

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

April 08, 2005 Southwestern Oregon Community College

8:30 A.M. Coos Bay, Oregon

Tapes 29 - 34

Corrected 9/26/2005)

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Wayne Krieger, Chair

Rep. Greg Macpherson, Vice-Chair

Rep. Andy Olson, Vice-Chair

Rep. Bob Ackerman

Rep. Jeff Barker

Rep. Linda Flores

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Bill Garrard

Rep. Kim Thatcher

Rep. Kelley Wirth

GUEST MEMBERS: Rep. Arnie Roblan

Sen. Jeff Kruse

STAFF PRESENT: Heidi Moawad, Counsel

Bill Taylor, Counsel

Elizabeth Howe, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

HB 2972 – Work Session

HB 3457 – Public Hearing

HB 2485 – Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 29, A		
002	Chair Krieger	Calls the meeting to order at 8:38 a.m.
013	John Griffith	Coos County Commissioner. Introduces himself and thanks committee for coming to Coos Bay for a committee hearing.
022	Chair Krieger	Asks committee members to introduce themselves.
023	Rep. Arnie Roblan	House District 9. Introduces himself and addresses his home district.
026	Rep. Barker	House District 28. Introduces himself.
027	Rep. Flores	House District 51. Introduces herself.
030	Rep. Macpherson	House District 38. Introduces himself.
031	Rep. Olson	House District 15. Introduces himself.
033	Rep. Ackerman	House District 13. Introduces himself.
035	Sen. Jeff Kruse	Senate District 1. Introduces himself.
038	Chair Krieger	House District 1. Points out the members who are lawyers and the members who are police officers.
050	Bill Taylor	Counsel. Introduces staff.

056	Chair Krieger	Addresses methamphetamine (meth) discussions and tools to eradicate the meth scourge. Opens a work session on HB 2972.
-----	---------------	--

HB 2972 – WORK SESSION

080	Judge Richard Barron	Presiding Judge, Coos and Curry Counties. Testifies on consecutive sentences.
132	Barron	Continues discussion of sentencing and <i>Blakely</i> .
174	Chuck French	Oregon District Attorneys Association (ODAA). Discusses the <i>Blakely</i> decision.
210	French	Describes consecutive sentences and how <i>Blakely</i> might apply.
254	Tim Sylwester	Department of Justice, Appellate Division. Testifies in support of HB 2972. Provides background for the bill. Discusses outcomes of the <i>Blakely</i> decision.
315	Sylwester	Continues discussion of HB 2972 and consecutive sentencing.

TAPE 30, A

002	Sylwester	Continues explaining the effects of HB 2972 on sentencing and suggests an amendment.
064	John Hummel	Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT A) and testifies in opposition to HB 2972.
121	Hummel	Discusses unjust results of HB 2972.
192	Chair Krieger	Inquires how many cases might be affected by the current interpretation of <i>Blakely</i> .
195	Barron	States that a number was not addressed in the task force meetings.
201	French	Recollects that 15 percent of cases for felony convictions in Oregon result in a departure sentence, of which half are upward departures.

213	Rep. Ackerman	Questions if the standard of proof is beyond a reasonable doubt. Inquires if hearsay evidence is admissible in enhancement proceedings in front of a jury under <i>Blakely</i> .
217	Sylwester	Replies that under <i>Blakely</i> the standard of proof is beyond a reasonable doubt. Confirms that there will be restrictions on hearsay evidence.
236	Rep. Ackerman	Questions if it is the same under SB 528.
240	Barron	Responds affirmatively.
244	Rep. Macpherson	Questions whether changing “findings” to a “discretionary conclusion” would be sufficient to avoid a <i>Blakely</i> issue.
255	Sylwester	Believes that HB 2972 does not change the law. Explains that under the current statute the court already has discretion.
305	Rep. Flores	Questions the cases in the Supreme Court.
314	Sylwester	Describes cases in Oregon Supreme Court and suggests there may be a gap in time before appellate courts come to a decision regarding consecutive sentences and whether <i>Blakely</i> would affect them.
333	Rep. Flores	Questions Mr. Hummel regarding unjust results.
351	Hummel	Explains situations where consecutive sentences occur justly.
378	Rep. Macpherson	Questions if having the jury decide issues regarding consecutive sentences would be disruptive to the administration of justice.
400	Barron	States that it most likely would not be very disruptive because evidence would be submitted during the trial phase.
418	Rep. Barker	Questions whether defendants would be more inclined to require everything to be proven, which would result in more trials.

TAPE 29, B

002	Barron	States that while a defendant may decide to do that, defendants often waive jury when it comes to sentencing issues.
009	Chair Krieger	Inquires about the value of drug courts.
014	Barron	Describes the Coos County family-based drug court. States that a drug court without family cooperation is less effective.
041	Rep. Ackerman	Wonders if an easier way to avoid <i>Blakely</i> issues regarding consecutive sentences would be to make consecutive the presumptive sentence, forcing courts to downward depart if imposing concurrent sentences.
047	Sylwester	Explains how that might increase the fiscal impact, and circumvent original legislative intent.
058	Rep. Flores	Inquires about involvement of victims' right advocacy groups.
062	Barron	Believes they are in support.
067	Moawad	Questions whether pre- <i>Blakely</i> consecutive sentencing was discretionary.
069	Barron	Believes that the current statute requires findings.
077	Chair Krieger	Closes work session on HB 2972. Opens a public hearing on HB 3457.

HB 3457 – PUBLIC HEARING

100	Daina Vitolins	Oregon Department of Justice. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT B) and an information sheet on forfeiture basics (EXHIBIT C). Testifies in support of HB 3457.
163	Lt. Craig Durbin	Oregon State Police (OSP). Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT D) and testifies in support of HB 3457. Submits written testimony on behalf of Rob Bovett with the Oregon Narcotics Enforcement Association in support of HB 3457 (EXHIBIT E) and HB 2485 (EXHIBIT F).

239	Mark McDonnell	Multnomah County Deputy District Attorney. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT G) and testifies in support of HB 3457 and HB 2485 (EXHIBIT H) .
307	McDonnell	Describes the diagram in his testimony (EXHIBIT G) .
354	Sen. Kruse	Relates that the Senate passed a bill yesterday that would require hard tablets of amphetamines to be available by prescription only.
365	Rep. Macpherson	Questions what proportion of forfeiture activity was real property as opposed to personal property
373	Vitolins	Relates how many real property seizures there were by county.
385	Rep. Macpherson	Inquires how much revenue before Measure 3 was from real verses personal property forfeitures.
396	Vitolins	Believes it is a greatly reduced amount.
400	McDonnell	Explains that while there were fewer real property seizures, the amount for each was greater, as real property cases required a very high value before law enforcement would go after it.

TAPE 30, B

010	Durbin	Relates his experience with real property asset forfeiture.
017	Rep. Macpherson	Questions what standard of proof is required for forfeiture.
026	Vitolins	Explains the standard of proof required. Discusses innocent property owners.
038	Rep. Olson	Questions why police officers do not currently use the forfeiture process.
043	McDonnell	Relates that it is time consuming and not cost effective.
054	Durbin	States that the process is very cumbersome and hard to understand.

074	Rep. Olson	Seeks history of constitutional challenges for forfeiture in late 1980s.
081	Vitolins	Describes constitutional challenges, and relates that the statute withstood all challenges. Discusses proportionality amendment put in to the statute.
096	Rep. Olson	Questions what property owners can do to protect their land.
103	Vitolins	States that property is not subject to forfeiture if owner has no knowledge or has not acquiesced to the activity. It would have to be proven that the landowner knew of the activity in order to forfeit land.
114	Rep. Olson	Inquires what is being done to pay for cleanup of meth labs.
117	Durbin	States that law enforcement is not mandated to cleanup, but are not allowed to leave chemicals or controlled substances at the crime scene. Describes the funding that is in jeopardy that pays for meth cleanups.
138	McDonnell	Relates that his greatest fear is that property owners will not report meth labs if the cost of cleanup is not covered.
148	Sen. Kruse	Questions whether a reasonable expectation of knowledge plays into the forfeiture process.
161	McDonnell	States that there must be reasonable cause and proof that the property owner knew or acquiesced to the production of controlled substances.
180	Durbin	Emphasizes that it would be difficult to prove that a property owner had knowledge of an illegal activity or acquiesced to the activity.
196	Vitolins	Reiterates that there would have to be clear and convincing evidence.
202	Rep. Flores	Expresses concerns about very high cleanup costs.
218	McDonnell	Addresses issue of seizing property that costs more to cleanup than the property is worth.
236	Vitolins	Relates Lincoln County's use of civil forfeiture for meth houses.

252	Rep. Olson	Addresses widespread drug use and restoration, and questions how HB 3457 supports drug courts.
265	McDonnell	Discusses effectiveness of drug courts. States that HB 3457 would generate funds for drug courts.
305	Chair Krieger	Asks whether standardizing the forfeiture process would help.
311	Durbin	Expresses that standardizing the process is a great idea.
331	David Fidanque	American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU). Submits voting breakdown chart on Measure 3 (EXHIBIT I) and testifies in opposition to HB 3457.
400	Fidanque	Describes breakdown of forfeited funds and how forfeiture could take assets from innocent people.

TAPE 31, A

034	Fidanque	Continues discussion of pre-Ballot Measure 3. Urges committee to extend sunset clause. Suggests that voters might be upset by the Legislature passing HB 3457 and overturning Measure 3.
060	John Hummel	Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Commends Rep. Rep. Olson for work on distributing funds in HB 3457. Testifies in opposition to HB 3457.
071	Rep. Macpherson	Questions if OCDLA and ACLU would support the bill if a clear and convincing evidence standard were in place for both real and personal property cases.
080	Fidanque	Suggests that ACLU would support clear and convincing evidence, but still desires a conviction before assets are seized.
094	Rep. Flores	Asks if out-of-state supporters funded the campaign on Measure 3.
101	Fidanque	Relates that supporters from other states helped to fund petition circulation.

106	Rep. Flores	Asks which organizations helped fund the campaign.
112	Fidanque	Mentions three principle contributors interested in drug reform.
130	Rep. Olson	Questions if Measure 3 was framed as protecting property rights. Recalls a television commercial. Mentions that campaign stated Measure 3 would not have a fiscal impact, while in fact it has.
137	Fidanque	States that different organizations focused on different issues. Relates discussions that lead to criminal forfeiture on the books.
197	Rep. Olson	Seeks examples of real property that was abused on a local law enforcement effort prior to Measure 3.
205	Fidanque	States that he cannot recall any instances.
218	Chair Krieger	Closes public hearing on HB 3457. Recesses at 10:45 a.m. Reconvenes at 10:54 a.m. Opens a public hearing on HB 2485.

HB 2485 – PUBLIC HEARING

230	Lt. Craig Durbin	Oregon State Police (OSP). Plays news clip on amphetamine tablets.
331	Durbin	Expresses support for HB 2485. Begins PowerPoint presentation on meth and amphetamine tablets. Submits Oregonian series on meth (EXHIBIT J) .

TAPE 32, A

005	Durbin	Continues discussion on controlling pseudoephedrine.
076	Craig Prins	Executive Director, Criminal Justice Commission. Mentions 2001 legislative session that limited legal amount of pseudoephedrine in possession to nine grams.
104	Durbin	States that more information is available this session to explain what law enforcement needs to combat meth. Continues discussion on recommendations of the Meth Task Force.
186	Prins	Comments on legislation in Washington state.

196	Durbin	Relates what happens when tight pseudoephedrine laws are not in place. States that Oregon has had a significant decrease in meth labs since the emergency rule took effect in November 2004.
275	Durbin	Relates compliance rates and more rates that have reduced.
289	Rep. Flores	Mentions Damascus feed store iodine bust.
292	Durbin	Addresses feed store that was supplying iodine to meth cooks. Continues presentation on statistics related to new meth laws.
366	Durbin	Begins Public Service Announcement (PSA) from Fox and Oregon Partnership
393	Prins	Discusses impact of meth on families. Addresses the oppositions' argument of inconvenience.

TAPE 31, B

004	Prins	Highlights what is being done by the Board of Pharmacy (BOP) this week. Explains that the inconvenience is not very great.
070	Chair Krieger	Questions if children are screened for health effects, and if there is science to know health effects on behavior and learning.
080	Prins	Relates that we know that children are exposed to the drug, and explains methods to determine effects.
097	Durbin	Describes studies on the effects on children.
124	Rep. Flores	Inquires about anhydrous ammonia and iodine, and other ingredients used to make meth.
131	Durbin	Lists ingredients used to make meth and the resulting gases.
142	Prins	Relates that phosphane gas kills people, and reiterates the dangers of the process for cooking meth.
164	Rep. Flores	

Questions whether there is tracking on ingredients other than pseudoephedrine.

168	Prins	States that in 2001 the legislature enacted tracking of precursors.
186	Durbin	Relates that Multnomah County does track precursor chemicals.
193	Rep. Flores	Inquires if other commercial products like Drano are being tracked.
198	Durbin	States that tracking is limited to necessary precursors. Relates that red phosphorous is tracked at the federal level.
209	Rep. Barker	Mentions concerns from businesses regarding tracking.
218	Prins	Suggests giving civil immunity to compliant businesses. States that one idea for tracking is to track electronically to cross-reference.
245	Durbin	Describes the current process for tracking iodine.
298	Mark Metcalf	Curry County Sheriff.
300	Andy Jackson	Coos County Sheriff.
302	Metcalf	Testifies in support of HB 2485.
325	Jackson	Testifies in support of HB 2485. Discusses impact of meth.
370	Eura Washburn	Chief of Police, Coos Bay.
378	Steve Scibelli	Chief of Police, City of North Bend.
385	Rocky Rakosi	Chief of Police, City of Myrtle Beach.
392	Washburn	Discusses the importance of coordinating efforts to impact meth.

TAPE 32, B

012	Washburn	Continues discussion of prevention.
-----	----------	-------------------------------------

076	Washburn	Emphasizes the need for stable funding for drug enforcement.
104	Scibelli	Discusses meth problem in North Bend. Addresses lack of funding.
168	Rakosi	Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT K) and testifies in support of HB 2485. Suggests an amendment.
188	Sen. Kruse	Adds that Sheriff Chris Brown from Douglas County would support what has been said here.
194	Rep. Roblan	Comments on the work that has been done to inform schools and enact prevention all along the coast.
204	Chair Krieger	Recesses at 12:16 p.m. Reconvenes at 1:00 p.m.
206	Mark McDonnell	Oregon District Attorneys Association (ODAA).
231	Katie Suver	ODAA. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT L) and a draft of the ODAA meth bill (EXHIBIT M). Testifies on the ODAA bill.
300	Suver	Continues discussion of presumptive sentencing and other areas that the ODAA bill is targeting.
415	McDonnell	Adds that the ODAA has been thoughtful regarding prison sentences and repeat offenders.

TAPE 33, A

005	Chair Krieger	Relates discussion with Senate Judiciary Committee Chair regarding lengthening sentences, but shortening prison time if offender completes a rehabilitation program and has post-prison supervision.
016	Suver	Believes that is a good idea.
037	Nikki Whitty	Coos County Commissioner. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT N). Submits written testimony on behalf of David Jennings with Coos County Juvenile Detention Department (EXHIBIT O).
061	Janet Evans	

Coos County Juvenile Department and Youth Care Center. Submits written testimony (**EXHIBIT P**) and questions the drug affected children protocol and costs of testing, placement, and over-time.

063	Moawad	Explains the language regarding drug affected children in HB 2485.
084	Tom Morris	Pastor, Coos County. Testifies on the tactics being used in the jails and encourages more use of church volunteers.
150	Morris	Continues discussion of ways to help meth addicts and meth victims.
208	Judy McMakin	Director, Coos County Commission on Children and Families. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT Q).
305	Chair Krieger	Questions what type of person it takes to foster a meth baby. Inquires about the effects on children of certain ages.
309	McMakin	States that foster parents can only take one to two babies at a time. States that 14 and 15 year olds often get out of the home, and around age five children start to show signs of being drug affected.
345	Rep. Roblan	Relates the program in one of the schools that had students take home an electronic drug affected baby.
375	Kelly Jackson	Citizen. Testifies in support of HB 2485. Emphasizes the need to control amphetamine ingredients. Expresses agreement with chiefs of police. Expresses opposition to long prison sentences for addicts.

TAPE 34, A

035	Jackson	Presents her personal experience with drug abuse and relates the methods that helped her recover from drug addiction.
101	Al Neubauer	Alcohol and Drug Treatment Facility. Discusses drug treatment and child welfare.
162	Neubauer	Discusses what programs are working to treat addiction.
175	Jackson	Comments that a drug addict must be treated an average of seven times for it to work.

182	Neubauer	Addresses funding for drug treatment.
200	Rep. Macpherson	Questions how effective a program is when jail time is diverted to a treatment program as an alternative to prison time.
208	Neubauer	States that parole officers often assist in keeping offenders consistent in going to treatment.
206	Sen. Kruse	Thanks the chair for allowing him to join the committee. Comments on the beginning of the epidemic in the 1980s.
260	Chair Krieger	Comments on actions taken by the legislature to address meth.
273	Rep. Flores	Comments that this issue should force every member to reevaluate how they work together on judicial policy.
287	Rep. Roblan	Thanks the chair for allowing him to sit with the committee.

The following materials submitted for the record without public testimony:

	Bruce A. Bishop	Oregon Association of Hospitals and Health Systems. (EXHIBIT R) .
293	Chair Krieger	Closes public hearing on HB 2485. Adjourns the meeting at 2:05 p.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. HB 2972, written testimony, John Hummel, 2 pp
- B. HB 3457, written testimony, Daina Vitols, 2 pp
- C. HB 3457, forfeiture basics, Daina Vitols, 2 pp
- D. HB 3457, written testimony, Lt. Craig Durbin, 2 p
- E. HB 3457, written testimony of Rob Bovett, Craig Durbin, 2 pp
- F. HB 2485, written testimony of Rob Bovett, Craig Durbin, 2 pp
- G. HB 3457, written testimony, Mark McDonnell, 7 pp
- H. HB 2485, written testimony, Mark McDonnell, 7pp
- I. HB 3457, voting chart, Dave Fidanque, 1 p
- J. HB 2485, Oregonian, Lt. Craig Durbin, 96 pp
- K. HB 2485, written testimony, Chief Rocky Rakosi, 2 pp
- L. Methamphetamine, written testimony, Katie Suver, 3 pp

- M. Methamphetamine, draft bill, Katie Suver, 5 pp
- N. Methamphetamine, written testimony, Nikki Whitty, 1 p
- O. Methamphetamine, written testimony of David Jennings, Nikki Whitty, 3 pp
- P. Substance Abuse – Children, written testimony, Janet Evans, 3 pp
- Q. Methamphetamine, written testimony, Judy McMakin, 6 pp

The following materials submitted for the record without public testimony:

- R. HB 2485, written testimony, Bruce A. Bishop, 1 p

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND TECHNOLOGY

March 15, 2005 Hearing Room 357

1:00 P.M. Tapes 25 - 26

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. John Dallum, Chair

Rep. Jerry Krummel, Vice-Chair

Rep. Kelley Wirth, Vice-Chair

Rep. Chuck Burley

Rep. Brad Witt

STAFF PRESENT: Dallas Weyand, Committee Administrator

Louann Rahmig, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

High Performance Computing Briefing – Informational Meeting

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
--------	---------	----------

TAPE 25, A

003	Chair Dallum	Calls the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. Introduces the high school students from Mitchell, Oregon, attending the committee meeting as guests. Opens the informational meeting on high performance computing briefing.
-----	--------------	---

HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING BRIEFING – INFORMATIONAL MEETING

015	Brian Wornath	LCN Media & Consulting Group. Announces that he represents the Oregon High Performance Computing Consortium. Distributes hard copy of <i>General Proposal for Establishing an Oregon High Performance Computing Infrastructure</i> PowerPoint presentation (EXHIBIT A). Begins the presentation with an overview and the goals of developing a supercomputing resource in Oregon.
055	Wornath	Provides an explanation of supercomputing and who would use it.
074	Wornath	Describes the old-style, traditional, single-purpose computer called a “monolith.”
088	Wornath	Discusses types of supercomputers. Refers to case studies in EXHIBIT A, Page 14 .
117	Wornath	Discusses how much unused computing time is available after regular office hours, creating an affordable and powerful computing “grid.”
149	Wornath	Continues with justification to develop affordable high performance computing resources within Oregon.
184	Wornath	Proposes to create a state resource that markets the unused capacity and the accompanying consulting services, offering “one-stop shopping” for high-performance computing.

216	Wornath	Outlines sources for general initial capitalization. States that earnings from operations would come from leasing affordable high-performance computing time.
237	Wornath	Shows comparison of estimated financial scenarios (EXHIBIT A).
313	Wornath	Discusses the percentage of return and cost per year of operating a computer grid.
381	Wornath	Suggests implementing a pilot project to determine the best technical solution. Displays the estimated pilot profitability.

TAPE 26, A

019	Wornath	Discusses a possible organizational structure similar to an Oregon high-performance computing consortium. Continues with explanation of challenges.
069	Wornath	Has spoken with Chief Information Officers around the state, who believe the concept is good.
083	Wornath	Summarizes by stating that the technology is proven, can easily be applied to numerous applications and uses, and is easily expandable.
121	Chair Dallum	Asks about the “down side.”
125	Wornath	Responds that information technology people will say this is a very specialized area. Believes that ideally the universities and the state would co-develop a pilot program.
148	Chair Dallum	Inquires if this concept is attractive to private enterprise.
155	Wornath	Replies, it is. Continues that there are certain niches that don’t have the financial resources to take on a project such as this. Cites examples of researchers who believe they can use.
192	Chair Dallum	Asks what proposed legislation should look like.
196	Wornath	Answers that funding for a pilot project could be requested.

227	Rep. Burley	Inquires what is preventing us from using this now.
233	Wornath	Responds, nothing. Indicates from a financial standpoint, it is best to consolidate and not have many small clusters.
261	Rep. Burley	Refers to the case studies in EXHIBIT A that were mostly done by private corporations. Comments that if we were going to use public resources, that is a different set of circumstances particularly since we are concerned with security issues.
270	Wornath	Agrees. Offers to provide more up-to-date information. Reports on other states that are doing this for economic development.
313	Rep. Burley	States that the corporate environment is more controlled than ours as we have computers all across the state. Asks how we would insure security.
323	Wornath	Agrees that some environments are better suited than others.
329	Rep. Krummel	Asks if setting something like this up in the new data center might generate the dollars to pay for it.
338	Wornath	Replies, absolutely. Reports that high performance computing is being done on a limited basis at the Oregon State University Oceanic School.
404	Rep. Krummel	Asks if there have been discussions with the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) or other privately owned data centers to see if there is an interest.
416	Wornath	Has spoken with DAS and some small companies affiliated with academics.
439	Rep. Witt	Inquires if one has to be a participant in the network to be able to utilize supercomputing capacity.

**TAPE 25,
B**

011	Wornath	Responds, yes, for security reasons. States that the criteria needs to be identified in the business model.
-----	---------	---

020	Rep. Witt	Asks if he is aware of any claims in other states that there is not equal access.
025	Wornath	Answers that he has not heard of any.
027	Rep. Wirth	Inquires if anyone has expressed an interest in helping finance a pilot study.
032	Wornath	Replies, absolutely.
038	Rep. Wirth	Asks how much private money might be available.
046	Wornath	Replies that there is interest, and some medical schools are trying to lure grants. Believes discussions with interested parties to determine how much money is available would be needed.
069	Rep. Wirth	Inquires if other states have included some public financing, and how far along they are in the process.
077	Wornath	Answers, it varies.
089	Wanda Brennan	High School Science Teacher, Mitchell, Oregon. Cites problems of areas with limited internet access. Asks how high-performance computing will benefit them.
096	Wornath	Responds that not all rural areas will receive the same amount of benefit; however, areas with community colleges perhaps can provide access.
140	Chair Dallum	Closes the informational meeting on high performance computing and adjourns the meeting at 2:08 p.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A. High Performance Computing Infrastructure, General Proposal for Establishing, printed copy of PowerPoint presentation, Brian Wornath, 67 pp