HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

March 07, 2005 Hearing Room 50

1:30 P.M. Tapes 34 - 35

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Bill Garrard, Chair Rep. Gordon Anderson, Vice-Chair Rep. Mitch Greenlick, Vice-Chair Rep. Robert Ackerman Rep. Mary Nolan Rep. Patti Smith Rep. Mac Sumner

STAFF PRESENT: Sam Litke, Committee Administrator

Lindsay Luckey, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

HB 2582 – Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2268 – Work Session

Introduction of committee measures

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation</u> marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#SpeakerComments

TAPE 34, A

| 002 | Chair Garrard | Calls the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m. and opens a public hearing on |
|-----|---------------|---|
| | | HB 2582. |

HB 2582 – PUBLIC HEARING

| 005 | Sam Litke | Committee Administrator. Introduces the provisions of HB 2582. |
|-----|------------------|---|
| 021 | Rep. Wayne Scott | HD 39, Sponsor of HB 2582. Explains background of HB 2582. |
| 048 | Brett Kacalek | Earthworks Landscape Services. Speaks in support of HB 2582. Describes his intention of running a nursery as a primary use and a landscaping company as a secondary use out of existing buildings. Explains the history of their situation and frustrations with current zoning laws. |
| 099 | Rod Kacalek | Earthworks Landscape Services. Reiterates B. Kacalek's testimony. Reports their desire to operate legally and their frustration after exhausting all other avenues. |
| 109 | Rep. Sumner | Asks if they plan to operate a retail operation from their site. |
| 111 | B. Kacalek | Responds it would be a whole sale nursery. |
| 113 | Rep. Sumner | Asks what additions would be outside of a normal nursery operation. |
| 145 | B. Kacalek | Responds that there would not be anything not on a normal nursery operation but the change would allow them to grow for their own wholesale needs. Gives the example of aquatic plants. |
| 121 | Rep. Anderson | Asks how many other operations might be able to use provision. |
| 123 | B. Kacalek | Responds that he doesn't know. Comments on four to six other owners in his areas currently running a joint operation but out of compliance. |
| 130 | Rep. Anderson | Asks what specific changes would be made. |
| 135 | R. Kacalek | |

| | | Explains that the only change from a standard nursery operation would be a few more trucks and equipment coming from and going to their property. Points out that the increased traffic is significantly less than a large scale nursery operation. |
|-----|---------------|--|
| 152 | Rep. Anderson | Asks if it would also include row crops operations. |
| 155 | B. Kacalek | Ask for clarification of the question. |
| 157 | Rep. Anderson | Asks what difference there is between what they intend to do and someone operating a wholesale operation for carrots as an example. |
| 161 | B. Kacalek | Responds that Anderson's example is already permitted under state law. Continues that the difference is running a commercial business that is not directly tied to agricultural commodities off the same property. |
| 169 | Rep. Anderson | Asks if most would be off site. |
| 170 | B. Kacalek | Responds affirmatively. |
| 173 | Chair Garrard | References the history of their situation noting that their application for a conditional use permit was approved by Marion county planning staff as a commercial activity in conjunction with a farm use and on appeal the county officer denied the request. Asks why the permit was appealed. |
| 178 | B. Kacalek | Responds that the property owner who appealed the decision was against any development of the property. Explains that only legitimate challenge raised was the conflict between Marion County zoning laws and State of Oregon zoning laws. |
| 210 | Chair Garrard | Asks if they appealed the hearings officer's denial. |
| 211 | B. Kacalek | Responds that they were advised not to and were unable to invest more time and money. |
| 215 | Chair Garrard | Asks if that process would include going through Marion county commissioners. |
| 220 | B. Kacalek | Responds affirmatively. Reiterates broader benefits of bill. |

| 230 | Bill Cross | Oregon Landscape Contractors Association. Speaks in support of HB 2582. Speculates on number of operators effected beyond Kacalek situation. |
|-----|---------------|--|
| 254 | Jerry Ingle | Owner, Living Color Nursery LLC. Describes his landscape and nursery operations from single property and explains the benefits of co-location. Urges support of HB 2582. |
| 373 | Chair Garrard | Closes public hearing on HB 2582 and opens a work session on HB 2582. |

HB 2582 – WORK SESSION

| 375 | Rep. Greenlick | MOTION: Moves HB 2582 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. |
|------------|----------------|--|
| 380 | Rep. Anderson | Comments that this case is representative of their goal to help small businesses add jobs and be more efficient. |
| 391 | Rep. Sumner | States the importance of passing HB 2582, noting the detrimental effects of moving related commercials operation away from farms. |
| 406 | Rep. Greenlick | Stresses the need to review the language of conditional use in the future. Cites this and other specific cases and asserts that related businesses should be allowed to be zoned together. |
| | | VOTE: 7-0-0 |
| | | AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. |
| 435 | Chair Garrard | The motion CARRIES. |
| | | REP. SCOTT will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 440 | Chair Garrard | Closes the work session on HB 2582 and opens a work session on HB 2268. |
| TAPE 35, A | | |

HB 2268 – WORK SESSION

| 018 | Sam Litke | Committee Administrator. Reviews the provisions of HB 2268, public hearing and work group formed. Introduces the -1 Amendments (EXHIBIT A). |
|------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 044 | Wendy Johnson | Deputy Director, Oregon Law Commission. Explains that the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT A) replace the word "served" in Section 8 of HB 2268 assuring that the documents would not require formal service. |
| 058 | Chair Garrard | Asks for a brief synopsis of the work group and why they were unable to come to consensus. |
| 060 | Wendy Johnson | Reports that HB 2268 and HB 2255 had different purposes. Explains that HB 2255 dealt with amending appraisals. |
| 065 | Rep. Ackerman | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2268-1 amendments dated 3/4/05. |
| | | VOTE: 7-0-0 |
| | Chair Garrard | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 070 | Rep. Ackerman | MOTION: Moves HB 2268A to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 7-0-0 |
| | | VOIE. 7-0-0 |
| | | AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. |
| | Chair Garrard | |
| 075 | Chair Garrard Rep. Greenlick | AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. |
| 075 | | AYE:In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.The motion CARRIES.MOTION:Moves HB 2268A be placed on the CONSENT |
| 075 | | AYE:In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.The motion CARRIES.MOTION:Moves HB 2268A be placed on the CONSENT CALENDAR. |
| 075 078 | | AYE:In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.The motion CARRIES.MOTION: Moves HB 2268A be placed on the CONSENT CALENDAR.VOTE: 7-0-0 |

Closes the work session on HB 2268 and opens a work session to introduce committee measures.

INTRODUCTION OF COMMITTEE MEASURES – WORK SESSION

| 143 | Sam Litke | Committee Administrator. Introduces LC drafts 1144 (EXHIBIT B), 1747 (EXHIBIT C), 2834 (EXHIBIT D), 3344 (EXHIBIT E), 2820 (EXHIBIT F), 2149 (EXHIBIT G). |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 163 | Rep. P. Smith | MOTION: Moves LC's: 2149, 2834, 1747, 1144, 2820, 3344 BE INTRODUCED as committee bills. |
| 167 | | VOTE: 7-0-0 |
| | | AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. |
| 170 | Chair Garrard | The motion CARRIES. |
| 172 | Rep. P. Smith | Asks if there will be a Friday meeting. |
| 193 | Sam Litke | Committee Administrator. Responds affirmatively. |
| 200 | Rep. Greenlick | Notes out-of-building meetings with other committees on Fridays. |
| 209 | Rep. Nolan | Requests that the Land Use Committee not schedule a meeting on April 22nd. |
| 223 | Chair Garrard | Adjourns the meeting at 2:19 p.m. |

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. HB 2268, -1 Amendments, Wendy Johnson, 1 p
- B. Introductions, LC 1144, Staff, 1 p
- C. Introductions, LC 1747, Staff, 10 pp
- D. Introductions, LC 2834, Staff, 11 pp
- E. Introductions, LC 3344, Staff, 4 pp
- F. Introductions, LC 2820, Staff, 2 pp

G. Introductions, LC 2149, Staff, 189 pp