SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

June 1, 1993 Hearing Room B 1:00 p.m. Tapes 177-178

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Dick Springer, Chair

Sen. Jeannette Hamby Sen. Karsten Rasmussen Sen. Gordon Smith Sen. Catherine Webber

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Sen. Shoemaker

STAFF PRESENT: Bill Taylor, Committee Counsel

Karen Quigley, Committee Counsel Kirk Bailey, Committee Assistant

ISSUES DISCUSSED: Public Hearing & Work Session on HB 2385, HB 2737, HB

312 5,

HB 2976, HB 2231, SB 617, SB 884

Work Session on SB 228

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

TAPE 177, SIDE A

003 CHAIR SPRINGER: Opens the hearing at 1:18 pm.

SB 884: Authorizes elector to request county clerk to keep

address of elector exempt from disclosure as public record.

WITNESSES:

VICKI ERVIN, OREGON ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY CLERKS VIETTA HELMLE, MID-VALLEY WOMEN'S CRISIS SERVICE

014 VICKI ERVIN: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the

bill (EXHIBIT A).

036 SEN. RASMUSSEN: If individual provides post office box address, do you

still require street address?

ERVIN: Yes, street address or information indicating residence is

required.

- O41 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Still require information be entered into records?

 ERVIN: Not necessarily on a computer, but it must be on original document which is a public document.
- 046 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Notes walking lists which include only mailing

addresses, not street addresses. What is the difference?

ERVIN: Possible to obtain mailing address lists only.

058 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Why are people required to vote absentee under the bill?

ERVIN: Only method to deal with problem of not printing name in poll book. It was a way to set aside address issue.

066 SEN. HAMBY: Could individual provide proof of residency but list a post office box as their address for purposes of the poll book and

lists, etc?

candidate

ERVIN: That would be a variation to the bill. Could be changed so residence address is provided and they are not required to vote absentee.

080 SEN. HAMBY: So if the individual was concerned with safety could they register at post office box and still records could be kept open because

they would only indicate post office box.

ERVIN: Yes. Records would be open except for residence address.

- 094 VIETTA HELMLE: Submits $\,$ and reviews written testimony $\,$ in support of the $\,$ bill (EXHIBIT B).
- 104 SEN. HAMBY: Notes post office box address for the shelter?

 HELMLE: Yes. Shelter has an office address but the shelter itself is not accessible to the public.
- 107 SEN. HAMBY: Do the women in the shelter vote?

HELMLE: Yes. Probably the same ratios as the rest of the community.

110 SEN. HAMBY: So they show their residency address as the crisis center?

HELMLE: Nobody has requested that. They are in the shelter for very

limited time.

124 SEN. HAMBY: Notes possible lack of resources for her clients, would

they afford post office box?

HELMLE: Might be difficult for some.

- 132 CHAIR SPRINGER: \$34 for post office box in Portland.
- 136 MOTION: SEN. WEBBER: moves SB 884 be sent to the floor with a DO PASS

recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. SEN. SHOEMAKER is excused.

141 CHAIR SPRINGER: The motion CARRIES.

SEN. WEBBER will lead discussion on the floor.

- SB 617: Allows mass transit districts to offer and pay rewards for information leading to arrest and conviction of persons committing acts of violence or vandaliSMupon or against district property.
- 145 CHAIR SPRINGER: Calls witnesses on the bill.
- 147 KAREN QUIGLEY, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Notes Sen. Dwyer's testimony.
- 152 CHAIR SPRINGER: Holds bill for further consideration later in the hearing.
- SB 228: Establishes procedures to stay execution of sentence for person appealing judgment of conviction.

WITNESSES:

DANNY SANTOS, BOARD OF PAROLE AND POST PRISON SUPERVISION MIKE REYNOLDS, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE SALLY AVERA, STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER

- 157 BILL TAYLOR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Reviews bill and SB 228-2 and -1 amendments (EXHIBIT E & E-1).
- MIKE REYNOLDS: Reviews amendments to SB 288-1 amendments (EXHIBIT L).
- 193 TAYLOR: These replace -1 amendments?

 REYNOLDS: Yes.
- 195 CHAIR SPRINGER: Clarifies current amendments before the committee.

- 203 SALLY AVERA: Testifies in support of the bill. Supports revised 1 amendments.
- 212 SEN. RASMUSSEN: What are we eliminating? What is acceptable? What about the bill?
- 217 AVERA: The amendments are acceptable and we support the bill. >Reviews
 - -1 amendments with additional revisions.

matters when it seems that is the area where abuse can enter into the process. Defers to other members.

272 AVERA: In experience with the Board, the abuses are very few. 286 SEN. WEBBER: All of these are discretionary issues, there are no other

issues?

AVERA: Predominantly, but not all.

296 SEN. WEBBER: Appeals on postponing release dates due to serious

misconduct, none have been overturned?

AVERA: Less than 20 per biennium. Less than 2 per biennium are granted opinion.

>Most misconduct extensions rarely reach the court within the time period for the extension.

307 SEN. WEBBER: So there is a timing issue?

AVERA: Yes.

309 SEN. WEBBER: Sustains minimum term under ORS 144.110, what is that $\overline{}$

provision?

AVERA: That is the statute allowing the court in a pre-guidelines to provide that at least half the sentence be served as a minimum term.

317 SEN. WEBBER: And the relationship to the history risk order or the crime severity rating?

AVERA: Our understanding is that the board does consider the

defendant's history risk or crime severity rating in determining whether

to override a minimum term.

>Wanted discretion to challenge that score.

326 DANNY SANTOS: Reviews ORS 144.110.

>Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT D).

340 CHAIR SPRINGER: Proponents of -2?

REYNOLDS: Proposed by the Department of Justice. >Response to Bagby v. OSP which interprets ORS 421.195.

>Reviews intensive management unit operations relating to inmate

transfer.

>Transfer to IMU is a judicially reviewable action.

474 SEN. HAMBY: Maximum security is apart from IMU?

480 REYNOLDS: Disciplinary segregation is secure confinement.

489 SEN. HAMBY: For a maximum of 100 days.

TAPE 178, SIDE A

032 REYNOLDS: That is an example. It varies depending on the case.

Assault could result in 120 days.

 $>\!\!$ At the end of disciplinary segregation the inmate would be reclassified

and transferred to intensive management unit.

040 SEN. HAMBY: What kind of violation would result in a 7 day $\,$

segregation?

REYNOLDS: Perhaps for speaking disrespectfully to officer.

045 TAYLOR: Both the amendments pertain to judicial review, correct?

REYNOLDS: Yes.

 ${\tt O46}$ CHAIR SPRINGER: Understands Reynolds position but notes that inmates

probably have a hard time believing that assignment to IMU is a non-disciplinary act.

>What is the pleasure of the committee?

055 SEN. HAMBY: If inmate spends $120~\mathrm{days}$ in disciplinary segregation and

then moved to IMU and showed good behavior, how many days would actually

be spent in the IMU?

REYNOLDS: It varies but a typical inmate transferred to IMU goes in at Level 2 of four levels. Exemplary behavior and the inmate could be out in 90 days.

067 CHAIR SPRINGER: What is the average occupancy at IMU?

REYNOLDS: Four units with maximum occupancy of 180-190. Currently they

house 105-110.

071 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: moves to ADOPT compromise amendments submitted by

M. Wells.

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the amendments are ADOPTED.

075 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER: moves to ADOPT SB 228-2 amendments, dated

4/21/93.

081 SEN. RASMUSSEN: For counsel, does the bill stand with the compromise

amendments and not the -2's?

TAYLOR: Believes it would, but witnesses could have more information. >Amendments are independent of each other?

085 REYNOLDS: Yes.

086 VOTE: In a roll call vote SEN. HAMBY, SEN. SMITH & CHAIR SPRINGER vote

AYE. SEN. RASMUSSEN votes NAY. SEN. SHOEMAKER and SEN. WEBBER are excused.

089 CHAIR SPRINGER: The motion fails.

090 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER: moves to ADOPT revised relating clause

stating, "Relating to judicial review".

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the amendments are ADOPTED.

094 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: moves SB 228, AS $\,$ AMENDED, be sent to the floor with

a DO PASS recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. SEN. SHOEMAKER

and SEN. WEBBER are excused.

098 CHAIR SPRINGER: The motion CARRIES.

SEN. HAMBY will lead discussion on the floor.

HB 2385: Repeals requirement that records in filiation proceedings be sealed.

WITNESSES:

MIKE WELLS, OREGON STATE BAR

- 107 TAYLOR: Reviews bill. No amendments.
- 111 MIKE WELLS: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT F).
- 136 CHAIR SPRINGER: No other witnesses? >Does this need referral to Ways and Means?

>Notes Bill Linden, State Court Administrator indicates no.

143 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER: moves HB 2385 be sent to the floor with a ${\tt DO}$

PASS recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. SEN. SHOEMAKER and SEN. WEBBER are excused.

147 CHAIR SPRINGER: The motion CARRIES.

SEN. SMITH will lead discussion on the floor.

HB 2737: Provides for forfeiture and destruction of deadly weapons used during

commission of crime.

WITNESSES:

BOB KEYSER, OREGON COUNCIL OF POLICE ASSOCIATIONS JOHN NICHOLS, OREGON STATE SHOOTING ASSOCIATION MARK HARDER, OREGON SPORTSMAN'S DEFENSE FUND FRED AVERA, OREGON DISTRICT ATTORNEYS ASSOCIATION

- 151 TAYLOR: Reviews bill and HB 2737-1 amendments (EXHIBIT G).
- BOB KEYSER: Testifies in support of the bill. >Opposed to the amendments from counsel.
- 213 SEN. HAMBY: You are concerned about subsection 3 in counsels draft

which would provide antique weapons to local historical societies rather $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

than selling them?

KEYSER: Yes, but not just antiques. Provides alternative example of the type of weapons that could be sold.

227 TAYLOR: Sen. Shoemaker was concerned that lines 20--22 don't give the

option to destroy weapon.

>Suggests "or shall destroy the weapons."

>Checked with Historical Society who do keep a list of valid historical

societies in the state.

246 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Expresses concern about police putting confiscated

weapons back on the street. Not particularly concerned about antique weapons.

- JOHN NICHOLS: Testifies in support of the bill. >Expresses reservations about the -1 amendments.
- 287 MARK HARDER: Testifies with concerns about the bill. Supported until

the most recent amendments.

- FRED AVERA: Testifies in support of the bill generally.

 Comments on Shoemaker's concerns. Statute has been interpreted to destroy weapons and that is the practice.
- 344 NICHOLS: Notes an individual has to be licensed dealer to purchase these guns and there is no record of criminals purchasing weapons from dealers.
- 350 SEN. HAMBY: Is that in statute?

NICHOLS: Loose interpretation of federal law. >No problem including it in the statute.

366 CHAIR SPRINGER: Holds bill for further consideration and consideration

by the full committee.

>Notes that further clarification of issues would be helpful.

 $390\,$ SEN. RASMUSSEN: Responds to last suggestion by Nichols concerning

restriction of sales to certain defined parties.

405 SEN. HAMBY: Suggests counsel review definitions of collectors weapons.

NICHOLS: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) does issue a collectors license.

- HB 3125: Creates Task Force on Family Law to develop non-adversarial system to help families undergoing divorce access services.
- 435 CHAIR SPRINGER: Notes that witnesses were excused from hearing because $\frac{1}{2}$

it was previously scheduled for work session.

TAYLOR: Reviews the bill and HB 3125-A7 amendments (EXHIBIT H). >Reviews bill history with the Chair.

CHAIR SPRINGER: Notes amendments were discussed and generally agreed to.

>Subsequent referral to any other committee?

- 451 TAYLOR: No. Notes letter from Sen. Kennemer asking if there are any
 Oregon residents requirements for members of the task force and the answer is no.
- 463 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: moves to ADOPT HB 3125-A7 amendments, dated

5/26/93.

VOTE: Hearing no objection, the amendments are ADOPTED.

647 MOTION: moves HB 3125, AS AMENDED, be sent to the floor with a DO PASS

recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. SEN. SHOEMAKER and SEN. WEBBER are excused.

474 CHAIR SPRINGER: The motion CARRIES.

SEN. HAMBY will lead discussion on the floor.

TAPE 177, SIDE B

HB 2976: Provides that judgment resulting from unpaid child support is valid for 20 years from date child support judgment is entered.

WITNESSES:

JOHN ELLIS, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE MIKE WELLS, OREGON STATE BAR DAVID NEBEL, OREGON LEGAL SERVICES ELIZABETH HARCHENKO, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BILL LINDEN, STATE COURT ADMINISTRATOR

032 TAYLOR: Notes HB 2976-A4 amendments submitted to the committee (EXHIBIT C).

- 034 JOHN ELLIS: Submits and reviews written testimony including new amendments in support of the bill (EXHIBIT I, J & K).
- 146 CHAIR SPRINGER: Notes financial institutions might have an interest in this bill. Have you spoken to them?
- 151 ELLIS: Spoken to Frank Brawner, Oregon Bankers Association. >Reviews understanding about concerns.
- 161 TAYLOR: Are there provisions similar to the personal liability for additional three years idea in other law?
- 164 ELIZABETH HARCHENKO: Analogy is found in the tax statutes. Income

taxes are personal obligation of the tax-payer. >That obligation never ceases.

172 TAYLOR: Personal liability attaches from day support obligation is entered for how many years?

ELLIS: Most recent version is 30 years.

175 TAYLOR: So, 33 years.

> ELLIS: No, only 30 years. Eliminated three year language in most recent amendments and inserted provisions for 30 year personal

obligation to be comprehensive.

- 192 CHAIR SPRINGER: Inquires about effect on judicial branch to Bill Linden, State Court Administrator.
- 194 SEN. HAMBY: How far back can state go, or does it start with the passage of this bill?

ELLIS: Could go back 30 years in the case of the personal obligation, if an obligation were still owing today.

- 200 SEN. HAMBY: So, if individual had met their 10 year obligation,
 their
 obligation is extended to 30 years with the passage of this bill?

 ELLIS: Yes. Provides example.
- 214 CHAIR SPRINGER: Excuses himself for meeting with Senate President and turns over hearing to Sen. Rasmussen.
- 222 CHAIR SPRINGER: Did House consider this approach?
- 227 BILL LINDEN: Testifies in support of the bill generally.
- 238 ELLIS: Comments about action on the House side. $\,$ >House adopted 20 year provision. Increase to 30 suggested because some

parties thought it would be better. Not firmly committed to 30 years if the committee prefers 20.

>Intent is to avoid tracking multiple support payments which need renewal to avoid confusion and error.
>Notes renewal can be ex parte which is general practice.

285 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Amendments attempt to make the two systems track

concurrently?
ELLIS: Correct.

290 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Requests Ellis confer with financial institutions on this issue.

ELLIS: Agrees to do so.

- 304 SEN. SMITH: What you want isn't accomplished with 20 year provision?

 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Correct.
- 309 SEN. SMITH: That will send it to conference and the financial $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

institutions are more likely to object.

SEN. RASMUSSEN: Possibly but that is what we are going to find out.

- 319 MIKE WELLS: Testifies in support of the bill, generally.
- 328 DAVID NEBEL: Testifies in support of the bill generally. Supports the

Ellis amendments, over original bill and current law. >Notes HB 2976-A4 amendments as a possible alternative.

395 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Inquires if Frank Brawner, Oregon Bankers Association,

has any comments; he indicates no. >Holds bill for further consideration.

HB 2231: Adds term "parole" to that of "probation officer" for purposes of setting out duties and powers of parole officers.

WITNESSES:

BOB KEYSER, FEDERATION OF OREGON PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICERS

414 TAYLOR: Reviews bill. Discussion centered on removing last section of

the bill, page 2, lines 7--10 concerning collection of reprobation fees.

>Provision is on page 2, subsection 3.

BOB KEYSER: Objects to the removal of language described by counsel. >Concerned about money that will be collected, need to be bonded and additional costs to agencies.

475 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Opposed to removal of the last three lines of the bill?

KEYSER: Correct.

TAPE 178, SIDE B

O34 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Holds bill for further consideration. >Adjourns hearing at 3:00 pm.

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Kirk Bailey Bill Taylor Assistant Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG:

- A Testimony on SB 884, Vicki Ervin, 1 page
- B Testimony on SB 884, Vietta Helmle, 1 page
- C Amendments to HB 2976, Staff, 1 page
- D Testimony on SB 228, Danny Santos, 1 page
- E Amendments to SB 228, Staff, 2 pages
- E-1 Amendments to SB 228, Staff, 1 page
- F Testimony on HB 2385, Mike Wells, 11 pages
- G Amendments to HB 2737, Staff, 1 page
- H Amendments to HB 3125, Staff, 1 page
- I Amendments to HB 2976, John Ellis, 1 page

- J Amendments to HB 2976, John Ellis, 4 pages
 K Testimony on HB 2976, John Ellis, 10 pages
 L Amendments to SB 228, Mike Reynolds, 2 pages