SENATE REVENUE COMMITTEE MARCH 10, 2005 8:30 AM STATE CAPITOL BUILDING

Members Present:	Senator Ryan Deckert, Chair Senator Rick Metsger Senator Floyd Prozanski
	Senator Charles Starr, Vice Chair

- Members Excused: Senator Gary George
- Witnesses Present: Rep. Greg Macpherson, District 38 Marge Kafoury, City of Portland Barbara Sack, City of Portland Planning Bureau Richie Weinman, City of Eugene Gerald Kubiak, Washington County Al Solheim, Portland real estate developer Ed McNamara, Portland real estate developer
- Staff Present: Paul Warner, Legislative Revenue Officer Lizbeth Martin-Mahar, Economist Mary Ayala, Economist Barbara Guardino, Committee Assistant

TAPE 63, SIDE A

005	Chair Deckert	Calls meeting to order at 8:35 a.m. Committee will begin work on SB
		32 and SB 480. Committee will hear 4 amendments on SB 480 then
		recess until 10 a.m. to await a full committee.

WORK SESSION, SB 32

037 Lizbeth Martin-Mahar Dept. of Revenue introduced this bill to give them rules to waive penalties on taxpayers for good and sufficient causes that result due to a noncompliance incident being a first offense. Expands definition. Addresses concerns of Sen. George.

WORK SESSION, SB 480055Paul WarnerGives overview of SB 480-2 amendments (EXHIBIT 1). Language in
the first section is "Voluntary Compliance Initiative". First major
change is page 9, Section 15, defines effective date.

076	Warner	Page 10, Section 4, deficiency notice
		Page 11, increase from 8 to 10 years

- 083 Warner Page 18, "General Tax Amnesty Program" Page 19, subject to penalty
- 109 Warner Page 21, Section 22 (d), date payments are to be completed
- 111 Warner Summarizes, taxpayers who have not been issued a notice of deficiency will have penalties and interest waived if they come

	forward. Those who have received a notice of deficiency would be eligible under -2 amendments, and would have penalties waived, but not interest.
Warner	Page 24, Section (4) is similar to SB 32 in terms of flexibility.
Warner	Begins review of SB 480-3 amendments (EXHIBIT 2). Key difference is on page 20, Section 22 (3), line 8. Eliminates those who are already in the system.
Warner	Directs members' attention to Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation (EXHIBIT 3) Compares -2 and -3 amendments. Front section of this bill deals with the -2 amendments; the back defines VCI. Net revenue from unidentified taxpayers is \$10.1 million. Add these together to equal \$21.4 million for 2005-07. That's the -3 amendments.
Sen. Prozanski	Asks if either version addresses a possible nominal penalty that the committee discussed.
Warner	Responds, they do not.
Chair Deckert	Favors -3 amendments. Chair intends to recess on SB 480 in order to examine SB 839. Then the committee will decide whether to work SB 480 or not.
Rep. Greg Macpherson	Testifies in favor of SB 480-4 amendments. He has introduced a similar bill in the House. It is broken into two bills, HB 2486 and HB 2487. Senate Revenue has already picked up HB 2486 in the other amendments, dealing with abusive tax shelters. HB 2487, companion bill, has ideas aimed at the general goal of improving tax compliance, mainly for improved fairness.
Rep. Macpherson	SB 480-4 amendments pick up the elements that were in HB 2487. There are four specific ideas and a fifth, general authorizing provision.
Rep. Macpherson	Sections 8-10 apply income tax withholding to certain retirement distributions that are now subject to an elective withholding rule. Withholding distribution from the source – such as a 401(k) – gets the money up front and assures the tax is collected.
Rep. Macpherson	Sections 11-12, pages 4-6. Provides withholding of income tax on capital gains transactions in real estate located in Oregon. Aimed at out-of-state property owners. They owe Oregon income tax when they sell. Deals with larger transactions.
Rep. Macpherson	Page 4, Section 11 (2), 4% withholding dollars out of escrow.
Rep. Macpherson	Pages 6-8, Sections 13-18, deals with mechanisms for collecting local income taxes. Adds one line to tax Form 440. Uses existing mechanisms. DOR administration costs would be reimbursed out of revenue collected.

```
354 Chair Deckert Asks, why change Form 440 just for one county?
```

360	Rep. Macpherson	It wouldn't be just for one county. Explains, counties would have to request it.
435	Rep. Macpherson	Sections 21-22 authorize state agencies to require evidence of income tax compliance as a condition for issuance or renewal of a license.
470	Rep. Macpherson	Sections 23-24 give broader authority to DOR to adopt rules providing additional income tax withholding.
490	Sen. Prozanski	Asks how these three amendments would work with one another.
509	Warner	The -4 amendments are currently a separate bill, HB 2487.
511	Chair Deckert	Committee will decide whether to adopt -2 or -3, and will then consider -4 separately. Asks Rep. Macpherson to visit with committee members to get a sense of where they are in regard to this bill. If/when the committee returns in a quorum, Chair will allow people to weigh in on the -4's, especially if there are objections. Recesses work session on SB 480 until 9:45 a.m. Opens public hearing on SB 839.
TAPE 64		
001	<u>HEARING, SB 839</u> Mary Ayala	Gives overview of SB 839. See Revenue Impact Statement (EXHIBIT 5). Extends the sunset provision from January 2006 to January 2016 for a statute that provides a property tax exemption for qualified multifamily units that are rented to households that satisfy low-income requirements.
008	Ayala	Continues explaining exhibit 5, page 2, Staff Measure Summary. Points out error in Background, paragraph 1: "0 years" should be "10 years".
043	Marge Kafoury	Reads letter for the record in support of SB 839. Unico Properties, Seattle, Washington, owner and operator of the U.S. BanCorp tower in downtown Portland. Reviewing a development opportunity in Portland for construction of workforce multifamily housing. The project is made feasible by continuation of the 10-year tax exemption program.
059	Barbara Sack	Testifies in favor of SB 839 on behalf of the Portland Planning Bureau. SB 839 extends the sunset from 2006 to 2016. See written testimony, verbatim (EXHIBIT 6).
102	Chair Deckert	Asks for an income breakdown on 1,000 units. Follow-up comments.
127	Sack	City of Portland has affordability requirements for rental housing projects. Requires developers to make 20% of units affordable to households at or below 60% median family income. Tax exemption has been used in conjunction with other subsidies to help fund low-and mixed-income projects.
144	Sen. Prozanski	Asks, are dollars being maximized for those who need the most assistance?

151	Sack	Points out, there are assessments for historic properties. Under this program there would be a tax exemption but it's under a state program and there would be no price limits on the units. City program has price caps and buyer income limits.
165	Chair Deckert	Asks, what is the price cap?
177	Sack	Responds, it is 95% of FHA's maximum mortgage amount, so this year a unit could not be priced higher than \$203,000. In central city, the program has been mostly used to support rental housing units. Responds to follow-up questions.
209	Sack	Concludes, City of Portland urges committee to support this bill.
229	Chair Deckert	Expresses concern that property taxes go to important purposes, so any exemption has to meet a pretty high test.
248	Sen. Prozanski	Asks Ms. Sack to provide criteria used to establish percentage of housing that has to meet threshold and how it is determined.
245	Sack	Will get back with that information.
324	Richie Weinman	Testifies in support of SB 839. See written testimony (EXHIBIT 7). In Eugene the multi-family housing that gets built wouldn't happen without this exemption. In the past, projects have been built on bare land, so no loss as a result because no tax exemption anyway. Projects have helped Eugene's downtown and university areas. This is a local option, so school districts have supported it. After M50 passed, Eugene put a moratorium on projects, and no projects were built. Proved without the exemption it didn't work.
380	Chair Deckert	Asks how the city consults with school and fire districts.
385	Weinman	City asks taxing districts to agree with these projects. They recognize they are foregoing almost nothing in the short-term and get a windfall in the long-term.
420	Weinman	This is the only incentive program to help level the playing field. It is not a windfall for developers. The idea is to encourage compact urban growth, not so much a low income housing program.
434	Weinman	Encourages extension of the sunset and wonders why there's a sunset at all. It's been extended three times.
443	Chair Deckert	Does not support another extension. Legislators are scouring every area of government to find money for school funding.
469	Weinman	Responds, this adds to the long-term tax role.
TAPE 63, SIDE B		
022	Gerald Kubiak	Testifies in favor of SB 839. Washington County has not used this mechanism in the past. Other jurisdictions have been successful, and Washington County could use this in the future with opening of Wilsonville-Beaverton commuter rail line and Highway 217 corridor redevelopment.

044	Al Solheim	Testifies in favor of bill. Investor in Portland's River/Pearl District. Active in Pearl since 1980. Today, Pearl is one of the most successful urban renewal districts in country. Critical to its success has been this tax abatement program. Gives example of a program that won't go forward without the extension of this program.
082	Ed McNamara	Urges the committee to support this extension for at least 10 years. Gives examples of what would happen without this tool. Developers aren't making money, it's not a subsidy, it's an incentive. It allows them to borrow more money.
144	McNamara	Continues testimony with an example of a Portland project, 210 units for moderate income central city workers. Most units have income restrictions for 60 years. Tax abatement for 10 years is only for the improvements, and it lowered developer's property tax by \$100,000 and allowed them to borrow an additional \$1.5 million.
180	Chair Deckert	Asks, what is the total cost of the project?
184	McNamara	Responds, \$30 million. There's not enough cash flow to justify finding another investor to put in another \$1.5 million. There are limited resources to support affordable housing. Concludes, the city makes a modest investment and gets a lot out of it.
195	McNamara	Appreciates the committee's concern about looking for school dollars. Some of these projects take 3-4 years. If this program sunsets, he can't count on those dollars being there to finish this project. Asks for a 10- year extension.
215	Chair Deckert	Test is whether a project would occur otherwise. The purpose of a sunset is to allow lawmakers to review the law to determine if it is a good use of money.
213	McNamara	Does not object to a sunset. Less than 10 years would undercut the success of this program.
218	Al Solheim	Agrees.
242	Chair Deckert	Asks, why did 1999 legislators allow a 2006 sunset rather than pushing it out a few more years?
255	Solheim	Many projects are critical to passage of this bill.
268	Chair Deckert	Committee will think about this bill long and hard before making a decision.
289	Chair Deckert	Closes public hearing on SB 839. Recesses meeting to await a quorum. Reopens work session for SB 480.
	ESSION, SB 480	
290	Sen. C. Starr	MOTION: MOVES ADOPTION OF SB 480-3.
294	Chair Deckert	ORDER: THERE BEING NO OBJECTION THE CHAIR SO ORDERS.

		VOTE: 4-0-1 MEMBERS VOTING AYE: METSGER, PROZANSKI, C. STARR, CHAIR DECKERT MEMBERS EXCUSED: GEORGE
301	Sen. C. Starr	MOTION: MOVES ADOPTION OF SB 480-4.
304	Chair Deckert	ORDER: THERE BEING NO OBJECTION THE CHAIR SO ORDERS. VOTE: 4-0-1 MEMBERS VOTING AYE: METSGER, PROZANSKI, C. STARR, CHAIR DECKERT MEMBERS EXCUSED: GEORGE
308	Sen. C. Starr	MOTION: MOVES SB 480 AS AMENDED TO THE SENATE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.
310	Sen. Prozanski	Discussion on moving SB 480 to floor. Would have preferred a nominal penalty for individuals who have intentionally not paid their taxes. Will support this bill but hopes lawmakers are not sending out a wrong message. Those who protest government actions to the point of "civil disobedience" will normally take the penalty.
345	Chair Deckert	The House will revisit this bill and will deliberate on this issue.
356	Chair Deckert	ROLE CALL VOTE: 4-0-1 MEMBERS VOTING AYE: METSGER, PROZANSKI, C. STARR, CHAIR DECKERT MEMBERS EXCUSED: GEORGE
364	Chair Deckert	Adjourns meeting at 10:00 a.m.

Tape Log Submitted by,

Barbara Guardino, Committee Assistant

Exhibit Summary:

- 1. SB 480, (LC 2364) -2 amendments, 3/10/05, Warner, 25 pp.
- 2. SB 480, (LC 2364) -3 amendments, 3/10/05, Warner, 25 pp.
- 3. SB 480, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation for SB 480-2, 3/10/05, Warner, 2 pp.
- 4. SB 480, (LC 2364) -4 amendments, 3/10/05, Macpherson, 11 pp.
- 5. SB 839, Revenue Impact of Proposed Legislation, 3/10/05, Ayala, 2 pp.
- 6. SB 839, Testimony, Senate Committee on Revenue by City of Portland, Bureau of Planning, March 10, 2005, Senate Bill 839, Sack, 3 pp.
- 7. SB 839, Testimony of Richard Weinman, City of Eugene, Urban Services Manager, before the Senate Revenue Committee, March 10, 2005, Weinman, 3 pp.
- 8. SB 839, Pearl District Neighborhood Association letter from Patricia Gardner, Chair, Pearl District Planning & Transportation, Gardner, 1 pp.
- 9. SB 839, Metro, letter from Council President David Bragdon, 3/8/05, Bragdon, 1 pp.
- 10. SB 839, Trammell Crow Residential letter to Senator Ryan Deckert RE: Senate Bill 839, Multiple

Housing Statutes ORS 307.600-.691, March 9, 2005, Hinnen, 1 pp.

- 11. SB 839, REACH Community Development Inc., letter to Senator Ryan Deckert from Dee Walsh, March 8, 2005, Walsh, 1 pp.
- 12. SB 839, Gordon C. Jones, letter to Senate Committee on Revenue RE: SB 839, March 8, 2005, Jones, 2 pp.
- 13. SB 480, SB480 Response by Tax Fairness Oregon, 3/8/05, Wiser, 3 pp.