SENATE COMMITTEE ON RULES

March 15, 2005 8:30 A.M. Hearing Room 343 Tapes 28 - 29

| MEMBERS PRESENT: | Sen. Kate Brown, Chair Sen. Ted Ferrioli, Vice-Chair Sen. Jason Atkinson Sen. Frank Shields |
|------------------|--|
| MEMBER EXCUSED: | Sen. Charlie Ringo |
| STAFF PRESENT: | Tiffany Harris, Committee Administrator Patricia Nielsen, Committee Assistant |

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:

SJR 7 – Public Hearing SB 606 – Public Hearing SCR 3 – Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|-------------------|----------------------------|--|
| TAPE 28, A | Speaker | comments |
| 005 | Chair Brown | Calls the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. Opens a public hearing on SJR 7. |
| SJR 7 – PUBI | LIC HEARING | |
| 010 | Tiffany Harris | Committee Administrator. Presents overview of SJR 7. |
| 020 | Sen. Frank Morse | Senate District 8. Testifies in support of SJR 7. Submits a political cartoon describing increase in spending (EXHIBIT A) and a written analysis of Oregon Fiscal Policy (EXHIBIT B). Discusses advantages of increased voter awareness. Describes increased burdens on the General Fund since 1990. Discusses |
| | | benchmarks of Oregon compared to western states. |
| 100 | Chair Brown | Asks who produced the cartoons. |
| 105 | Sen. Morse | Explains he had the cartoon drawn to visualize the increased burden on the General Fund. |
| 115 | Sen. Betsy Johnson | Senate District 16. Testifies in support of SJR 7. Concurs with Sen. Morse's remarks. |
| 125 | Sen. Ferrioli | Asks whether a legislative advisory or a legislative analysis would have changed the outcome of any initiatives. |
| 130 160 | Sen. Morse Sen. Johnson | Responds it would be impossible to answer but voter information is good. Continues that as it now exists the information is in the control of special interests and how they choose to characterize it. Suggests passing initiatives through the public hearing process, and the voters would know what the legislature recommends. Points out that the only negative is the process might be slowed down. Explains the process. Adds that a forum for discussion would be provided outside the |

| 180 | Chair Brown | assist in judicial interpretation. Suggests that perhaps there would have been a better outcome on Ballot Measure 37 if there had been a conversation to discuss the intent of the crafters. |
|------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 185 195 | Sen. Johnson Sen. Ferrioli | Agrees. Continues that it is important to create a neutral forum. Asks again if SJR 7 would have made any difference in the |
| 200 | Sen. Morse | passage of any initiatives. Responds it is possible. Believes that better informed people make better decisions. |
| 210 | Sen. Ferrioli | States that the initiative process is a right of the people. Believes that citizens' level of trust in the legislature is not persuasive. Suggests SJR 7 is an impingement on the citizens' right to pursue the initiative process. Advises there are already ways for legislators to participate in the current process. |
| 255 | Chair Brown | Inquires about a similar process in Washington State. |
| 260 | Sen. Morse | Has not researched that process. Responds that SJR 7 does not change the initiative process, but only slows it down. Continues that any initiative would be highly scrutinized. Believes that an unfettered initiative process undermines representative government. |
| 315 | Chair Brown | Asks if by having legislature-discussed proposals citizens would be able to participate and possibly change the course of the debate which isn't allowed in the initiative process. |
| 330 | Sen. Morse | Answers that the right to hold public hearings would be reserved. |
| 340 | Chair Brown | Comments the legislature could be more proactive. |
| 342 | Sen. Morse | Confirms. |
| 350 | Sen. Johnson | Refers to other changes to the process which actually improved citizen access. Asks for clarification on Sen. Ferrioli's objection to having a wider forum for discussions. |
| 360 | Sen. Ferrioli | Discusses an initiative he sponsored to elect judges by districts, which was aimed at increasing access to the bench by eastern Oregon citizens. Suggests it would not have survived the proposed process. Expresses concern that initiatives would be sidetracked and asks whether the voters would approve of this measure. |
| 400 | Sen. Morse | Has not done any polling, but believes voters would be receptive to creating a more thoughtful process. |
| 410 | Chair Brown | Discusses some examples of measures that have taken into consideration the needs of rural communities in the election process. |
| 430 | Sen. Ferrioli | Sees the initiative process as co-equal and can't support imposing a prior restraint on it. |
| TAPE 29, A | | |
| 010 | Sen. Morse | Suggests populist budgeting does not work and the cumulative effect of all of the initiatives has prevented the legislature from being responsive to change. Continues that initiatives with indeterminate fiscal consequences must be reviewed, but this does not denigrate the role of citizens to present initiatives. |
| 030 | Sen. Shields | Discusses his experience since 1992. Suggests the voters did not really understand how the initiatives that were passed would affect the budgets for education or social services. Asks about |

| | | other scenarios. |
|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 060 | Sen. Morse | Reiterates populist budgeting does not allow voters to make |
| | | informed choices about unfunded mandates. Continues that the |
| | | legislative process requires a balanced approach. Refers to |
| | | changes in spending due to ballot measures with unfunded |
| 095 | Chair Brown | mandates. |
| 093 | Chall Blown | Asks what percentage of the increased per capita spending since 1990 is due to ballot measures. |
| 100 | Sen. Morse | Responds 100 percent. Suggests leadership is needed to create a |
| 100 | Sell. WI015C | more informed populist vote. |
| 110 | Sen. Shields | Inquires who is most opposed to this concept. |
| 112 | Sen. Morse | Replies, those with special interests as they would not be in favor |
| | | of slowing the process down. |
| 125 | Sen. Shields | Asks if this had been in place when Ballot Measure 5 was |
| | | proposed, could it have been amended to consider a funding |
| | | source, or an alternative measure proposed. |
| 150 | Sen. Morse | Answers the legislature should not have a right to change an |
| | | initiative, but if it is important enough, the citizens have a right to |
| | | ask the legislature to respond to a current issue. Discusses Ballot |
| | | Measure 37. |
| 175 | Chair Brown | Asks about making statutory changes to respond to the issues |
| 190 | San Manaa | without a ballot measure. |
| 180 185 | Sen. Morse Sen. Johnson | Answers they would give that a chance. Adds that in her constituency voters tend to be unaware of fiscal |
| 165 | Sell. Johnson | consequences of ballot measures, so if SJR 7 does only that much |
| | | it would be worth it. |
| 195 | Sen. Ferrioli | Suggests not all participants in the initiative process are special |
| 1,0 | | interests. Comments that SJR 7 is meant to change outcomes, |
| | | which is not appropriate. Believes analysis is already possible by |
| | | Legislative Fiscal and Legislative Revenue Offices and be |
| | | presented in the voters' pamphlet. Reiterates objection to placing |
| | | a prior restraint to slow the process down. |
| 225 | Sen. Johnson | Disagrees changed outcomes are the goal. |
| 235 | Chair Brown | Comments on spending increases due to initiatives not legislative |
| 245 | C M | spending. |
| 245 | Sen. Morse | Discusses the budget process and the complexity of funding |
| | | sources. Explains in the 1990s the cost of the initiatives was |
| | | masked by the booming economy, but now there is insufficient revenue to support the costs of those mandates. States voters |
| | | need to be accountable for the choices they make. |
| 285 | Chair Brown | Closes the public hearing on SJR 7 and opens a public hearing on |
| | | SB 606. |
| <u>SB 606 – PUB</u> | LIC HEARING | |
| 295 | Tiffany Harris | Committee Administrator. Presents overview of SB 606. |
| 305 | Sen. Jeff Kruse | Senate District 1. Testifies in support of SB 606. Discusses the |
| | | importance of public access to information about political |
| | | campaign activities. Explains there is software available to input |
| | | data on the internet, which would provide analysis and |
| | | compilation of the raw data input by candidates. Discusses |
| 290 | Son Vruga | potential costs. |
| 380 | Sen. Kruse | Continues that instant reporting is campaign finance reform. Offers to work with the Secretary of State on the details of the |
| | | reporting system. |
| 405 | Chair Brown | Introduces and welcomes visiting students from Oregon |
| 100 | | intervences and wereomes visiting students from Oregon |

| 415 | Chair Brown | Episcopal School. Asks about the need to provide public information on a weekly basis. |
|-------------------|---------------------|---|
| 420 | Sen. Kruse | Responds publishing the information would provide the public true information about who, or what special interest, might be influencing a campaign. |
| TAPE 28, I | B | |
| 010 | Chair Brown | Asks if there would be difficulties reporting on a weekly basis. |
| 015 | Sen. Kruse | Explains his own recording service is doing it now. Continues it would require more diligence on the part of candidates. |
| 025 | Chair Brown | Inquires if the goal is to regain public trust and since this method is not difficult, why not make it mandatory. |
| 030 | Sen. Kruse | Assumes there is an initial cost to participate and did not want to place any financial barrier on candidates. |
| 045 | Sen. Ferrioli | Suggests the cost of software might not be an additional burden. |
| 060 | Sen. Kruse | Comments this would not cover local elections because they do not report to the Secretary of State. Describes the differences between the current electronic filing system and the proposed system. |
| 085 | Andi Miller | Director, Common Cause Oregon. Testifies and submits written testimony in opposition to SB 606 (EXHIBIT C). Indicates approval of the weekly electronic filing but disagrees with deleting the occupation or employer of contributors. |
| 100 | Sen. Ferrioli | Asks if they have discussed their concerns with the proponents of SB 606. Inquires if amendments have been requested. |
| 105 | Miller | Explains their strong support of SB 160 which is better. |
| 115 | Chair Brown | Closes public hearing on SB 606. Reopens the public hearing on SJR 7. |
| - SJR 7 – PL | BLIC HEARING | |
| 120 | Kappy Eaton | League of Women Voters of Oregon. Testifies and submits |
| | | written testimony in support of SJR 7 (EXHIBIT D). Discusses the need for better public information in the initiative process and alternatives available to improve the process. Offers to participate in further discussions. |
| 170 | Sen. Ferrioli | Asks for a copy of the League's study on direct democracy. |
| 175 | Eaton | Replies that they did a study on the initiative process and will provide that information. |
| 180 | Sen. Ferrioli | Comments that access and simplicity are key in trying to increase participation in direct democracy. Worries that there is a move to make the initiative process less accessible, more complex and difficult. |
| 200 | Eaton | Responds the focus of their study was to see if the initiative process could be improved. |
| 215 | Sen. Ferrioli | Urges awareness that some want to "raise the bar" on qualifying initiatives and in the participation of direct democracy. |
| 225 | Chair Brown | Closes the public hearing on SJR 7. Invites visiting students forward. Opens a public hearing on SCR 3. |
| | UBLIC HEARING | |
| 240 | Tiffany Harris | Committee Administrator. Describes SCR 3. |
| 255 | Sen. Jason Atkinson | Senate District 2. Testifies in support of SCR 3. Discusses current events in Iraq and his interest in establishing a relationship with Kurdistan. Describes the development of a new |

| | | government in Kurdistan. |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| 345 | Sergio Zenisek | Oregon Episcopal School. Asks how many sister state |
| | ~ 8 | relationships can exist simultaneously. |
| 350 | Sen. Atkinson | Responds it is unlimited. Discusses relationships with Peoples |
| 274 | | Republic of China and Republic of China (Taiwan). |
| 374 | Chair Brown | Interjects that some sister state relationships are established for economic reasons and others for general purposes. Comments on |
| | | the City of Portland sister cities. |
| 380 | Meital Singer | Oregon Episcopal School. Asks about other benefits to sister |
| | 6 | state relationships. |
| 385 | Sen. Atkinson | Discusses possible benefits of cultural and business interactions. |
| 415 | Chris Myers | Teacher, Oregon Episcopal School. Inquires if there is a cost |
| | | and, if so, where the revenue comes from. Inquires about the process to create a concurrent resolution. |
| 425 | Sen. Atkinson | Responds there is no fiscal impact on SCR 3. Describes the |
| 125 | Sen. / texinoon | process of passage of bills, memorials and resolutions. |
| | | |
| TAPE 29, B | | |
| 010 | Paul Choi | Oregon Episcopal School. Asks whether people with prejudice |
| 0.20 | C 4:1: | against Iraqi people would object to this relationship. |
| 020 | Sen. Atkinson | Answers that is one reason to develop the relationships. Discusses how prejudices have changed over the past 50 years. |
| 035 | Sen. Ferrioli | Adds that prejudice is related to fear and anger. Comments that |
| 055 | Sen. i enion | all politics are personal expression of beliefs. Believes that sister |
| | | relationships dissipate fear and build trust and are the best |
| | | reasons to do them. |
| 055 | Singer | Asks how it is decided to have a sister relationship. |
| 060 | Sen. Atkinson | Responds with a variety of ways. Explains how this one came through an overseas connection. |
| 070 | Chair Brown | Discusses how students in Tillamook, Oregon, got the legislature |
| 070 | | to declare milk the official state beverage. |
| 075 | Jacob Reisberg | Oregon Episcopal School. Inquires if Kurdistan has to pass a |
| | ~ | similar bill. |
| 080 | Sen. Atkinson | Answers no but some official action would help. |
| 085 | Reisberg | Asks if there other sister relationships in that area and, if not, whether others would object. |
| 090 | Sen. Atkinson | Is aware of no other relationships at present and does not think |
| | ~ | there would be objections. |
| 093 | Chair Brown | Closes the public hearing and opens a work session on SCR 3. |
| <u>SCR 3 – WOR</u> | | |
| 095 | Sen. Atkinson | MOTION: Moves SCR 3 be sent to the floor with a BE ADOPTED recommendation. |
| 100 | | VOTE: 3-0-2 |
| | | AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. |
| | | EXCUSED: 2 - Ringo, Shields |
| 104 | Chair Brown | The motion CARRIES. |
| 105 | | SEN. ATKINSON will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 105 | Chair Brown | Closes the work session on SCR 3. Adjourns the meeting at 10:05 a.m. |
| | | 10.0 <i>J</i> a .111. |

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- B. SJR 7, Oregon fiscal policy benchmarks, Sen. Frank Morse, 1 p
- C. SB 606, written testimony, Andi Miller, 1 p D. SJR 7, written testimony, Kappy Eaton, 1 p