SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

March 01, 2005 1:00 P.M. Hearing Room 343 Tapes 51 - 52

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Ginny Burdick, Chair

Sen. Charles Starr, Vice-Chair

Sen. Roger Beyer Sen. Floyd Prozanski Sen. Charlie Ringo Sen. Vicki Walker Sen. Doug Whitsett

STAFF PRESENT: Joe O'Leary, Counsel

Dale Penn, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

SB 332 - Public Hearing & Work Session

SB 89 – Public Hearing SB 333 – Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 51, A		
003	Chair Burdick	Calls the meeting to order at 1:13 p.m. and opens a public
CD 222 DIJD		hearing on SB 332.
	LIC HEARING	
008	Joe O'Leary	Counsel. Describes SB 332 relating to confidential communications between patients and dentists.
022	Robert W. Staley	Dentist, Attorney. Submits testimony and testifies in support of
	Ž	SB 332 (EXHIBITS A & B). Discusses a patient questionnaire
		form (Exhibit B).
055	Chair Burdick	Commends Dr. Staley on the submission of the questionnaire form.
065	Tom Gallagher	Newspaper Publishers. Testifies in support of SB 332.
074	Chair Burdick	Submits written testimony from April Love in support of SB 332
074	Chail Buldick	(EXHIBIT P). Closes the public hearing and opens a work session on SB 332.
SB 332 – WOI	RK SESSION	Session on 3D 332.
$\frac{3B 332 - W GI}{076}$	Sen. Starr	MOTION: Moves SB 332 to the floor with a DO PASS
070	Sen. Starr	recommendation.
		VOTE: 6-0-1
		EXCUSED: 1 - Walker
079	Chair Burdick	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
		SEN. RINGO will lead discussion on the floor.
082	Chair Burdick	Closes the work session on SB 332 and opens a public hearing on SB 89.
SB 89 – PUBLIC HEARING		
083	Joe O'Leary	Counsel. Describes SB 89 relating to custodial sexual

		misconduct in the first and second degree.
100	Stan Czerniak	Assistant Director of Operations, Oregon Department of
		Corrections (DOC). Submits testimony and testifies in support
		of SB 89 (EXHIBITS C & D).
125	Stan Czerniak	Talks about how Oregon and Vermont are the only two states
		who do not have a felony statute on this issue. Describes the
		effects of the passage of SB 89.
165	Jason Barber	Assistant Director, Crime Victims Assistance Section,
		Department of Justice. Testifies in support of SB 89.
169	John Powell	Oregon State Sheriff's Association. Testifies in support of SB
		89.
185	Mike Wilkerson	Under-Sheriff, Marion County Sheriff's Office. Testifies in
		support of SB 89. Explains a recent case involving sexual
		misconduct in the correctional facility.
205	Sen. Whitsett	Asks if there is any duty to report among the DOC staff.
210	Wilkerson	Replies that there is a mandatory reporting law.
216	Sen. Whitsett	Inquires if there are any cross-departmental methods of reporting
210	Sen. Wintsett	such abuses.
220	Greg Olson	Under-Sheriff, Marion County Sheriff's Office. Responds that
220	Greg Olson	the Department of Public Safety Standards and Training would
		be notified if such an abuse has occurred and the officer would
		have their certification revoked.
228	Sen. Whitsett	Wonders if there are any protections from retaliation for
220	Sch. Whitsett	employees that report such an action.
233	Olson	States that there are protections, but it would be an expected
233	Oison	aspect of their job to report.
236	Chair Burdick	
239	Wilkerson	Inquires as to where the duty to report originates.
259 250	Chair Burdick	Explains an ethics oath officers must take before serving.
	Wilkerson	Wonders what the repercussions are of breaking that oath.
251	Chair Burdick	Replies that termination is a common result.
253	Chair Burdick	Inquires if there is anything in the statutes that relates to a
254	Williaman	mandatory reporting clause.
254	Wilkerson	Affirms there is not anything he is aware of in the statutes.
260	Olson	Testifies in support of SB 89 and discusses the departmental
202	Cl : D 1: 1	process taken after an act of sexual abuse occurs.
282	Chair Burdick	Inquires about implementing a mandatory reporting statute.
285	Olson	States that they would support such an action.
290	Sen. Prozanski	Raises the issue of labor contracts conflicting with such a law.
315	Heidi Evans	Volunteer, Amnesty International, USA. Submits testimony and
2.4.4	Cl : D 1: 1	testifies in support of SB 89 (EXHIBITS E & F).
344	Chair Burdick	Asks if a contract conflicted with the mandatory reporting clause,
		would Amnesty International support a protection clause for
	_	reporting a crime instead.
356	Evans	Replies that she would support such an action. Cites examples of
		sexual misconduct within the DOC, including some high-profile
		cases.
410	Dena Marshall	State Coordinator, Stop Violence Against Women Campaign.
		Testifies in support of SB 89. Advises that same-sex search rules
		be statutorily enacted.
448	Sen. Walker	Expresses her horror over the cases discussed in the recent
		testimony (Exhibit F).
466	Mary Botkin	American Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees
		(AFSCME). Testifies in opposition to SB 89.
TADE 52 A		

025	Mary Botkin	Explains the DOC's stance on sexual conduct between a staff and
	,	an inmate. Testifies in opposition to Amnesty International's
058	Botkin	amendment requiring a mandatory reporting clause. Raises the issue of officers needing to search inmates in order to
		remove any weapons they might have. Explains the role of
		officers in a body search, and the precautions taken in order to
091	Chair Burdick	observe safety protocols. Inquires about collective bargaining agreements that would stop
0,71		a mandatory reporter clause.
094	Botkin	Replies that there is no writing that would stop a mandatory
		reporting clause. Stresses that a peace officer is already a
		mandatory reporter if they witness a criminal occurrence. Discusses the occurrence of hearsay.
115	Sen. Prozanski	Explains that his earlier question related to reporting outside of
		their statutory requirements. Discloses his hesitance to dictate
132	Botkin	the requirements of mandatory reporting to an outside agency. Expresses the importance of making choices relating to sexual
132	DOTKIII	relationships involving parties who supervise each other.
141	Chair Burdick	Inquires about protection from reporting.
146	Botkin	States that the AFSCME would represent anyone who reported a
150	Botkin	grievance. Details that they file several claims when a grievance is brought
130	Dotkiii	to their attention so that it will not get ignored.
160	Sen. Walker	Brings up the issue of conflicting drafting in SB 89.
170	Czerniak	Discusses the Code of Conduct that all staff in the DOC must
201	Sen. Walker	take. Clarifies the search policy. Wonders about the mandatory reporting law relating to inmates.
208	Botkin	Articulates their desire for tighter drafting language relating to
		SB 89's relation to mandatory reporting.
212	Sen. Walker	Compares the child abuse mandatory reporting law with the
229	Czerniak	mandatory reporting law in SB 89. Details the actions taken by the DOC relating to the mandatory
22)	Czermak	reporting law.
240	Botkin	Explains that mandatory reporting applies to other classes than
262	C D	just children, and it is part of the DOC's policy to report abuses.
262	Sen. Beyer	Talks about the criminalization of mandatory reporting for all crimes.
274	Chair Burdick	Wonders about the application of SB 89 towards inmates under
		custody, not just in a facility (including transport, etc.).
298	Terrie Quinteros	Program Director, Crime Survivors for Community Safety,
		Western Prison Project. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 89 (EXHIBIT G).
337	Quinteros	Expresses that this is not an issue of love between two people,
		but one of dominance and exerting power over an individual.
364	Theresa Huggins	Volunteer, Crime Survivors for Community Safety. Submits
		testimony and testifies in support of SB 89 (EXHIBIT H). Details her personal story of being strip searched by male
		officers.
398	Chair Burdick	Asks how long ago this occurred.
399	Huggins	Replies that it happened 10 years ago.
441	Brigette Sarabi	Executive Director, Western Prison Project. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 89 (EXHIBIT I).
458	Sen. Walker	Addresses the issue that Oregon is only one of two states with no
		custodial sexual misconduct legislation (Exhibit I).

474	Andrea Meyer	American Civil Liberties Union. Raises their concern that the sexual misconduct may occur from a person not in a supervisory role (i.e., janitor, etc.). Advises that the language be tightened.
TAPE 51, B		1010 (110), Junivor, 400). 11u / 1000 viim viid viinguuge 00 vigiteeteu.
033	Meyer	Addresses section 3 of the bill discussing roles within and outside the institutions or agencies. Brings up the issue of marriage defense in relation to SB 89.
053	Chair Burdick	Inquires as to the DOC's policy on officers guarding their spouse.
060	Czerniak	Discusses the policy on relationship within the DOC.
066	Sen. Prozanski	Brings up the scenario of marriage between an inmate and an officer.
072	Czerniak	Talks about the DOC's policy that forbids any relationship between an inmate and the staff.
086	Botkin	Addresses the scenario raised by Sen. Prozanski, relating to relationships between inmates and staff.
098	Sen. Prozanski	Asks about the protocol of staff marrying inmates.
104	Czerniak	Reiterates the DOC's policy on relationships between staff and inmates.
115	Jeff Van Valkenburg	Department of Justice. Discusses the drafting decision to keep the section dealing with marriage in the bill.
132	Botkin	Talks about a similar bill two years ago relating to this issue.
140	Chair Burdick	Expresses the desire to structure the wording a little better before passing this bill.
136	Heather Huhtanen	Director, Sexual Abuse Training Institute. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 89 (EXHIBIT J). Expresses their support of the amendments from Amnesty International with some small reservations.
189	Chair Burdick	Closes the public hearing on SB 89 and opens a public hearing on SB 333.
SB 333 – PUB	LIC HEARING	
195	Joe O'Leary	Counsel. Describes SB 333 relating to certain tort actions.
211	Cheryl Albrecht	Hearings Referee, Multnomah County. Testifies in support of SB 333. Details how the bill allows for easier access to justice for all citizens.
247	Mark Ginsberg	Oregon Trial Lawyers. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 333 (EXHIBIT K). Discusses lawyer costs for small cases and how SB 333 would allow more citizens the access to justice.
275	Ginsberg	Discusses the scenarios and court cases in his testimony (Exhibit K).
316	Sen. Whitsett	Asks about attorney fees in these types of cases.
327	Ginsberg	Responds with information on attorney fees.
353	Sen. Charlie Ringo	Senate District 17. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 333 (EXHIBIT L). Details the plight of an individual being abused by the insurance companies and how SB 333 addresses these occurrences.
397	Sen. Ringo	Discusses examples of insurance cases in his testimony (Exhibit L).
438	Sen. Ringo	Talks about page 3 of his testimony (Exhibit L).
450	Chair Burdick	Asks how long the current penalty limit has been in effect.
452	Sen. Ringo	Replies that he will address that question. Continues discussing the third example in his testimony (Exhibit L).
490	Sen. Ringo	Comments on the chart on the final page of the testimony

(Exhibit L).

Sen. Beyer Inquires about section 2 of SB 333, relating to the date the bill would go into effect.	TAPE 52, B		(244,014,2).
O2-Leary		Sen. Beyer	
would go into effect. Offers clarification on the issue. Of O'Leary Addresses the confusion on the effective date of the bill. Of Sen. Beyer Raises a scenario dealing with a claimant going back to court to recover extra money due to SB 233. Offers Sen. Prozanski Replies that it is impossible, and offers an explanation as to why. Sen. Beyer Raises another scenario dealing with a claimant attempting to retrieve more money from a past adjudicated court decision. Offers Sen. Prozanski Addresses the issue under discussion. Offers Sen. Whitsett Asks about situations dealing with both parties' attorney fees being charged to a claimant. Replies that the increased fees are important in court cases. Addresses the section of SB 333 which resolves the issue. Offers Sen. Ringo Replies that the increased fees are important in court cases. Addresses the scenario that the claimant could be charged the attorney fees for both parties. State Farm Insurance Company. Testifies in opposition to SB 333. Expresses his belief that SB 333 will eventually encourage increased litigation which will raise insurance costs. Addresses the fees that could be leviced against the plaintiff. Inquires about early property payments. Discusses early payments, especially those involved with property or vehicular damage. Asks about a scenario where the legislature would require both personal and property damage be dealt with at one time, and would the insurance companies support such an amendment. States that it would not be the best solution, but it would be helpful. Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. Asks about the sisue of non-economic damages. Asks about the sisue of non-economic damages. Sen. Ringo Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more offer than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Asks about the issues relating to the insuranc	046	O'Leary	Describes section 2 of SB 333.
Officer Offi	051	Sen. Ringo	
Sen. Beyer Raises a scenario dealing with a claimant going back to court to recover extra money due to SB 233.	057	Chair Burdick	Offers clarification on the issue.
recover extra money due to SB 233. Replies that it is impossible, and offers an explanation as to why. Replies that it is impossible, and offers an explanation as to why. Replies that it is impossible, and offers an explanation as to why. Raises another scenario dealing with a claimant attempting to retrieve more money from a past adjudicated court decision. Addresses the issue under discussion. Defines the section of SB 333 which resolves the issue. Sen. Whitsett Asks about situations dealing with both parties' attorney fees being charged to a claimant. Replies that the increased fees are important in court cases. Addresses the scenario that the claimant could be charged the attorney fees for both parties. Is John Powell State Farm Insurance Company. Testifies in opposition to SB 333. Expresses his belief that SB 333 will eventually encourage increased litigation which will raise insurance costs. Addresses the fees that could be levied against the plaintiff. Sen. Prozanski Addresses the fees that could be levied against the plaintiff. Discusses early payments, especially those involved with property or vehicular damage. Sen. Prozanski Asks about a scenario where the legislature would require both personal and property damage be dealt with at one time, and would the insurance companies support such an amendment. States that it would not be the best solution, but it would be helpful. Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. Chair Burdick Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. Chair Burdick Sen. Ringo Chair Burdick Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies where two sets of claims will be brought against them for one accident. Explains their stance on the scenario of non-economic damages and other claims. Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. Talks about the demands letter from the	060	O'Leary	Addresses the confusion on the effective date of the bill.
Sen. Beyer Raises another scenario dealing with a claimant attempting to retrieve more money from a past adjudicated court decision.	067	Sen. Beyer	
Sen. Beyer Raises another scenario dealing with a claimant attempting to retrieve more money from a past adjudicated court decision.	071	Sen. Prozanski	·
090 O'Leary Defines the section of SB 333 which resolves the issue. 095 Sen. Whitsett Asks about situations dealing with both parties' attorney fees being charged to a claimant. 109 Sen. Ringo Replies that the increased fees are important in court cases. Addresses the scenario that the claimant could be charged the attorney fees for both parties. 151 John Powell State Farm Insurance Company. Testifies in opposition to SB 333. 154 Steve Murrell State Farm Insurance Company. Testifies in opposition to SB 333. Expresses his belief that SB 333 will eventually encourage increased litigation which will raise insurance costs. 197 Murrell Addresses the fees that could be leviced against the plaintiff. 211 Sen. Prozanski Inquires about early property payments. 215 Murrell Discusses early payments, especially those involved with property or vehicular damage. 221 Sen. Prozanski Asks about a scenario where the legislature would require both personal and property damage be dealt with at one time, and would the insurance companies support such an amendment. 227 Murrell States that it would not be the best solution, but it would be helpful. 230 Chair Burdick Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. 233 Murre	078	Sen. Beyer	Raises another scenario dealing with a claimant attempting to
Sen. Whitsett	084	Sen. Prozanski	Addresses the issue under discussion.
being charged to a claimant. Replies that the increased fees are important in court cases. Addresses the scenario that the claimant could be charged the attorney fees for both parties. State Farm Insurance Company. Testifies in opposition to SB 333. Istate Farm Insurance Company. Testifies in opposition to SB 333. Expresses his belief that SB 333 will eventually encourage increased litigation which will raise insurance costs. Murrell Addresses the fees that could be levied against the plaintiff. Inquires about early property payments. Discusses early payments, especially those involved with property or vehicular damage. Sen. Prozanski Inquires about a scenario where the legislature would require both personal and property damage be dealt with at one time, and would the insurance companies support such an amendment. States that it would not be the best solution, but it would be helpful. Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. Aurrell States that it would not be the best solution, but it would be helpful. Murrell States that it be prought against them for one accident. Raises the issue of non-economic damages. Sen. Ringo Raises the issue of non-economic damages. Explains their stance on the scenario of non-economic damages and other claims. Sime Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. Oregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHBIT M). Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. Clarifies his testimony on those issues.	090	O'Leary	Defines the section of SB 333 which resolves the issue.
Addresses the scenario that the claimant could be charged the attorney fees for both parties. 151	095	Sen. Whitsett	
State Farm Insurance Company. Testifies in opposition to SB 333.	109	Sen. Ringo	Addresses the scenario that the claimant could be charged the
333. Expresses his belief that SB 333 will eventually encourage increased litigation which will raise insurance costs. Addresses the fees that could be levied against the plaintiff. Inquires about early property payments. Discusses early payments, especially those involved with property or vehicular damage. Sen. Prozanski Sen. Prozanski Sen. Prozanski Sen. Prozanski Asks about a scenario where the legislature would require both personal and property damage be dealt with at one time, and would the insurance companies support such an amendment. States that it would not be the best solution, but it would be helpful. Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. Details the situations facing the insurance companies where two sets of claims will be brought against them for one accident. Andresses the fees that could be levied against them for one accident. Explains their stance on the scenario of non-economic damages and other claims. Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. Oregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHIBIT M). Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. Clarifies his testimony on those issues.	151	John Powell	State Farm Insurance Company. Testifies in opposition to SB
211 Sen. Prozanski Inquires about early property payments.	154	Steve Murrell	333. Expresses his belief that SB 333 will eventually encourage
Sen. Prozanski Inquires about early property payments.	197	Murrell	Addresses the fees that could be levied against the plaintiff.
Discusses early payments, especially those involved with property or vehicular damage.	211	Sen. Prozanski	
Asks about a scenario where the legislature would require both personal and property damage be dealt with at one time, and would the insurance companies support such an amendment. States that it would not be the best solution, but it would be helpful. Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. Chair Burdick Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. Details the situations facing the insurance companies where two sets of claims will be brought against them for one accident. Raises the issue of non-economic damages. Explains their stance on the scenario of non-economic damages and other claims. Sen. Ringo Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. Presented. Bill Sime Oregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHIBIT M). Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Sen. Prozanski Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. Sime Clarifies his testimony on those issues. Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	215	Murrell	Discusses early payments, especially those involved with
230 Chair Burdick Wonders about existing statutes dealing with payment on a claim. 233 Murrell Details the situations facing the insurance companies where two sets of claims will be brought against them for one accident. 240 Sen. Ringo Raises the issue of non-economic damages. 249 Murrell Explains their stance on the scenario of non-economic damages and other claims. 255 Sen. Ringo Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. 272 Bill Sime Oregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHIBIT M). 318 Sime Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. 363 Sen. Prozanski Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. 371 Sime Clarifies his testimony on those issues. 379 Sen. Ringo Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	221	Sen. Prozanski	Asks about a scenario where the legislature would require both personal and property damage be dealt with at one time, and
claim. Details the situations facing the insurance companies where two sets of claims will be brought against them for one accident. Raises the issue of non-economic damages. Explains their stance on the scenario of non-economic damages and other claims. Sen. Ringo Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. Presented. Bill Sime Oregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHIBIT M). Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Sen. Prozanski Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. Clarifies his testimony on those issues. Clarifies his testimony on those issues. Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	227	Murrell	States that it would not be the best solution, but it would be
sets of claims will be brought against them for one accident. Raises the issue of non-economic damages. Murrell Explains their stance on the scenario of non-economic damages and other claims. Sen. Ringo Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. Bill Sime Oregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHIBIT M). Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Sen. Prozanski Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. Clarifies his testimony on those issues. Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	230	Chair Burdick	
240 Sen. Ringo Raises the issue of non-economic damages. 249 Murrell Explains their stance on the scenario of non-economic damages and other claims. 255 Sen. Ringo Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. 272 Bill Sime Oregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHIBIT M). 318 Sime Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. 363 Sen. Prozanski Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. 371 Sime Clarifies his testimony on those issues. 379 Sen. Ringo Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	233	Murrell	
and other claims. Sen. Ringo Cites personal examples of where the insurance companies have denied allocations to individuals he represented. Oregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHIBIT M). Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Sen. Prozanski Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. Sime Clarifies his testimony on those issues. Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	240	Sen. Ringo	Raises the issue of non-economic damages.
denied allocations to individuals he represented. Oregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHIBIT M). Sime Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Sen. Prozanski Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. Sime Clarifies his testimony on those issues. Clarifies his tentative support for the amendments being	249	Murrell	
Dregon Association of Defense Counsel. Submits testimony and testifies in a neutral stance on SB 333 (EXHIBIT M). Sime Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Sen. Prozanski Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. Sime Clarifies his testimony on those issues. Clarifies his tentative support for the amendments being	255	Sen. Ringo	* *
Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to support the claim. Sen. Prozanski Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up by the witness. Sime Clarifies his testimony on those issues. Sen. Ringo Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	272	Bill Sime	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
by the witness. 371 Sime Clarifies his testimony on those issues. 379 Sen. Ringo Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	318	Sime	Talks about the demands letter from the lawyer, and how more often than not there is little to no documentation or proof to
Sime Clarifies his testimony on those issues. Sen. Ringo Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	363	Sen. Prozanski	Asks about the issues relating to the insurance claim brought up
Sen. Ringo Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being	371	Sime	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	379		Comments on his tentative support for the amendments being

		the individual.
423	Chair Burdick	Submits testimony on SB 333 from Robert Dorband and Shawn
		Miller (EXHIBITS N & O).
449	Chair Burdick	Submits Oregon Rules of Appellate Procedure (EXHIBIT Q)
452	Chair Burdick	Closes the public hearing on SB 333 and adjourns the meeting at
		3:02 p.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. SB 332, written testimony, Robert Staley, 1 p
- B. SB 332, Patient information form, Robert Staley, 1 p
- C. SB 89, written testimony, Stan Czerniak, 2 pp
- D. SB 89, custodial sexual misconduct, Stan Czerniak, 1 p
- E. SB 89, written testimony, Heidi Evans, 6 pp
- F. SB 89, summary of SB 89, Heidi Evans, 4 pp
- G. SB 89, written testimony, Terrie Quinteros, 2 pp
- H. SB 89, written testimony, Theresa Huggins, 1 p
- I. SB 89, written testimony, Brigette Sarabi, 1 p
- J. SB 89, written testimony, Heather Huhtanen, 2 pp
- K. SB 333, written testimony, Mark Ginsberg, 1 p
- L. SB 333, written testimony, Sen. Charlie Ringo, 5 pp
- M. SB 333, written testimony, Bill M. Sime, 6 pp
- N. SB 333, written testimony, Robert Dorband, 1 p
- O. SB 333, written testimony, Shawn Miller, 1 p
- P. SB 332, written testimony, April Love, 2 pp
- Q. SB 333, Oregon Rules of Appellate Procedure, staff, 2 pp