SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

February 08, 2005
1:00 p.m.

Hearing Room 343
Tapes 28 - 29

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Ginny Burdick, Chair, by speaker phone

Sen. Charles Starr, Vice-Chair

Sen. Roger Beyer Sen. Floyd Prozanski Sen. Charlie Ringo Sen. Vicki Walker Sen. Doug Whitsett

STAFF PRESENT: Joe O'Leary, Counsel

Dale Penn, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD & WITNESSES:

SB 287 & SB 288 - Public Hearing

Tom Lininger – Oregon Criminal Justice Commission

Sybil Hebb – Oregon Law Center Tim Sylwester – Department of Justice

Cheryl O'Neill - Womenspace

Kelly Skye - Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association

SB 258 – Public Hearing and Work Session

Sylvia Stevens – Oregon State Bar

SB 272 – Public Hearing

Peter Ozanne - Public Defense Services Commission

Mary Williams - Department of Justice

Kelly Skye - Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association

SB 257 – Public Hearing Peter Gartlan

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| TAPE 28, A | | |
| 005 | Vice-Chair Starr | Calls the meeting to order at 1:10 p.m. Opens public hearing on SB 287 and SB 288, relating to using hearsay as evidence. |
| SB 287 & SI | B 288 – PUBLIC HEARI | ING |
| 020 | Tom Lininger | Oregon Criminal Justice Commission. Reads testimony in support of SB 288 (EXHIBIT A) and provides background on the <i>Crawford</i> decision. |
| 050 | Lininger | Discusses how Crawford affected Oregon law. |
| 070 | Lininger | Begins discussion of the repercussions of the <i>Crawford</i> decision on prosecution. |
| 118 | Lininger | Talks about SB 287, and its relationship to the federal rules. |
| 156 | Lininger | Admits that SB 287 is not a fix for <i>Crawford</i> , but it is a step in the right direction. |
| 193 | Sen. Ringo | Inquires about the timelines of domestic violence data. |
| 198 | Lininger | Replies that reports made closest in time to the actual events |

| | | (abuse, etc.) are closer to the truth. |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---|
| 214 | Sen. Ringo | Wonders if the statements of the victim could be videotaped, |
| | 2 2 | recorded, etc, so hearsay isn't an option. |
| 221 | Lininger | States that many police departments are looking into this type of |
| | | evidence preparation. |
| 238 | Sen. Ringo | Asks if these statements are usually made to the officer at the |
| 243 | Liningar | scene or later on at the station. |
| 243 | Lininger | Conveys that the usual procedure is to take a statement at the scene, but the preferred protocol involves a specialist in |
| | | counseling or psychological analysis. |
| 270 | Sen. Ringo | Emphasizes that the hearsay statements will be most likely those |
| | · · | taken at the scene by an officer, in an environment not easily |
| | | defended in court. |
| 272 | Lininger | Responds he doesn't know for sure, but it is probably true. |
| 290 | Sen. Walker | Asks if other states are dealing with the <i>Crawford</i> decision. |
| 293 | Lininger | Replies that there are many states looking into this decision. |
| 300 | Sen. Walker | Wonders if other states are interested in Oregon's legislation as a model. |
| 302 | Lininger | States that Oregon has often been at the forefront of legislation |
| | | dealing with domestic abuse. |
| 322 | William E. Taylor | Counsel. Asks about the wording. |
| 304 | Lininger | Discusses the language of the bill, as well as the federal |
| | | template. Goes over the reasoning behind their choice in |
| | | wording and shares examples. |
| 372 | Sen. Prozanski | Inquirers about the balancing of the bill, focusing on the extra clause in SB 287. |
| 400 | Lininger | Reiterates his desire for symmetry in this bill. Agrees that there |
| 100 | Emmger | should be language in the bill stating the proponent and opponent |
| | | of the hearsay statement should not benefit from wrongdoing. |
| 448 | Sybil Hebb | Oregon Law Center. Testifies in support of SB 287 & SB 288 |
| | | (EXHIBITS B & C). Describes the reasoning behind this bill as |
| TABE 20 A | | well as the impact. |
| TAPE 29, A 015 | Tim Sylwester | Oregon Department of Justice. Clarifies the differences between |
| 013 | Tilli Sylwester | SB 287 & SB 288. Cites examples of this clarification including |
| | | domestic as well as elder abuse. |
| 060 | Sylwester | Continues with the examples mentioned above. |
| 085 | Sen. Prozanski | Inquires about SB 287 (essentially the federal law) challenged as |
| | | unconstitutional. |
| 094 | Sylwester | Discusses the wording of the rules and statutes to get around that |
| | | problem. |
| 117 | Lininger | Comments on the problems prosecutors already face with hearsay |
| 1.5.1 | Tavilan | issues and how SB 288 would alleviate some of those issues. |
| 151 | Taylor | Asks if the reluctance of a witness to testify would classify as "unavailable" under SB 288. |
| 160 | Sylwester | Responds that being scared or changing their mind would not |
| 100 | Sylvester | classify under SB 288. States that there would usually require a |
| | | specific threat to the witness to fall under this bill. |
| 182 | Taylor | Wonders about availability of the witness. |
| 190 | Sylwester | Clarifies the current law on availability of the witness. |
| 195 | Lininger | Goes on to talk about the <i>Crawford</i> decision in relation to the |
| 206 | C WILL !! | question. |
| 206 | Sen. Whitsett | Poses the example of a murdered victim's statements used |
| | | against the accused killer. |

| 215 | Lininger | Reiterates the meaning of the bill and how it would affect the | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 265 | Sen. Whitsett | above scenario. Asks about administered evidence. | | | |
| 270 | Lininger | Replies that there would be a subsequent inquiry concerning the | | | |
| 270 | Lillinger | administering of evidence. | | | |
| 295 | Sen. Prozanski | Inquires if an amendment relating to murder would be needed for | | | |
| 293 | SCII. I IOZAIISKI | SB 287. | | | |
| 306 | Sylwester | Responds that such an amendment would be prudent. | | | |
| 320 | Lininger | Clarifies where the language is in SB 287 relating to homicide. | | | |
| 342 | Cheryl O'Neill | Womenspace. Testifies in support of SB 287 & SB 288. Talks | | | |
| - | | about the effect of the <i>Crawford</i> decision in Oregon. Explains | | | |
| | | her history with abuse legislation in Oregon. | | | |
| 402 | O'Neill | Describes the fear victims and witnesses face during domestic | | | |
| | | violence cases. | | | |
| TAPE 28, B | | | | | |
| 044 | Kelly Skye | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). | | | |
| | | Testifies in opposition to SB 287 & SB 288. Cites many years of | | | |
| | | litigation and increased court costs if such a set of bills were to | | | |
| 001 | CI | be passed. | | | |
| 081 | Skye | Consents that if one of the two bills were to be passed, the OCDLA would prefer SB 287, which has the federal language | | | |
| | | that has been established in federal court for many years. | | | |
| 139 | Sen. Walker | Comments on Sen. Prozanski's earlier question relating to a | | | |
| 137 | Sen. Warker | murder clause in SB 287. | | | |
| 150 | Skye | Responds with information on murder in relation to common law | | | |
| | ~, ' | and SB 287. | | | |
| 159 | Vice-Chair Starr | Closes public hearing on SB 287 & SB 288 and opens a public | | | |
| | | hearing on SB 258. | | | |
| | LIC HEARING | | | | |
| 172 | William E. Taylor | Counsel. Describes SB 258, relating to appointed counsel at state | | | |
| 100 | D (C 1 | expense in post conviction relief cases. | | | |
| 180 | Peter Gartlan | Office of Public Defense Services. Testifies in support of SB | | | |
| | | 258 (EXHIBIT D). Discusses the background and cleanup of SB 258. | | | |
| 217 | Sen. Prozanski | Wonders about the right to attorney for appeal cases. | | | |
| 226 | Gartlan | Responds that usually judges have not allowed such an expense, | | | |
| 220 | Gurtium | but there have been exceptions. | | | |
| 233 | Sen. Prozanski | Asks what counties have done this. | | | |
| 236 | Gartlan | Replies that he is unsure. | | | |
| 251 | Vice-Chair Starr | Closes public hearing on SB 258 and opens a work session on SB | | | |
| | | 258. | | | |
| <u>SB 258 – WOF</u> | | | | | |
| 255 | Sen. Prozanski | MOTION: Moves SB 258 to the floor with a DO PASS | | | |
| | | recommendation. VOTE: 6-0-1 | | | |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Ringo | | | |
| 260 | Vice-Chair Starr | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. | | | |
| 200 | vice chair stair | SEN. PROZANSKI will lead discussion on the floor. | | | |
| 265 | Vice-Chair Starr | Closes work session on SB 258 and opens a public hearing on SB | | | |
| | | 272. | | | |
| SB 272 – PUBLIC HEARING | | | | | |
| 270 | William E. Taylor | Counsel. Describes SB 272, relating to a deadline for filing | | | |
| | | nominating petitions for positions on the board of the Oregon | | | |
| | | State Bar (with amendment, EXHIBIT E). | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 284 | Sylvia Stevens | Oregon State Bar. Reads testimony in support of SB 272 (EXHIBIT F & G) and provides a detailed analysis of the |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 334 | Stevens | wishes to increase the role of the State Lawyers Assistance |
| 354 | Stevens | Committee in probationary or diversionary cases. Describes the amendments she has proposed relating to lawyers' claims against clients. |
| 380 | Sen. Prozanski | Asks about a provision to focus on lawfully practicing lawyers. |
| 387 | Stevens | Replies that the language makes sure only legally practicing lawyers are affected by this bill. |
| 396 | Sen. Walker | Asks if other lawyers not licensed in Oregon fall under SB 272. |
| 400 | Stevens | Responds that she does not believe so, and gives examples. |
| 422 | Sen. Prozanski | Wonders about payments to out-of-state lawyers (multi-state lawyers). |
| 433 | Stevens | States that most states want to limit their payments to their own lawyers. |
| 457 | Sen. Prozanski | Comments upon reciprocity among states. |
| 492 | Stevens | Mentions an added amendment with substitutive language. |
| TAPE 29, B | | |
| 040 | Stevens | Discusses proposed amendment (Exhibit G). |
| 055 | Vice-Chair Starr | Closes public hearing on SB 272 and opens a public hearing on SB 257. |
| <u>SB 257 – PUBI</u> | LIC HEARING | |
| 055 | William E. Taylor | Counsel. Describes SB 257, relating to appointed counsel in post-conviction relief (PCR) cases. |
| 080 | Peter Ozanne | Public Defense Services Commission. Reads testimony in opposition to SB 257 (EXHIBIT H). Discusses PCR case statistics and information. |
| 132 | Ozanne | Goes over the most common post-conviction relief cases. Discusses the PCR proceedings involving complaints against lawyers for providing inadequate service to the convicted individual. |
| 182 | Ozanne | Advises that funding for public defense should be under the control of the Public Defense Services Commission. |
| 207 | Mary Williams | Solicitor General, Oregon Department of Justice. Testifies in opposition to SB 257 (EXHIBIT I) and focuses on the problem of indigent defense costs. |
| 255 | Williams | Comments on the increased costs involved with SB 257. |
| 273 | Taylor | Asks about the cost figures, and if there would be increased costs for the courts. |
| 280 | Williams | Responds, yes. |
| 285 | Kelly Skye | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association. Points out that there are many claims available to individuals with grievances in post-conviction relief, and how SB 257 would render this meaningless. |
| 335 | Skye | Goes over meritorious claims in post-conviction relief cases, and how lawyers assist individuals after bad service from previous lawyers. |
| 404 | Vice-Chair Starr | Closes public hearing on SB 257 and adjourns the meeting at 2:55 p.m. |

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. SB 287 & SB 288, written testimony, Tom Lininger, 4 pp
- B. SB 287 & SB 288, written testimony, Sybil Hebb, 2 pp
- C. SB 287 & SB 288, Crawford Analysis, Sybil Hebb, 1 p
- D. SB 258, written testimony, Peter Gartlan, 1 p
- E. SB 272, -2 amendments, staff, 6 pp
- F. SB 272, written testimony, Sylvia Stevens, 4 pp
- G. SB 272, proposed amendment, Sylvia Stevens, 1 p
- H. SB 257, written testimony, Peter Ozanne, 2 pp
- I. SB 257, written testimony, Mary H. Williams, 1 p