HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL GOVERNMENT

_

March 31, 2005 Hearing Room B

8:30 A.M. Tapes 34 - 35

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Vickie Berger, Chair

Rep. Peter Buckley, Vice-Chair

Rep. Mac Sumner Vice-Chair

Rep. Kevin Cameron

Rep. Sal Esquivel

Rep. Larry Galizio

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Brad Witt

STAFF PRESENT: Caralyn Fischer, Committee Administrator

Jania Zeeb, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

HB 2094 – Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2157 – Public Hearing

HB 2059 – Public Hearing

HB 2061 – Public Hearing

HB 2102 – Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| TAPE 34, A | | | | |
| 003 | Chair Berger | Calls the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m. and opens a public hearing on HB 2094. | | |
| HB 2094 – PUBLIC HEARING | | | | |
| 013 | Cara Fischer | Committee Administrator. Summarizes HB 2094. | | |
| 017 | Patrick Allen | Manager, Office of Regulatory Streamlining. Submits prepared testimony regarding HB 2094 (EXHIBIT A). Summarizes the -2 amendments (EXHIBIT F). | | |
| 057 | Rep. Cameron | Inquires if liquor licenses will not be able to extend past an annual renewal basis because all liquor licenses have an opportunity for public comment. | | |
| 061 | Allen | Responds that they would still be eligible for the extended term license. | | |
| 070 | Chair Berger | Closes the public hearing on HB 2094 and opens a work session on HB 2094. | | |
| HB 2094 – WORK SESSION | | | | |
| 073 | Rep. Sumner | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2094-2 amendments dated 3/21/05. | | |
| 075 | | VOTE: 6-0-1 | | |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Witt | | |
| | Chair Berger | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. | | |
| 075 | Rep. Sumner | MOTION: Moves HB 2094 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. | | |
| 078 | | VOTE: 6-0-1 | | |

EXCUSED: 1 - Witt

| | Chair Berger | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. | | |
|--|--------------------|---|--|--|
| | | REP. SUMNER will lead discussion on the floor. | | |
| 084 | Chair Berger | Closes the work session on HB 2094 and opens a public hearing on HB 2157, HB 2059, HB 2061, HB 2102. | | |
| <u>HB 2157, HB 2059, HB 2061, HB 2102 – PUBLIC HEARING</u> | | | | |
| 098 | Cara Fischer | Committee Administrator. Summarizes the bills. Discusses the -1 amendments to HB 2157 (EXHIBIT B). | | |
| 124 | Patricia Whitfield | Oregon State Police. Gives an overview of HB 2157. | | |
| 164 | Whitfield | Reviews the -1 amendments for HB 2157. | | |
| 194 | Whitfield | Discusses the concerns the FBI has with HB 2157. | | |
| 206 | Whitfield | Continues reviewing the -1 amendments. | | |
| 280 | Whitfield | Continues walking through the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT B, Page 15). | | |
| 355 | Whitfield | Continues discussing the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT B, Page 17). | | |
| 426 | Whitfield | Comments that the -1 amendments reflect conversations with the agencies and conversations with the FBI. | | |
| TAPE 35, A | | | | |
| 016 | Andrea Meyer | American Civil Liberties Union of Oregon (ACLU). States that they do not oppose bringing it all under one statute to make requirements clear to people. Discusses the concerns that the ACLU has. Comments on the intentions of the State Police. | | |

Responds by clarifying the intentions of the State Police.

030

Whitfield

| 039 | Meyer | Comments on the concern the ACLU has with HB 2157. |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| 061 | Meyer | Discusses the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT B, Page 2). |
| 069 | Chair Berger | Inquires if the statute says destroy, are they proposing there be a check to see if the FBI did destroy the fingerprints, and how would that be done. |
| 074 | Meyer | Responds that she is not suggesting that, and clarifies what should be destroyed. |
| 087 | Meyer | Continues discussing concerns (EXHIBIT B, Page 3). |
| 112 | Meyer | Refers them to ORS 670.280. |
| 121 | Rep. Galizio | Asks what statute she is referring to. |
| 123 | Meyer | Gives the number, and continues discussing concerns. |
| | | |
| 147 | Meyer | Discusses concerns (EXHIBIT B, Page 17). |
| 147 156 | Meyer Meyer | Discusses concerns (EXHIBIT B, Page 17). Talks about concerns with the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT B, Page 29). |
| | • | Talks about concerns with the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT B, Page |
| 156 | Meyer | Talks about concerns with the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT B, Page 29). Inquires if they would be more comfortable to have it broken apart again to determine the nexus between the background check and the |
| 156 177 | Meyer Rep. Buckley | Talks about concerns with the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT B, Page 29). Inquires if they would be more comfortable to have it broken apart again to determine the nexus between the background check and the job performance. Responds that it does not need to be broken apart, but it is important |
| 156 177 187 | Meyer Rep. Buckley Meyer | Talks about concerns with the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT B, Page 29). Inquires if they would be more comfortable to have it broken apart again to determine the nexus between the background check and the job performance. Responds that it does not need to be broken apart, but it is important to pay attention to the scope of who is covered. |
| 156 177 187 204 | Meyer Rep. Buckley Meyer Rep. Buckley | Talks about concerns with the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT B, Page 29). Inquires if they would be more comfortable to have it broken apart again to determine the nexus between the background check and the job performance. Responds that it does not need to be broken apart, but it is important to pay attention to the scope of who is covered. Gives an example, and asks if that is what is meant by nexus. |

| 268 | Chair Berger | Comments that Rep. Buckley's point is well taken, and comments on what she has thought about the issue. | |
|------------|----------------|---|--|
| 279 | Meyer | Comments that she hopes they will take the case of each entity one by one. | |
| 299 | Kathleen Haley | Executive Director, Board of Medical Examiners. Submits and presents prepared testimony in support of HB 2059 (EXHIBIT C). | |
| 360 | Dave McTeague | Executive Director, Oregon Board of Chiropractic Examiners. Submits and presents prepared testimony in support of HB 2061 and HB 2157 (EXHIBIT D). | |
| 422 | Rep. Galizio | Inquires if they have data on the percentage of people in their professions who have been disciplined by their boards who, prior to becoming licensed, have been convinced of a felony. | |
| TAPE 34, B | | | |
| 011 | Haley | Responds that they do not have the ability to get that information. | |
| 014 | Rep. Galizio | Asks if there is specific criteria that is employed in making the decision of who should be licensed. | |
| 020 | Haley | Answers that there is and explains the criteria. | |
| 026 | McTeague | Responds that they are also under the nexus statute and the board reviews the information. | |
| 032 | Rep. Esquivel | Gives an example of realtors being fingerprinted to get a license. Comments that there is discretion and it is being applied. | |
| 057 | Rolf Olson | Oregon State Board of Nursing. Submits prepared testimony regarding HB 2102 (EXHIBIT E). | |
| 074 | Rep. Buckley | Inquires if there are any other states that could be used as a model for us to look at in terms of how they handle the background checks. | |
| 078 | Olson | Responds that he does not have that information. | |

| 085 | Amanda Rich | Special Districts Association of Oregon. Comments on the importance of including special districts with still having the support of the FBI. |
|-----|--------------|--|
| 101 | Chair Berger | Comments on the opportunity to do something about this. Obligation to protect the public and protect individuals from a heavy hand of government. States that she wants to appoint a work group and lists people invited to participate in the work group. Comments on the need for speed. |
| 157 | Chair Berger | Closes the public hearing and adjourns the meeting at 9:45 a.m. |

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. HB 2094, prepared testimony, Patrick Allen, 2 pp B. HB 2157, -1 amendments, staff, 37 pp
- C. HB 2157 and HB 2059, prepared testimony, Kathleen Haley, 3 pp
- D. HB 2157 and HB 2061, prepared testimony, Dave McTeague, 1 p
- E. HB 2102, prepared testimony, Rolf Olson, 2 pp
- F. HB 2094, -2 amendments, staff, 2 pp