SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

March 31, 2005 1:00 P.M. Hearing Room 343 Tapes 90 - 91

Corrected 10/26/05

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Ginny Burdick, Chair

Sen. Charles Starr, Vice-Chair

Sen. Roger Beyer Sen. Floyd Prozanski Sen. Doug Whitsett

MEMBER EXCUSED: Sen. Charlie Ringo

Sen. Vicki Walker

STAFF PRESENT: Joe O'Leary, Counsel

Dale Penn, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

SB 528 – Public Hearing

SB 914 – Public Hearing and Work Session SB 919 – Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 90, A	1	
003	Chair Burdick	Calls the meeting to order at 1:08 p.m. and opens a public hearing on SB 528.
SB 528 – Pl	UBLIC HEARING	
011	Joe O'Leary	Counsel. Describes SB 528 relating to the <i>Blakely vs. Washington</i> Supreme Court decision. Introduces the -2 amendment (EXHIBIT A).
045	Chair Burdick	Discusses the US Supreme Court decision on <i>Blakely</i> .
070	Jennifer Lloyd	Oregon Department of Justice. Submits a summary of key provisions and testifies in support of SB 528 (EXHIBIT C). Talks about her role in the <i>Blakely</i> work group. Details that SB 528 is a broad attempt to curtail certain changes resulting from the <i>Blakely</i> decision.
110	Lloyd	Explains what SB 528 will accomplish upon passage.
150	Lloyd	Discusses subsections 5 and 6 of SB 528.
180	Lloyd	Stresses that the Oregon District Attorneys Association has withdrawn conflicting legislation.
218	Chuck French	Multnomah County District Attorney's office. Testifies in support of SB 528. Describes the goals in mind for the work group meetings.
259	French	States that there have been relatively few cases within his district that would fall under these criteria since the <i>Blakely</i> decision.
282	Chair Burdick	Asks how the district attorney's office has dealt with these cases

		1.1
200	Enon ala	around the state.
290	French	Replies with information on how the district attorney's office has dealt with these cases.
305	Chair Burdick	Inquires about the <i>Blakely</i> influenced cases.
307	French	Provides information on these particular cases.
313	Chair Burdick	Inquires what the regular process is for these cases.
315	French	Stresses that since there have been so few, the regular process is
313	TTCHCH	not truly known yet.
336	Chair Burdick	Wonders about certain offender-related decisions; inquires about
330	Chair Burdick	prejudicial matters.
342	French	States that the same jury is used to hear these types of instances,
J72	Trenen	and that different cases would have to be completed. Reiterates
		the fact that there is currently no regular process to deal with
		these cases.
370	Sen. Prozanski	Asks about offender-factor cases being bifurcated out.
382	French	Offers information as to the current process.
397	Sen. Prozanski	Comments on the bifurcated process used by Texas.
413	French	Reports on the mechanism used in proceeding with these cases.
427	Bob Holman	Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association. Testifies in
.2,	Doo Hommun	support of SB 528 and offers his opinion on the <i>Blakely</i> work
		group.
447	Chair Burdick	Inquires about the Kansas plan dealing with the <i>Blakely</i> decision.
448	Holman	Describes the Kansas methods.
470	Chair Burdick	Wonders about the work group decisions.
472	Holman	Talks about the methods approved by the work group. Continues
		the discussion on the results of passing SB 528; focuses on the
		possible problems that might arise.
		possione proonems that implic arise.
TAPE 91, A		positive processis that might unite.
TAPE 91, A 032	Jean Ann Quinn	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department.
	Jean Ann Quinn	
	Jean Ann Quinn	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department.
032	Jean Ann Quinn Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently.
032 052 054	Chair Burdick Quinn	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers.
032	Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently.
032 052 054 058 070	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B).
032 052 054 058 070 075	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B).
032 052 054 058 070 075 117	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial.
032 052 054 058 070 075	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185 196	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick Lloyd	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment. Talks about the waiver of a jury trial and other remands.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185 196 213	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick Lloyd Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment. Talks about the waiver of a jury trial and other remands. Raises a concern over the remand issue.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185 196 213 220	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick French	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment. Talks about the waiver of a jury trial and other remands. Raises a concern over the remand issue. Expresses his opinion on the possible amendment.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185 196 213	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick Lloyd Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment. Talks about the waiver of a jury trial and other remands. Raises a concern over the remand issue. Expresses his opinion on the possible amendment. Talks about section 2, subsection 2 dealing with the prosecution
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185 196 213 220 231	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment. Talks about the waiver of a jury trial and other remands. Raises a concern over the remand issue. Expresses his opinion on the possible amendment. Talks about section 2, subsection 2 dealing with the prosecution giving notice before relying on aggravating factors in the case.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185 196 213 220 231	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick Chair Burdick Chair Burdick Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment. Talks about the waiver of a jury trial and other remands. Raises a concern over the remand issue. Expresses his opinion on the possible amendment. Talks about section 2, subsection 2 dealing with the prosecution giving notice before relying on aggravating factors in the case. Inquires about plea bargaining.
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185 196 213 220 231	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment. Talks about the waiver of a jury trial and other remands. Raises a concern over the remand issue. Expresses his opinion on the possible amendment. Talks about section 2, subsection 2 dealing with the prosecution giving notice before relying on aggravating factors in the case. Inquires about plea bargaining. Reiterates the dispute during the work group dealing with this
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185 196 213 220 231	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick Chair Burdick Chair Burdick Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment. Talks about the waiver of a jury trial and other remands. Raises a concern over the remand issue. Expresses his opinion on the possible amendment. Talks about section 2, subsection 2 dealing with the prosecution giving notice before relying on aggravating factors in the case. Inquires about plea bargaining. Reiterates the dispute during the work group dealing with this issue. Talks about the method Kansas has employed to address
032 052 054 058 070 075 117 120 135 168 176 185 196 213 220 231	Chair Burdick Quinn Holman Chair Burdick O'Leary Chair Burdick O'Leary French O'Leary Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick French Lloyd Chair Burdick Chair Burdick Chair Burdick Chair Burdick	Senior Criminal Law Analyst, Oregon Judicial Department. Discusses the reasoning behind the opinions the work group has presented. Asks how many cases are under this category currently. Responds that she isn't fully aware of the numbers. Illustrates an anecdote dealing with a recent court case. Introduces the -3 amendment (EXHIBIT B). Describes the effects of the -3 amendment (Exhibit B). Inquires about the -3 amendment dealing with a jury trial. Responds with information on an individual's rights to a jury trial. States his concern over section 21 relating to a case being remanded to a trial court at a later date. Explains the remand issue for the committee. Expresses her opinion on a possible amendment. Discusses another possible amendment. Talks about the waiver of a jury trial and other remands. Raises a concern over the remand issue. Expresses his opinion on the possible amendment. Talks about section 2, subsection 2 dealing with the prosecution giving notice before relying on aggravating factors in the case. Inquires about plea bargaining. Reiterates the dispute during the work group dealing with this

SR 011 & SD 0	919 – PUBLIC HEARING	on SB 914 & SB 919.
445	Chair Burdick	Closes the public hearing on SB 528 and opens a public hearing
395	Marcus	Explains his major point with the <i>Blakely</i> bill (SB 528) dealing with sentencing guidelines. Expresses that sentencing guidelines do not seek to reduce crime or prevent recidivism.
355	Marcus	Continues his discussion on possible amendments and problems with SB 528.
314	Marcus	Discusses several possible amendments to the current bill.
312	Chair Burdick	Asks for his opinion on the amendments facing the committee.
	Marcus	SB 528. Comments on the <i>Blakely</i> decision and how SB 528 will address the issues currently facing the courts.
255	Judge Michael	Multnomah County Trial Judge. Testifies in a neutral stance on
235	Lloyd	Discusses the sentencing methods.
221	French	Talks about the recommended changes to the bill.
194	O'Leary	Details the current Supreme Court decisions relating to this issue.
175	Lloyd	Replies with information on this issue.
100	Chair Dardion	consideration under <i>Blakely</i> .
148 165	Lloyd Chair Burdick	Discusses section 2 and the possible conflicts with current law. States that prior convictions have been deleted from
		issues.
138	Chair Burdick	issue. Desires the bill to be written broadly enough to deal with certain
125	Sen. Prozanski	Reminds the panel that they can come back later to deal with this
122	French	Agrees with that assessment.
121	Chair Burdick	Stresses that you won't run into problems with constitutionality by bringing too many issues in front of a jury.
		a judge later on down the line.
105	French	conflicting. Comments on consecutive sentencing issues being decided on by
093	Sen. Prozanski	determined constitutional or not down the road. Discusses the problems with the federal and state laws
072	French	may be the best idea. Talks about the reasoning behind this bill. Advocates for caution when dealing with this issue; it will be
047	Sen. Prozanski	consecutive sentencing. Declares that the conservative approach to dealing with this issue
034	Holman	broader language. Agrees that it is a possibility and continues the discussion on
030	Chair Burdick	stretching this issue out. Inquires about the possibility of amending the bill to have
019	Holman	Discusses the right to waive a jury trial. Offers his concerns over
458 TAPE 90, B	French	Replies with information on consecutive sentencing factors.
446	Chair Burdick	Asks if a district attorney or judge would use this as a method to employ consecutive sentencing.
425	French	Continues the discussion on the problems concerning constitutionality.
125	Formal	curb the amount of appeals and reversals. Addresses the problem of constitutionality.
360 375	Holman French	States the reasoning behind keeping the drafting language broad. Declares that the reasoning behind these decisions is meant to
2.60		problems with the issue.

SB 914 & SB 919 – PUBLIC HEARING

460 Joe O'Leary Counsel. Describes SB 914 relating to directing the Department of Corrections to establish a uniform presentence report form that

require report writers to provide analysis of the means to reduce future criminal behavior and to determine the availability of programs and treatment to the offender, and SB 919 relating to the Oregon Criminal Justice Commission studying the feasibility of incorporating consideration of reduction of criminal behavior and crime rate into sentencing guidelines. Introduces the -1 amendment to SB 914 and the -1 amendment to SB 919 (EXHIBITS D & E)

		(EXHIBITS D & E).
TAPE 91, B		`
030	Judge Michael Marcus	Multnomah County Trial Judge. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 914 & SB 919 (EXHIBITS F & G).
080	Marcus	Addresses the problems with recidivism rates in Oregon.
125	Marcus	Talks about the -1 amendment for SB 914.
165	Marcus	Details the necessity of SB 914. Comments on an Oregon statute dealing with the criminal justice commission.
212	Marcus	States that Crime Victim's United did not sign on to the bill until the amendment was drafted.
220	Sen. Whitsett	Asks about a fiscal impact.
225	Marcus	Replies that there is no current fiscal impact.
241	Sen. Whitsett	Inquires about procedures being added to some courts, and thereby increasing costs.
245	Marcus	Responds with information on this issue.
265	Tim Sylwester	Department of Justice. Testifies in support of SB 914 & SB 919.
243	Craig Prins	Executive Director, Oregon Criminal Justice Commission. Testifies in support of SB 914 & SB 919.
268	Chair Burdick	Closes the public hearing on SB 914 & SB 919 and opens a work session on SB 914.
SB 914 – WO	RK SESSION	
242	Sen. Starr	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 914-1 amendments dated 3/30/05.
		VOTE: 5-0-2
		EXCUSED: 2 - Ringo, Walker
275	Chair Burdick	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
276	Sen. Starr	MOTION: Moves SB 914 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 5-0-2 EXCUSED: 2 - Ringo, Walker
278	Chair Burdick	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. SEN. BURDICK will lead discussion on the floor.
281	Chair Burdick	Closes the work session on SB 914 and opens a work session on SB 919.
SB 919 – WO	RK SESSION	
284	Sen. Starr	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 919-1 amendments dated 3/22/05.
		VOTE: 5-0-2
		EXCUSED: 2 - Ringo, Walker
287	Chair Burdick	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
289	Sen. Starr	MOTION: Moves SB 919 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 5-0-2
		EXCUSED: 2 - Ringo, Walker
290	Chair Burdick	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. SEN. BURDICK will lead discussion on the floor.
292	Chair Burdick	Closes the work session on SB 919 and adjourns the meeting at

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. SB 528, -2 amendment, staff, 19 pp
- B. SB 528, -3 amendment, staff, 19 pp
- C. SB 528, Summary of Key Provisions, 1 p
- D. SB 914, -1 amendment, staff, 2 pp
- E. SB 919, -1 amendment, staff, 1 p
- F. SB 914, written testimony, Michael Marcus, 1 p
- G. SB 919, written testimony, Michael Marcus, 1 p