SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

April 06, 2005 Hearing Room 343 1:00 P.M. Tapes 96 - 97

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Ginny Burdick, Chair

Sen. Charles Starr, Vice-Chair

Sen. Roger Beyer Sen. Floyd Prozanski Sen. Charlie Ringo Sen. Vicki Walker Sen. Doug Whitsett

STAFF PRESENT: Joe O'Leary, Counsel

Dale Penn, Committee Assistant

MEASURES/ISSUES HEARD:

SB 844 – Work Session SB 304 – Public Hearing SB 220 – Public Hearing SB 106 – Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| TAPE 96, A | | | | |
| 003 | Chair Burdick | Calls the meeting to order at 1:13 p.m. and opens a work session on SB 844. | | |
| SB 844 – WORK SESSION | | | | |
| 010 | Sen. Ryan Deckert | Senate District 14. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 844 (EXHIBIT A). Introduces Kathleen Imel, a survivor of a dog attack. | | |
| 033 | Kathleen Imel | Testifies in support of SB 844. Advocates responsibility when dealing with animals. | | |
| 051 | Officer Kent Rohweder | Washington County Animal Services. Testifies in support of SB 844. | | |
| 060 | Sen. Deckert | Introduces and describes the -1 amendment (EXHIBIT B). | | |
| 102 | Chair Burdick | Commends the witnesses on their work. Closes the work session on SB 844 and opens a public hearing on SB 304 | | |
| SB 304 – PUB | LIC HEARING | | | |
| 111 | Joe O'Leary | Counsel. Describes SB 304 relating to reviving certain product liability civil actions for damages resulting from asbestos-related disease claims that are barred solely because the statute of limitations for these claims have expired. | | |
| 131 | Ron Murray | United Association of Plumbers and Steam Fitters, Local 290. Testifies in support of SB 304. Details how the families of affected individuals still suffer from the effects. | | |
| 167 | Ann Samuelson | Plumbing contractor, Astoria, Oregon. Author of SB 304. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 304 (EXHIBIT | | |

| | | C) Discusses her personal stary relating to ashestes paisoning |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 233 | Gale Bast | C). Discusses her personal story relating to asbestos poisoning. United Association of Plumbers and Steam Fitters, Local 290. Testifies in support of SB 304. |
| 251 | Frank Veenker | United Association of Plumbers and Steam Fitters, Local 290. Testifies in support of SB 304. |
| 284 | Chair Burdick | Closes the public hearing on SB 304 and opens a public hearing on SB 220. |
| SB 220 – PUB | LIC HEARING | |
| 294 | Joe O'Leary | Counsel. Describes SB 220 relating to authorizing the State Board of Higher Education, Chancellor of the Oregon University System (OUS), and presidents of state institutions of higher education to employ or retain attorneys outside the Department of Justice. Introduces the -3 and -4 amendments (EXHIBITS D & E). |
| 317 | George Pernsteiner | George Pernsteiner, Oregon University System. Submits written testimony from Daniel Bernstine (EXHIBIT F). |
| 367 | Pernsteiner | Continues testimony in support of SB 304 relating to attorneys employed by the university system. |
| 400 | Pernsteiner | Discusses his history with attorneys inside the OUS over the past 40 years. |
| 443 448 | Chair Burdick Pernsteiner | Asks why their situation is different from other state agencies. Stresses that having affordable attorneys at the employ of the OUS would better allow them to serve their students and the campus. |
| 484 | Chair Burdick | Points out that the current drafting of the bill would almost give the OUS it's own law firm. |
| TAPE 97, A | | |
| 027 | Pernsteiner | Indicates that the bill still allows the OUS to use the Attorney General in most situations, but will allow them to employ outside expert attorneys in order to save money. |
| 045 | Sen. Walker | Introduces a news article written by Dave Frohnmayer labeled "Public Interest Comes First" (EXHIBIT H). |
| 048 | Neil Bryant | Legal Counsel, Oregon State Board of Higher Education. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 220 (EXHIBIT I). |
| 099 | Bryant | Discusses the Attorney General's role in the legal arena for the Oregon State Board of Higher Education. |
| 118 | Bryant | Talks about the effects resulting from the adoption of the -3 and -4 amendments. Addresses the earlier question relating to the Oregon University System being different from other state agencies. Stresses that 35 other states allow their university systems to employ lawyers in this way. |
| 142 | Chair Burdick | Asks what relationship the in-house lawyers would have with the Attorney General. |
| 146 | Bryant | Responds with information on the institutional relationship between the two groups of lawyers. Advocates for the adoption of the amendments. |
| 169 | Chair Burdick | Inquires if they are opposed to any relationship between the two groups. |
| 171 | Bryant | Responds no they are not. |
| 174 | Sen. Whitsett | Details a possible scenario involving the public records act and the university system hiring their own lawyers. |
| 192 | Bryant | Addresses the question relating to the public records act and the university system. |

| 216 221 | Sen. Whitsett Bryant | Asks for clarification on the issue of public records law. Replies with information on the issue. |
|---------------|-------------------------|---|
| 225 | Sen. Prozanski | Offers information for clarification on the issue of the university system claiming an exemption under the public records law. |
| 232 | Sen. Beyer | Comments on the rules involving campus living requirements. |
| 251 | Pernsteiner | Talks about the issue of living requirements, and his willingness to look up the information asked for by Sen. Beyer. |
| 262 | Sen. Beyer | Re-addresses the issue of living requirements. |
| 293 | Sen. Walker | Asks if other independent state agencies use their own lawyers (Oregon State Lottery, SAIF corporation, and Oregon Health Science University). Goes on to inquire as to what allows an independent state agency to use their own lawyers. |
| 317 | Pernsteiner | Stresses he isn't familiar with the other state agencies; addresses the need for this system for the OUS. |
| 330 | Sen. Walker | Discusses the story written by Dave Frohnmayer in 1989 relating to why state agencies should not have private law firms in their employ (Exhibit H). |
| 359 | Bryant | Declares his familiarization with the article and remarks on the reasoning behind it's arguments. |
| 374 | Dave Frohnmayer | President, University of Oregon. Stresses that the earlier |
| | | argument was due to a state agency trying to sue the state of Oregon, and talks about how the current bill does not allow such |
| | | a situation to occur. |
| 397 | Sen. Walker | Comments on certain discrepancies within the story (Exhibit H). |
| 413 | Frohnmayer | Addresses the questions over conflicts with his earlier story. |
| 445 | Chair Burdick | Asks what is different from 1991 when the article was written and the university system today. |
| 460 | Frohnmayer | Stresses that the legal environment has changed drastically since the early 90's. Talks about court cases over the last 30 years altering the relationship between the university system and the |
| T. D. O.C. D. | | legal system. |
| TAPE 96, B | Erohamovor | A divergetor consistency in the legal greatens points out the |
| 032 | Frohnmayer | Advocates consistency in the legal system; points out the possible need for a shared database to be accessed by both the university system and the Attorney General's office. |
| 077 | Chair Burdick | Inquires about a scenario of legal advice from the independent counsels that might be to the detriment of another section |
| | | (another school) of the university system. |
| 081 | Frohnmayer | Replies with information on how the current drafting of the bill will address this issue. |
| 120 | Bryant | Talks about the criticism from individuals who say that the university system fears change, and how this legislation addresses this concern. |
| 128 | Chair Burdick | Asks how the university system would fill these positions. |
| 132 | Frohnmayer | Stresses that this is a cost-saving method, and they will not be |
| | 1 folillinayer | promiscuous in their appointment of positions. |
| 150 | Ed Ray | |
| 150 175 | • | promiscuous in their appointment of positions. President, Oregon State University. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 220 (EXHIBIT G). Discusses where most of the funding comes from for the university system, and how they must work with both private |
| | Ed Ray | promiscuous in their appointment of positions. President, Oregon State University. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 220 (EXHIBIT G). Discusses where most of the funding comes from for the university system, and how they must work with both private sector and state agencies in order to be efficient. Continues the discussion on legal counsel for the university |
| 175 | Ed Ray Ray | promiscuous in their appointment of positions. President, Oregon State University. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 220 (EXHIBIT G). Discusses where most of the funding comes from for the university system, and how they must work with both private sector and state agencies in order to be efficient. |

| 319 | Meyers | to SB 220 (EXHIBIT J). Talks about the problem areas resulting from SB 220. Discusses an interagency cooperation he has proposed to provide counsel to the university system. Comments on Exhibit A from his testimony relating to his refusal to the university system's |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---|
| 370 | Meyers | own proposals (Exhibit J). Addresses the OUS exemption from legal review by the Attorney General in the current bill. States that the reason the Oregon Health Sciences University has its own legal counsel is due to the |
| | | fact that it is no longer a state agency. |
| 430 | Meyers | Discusses the single-state legal policy that Oregon currently use. |
| 462 | Meyers | Comments on the federal model of legal counsel. |
| 495 | Meyers | Talks about a US Senate floor session from the 1800s that addressed this issue. |
| TAPE 97, B | | waarassaa waa issaa. |
| 048 | Chair Burdick | Inquires about a legal sufficiency contract. |
| 049 | Meyers | Responds with information on legal sufficiency. Goes on to detail public contract fiascos resulting from the lack of legal control. |
| 099 | Meyers | Addresses the exemptions issue cited by the university system. |
| 152 | Chair Burdick | Asks if he is willing to have assistant attorney generals on campus for the major universities, and if his problem is with the |
| 1.60 | 3.6 | exemptions from all legal sufficiency within the bill. |
| 168 | Meyers | Responds yes, and talks about the exemptions within SB 220. |
| 186 | Chair Burdick | Inquires about the special training available within the different |
| 190 | Meyers | departments of the attorney generals office. Replies with information on the specific training and experience |
| 190 | Wieyers | in the different sections of his office. |
| 204 | Sen. Prozanski | Comments on the current funding problems with the university |
| 201 | Sen. 1 Tozunski | system and asks if the special assistant attorney general (SAAG) within the universities have been less than satisfactory. |
| 224 | Meyers | Responds with information on the performance of the SAAG. |
| 265 | Sen. Prozanski | Asks who would make the decision on whether a SAAG would be appointed. |
| 271 | Meyers | Replies that there are multiple avenues of authority relating to establishing a SAAG; discusses the different methods. |
| 292 | Sen. Prozanski | Discusses the need for legal decisions to be made on a timely and efficient manner for the universities. Wonders who the SAAG would finally report to; the university or the Attorney General. |
| 332 | Meyers | Addresses the question by talking about his inter-agency proposal, and how it would fix these problems. |
| 375 | Sen. Prozanski | Reiterates his earlier concern about who's authority the SAAG would fall under. |
| 378 | Meyers | Responds that the hiring would be under the Attorney General but the firing would be under the university. |
| 405 | Chair Burdick | Introduces transcripts of the Senate Education Committee on March 17, 2003 and written testimony from Harvey Matthews of the Associated Oregon Industries (EXHIBITS L & M). Closes the public hearing on SB 220 and opens a work session on SB |
| CD 104 WA | DK CECCION | 106. |
| <u>SB 106 – WO</u> 411 | Joe O'Leary | Counsel. Describes SB 106 relating to requiring firefighters and emergency medical technicians to report abuse of elderly persons. Introduces the -1 amendment (EXHIBIT K). |
| | | |

| 466 | Sen. Starr | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 106-1 amendments dated 3/28/05. |
|-----|---------------|---|
| | | VOTE: 5-0-2 |
| | | EXCUSED: 2 - Ringo, Whitsett |
| 470 | Chair Burdick | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 472 | Sen. Starr | MOTION: Moves SB 106 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 5-0-2 |
| | | EXCUSED: 2 - Ringo, Whitsett |
| 475 | Chair Burdick | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| | | SEN. STARR will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 480 | Chair Burdick | Closes the work session on SB 220 and moves SB 401, SB 246, |
| | | SB 641, and SB 273 onto Thursday, April 7, 2005. |
| 497 | Chair Burdick | Adjourns the meeting at 3:00 p.m. |

_

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. SB 844, written testimony, Sen. Ryan Deckert, 3 pp
- B. SB 844, -1 amendment, staff, 16 pp
- C. SB 304, written testimony, Ann Samuelson, 9 pp
- D. SB 220, -3 amendment, staff, 1 p
- E. SB 220, -4 amendment, staff, 1 p
- F. SB 220, written testimony, Daniel Bernstine, 2 pp
- G. SB 220, written testimony, Ed Ray, 1 p
- H. SB 220, "Public Interest Comes First", Sen. Walker, 3 pp
- I. SB 220, written testimony, Neil Bryant, 2 pp
- J. SB 220, written testimony, Hardy Myers, 28 pp
- K. SB 106, -1 amendment, staff, 5 pp
- L. SB 220, Transcript of Senate Education Committee, March 17, 2003, Harvey Mathews, 2 pp
- M. SB 220, written testimony, Harvey Mathews, 3 pp