthy.

## **BILL INTRODUCTIONS:**

Directed members to consider introductions of LC 4320 (EXHIBIT F) and LC 4315

266 Chair Brian

nair (EXHIBIT G).

## LC 4320

276 Chair Brian ASKED FOR ANY OBJECTIONS FROM MEMBERS TO ENTERING LC 4320 (IMPLEMENTATION BILL FOR M50) AS A COMMITTEE BILL. THERE BEING NO OBJECTIONS, CHAIR SO ORDERED.

### <u>LC 4315</u>

296 Chair Brian ASKED FOR ANY OBJECTIONS TO ENTERING LC 4315 AS A COMMITTEE BILL. THERE BEING NO OBJECTIONS, CHAIR SO ORDERED.

#### **INVITED TESTIMONY:**

Gave brief overview of current "Tax Expenditure Report" (EXHIBIT H)

308 Chuck Sheketoff In interim after 1993 legislative session, Legislative Revenue Office prepared a report that described tax expenditures. During 1995 session, legislation was incorporated into "Budget Accountability Act of 1995". Report shows how \$4 billion is spent through income tax system, and how billions are spent and shifted through property tax system. Report shows 13 % increase in state spending to income tax code.

Refer to written testimony (EXHIBIT I) verbatim. Concerning the "other budget," Tax Expenditure Report. Exemptions growing at alarming rate.

Rep. Jo 377 Ann

Bowman

1) Capital gains from Oregon reinvestments: See report, page 95. Total eligible tax deferral for 1997-97 is \$11 million. It is expected to grow to \$46 million for 1997-99.

#### TAPE 105 SIDE B

036 Rep. Bowman

2) Capital gains on gifts: To allow transfer of property as a gift without imposing tax burden on donor.

050 Rep. Bowman Questioned the validity of pollution control credit; this awards taxpayers for doing things they are required to do anyway.

Noted two examples of tax credits that can be eliminated or modified:

099 Sheketoff

(Refer to report, page 41: Income of controlled foreign corporations. Designed to encourage operation of foreign subsidiaries by U.S. firms. \$5.4 million could be used toward senior services to keep nursing homes open; child care subsidy programs.

( Home mortgage interest deduction: Interim Revenue Committee reported cost to taxpayers at \$300 million. Official report says \$480 million. Can be used on both primary and secondary residences, with interest on loans up to \$1 million for purchase of a residence.

#### 128 Sheketoff

Wants to eliminate second home provision, and lower \$1 million cap to \$300,000.

Second homes are one of reasons housing costs are so high.

174 Sheketoff

Questions and discussion interspersed.

Resumed written testimony (page 3): "We need money!"

186 Rep. Bowman

Identified tax exemptions that should be deleted. She has submitted a bill to eliminate lottery advertising. Favors eliminating tax exemption for prizes awarded by State Lottery. Tax exemption for 1997-99 biennium is estimated at \$44.3 million. Most players believe they will pay state taxes on their winnings. Paying taxes will not adversely affect people's willingness to play the lottery.

238 Rep. Bowman

Gift packets: Cigarette taxes do not apply to cigarettes distributed in sample packets of 5 or fewer cigarettes. Incentive for cigarette industry to promote its products. Public awareness per cigarette smoking has changed dramatically. Recommended repealing this tax exemption.

262 Rep. Bowman

Example of a good tax expenditure: Refer to Tax Expenditure Report, Farm Workers Housing Construction.

283 Rep. Corcoran

Asked Sheketoff concerning right-to-know information on corporations and their tax liability to state, how many corporations pay and don't pay Oregon income tax?

About 15,000 businesses inside and outside Oregon will pay less than \$10 in Oregon taxes in 1994.

294 Sheketoff

Refer to written testimony (EXHIBIT J) Tax reform. Two items of major importance:

 $335 \stackrel{Don}{Schellenberg} \ (\ Correct\ tax\ policy$ 

( Retain local control

Correct and fair tax policy is achieved when there is a direct link between tax paid and service received. Over the years, state has begun paying for local social services with property tax, causing system to go out of control (health services, libraries, recreational opportunities). Correct tax policy would dictate a tax based on a person's

353 Schellenberg ability to pay. M5 correctly moved most of school funding from property tax to income tax. No longer a relationship between property value and one's responsibility to schools. Relationship is according to income.

# 412 Schellenberg Solution:

- 1) Statutorily define what are property related services, and prohibit collection of property taxes except for property related services. This will cut property taxes roughly in half.
- 2) Fund local social services that benefit general society with local, personal and corporate income tax. Collect local income tax using property tax method.

# TAPE 106, SIDE A

048 Schellenberg	Downside to this solution: When property taxes are reduced, other taxes are not deductible on federal income, so state would send more money to federal government.
056 Schellenberg	Summed up: Solution to property tax problem is to apply correct tax principal. This proposal provides desired services at local level, retains local control, all within confines of M5 and M47.
064 Rep. Corcorar	Asked, in regard to issue of deductibility to federal government, how does Farm Bureau feel about gross receipts?
068 Schellenberg	From business point of view, if this means net income, no problem. Farm Bureau does not want tax on gross receipts from "everything that comes in." Farmers' gross receipts are very high; net receipts are very low.
083 Vice Chair Beyer	Adjourned meeting at 10:34 a.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Barbara Guardino Kim James

Committee Assistant Revenue Office Manager

# **EXHIBIT SUMMARY:**

- A. HB 3279, Beyer, Presentation to House Revenue and School Finance Committee, 14 pp.
- B. HB 3391, Shields, Rep. Frank Shields Testimony on House Bill 3391, 2 pp.
- C. HB 2560, Courtney, Testimony in support of HB 2560, 1 p.
- D. HB 2560, Reeder, House Bills Related to Property Taxes, 1 p.
- E. Informational, Schrader, Testimony of Kurt Schrader on The Intangible Tax, 2 pp.
- F. LC 4320, Brian, LC 4320, 193 pp.
- G. LC 4315, Brian, LC 4315, 5 pp.
- H. HB 3314, Sheketoff, Tax Expenditure Report, 297 pp.
- I. HB 3314, Bowman, Testimony of Jo Ann Bowman, 4 pp.
- J. Informational, Schellenberg, Solving the Property Tax Problem Oregon Farm Bureau, 3 pp.
- K. HB 2285; 2286; 3474; 3408; 3309; 3016 etc., Reeder, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation:, 1 p.
- L. HB 3279, LRO, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation, 1 p.
- M. HB 3391, LRO, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation, 1 p.