

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
BUSINESS, LABOR, AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

April 08, 2005 Hearing Room B

9:00 A.M. Tapes 81 - 84

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Alan Brown, Chair

Rep. Sal Esquivel, Vice-Chair

Rep. Mike Schaufler, Vice-Chair

Rep. Paul Holvey

Rep. George Gilman

Rep. Derrick Kitts

Rep. Chip Shields

STAFF PRESENT: Janet Adkins, Committee Administrator

Katie Howard, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

HB 2717 – Reconsideration and Work Session

HB 2718 – Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2740 – Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 3272 – Public Hearing

HB 3001 – Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 81, A		
003	Chair Brown	Calls the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m. Opens the work session for the possible reconsideration of HB 2717 (EXHIBIT A).

HB 2717 – RECONSIDERATION AND WORK SESSION

005	Rep. Schaufler	MOTION: Moves to SUSPEND the rules for the purpose of reconsidering the vote on HB 2717.
		VOTE: 5-0-2
		EXCUSED: 2 - Gilman, Kitts
006	Chair Brown	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
010	Janet Adkins	Committee Administrator. Explains history of HB 2717 and submits the fiscal statement (EXHIBIT A). States that HB 2717 needs to be reconsidered in order to consider a possible referral to Ways and Means.
018	Rep. Schaufler	MOTION: Moves to RECONSIDER the vote by which the committee passed HB 2717.
022		VOTE: 5-0-2
		EXCUSED: 2 – Gilman, Kitts
	Chair Brown	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
029	Chair Brown	Closes the work session onof HB 2717. Opens the public hearing on HB 2718.

HB 2718 – PUBLIC HEARING

032	Janet Adkins	Committee Administrator. Explains HB 2718.
-----	--------------	--

- 040 Gary Bauer Northwest Natural. Submits written testimony in support of HB 2718 (**EXHIBIT B**). States that HB 2718 will allow a self-insured employer to continue to have insurance coverage for its potential liability. Says HB 2718 would allow them to buy insurance from a surplus lines carrier. Notes that HB 2718 only applies to utilities.
- 072 Chair Brown Closes the public hearing on HB 2718 and opens the work session on HB 2718.

HB 2718 – WORK SESSION

- 075 Rep. Shields **MOTION: Moves HB 2718 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.**
- 081 Chair Brown States that he believes the Management Labor Advisory Committee (MLAC) approved HB 2718.
- 083 Gary Bauer Northwest Natural. Says that MLAC did not approve HB 2718, because it did not fall under their prevue.
- 087 **VOTE: 6-0-1**
AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
EXCUSED: 1 - Kitts
- Chair Brown **The motion CARRIES.**
- 089 Rep. Shields **MOTION: Moves HB 2718 be placed on the CONSENT CALENDAR.**
- 090 **VOTE: 6-0-1**
EXCUSED: 1 – Kitts
- Chair Brown **Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**
- 093 Chair Brown Closes the work session on HB 2718 and opens the public hearing on HB 2740.

HB 2740 – PUBLIC HEARING

096	Janet Adkins	Committee Administrator. Summarizes HB 2740 and -3 amendments (EXHIBIT C).
117	Shawn Miller	Oregon Independent Auto Dealers Association. Submits written testimony in support of HB 2740 and the -3 amendments (EXHIBIT E). States that it is a consumer protection bill that was drafted with the Department of Justice. Talks about the three provisions that HB 2740 addresses. States that a broker will have to state in writing the cost of the services provided. Adds that a broker is prohibited from advertising their services as free. Says that an auto dealer cannot be a broker if the vehicle being sold is from the auto dealer's inventory.
164	Jose Pino Mesa	Vice-president, Oregon Independent Auto Dealers Association. Speaks in support of HB 2740.
169	Larry Campbell	Triple AAA Oregon and Idaho. Submits written testimony in support of HB 2740 (EXHIBIT F). Complements the process of compromise in the -3 amendments.
190	Monty King	President, Oregon Vehicle Dealers Association. Submits written testimony in support of HB 2740 (EXHIBIT D). States that all of the auto dealers industry supports HB 2740. Explains that an auto dealer can still buy the vehicle for a person, but the auto dealer cannot advertise themselves as a broker. Notes that the consumer will now be able to make a decision when the buyer knows the final price of the vehicle.
240	King	Says that a consumer can now learn how much the vehicle cost the auto dealer.
255	Darrell Fuller	Oregon Vehicle Dealers Association. Testifies in support of HB 2740.
277	Cheryl Pellegrini	Attorney-in-Charge, Financial Fraud/Consumer Protection Division, Department of Justice (DOJ). Submits written testimony in support of HB 2740 and the -3 amendments (EXHIBIT G). Gives history of the practice of brokers in the early 1990s. Says that it is common practice for the broker to collect a fee by adding it on to the purchase price of the vehicle. Summarizes the effects of HB 2740.
325	Pellegrini	States that HB 2740 informs buyers about the services they can expect to receive from a broker.

- 370 Rep. Schaufler Asks if the fee charged by the broker goes to the government.
- 375 Pellegrini Responds that the fee goes to the broker.
- 377 Chair Brown Closes the public hearing on HB 2740 and opens the work session on HB 2740.

HB 2740 – WORK SESSION

381 Rep. Schaufler **MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2740-3 amendments dated 4/7/05.**

389 **VOTE: 6-0-1**

EXCUSED: 1 - Esquivel

Chair Brown Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

390 Rep. Schaufler **MOTION: Moves to RESCIND referral to Committee on Transportation.**

392 Rep. Gilman Speaks in support of rescinding the referral to the House Transportation Committee.

401 **VOTE: 6-0-1**

EXCUSE: 1 – Esquivel

Chair Brown Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

402 Rep. Schaufler **MOTION: Moves HB 2740 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.**

404 **VOTE: 6-0-1**

AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.

EXCUSED: 1 - Esquivel

Chair Brown The motion CARRIES.

REP. SCHAUFLER will lead discussion on the floor.

416 Chair Brown Closes the work session on HB 2740 and opens the public hearing on HB 3272.

TAPE 82, A

HB 3272 – PUBLIC HEARING

001 Janet Adkins Committee Administrator. Explains HB 3272. Submits testimony on the behalf of Saiid Behboodi, Greg Weston, Gayle Harley, and Sam Yaghmaie (**EXHIBIT H – J, N**).

Cheryl Olson Submits written testimony without oral testimony (**EXHIBIT M**).

028 Marshall Coba American Council of Engineering Companies of Oregon. Submits written testimony on behalf of John Martin, Dave Leonard, Mel Sears, Kenneth Wightman, Mark Vandehey, Ermal Quevedo, and Dwight Hardin, Michael Reed, H. Stanely Kelsay and David Driscoll, and William Jabs, Mark Wifs, and Jory Adams, Dave Parkinson, Kendal Tanner, Katherine Lombardo, Jeanine Wright and Cindy Dahl, and Bob Post, Jerry Minor, Terrence E Belunes, Andrew Mc Cann, Scott Nebeker, Stephen Anderson, Barry Meyers, Robin McKnight and Cynthia Lowe, Kevin McCormick, and R. Gregg Weston in support of HB 3272 (**EXHIBIT O – HH**). Submits and reads from informational packet in support of HB 3272 (**EXHIBIT II**). Comments on the Qualification Based Selection (QBS) system. Discusses QBS history in Oregon and the importance of QBS.

072 Coba Continues to read from informational packet (**EXHIBIT II, Page 2 – 3**). Emphasizes QBS's ability to save local governments money. States that educated public clients work well with engineering firms. Commits to help educate public officials about the QBS process.

106 Rep. Schaufler Gives his tentative support of HB 3272. Asks the witnesses to address the lack of quality when low-bid contracts are the criteria used to approve contracts and if HB 3272 will affect competition and will favor large firms.

129 Coba Responds that American Council of Engineering Companies of Oregon would be able to meet with Rep. Schaufler to answer all his questions.

130	Dave Leonard	Civil and Structural Engineer, Roseburg. Submits and reads written testimony in support of HB 3272 (EXHIBIT L). Explains the problems surrounding unqualified construction bids. States that when he worked for Douglas County he instated a QBS process for construction contracts.
200	Leonard	Addresses Rep. Schaufler's original questions. States that his firm started from scratch in 1985 and he now employees 25 people. Says that they do not bid on low bid projects and notes that he has had strong customer satisfaction. Believes that QBS is weighted against larger firms.
222	Rep. Schaufler	Asks if costs will rise if the QBS program is instated.
230	Leonard	Talks about how projects suffer when low bid construction contractors and engineers are used. Explains the process he used in Douglas County and how the project was under budget and ahead of schedule.
251	Rep. Kitts	Asks if during the bidding process the bids are all within a five percent variation of each other.
255	Leonard	Affirms that they are for comparably qualified firms.
257	Rep. Kitts	Asks what a comparably qualified firm means.
260	Leonard	Responds that it is a firm with an understanding of different projects' scopes. Says that qualification could be based on educational and/or experience.
275	Rep. Kitts	Asks if a definition of comparably qualified firms exists.
276	Leonard	Responds a specific project has a set definition for a comparably qualified firm, but a general term does not exist.
283	Jory Adams	Civil Engineer, CH2M Hill. Submits and reads written testimony in support of HB 3272 (EXHIBIT K). States that QBS creates an environment in which project costs are lower. Comments that states with QBS are better places for engineers to work and the projects are more successful.
335	Adams	

Continues to read from written testimony (**EXHIBIT K**). Describes the problems associated with low bid selection processes.

- 390 Rep. Schaufler Requests an example of design cost savings.
- 392 Adams Talks about the ways that firms can reduce the price of the project to the detriment of the design process.

TAPE 81, B

- 006 Rep. Kitts Asks how many state projects are under \$400,000.
- 014 Adams Responds she cannot answer.
- 026 Chair Brown Clarifies the number is for local governments rather than state governments.
- 031 Adams Talks about her time as a city engineer and the small projects that currently do not fall under the QBS law. Urges the committee to adopt QBS standards for local governments.
- 065 Jon Oshel Association of Oregon Counties. Submits written testimony in opposition to HB 3272 (**EXHIBIT J**). Speaks in support of engineering surveying services being selected based on qualifications. Says that the county does not acquire professional services based solely on price. Believes that HB 3272 makes it harder for small firms to compete against large firms. States that the existing law is adequate.
- 108 Jim Van Dyke Attorney, City of Portland. Speaks in opposition to HB 3272. Says that engineers are not currently selected through a low bid process. Mentions that the City of Portland has engineers on staff and they do not choose projects based only on the price. States that the city tries to hire different engineering and architecture firms in order to support small businesses. Says that HB 3272 will create a slower bidding process because of additional bureaucracy.
- 160 Chair Brown Asks if Mr. Van Dyke and Mr. Oshel agree with the process that is currently in place.
- 162 Van Dyke Responds that qualifications should not be the only standard when contracting out engineering and architectural work.

164	Chair Brown	Asks if they have problems with the existing statutes.
166	Van Dyke	Responds the existing statute does not affect their projects, because it only affects their bidding process when the project is over \$400,000 and over 35% of the money comes from the state. States that QBS affects 5% of their projects.
171	Oshel	Comments the bill would prevent them from looking at the price of a small construction project.
175	Chair Brown	Asks if the existing statute is acceptable.
176	Oshel	Responds the existing statute is adequate.
182	Chair Brown	Questions if a removal of the sunset is okay.
183	Oshel	States that the LOC was planning on asking for the removal of the sunset in two years.
184	Rep. Schaufler	Asks Mr. Van Dyke about his experience with QBS qualifying projects.
189	Van Dyke	Responds the laws slow down the construction process.
191	Rep. Schaufler	Asks for clarification on negotiations.
193	Van Dyke	Responds he does not participate in QBS negotiations.
198	Rep. Shields	Asks if there are any minority or disadvantaged firms that HB 3272 might prevent from getting work.
200	Van Dyke	Says that the City of Portland is trying to give work to emerging small businesses and women- and minority-owned businesses. Shares concern that HB 3272 would create an environment where this is not possible.
204	Rep. Shields	Questions if disadvantaged firms currently receive work.
205	Van Dyke	Affirms that there are.

206	Chair Brown	Asks who makes decisions on who is qualified to receive the design contract.
209	Oshel	States that the process is done within the organization who is contracting out the work. Adds that the decision is usually made by a committee.
216	Chair Brown	Asks if the size of a project decides whether a firm is qualified.
218	Oshel	Responds the size of the firm must reflect the size of the project.
225	Kurt Corey	Secretary, Oregon Public Works Association. Member, the American Public Works Association (APWA). Director, Public Works for City of Eugene. Submits and reads from written testimony in support of HB 3272 (EXHIBIT KK). Shares the history and views of APWA.
281	Corey	Reads from written testimony (EXHIBIT KK). Talks about APWA's current mission statement. Notes that APWA supports the QBS process.
325	Corey	Reads from written testimony (EXHIBIT KK). Mentions the educator grant program. States that QBS is a nonpartisan issue. Talks about his work history working with the QBS process and believes that QBS is only considering qualification before price.
375	Corey	Continues to read from written testimony (EXHIBIT KK). Talks about the QBS process in Eugene.
422	Rep. Kitts	Asks who determines whether someone is qualified to receive a design contract.
425	Corey	Says local agencies can determine the qualifications and guidelines that are appropriate. Notes that guidelines are available and references the guidelines in the beginning of HB 3272.

TAPE 82, B

005	Rep. Kitts	Asks if there is a set of qualifications defined for QBS or if the qualifications can be interpreted by each local government.
-----	------------	--

008	Corey	Responds it is easy to be objective when creating qualifications for the QBS process.
012	Rep. Kitts	Shares concerns about the variability of what being qualified means in HB 3272. Asks what would keep a local government from choosing friends who they say are qualified to design the project.
033	Corey	Responds his area of expertise involves QBS projects. Stresses that some faith must be put in local agencies.
048	Rep. Kitts	Shares concern that the qualifications in HB 3272 are too flexible. Asks if the criteria for qualification in North Plains will be appropriate for Eugene.
057	Corey	States that North Plains and Eugene have the flexibility to put into place guidelines that will address their needs.
065	Rep. Kitts	Asks if the possibility exists for the definition of qualified, in relation to QBS, to be adequate for one city but not another.
067	Corey	States that nothing comes to mind.
072	Rep. Holvey	Asks if it would be fair to say that HB 3272 creates the opportunity for government agencies to set up QBS processes to meet their needs.
076	Corey	Affirms that it does create an opportunity for the QBS process to meet the needs of local governments.
077	Rep. Schaufler	Shares the concerns of Rep. Kitts. States that he has absolute confidence in the local administrator from Happy Valley.
085	Chair Brown	Comments that engineering, architecture, land surveying are pretty much exact sciences and states that the latitude in the qualifications are not as great as one might think.
095	Jody A. Seaborn	President and Co-owner, Seaborn Engineering. Submits and reads written testimony in support of HB 3272 (EXHIBIT LL). Talks about how QBS benefits small firms.
145	Seaborn	

Continues to read from written testimony (**EXHIBIT LL**). Says that an owner knows the project the best at the beginning of the process and that makes them the most qualified to create qualifications.

- 180 Seaborn Begins to read from written testimony again (**EXHIBIT LL, Page 1, Paragraph 4**). Talks about how she was higher in price than larger firms even though the hourly rate was cheaper.
- 212 Martha Moore President and Owner, TW Environmental Inc. Submits and reads written testimony in support of HB 3272 (**EXHIBIT MM**). Adds that she was originally registered as a woman-owned, disadvantaged, and emerging small business and that she is still registered as a disadvantaged business. States that she has refused projects where the owner wanted the design scope to be smaller than the project needed and provides example.
- 284 Rep. Gilman Says that they are already working with QBS and asks why it should be put into statute if QBS is already being used.
- 290 Seaborn States that clients exist who do not use QBS and they choose not to work with them. Responds the process brings more value to the firms and clients.
- 320 Christy Monson League of Cities (LOC). Submits and reads written testimony in opposition to HB 3272 (**EXHIBIT NN**). Wants to wait to see how effective the contracts that currently fall under the jurisdiction are and revisit the issue when the current QBS law sunsets in 2008. Comments that small cities do not have architects and engineers on staff and says that QBS affects small cities differently. Clarifies that HB 3272 allows cities and counties to determine whether a business is minority owned in determining who is qualified. Outlines liability problems with that qualification.
- 387 Don Otterman City Manager, North Plains. Speaks in opposition to HB 3272. Comments on the problems small cities have with the QBS process.

TAPE 83, A

- 001 Otterman Says that the city of North Plains could not use their city engineer when projects fell under the jurisdiction of QBS. Talks about the issues the city had with QBS. States that North Plains does not award contracts to the lowest bids. Comments that QBS will slow construction processes and increase bureaucracy for small cities.

038	Rep. Schaufler	References the comment that the engineering component of the QBS project in North Plains was 30% and asks why they did not move onto the next engineer.
054	Otterman	Says that the public works director only has expertise in water and does not have expertise in railroad trestles. States that they did not know what a comparable price would be and did not know if the next firm's price would be higher.
064	Wanda Kuppler	City Manager, Happy Valley. Speaks in opposition to HB 3272. Says that HB 3272 will create difficulties for small engineering firms. Talks about her experience as a public employee. States that the large engineering firms are often seen as the most qualified because of their access to advertising. Notes that Happy Valley taxpayers expect the city to do what is best for the city and that does not mean always selecting the most qualified firm for construction projects.
116	Rep. Schaufler	States that he has to leave.
123	Cindy Robert	American Institute of Architects in Oregon. Speaks in support of HB 3272. Says that HB 3272 list the qualifications very clearly and local governments can determine qualifications. Indicates that the reason Oregon needs HB 3272 is because it creates better projects and not everyone is utilizing the QBS process. Talks about the list of design awards for QBS projects. Talks about the concern of decreased quality and states that it has been shown QBS increases quality.
172	Robert	Talks about small firms. Comments that the average firm in Oregon is between five and seven people.
196	Jonathan Stafford	Architect, Jonathan Stafford AAA. Testifies in support of HB 3272. Believes the QBS system would not harm small architectural firms.
224	Rep. Holvey	Comments about the oligarchic power that contracting agencies have.
231	Robert	Responds cities budget all of the construction costs.
255	Ken Armstrong	Oregon Ports Group. Speaks in opposition to HB 3272. Asserts that projects are not selected on a low bid basis. Says that ports are working on a daily basis with engineers and have a deep knowledge of how to work with contractors. Says that HB 3272 will create

project delays. States that the School Board Association is in opposition to HB 3272.

- 295 Amanda Rich Special Districts. Comments that projects' price considerations do not exclude quality issues. States that maintaining the threshold for simple projects is very important.
- 337 Lori Sattenspiel Oregon Community College Association. Speaks in opposition to HB 3272. Says that they are concerned that HB 3272 could increase the cost of projects and that projects will take longer.
- 357 Chair Brown Believes that if the Oregon Coast Community College gets the dollars that they want for capital construction from the state of Oregon then they will fall under existing QBS statutes.
- 360 Doug Riggs Central Oregon Cities Organization. Speaks in opposition to HB 3272. Points out that looking at qualifications does not always meet individual projects needs. Says that cities should have the opportunity to look at both cost and qualifications.
- 425 Chair Brown Closes the public hearing on HB 3272 and opens a public hearing on HB 3001. Notes a work group will be formed on HB 3272.

TAPE 84, A

HB 3001 – PUBLIC HEARING

- 006 Rep. Holvey Explains HB 3001. Talks about the possibility of a fiscal impact. Submits and reads written testimony and the -1 amendments **(EXHIBIT OO and PP)**. Also submits written testimony on behalf of the Eugene Water and Electric Board, Ethan Nelson, and Rhone Resch in support of SB 3001 **(EXHIBIT QQ – SS)**.
- 036 Frank Vignola Director, University of Oregon Solar Energy Center. Submits written testimony in support of HB 3001 **(EXHIBIT TT)**. Submits written testimony on the behalf of Douglass Boleyn and Jon Miller **(EXHIBIT UU and VV)**. Says that the solar industry has a growth rate of 30 percent a year. Comments that Oregon is a great place for solar radiation. Lists the benefits of solar energy.
- 091 John Reynolds Professor of Architecture Emeritus, University of Oregon, Energy Trust Board of Directors, and American Solar Energy Society. Comments on the ease of designing buildings that take advantage of

solar energy. States the HB 3001 could reduce overall electricity payments for organizations.

- 127 Nathan Philips Chair, Oregon Electrical and Elevator Board and Governor, Oregon National Electrical Contractors Association. Testifies in support of HB 3001. Comments that the solar energy programs will pay for themselves within five years. States that solar energy development supports economic growth.
- 182 Chair Brown Asks if solar energy design is being used in the private sector.
- 188 Vignola Affirms that the private sector is incorporating solar energy into their building designs. States that two megawatts of solar energy are currently being produced in Oregon.
- 204 Phillips Comments that major Portland companies are moving towards incorporating solar energy into their buildings.
- 211 Chair Brown Talks about different design options that can take advantage of solar energy.
- 217 Phillips Responds there must also be electrical lighting control to take advantage of daylight.
- 222 Reynolds Comments, once a critical mass is reached, Oregon will see an explosion of solar installations.
- 237 Chair Brown Notes that the designs for buildings made to ensure a 100 year lifespan.
- 239 Phillips Comments that solar energy is at the same place insulation was 30 to 40 years ago.
- 247 Rep. Holvey Comments that HB 3001 gives some latitude to contracting agencies.
- 268 Chair Brown Closes the public hearing on HB 3001 and opens the work session on HB 3001.

HB 3001 – WORK SESSION

- 293 Rep. Holvey MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3001-1 amendments dated 4/7/05.
- 294 VOTE: 4-0-3
EXCUSED: 3 - Kitts, Schaufler, Esquivel
- Chair Brown Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
- 298 Rep. Shields MOTION: Moves HB 3001 with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation and BE REFERRED to the committee on Ways and Means.
- 303 VOTE: 4-0-3
AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
EXCUSED: 3 - Esquivel, Kitts, Schaufler
- Chair Brown The motion CARRIES.
- 311 Chair Brown Closes the work session on HB 3001.
- 312 Janet Adkins Committee Administrator. Comments that HB 2717 can be carried over to the next meeting and does not need a referral to Ways and Means.
- 315 Chair Brown Adjourns the meeting at 11:47 a.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. HB 2717, fiscal statement, staff, 1 p
- B. HB 2718, written testimony, Gary Bauer, 1 p
- C. HB 2740, -3 amendments, staff, 4 pp
- D. HB 2740, written testimony, Monty King, 1 p
- E. HB 2740, written testimony, Shawn Miller, 2 pp
- F. HB 2740, written testimony, Larry Campbell, 1 p
- G. HB 2740, written testimony, Cheryl Pellegrini, 3 pp

- H. HB 3272, written testimony of Saiid Behboodi, staff, 1 p
 - I. HB 3272, written testimony of Greg Weston, staff, 1 p
 - J. HB 3272, written testimony of Gayle Harley, staff, 1 p
 - K. HB 3272, written testimony, Jory Adams, 2 pp
 - L. HB 3272, written testimony, Dave Leonard, 2 pp
 - M. HB 3272, written testimony, Cheryl Olson, 1 p
 - N. HB 3272, written testimony of Sam Yaghmaie, staff, 1 p
 - O. HB 3272, written testimony of John Martin, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - P. HB 3272, written testimony of Dave Leonard, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - Q. HB 3272, written testimony of Mel Sears, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - R. HB 3272, written testimony of Kenneth Wightman, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - S. HB 3272, written testimony of Mark Vandehey, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - T. HB 3272, written testimony of Ermal Quevedo, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - U. HB 3272, written testimony of Dwight Hardin, Michael Reed, H. Stanley Kelsay and David Driscoll, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - V. HB 3272, written testimony of William Jabs, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - W. HB 3272, written testimony of Mark Wirfs, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - X. HB 3272, written testimony of Jory Abrams, Dave Parkinson, Kendal Tanner, Katherine Lombardo, Jeanine Wright and Cindy Dahl, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - Y. HB 3272, written testimony of Bob Post, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - Z. HB 3272, written testimony of Jerry Minor, Marshall Cobra, 2 p
-
- 1. AA. HB 3272, written testimony of Terrence E Belunes, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - 2. BB. HB 3272, written testimony of Andrew Mc Cann, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - 3. CC. HB 3272, written testimony of Scott Nebeker, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - 4. DD. HB 3272, written testimony of Stephen Anderson, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - 5. EE. HB 3272, written testimony of Barry Myers, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - 6. FF. HB 3272, written testimony of Robin McKnight and Cynthia Lowe, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - 7. GG. HB 3272, written testimony of Kevin McCormick, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - 8. HH. HB 3272, written testimony of R. Gregg Weston, Marshall Cobra, 1 p
 - 9. II. HB 3272, informational packet, Marshall Cobra, 46 pp
 - 10. JJ. HB 3272, written testimony, Jon Oshel, 1 p
-
- AK. HB 3272, written testimony, Kurt Corey, 7 pp
 - AL. HB 3272, written testimony, Jody A. Seaborn, 2 pp
- 1. MM.HB 3272, written testimony, Martha Moore, 1 p
 - 2. NN. HB 3272, written testimony, Christy Monson, 6 pp
-
- AO. HB 3001, written testimony, Rep. Paul Holvey, 1 p
- 1. PP. HB 3001, -1 amendments, Rep. Paul Holvey, 1 p
-
- AQ. HB 3001, written testimony of Eugene Water and Electric Board, Rep. Paul Holvey, 1 p
- 1. RR. HB 3001, written testimony of Ethan Nelson, Rep. Paul Holvey, 1 p
 - 2. SS. HB 3001, written testimony of Rhone Resch, Rep. Paul Holvey, 1 p
 - 3. TT. HB 3001, written testimony, Dr. Frank Vignola, 1 p
 - 4. UU. HB 3001, written testimony of Jon Miller, Dr. Frank Vignola, 1 p
 - 5. VV. HB 3001, written testimony of Douglas B oleyn, Dr. Frank Vignola, 1 p