HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

March 16, 2005 Hearing Room 50

1:30 P.M. Tapes 41 - 42

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Rep. Bill Garrard, Chair
Rep. Gordon Anderson, Vic	ee-Chair
Rep. Mitch Greenlick, Vice-	-Chair
Rep. Mary Nolan	
Rep. Patti Smith	
Rep. Mac Sumner	

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Robert Ackerman

STAFF PRESENT: Sam Litke, Committee Administrator

Lindsay Luckey, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

HB 2722 – Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation</u> <u>marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#SpeakerComments

TAPE 41, A

002	Chair Garrard	Calls the meeting to order at 1:37 p.m. and opens a public hearing on
		HB 2722.

HB 2722 – PUBLIC HEARING

005	Sam Litke	Committee Administrator. Introduces provisions of HB 2722.
007	Rep. Mitch Greenlich	AHD 33. Discusses current requirements for incorporation of a proposed city, including the approval of any city within 3 miles of the proposed limits of the city. Reports that HB 2722 would remove only that provision, allowing unincorporated areas to continue their proposal process.
069	Rep. Dave Hunt	HD 40. Discusses how HB 2722 would effect his district including portions of unincorporated Clackamas County. Demonstrates possibility of 8 different cities exercising veto power on one unincorporated area. Commends HB 2722 to the committee.
101	Isador W. Morgavi	Resident of unincorporated Bull Mountain. Submits and summarizes written testimony in support of HB 2722 (EXHIBIT A). Asserts HB 2722 is the most important piece of legislation on annexation.
195	Kristy Munson	League of Oregon Cities. Submits and summarizes written testimony in support of maintaining the concept of the "three mile veto" (EXHIBIT B).
235	Rep. Greenlick	Describes the current two levels of approval of a new city. Asks how public policy is improved by keeping this a two step process.
260	Munson	 Responds that there are two aspects to consider: difference between county and city services the importance of having all surrounding local governments' support for a new city
285	Mitch Rose	Interim Urban Planning Administrator, City of Salem. Speaks in opposition to HB 2722. Describes incorporation of Keizer and current unincorporated lands outside Salem.
330	Rep. Greenlick	

			s position that citizens of Keizer would have been better ad been able to veto its formation of a city.
345	Rose	-	it is not. Emphasizes problems that can occur with cities forming in one urban area.
364	Munson	the City of All of looking at t	origin of this rule came following the incorporation of bany (EXHIBIT B) . Reiterates the League's position he issue from a statewide perspective rather than it for individual areas.
379	Rose	Reiterates Mu consequences.	nson's comments and the possibility for unintended
398	Chair Garrard	Comments on to land use.	similar feelings from those in eastern Oregon in regards
404	Chair Garrard	Closes public 2722.	hearing on HB 2722 and opens a work session on HB
HB 2722 – WORK SESSION			
TAPE 42, B			
008	Rep. Anderson	Relays a related situation from Southern Oregon. Expresses support for the intent of HB 2722.	
025	Rep. Greenlick	Expresses opinion that economically unfeasible cities or those that would harm adjoining cities should not be formed. Adds that the appropriate place for the decision to be made is with counties and not adjoining cities.	
045	Rep. Anderson	MOTION: M recommenda	loves HB 2722 to the floor with a DO PASS tion.
		VOTE: 5-1-1	
		AYE: Garrard	5 - Anderson, Greenlick, Smith P., Sumner,
		NAY:	1 - Nolan

EXCUSED: 1 - Ackerman

047	Chair Garrard	The motion CARRIES.	
		REP. GREENLICK will lead discussion on the floor.	
050	Chair Garrard	Closes the work session on HB 2722 and adjourns the meeting at 2:25 p.m.	

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. HB 2722, written testimony, Isador W. Morgavi, 3 ppB. HB 2722, written testimony, Christy Monson, 1 p