HOUSE JUDICIARY SUBCOMMITTEE

ON CRIMINAL LAW

March 22, 2005 Hearing Room 357

8:30 A.M. Tapes 31 - 32

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Rep. Jeff Barker,	Chair
------------------	-------------------	-------

Rep. Greg Macpherson

Rep. Andy Olson

Rep. Kim Thatcher

GUEST MEMBER: Rep. Wayne Krieger

STAFF PRESENT:

Heidi Moawad, Counsel

Elizabeth Howe, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

HB 2139 – Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2140 – Work Session HB 2143 – Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation</u> marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/# Speaker Comments

TAPE 31, A

003	Chair Barker	Calls the meeting to order at 8:36 a.m.	Opens a public hearing on HB
		2139.	

HB 2139 – PUBLIC HEARING

007	Heidi Moawad	Counsel. Provides background for HB 2139, which exempts unclaimed or abandoned property of Department of Corrections inmate from Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act. Describes -1 amendments (EXHBIIT A).
028	Stan Czerniak	Assistant Director of Operation, Department of Corrections (DOC). Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT B) and testifies in support of HB 2139.
047	Chair Barker	Questions what will happen to unclaimed property.
048	Czerniak	Explains options.
054	Rep. Olson	Inquires what percentage of property is returned to inmate or inmate's family within two year period.
056	Czerniak	Believes a fairly high percentage is returned. Discusses what happens at every institution to unclaimed property.
072	Rep. Macpherson	Questions -1 amendments and the limitation to tangible property. Inquires if HB 2139 addresses intangible property.
086	Czerniak	Explains what happens to intangible property that is unclaimed, and confirms that HB 2139 does not address intangible property.
098	Chair Barker	Closes public hearing and opens a work session on HB 2139.
HB 2139 – WORK SESSION		
100	Rep. Macpherson	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2139-1 amendments dated 3/21/05.

VOTE: 4-0-0

103	Chair Barker	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.	
104	Rep. Macpherson	MOTION: Moves HB 2139 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.	
		VOTE: 4-0-0	
		AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.	
106	Chair Barker	The motion CARRIES.	
		REP. MACPHERSON will lead discussion in the full committee.	
110	Chair Barker	Closes work session on HB 2139 and opens a work session on HB 2140.	
<u>HB 2140 -</u>	- WORK SESSION		
111	Heidi Moawad	Counsel. Introduces HB 2140, which requires persons confined in correctional facilities to exhaust administrative remedies before filing complaints with health professional regulatory boards. Explains -1 amendments (EXHIBIT C).	
140	Andrea Meyer	American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU). Submits written testimony (EXHIBITS D) and testifies in opposition to HB 2140.	
188	Meyer	Testifies in opposition to HB 2143 (EXHIBIT E). Discusses intimidation of inmates within prisons.	
248	Chair Barker	Inquires about the statute of limitations to file with regulatory boards.	
254	Meyer	Admits that she does not know, and offers to look into it.	
256	Rep. Macpherson	Describes difference between claim of negligence and claim to a regulatory board.	
272	Chair Barker	Questions what relief inmate receives for filing with regulatory board.	

274	Meyer	Explains that the inmate receives the relief of knowing that the doctor will not be able to harm other inmates.
297	Rep. Macpherson	Addresses the time limit to pursue a complaint with a regulatory board.
309	Meyer	Emphasizes the urgency in some situations to avoid extending the grievance process.
328	Moawad	Explains relevant statutes regarding processing complaints to health professional regulatory boards.
352	Meyer	Adds that exhausting the administrative regulatory grievance process will extend the timeline for everyone involved.
370	Rep. Macpherson	Discusses the grievance review system through the DOC and points out the timelines.
TAPE 32 , <i>A</i>	A	
024	Meyer	Recalls that the DOC makes every attempt to follow deadlines, but sometimes cannot meet them. Adds that there is no definite timeline.
035	Rep. Macpherson	Suggests that if the DOC does not follow their own timelines then the inmate has the right to seek assistance from the regulatory boards.
043		
	Meyer	Feels that the ACLU would be pleased with that change.
050	Meyer Rep. Krieger	Feels that the ACLU would be pleased with that change. Notes the state of an inmate's health when they arrive in prison, and suggests that the taxpayers cannot afford to give superior treatment.
050 062		Notes the state of an inmate's health when they arrive in prison, and
	Rep. Krieger	Notes the state of an inmate's health when they arrive in prison, and suggests that the taxpayers cannot afford to give superior treatment. Responds that this issue is about incompetency and malpractice, not

142	Rep. Macpherson	Describes dedicated health professionals and difficult patients to whom they provide care. Questions whether there is a risk of an inmate being unable to exhaust the internal grievance process.
170	Czerniak	Explains his concern with the few times the DOC cannot meet deadlines due to situations out of their control, but maintains allowing inmates to seek outside processes if deadlines are not met is acceptable.
183	Chair Barker	Inquires how long the grievance process takes.
185	Czerniak	Approximates four months.
188	Rep. Thatcher	Clarifies four and a half months.
193	Rep. Macpherson	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2140-1 amendments dated 3/11/05.
		VOTE: 4-0-0
195	Chair Barker	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
196	Rep. Macpherson	Explains that the bill currently reads that if the inmates uphold their responsibilities in the grievance process, they may file with a regulatory board if DOC fails to meet their timelines.
196 202	Rep. Macpherson Rep. Macpherson	responsibilities in the grievance process, they may file with a
		responsibilities in the grievance process, they may file with a regulatory board if DOC fails to meet their timelines. MOTION: Moves HB 2140 to the full committee with a DO
		responsibilities in the grievance process, they may file with a regulatory board if DOC fails to meet their timelines. MOTION: Moves HB 2140 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		responsibilities in the grievance process, they may file with a regulatory board if DOC fails to meet their timelines. MOTION: Moves HB 2140 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. VOTE: 4-0-0
202	Rep. Macpherson	 responsibilities in the grievance process, they may file with a regulatory board if DOC fails to meet their timelines. MOTION: Moves HB 2140 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. VOTE: 4-0-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.

HB 2143 – WORK SESSION

236	Rep. Thatcher	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2143-1 amendments dated 3/15/05 (EXHIBIT F).
		VOTE: 4-0-0
239	Chair Barker	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
241	Rep. Thatcher	MOTION: Moves HB 2143 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 4-0-0
		AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
246	Chair Barker	The motion CARRIES.
		REP. THATCHER will lead discussion in the full committee.
251	Chair Barker	Closes work session on HB 2143 and adjourns the meeting at 9:21 a.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. HB 2139, -1 amendments, staff, 1 p
- B. HB 2139, written testimony, Stan Czerniak, 2 pp
- C. HB 2140, -1 amendments, staff, 1 p
- D. HB 2140, written testimony, Andrea Meyer, 7 pp
- E. HB 2143, written testimony, Andrea Meyer, 4 pp
- F. HB 2143, -1 amendments, staff, 1 p