SENATE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND WORKFORCE

January 17, 2005 Hearing Room D 3:00 P.M. Tapes 1 – 3 (Corrected 4-21-05)

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Sen. Vicki Walker, Chair Sen. C. Starr, Vice-Chair Sen. Ryan Deckert Sen. Bill Morrisette
MEMBER EXCUSED:	Sen. Jeff Kruse
STAFF PRESENT:	Marjorie Taylor, Committee Administrator Dawn Tuso, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

Adoption of Committee Rules – Organizational Meeting Department of Education Overview - Informational Meeting State Board of Education –Informational Meeting Quality Education Commission – Informational Meeting

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 1, A		
005	Chair Walker	Calls meeting to order at 3:10 p.m. Opens an organizational meeting.
ADOPTION	NOF COMMITTEE I	RULES - ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING
017	Sen. C. Starr	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT the proposed Committee Rules dated 1/17/05 (EXHIBIT A).
020	Chair Walker	Announces a change in the rules, requiring 48 hours public notice before holding a committee meeting.
025		VOTE: 3-0-2
		EXCUSED: 2 - Sen. Deckert, Sen. Kruse
	Chair Walker	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
030	Chair Walker	Introduces members of the committee.
035	Sen. Morrisette	Introduces himself.
040	Sen. Morrisette	Discusses his interest in education issues.
045	Sen. C. Starr	Introduces himself.
050	Sen. C. Starr	Discusses his long term involvement and interest in education.
060	Chair Walker	Introduces the Committee Assistant, Dawn Tuso and the Committee Administrator, Marjorie Taylor.
065	Chair Walker	Discusses the committee work plan.
		• K-12
		Education Service Districts
		Higher Education
		Community Colleges
		Workforce Development

090	Chair Walker	Closes Organizational meeting. Opens Informational meeting on the Department of Education Overview. Introduces guests from the Oregon Department of Education, Susan Castillo, Pat Burk, and Vickie Fleming.
DEPART	MENT OF EDUCATIO	NOVERVIEW - INFORMATIONAL MEETING
095	Susan Castillo	Superintendent of Public Instruction for the State of Oregon. Introduces herself and submits written testimony (EXHIBIT B).
100	Castillo	Discusses submitted materials (EXHIBIT C).
		Annual State School Report CardStatewide test scores
		Oregon dropout rate continues to decline
		• More Oregon students graduated and attended college last year than ever before
		• An educational challenge is eliminating the gap in achievement between high performing students and those challenged by learning disabilities
		Department re-organization
		• Accountability is the central function of the department
		Six Priorities for the Oregon Department of Education:
		• Help children be ready for 1 st grade
		• Close the achievement gap
		• Work on assessing student growth
		• Literacy
		• Improve middle and high school students. Requiring high schools to develop an education plan for each student and expanding opportunities to earn college credit.
		• The Budget
165	Castillo	Welcomes the committee's suggestion in improving the accountability of schools. Introduces two members of her team at the Oregon Department of Education, Vickie Fleming and Pat Burk.
170 185	Vickie Fleming Fleming	Deputy Superintendent for the Oregon Department of Education. Presents overview of department structure and programs. States that the Department exists to answer three questions:
105	Tenning	 What is happening with the investment that tax payers make in the enterprise of public education?
		 What is happening with and for students as a result of that investment?
195	Fleming	• When things are not working, what is to be done about that? Discusses the focus on re-organizing the Oregon Department of Education. Shares that the statutory responsibility of the department is to oversee a system of standard public schools K-12 for Oregon's 198 school districts and 20 education service districts. States that the department's operational general fund budget is approximately \$36 million. Reports that the department's number of FTE has reduced by about 30 people and the operational budget has been reduced by about 18%. Says that it is the department's mission to provide

235	Fleming	leadership for an effective, accountable, and comprehensive education enterprise in Oregon. Mentions Susan Castillo's set of goals. Discusses the No Child Left Behind Act. States that the expectations behind student achievement continue to grow. Continues to discuss the re-organization of the department.
		 Plan has been designed to break down silos and to work across disciplines
		• To become a full partner with higher education and community colleges and the workforce development system to ensure every Oregonian the opportunity for a quality education
		• The development of a streamlined organizational structure
		Introduces and explains the five offices that operate the department:
		Office of Educational Improvement and Innovation
		Office of Student Learning and Partnerships
		Office of System Accountability and Policy Development
		Office of Assessment and Information Services
		Office of Finance and Administration
330	Fleming	Introduces three other actions:
		• Hired an internal auditor
		• Posts summaries of internal audits on public website
		 Worked hard to eliminate redundant data collections and to develop a collaborative partnership with Education Service Districts
350	Fleming	Mentions Education Service Districts. Remarks that it is difficult to provide all of the direct technical assistance that schools and districts express a need for and to accommodate all of the accountability functions resulting from the introduction of the No Child Left Behind Act.
375	Fleming	Summarizes the presentation.
385	Sen. C. Starr	Comments about the integrated data system mentioned by Vickie Fleming.
405 420	Fleming Pat Burk	Responds to Sen. C. Starr's comment. Chief Policy Officer for the Department of Education. Introduces
420	I at Duik	himself and discusses increased focus on accountability.
440	Burk	Gives background information regarding the history of accountability in Oregon:
		• Direction of legislature in 1859 to establish a system of uniform common schools
		• 1922 Legislature added compulsory attendance
		• 1939 State of Oregon had 2100 school districts
		• 1939 legislature began to focus on efficiency and re-
		organization, to empower local districts to provide schools
TAPE 2, A 055	Burk	States that in May of 1990, Oregon passed Ballot Measure 5,
		resulting in significant changes:

		Funding changes
075	Burk	• Equalization formula Discusses the creation of the State Assessment System and the State Report Card. Explains the rating system. Speaks about House Bill 3565, or the Oregon Education Act for the 21 st Century, which was passed in July of 1991. Noted that the focus on providing schools
		shifted:
		• Must have clear outcomes
		• 2 sets of outcomes established
		Core applications for living
		• foundation skills
		• system to collect this information
		 Certificate of Initial Mastery and Certificate of Advanced Mastery
		Explains that, in 1995, there was dissatisfaction with the specificity of those outcomes and that the concern was that more precise information was needed. Instructs that House Bill 2991 was introduced, redirecting the department to redo those standards.
		Introduces and recites the content standards in six areas:
		English & Language Arts
		• Math
		• Science
		Social Sciences
		• Fine Arts
		Second Languages
		Shares that the established performance measures for standards led to the creation of the State Assessment System 1996. Mentions the State Report Card which was introduced in 1997 and adds that this change directed the department to establish measures for school performance, to report them publicly, and to rank all of the schools in the state on a 5 point scale.
090	Burk	Addresses the No Child Left Behind Act which was introduced in 2002. Explains how the No Child Left Behind Act compares and contrasts with Oregon's system, the State Report Card.
125	Burk	Continues to discuss the differences in the two systems.
140	Burk	Summarizes the relationship between the two systems.
150	Burk	Refers to the Oregon Accountability System for Education-Redesign & Process Timeline (EXHIBIT D).

and intervention

190

200

Burk

Sen. Morrisette

Continues to discuss the system and timeline.

Develop and implement financial analysis proceduresImplement a redesigned Oregon School Report Card

• Implementation of revised system of rewards, consequences

Refers to the 10th grade Math Report Card and asks whether or not

any adjustments have been made in regards to the appropriate grade

		to test students.
210	Burk	Explains that there have not been any specific adjustments but that
210	Duik	they have studied the question extensively. Expresses concern over a
		possible structural problem in that two years of mathematics may not
		be an adequate amount of instruction for students to be able to
		perform to the state's standard.
230	Sen. C. Starr	Comments on requirements for Math, Science, and English. Asks
		about increased requirements.
250	Burk	Explains that it is a complicated procedure, including staffing levels
		and current graduation requirements. States that Oregon is in the
		bottom 3 rd of the U.S. in terms of the number of units of Math and
		Science required for graduation. Compares the number of credits
		required to the number of courses taken.
285	Castillo	Adds that there are a number of districts that have increased their
		requirements.
295	Chair Walker	Notes that she appreciates that the department has streamlined their
		administration. Asks about the definition of the term classroom and
		how we can achieve the goal of bringing more dollars to the
		classroom.
315	Burk	Discusses the Quality Education Model. Mentions the DBI- Database
		Initiative introduced approximately six years ago. Compares district
255	Chain Wallson	expenditures. Explains what the expenditures should include.
355	Chair Walker	Talks about the Secretary of State's audit. Suggests a lack of
		consistency between districts and flaws in the ways each district is reporting this
		information.
390	Castillo	Asserts that the department welcomes the audit. Recognizes that
570	Custino	there is still work that needs to be done to improve the database
		system in Oregon. Offers to return information to the committee on
		the department's work plan.
430	Chair Walker	Discusses data collection and the important role it plays in measuring
		educational success.
TAPE 1, B		
001	Sen. Morrisette	Asks about the internal audit.
005	Fleming	Explains the work of the internal auditor at the Oregon Department
		of Education. Informs that the audit is available online at the Oregon
010		Department of Education's website.
010	Sen. Morrisette	Asks if the auditor is an outside auditor.
013	Fleming	Explains that the auditor is employed by the Oregon Department of
014	Chair Walker	Education but that he is not part of the management team. Closes segment. Opens segment on the State Board of Education
014	Chan warker	Overview.
STATE BOAR	RD OF EDUCATION (OVERVIEW - INFORMATIONAL MEETING
015	Chair Walker	Introduces Steve Bogart, Emilio Hernandez, and Randy Harnisch.
030	Chair Walker	Welcomes Steve Bogart, Chair of the State Board of Education, on
		conference call by phone, to the meeting. Asks Steve Bogart to give
		an introduction and overview of board activities.
040	Steve Bogart	Gives a brief introduction. States that the board oversees the public
		education system in the state. Reports that they are working on the
		implementation of education reform. Stresses that they are trying to
		be responsive to changing needs. Recognizes that the organizational
		and financial limitations on the system are a huge challenge.
		Introduces and discusses the Principles and Goals handout

		(EXHIBIT E). Explains, as stated on the handout, the goal of the board is that each student demonstrates the knowledge and skills necessary to transition successfully to their next steps: Advanced learning, work, and citizenship. Introduces six outcomes:
		• A common core of rigorous standards for all
		• A personalized learning experience for each student
		• A core of quality education prepared and ready to take on new challenges
		• An aligned P-16 system
		• A connected educational community
		• A system to provide adequate and appropriately allocated resources
		Discusses specific actions or strategies that the board wishes to take:
		 Clarify and enhance learning standards for teenage years to guide middle and high school improvement
		• Align proficiencies and degrees between secondary and post secondary education
		• Adopt and implement strategic leadership and communication plan with stakeholders
090 100	Bogart Emilio Hernandez	 Define and implement a performance and accountability system for Oregon K-12 education. Shares that the board is comprised of people from various careers and stations in life across the state. Refers to the handout on the Members of the Oregon State Board of Education (EXHIBIT F). Asks for Emilio Hernandez to introduce the board. Vice Chair of the Oregon State Board of Education. Introduces himself.
10.5		Announces two vacancies on board and introduces current members.
105 110	Chair Walker Randy Harnisch	Asks about vacancies on the board. Staff to the Department of Education. Responds to Chair Walker's
115		question regarding the vacancies on the board.
115	Chair Walker	Asks for clarification regarding how long there have been vacancies on the board.
120	Hernandez	Clarifies and continues his introduction of members and advisors.
125	Chair Walker	Asks Steve Bogart if he would like to add anything to Emilio
130	Bogart	Hernandez's introduction. States that he does not have anything to add.
135	Chair Walker	Asks Emilio Hernandez if he has anything to add.
140	Hernandez	Discusses that the State Board of Education is also working on the re-organization of the department. Mentions a meeting that Susan Castillo organized earlier this year that focused on the achievement gap.
		Introduces a few topics:
		• The achievement gap is a major issue
		Language barriers
165	Hernandez	• Drop out rate Discusses the English as a Second Language barrier.

175	Chair Walker	Asks if the DOE has diversified their department staff.
185	Hernandez	Explains the Superintendent's efforts.
195	Sen. Morrisette	Asks about the division of power between the Board of Education and the Department of Education.
210	Bogart	Commends Susan Castillo for her efforts in changing the approach.
210	Doguit	Discusses the natural friction between the two but recognizes the
		positive working relationship between the board and the department.
230	Hernandez	Adds that there has been a cooperative attitude between the board
230	110111alla02	and the department and that the division of power is minimal at this
		point.
245	Randy Harnisch	Executive Officer for the State Board of Education. Introduces
210	italiaj ilalinoti	himself. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT G). Explains how the
		Department of Education fits into the framework and notes that it is
		demonstrated on the flow chartsubmitted (EXHIBIT H).
275	Harnisch	Discusses checks and balances.
290	Harnisch	States that the goal is to see that every child in Oregon be able to
		achieve to the highest level.
295	Sen. C. Starr	Asks whether or not there is still continued discussion regarding the
		minimum standard for graduation.
305	Harnisch	Directs Sen. C. Starr's attention to a bulleted statement on the
		document (EXHIBIT E) stating: Clarify the standards required for a
		high school diploma. Replies to Sen. C. Starr's question that yes, it is
		an ongoing discussion with the board.
315	Chair Walker	Asks if the board is meeting their statutory guidelines.
330	Bogart	Explains that they have struggled to meet the minimum requirements
		but that they have taken steps to eliminate the problem in the future.
345	Chair Walker	Expresses disappointment that the guidelines have not been met but
		that she is glad to hear that the board is taking the issue seriously.
		States that she would like to receive a report on the ways in which
		the board plans to ensure that they meet the statutory guidelines in
2.67	D	the future.
365	Bogart	Agrees to submit a report of the meeting to the committee.
370	Chair Walker	Asks if there are any additional questions.
375	Harnisch	Replies that there is nothing further to add to the presentation.
380	Chair Walker	Welcomes the opportunity for further discussion with the board of education during the process.
385	Hernandez	Adds that the members of the Board of Education are available to
565	Tiemanaez	help and are happy to meet with the committee in the future.
415	Sen. Morrisette	Asks for an update on rural schools that are at risk.
TAPE 2, B	Sen. Womsette	Tisks for all update of futur schools that are at fisk.
005	Chair Walker	Thanks members of the Board of Education for presenting.
010	Bogart	Offers gratitude for the opportunity to present.
015	Chair Walker	Closes segment. Opens segment on the Quality Education
		Commission. Introduces Ken Thrasher, Pat Burk, and Brian Reeder
		from the Quality Education Commission.
THE QUALIT	TY EDUCATION CON	1MISSION - INFORMATIONAL MEETING
020	Ken Thrasher	Chair of the Quality Education Commission. Introduces himself, Pat Burk, and Brian Reeder, of the Quality Education Commission.
022	Pat Burk	Chief Policy Officer for the Department of Education. Introduces
022		himself.
024	Brian Reeder	Director of the Policy, Research, and Analysis Section at the
		Department of Education. Introduces himself.
025	Thrasher	Introduces a brief summary of the Quality of Education Commission
		report (EXHIBIT I). Adds that the full report is available online at

050	Thrasher	their website. Discusses the report in detail. Gives an overview of the Quality Education Commission. Discusses the challenge of the achievement gap. Talks about the role of the commission. Advocates for a seamless education system pre k-20. Discusses what we have to do to meet the requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act. Reports the commission's focus:
105	1 III ashei	Accountability and governance
		 Efficiency
		Adequacy
130	Thrasher	Continues to discuss the commission's focus on accountability and governance. Suggests that we need to develop a state economic model.
170	Thrasher	Discusses earnings and social service spending by educational attainment submitted for the record (EXHIBIT J) .
295	Thrasher	Discusses efficiency. Explains adequacy. Continues to discuss adequacy. Notes the challenge of adequate funding. Describes the Quality Education Model. Reviews the funding and demographic trends. Stresses the implications of more funding cuts. Mentions examples of how additional dollars can be effectively spent. States that the commission's recommendations are to:
		• Establish a pre K-20 integrated education data system
		• Create a governance and accountability task force to provide recommendations for the effective accountability structure
		• Provide additional funding targeted at the elementary grades, with emphasis on early reading programs
		• Focus on pre-K issues to better understand resource needs
		• Continue the expansion of high school restructuring programs
305	Sen. C. Starr	• Provide targeted staff development Comments that teachers should be prepared to teach reading effectively. Asks why there isn't more emphasis on preparing teachers and also asks what we should be doing.
320	Thrasher	Agrees that it is a very important issue and that many young people entering college now have to take some form of remedial training to
325	Burk	deal with the lack of training in their earlier years. Agrees that it is an issue and informs the committee of the expansion of the focus of the reading first grant taskforce to include all grade levels. Mentions that there have been conversations regarding the issue with the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission on the specific issue as well. Discusses teachers who are licensed at the secondary level without training at the appropriate literacy level. Remarks that there is funding for demonstration sites where high schools are taking on the guestion of high school literacy
390	Sen. C. Starr	schools are taking on the question of high school literacy. Comments that the Quality Education Model highlights issues across the whole spectrum but that it seems to fail to address urban schools that with current funding are actually getting the job done. Asks how that might relate as far as reform within the system to get more bang for the dollars that we are spending.
410	Burk	Offers to provide information regarding the State Action for Education Leadership Program, which focuses on high school

		literacy as one of its targets. Reports that, last year they held the first ever Closing the Achievement Gap Summit in the state where some Oregon schools were identified as closing the achievement gap.
TAPE 3, A		
003	Chair Walker	Offers Brian Reeder an opportunity to present.
005	Brian Reeder	Department of Education. Introduces himself. States that he is present primarily to answer questions.
010	Burk	Suggests that the Quality Education Model is more useful as a diagnostic tool rather than a justification for a certain number.
		Informs the committee of software applications that are available to assist in better understanding the information provided in the model.
020	Chair Walker	Comments that she would like to see the software.
025	Chair Walker	Offers gratitude to all of the presenters.
030	Thrasher	Mentions that Brian Reeder is a great resource and for the committee to please use him.
040	Chair Walker	Closes the informational meeting. Adjourns the meeting at 5:10 p.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. Rules, Senate Model 2005 Session Committee Rules, Staff, 3 pp
- B. Education, Department of, written testimony, Susan Castillo, 2 pp
- C. Education, Department of, informational packet, Susan Castillo, 227 pp
- D. Education Accountability, Redesign & Process Timeline, Pat Burk, 1 p
- E. Education, State Board of, Principles and Goals, Steve Bogart, 1 p
- F. Education, State Board of, 2004-2005 Board Members, Emilio Hernandez, 1 p
- G. Education, State Board of, written testimony, Randy Harnisch, 8 pp
- H. Education, State Board of, Framework flowchart, Randy Harnisch, 1 p
- I. Quality Education Commission, written testimony, Ken Thrasher, 10 pp
- J. Quality Education Commission, summary, Ken Thrasher, 1 p