SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

March 02, 2005 3:10 P.M. Hearing Room C Tapes 27 - 29

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Sen. Joanne Verger, Chair
	Sen. Doug Whitsett, Vice-Chair
	Sen. Rick Metsger
	Sen. Floyd Prozanski
	Sen. Bruce Starr
STAFF PRESENT:	Judith Callens, Committee Administrator
	Gary Roulier, Committee Assistant
MEASURES/ISSUES HEA	ADD.
WIEASUKES/ISSUES HEA	AND: SP 9/7 Public Hearing

SB 842 – Public Hearing Metropolitan Planning Organizations – Informational Meeting SB 595 – Public Hearing SB 373 – Public Hearing and Work Session SB 685 – Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 27, A		
003	Chair Verger	Opens the meeting at 3:14 p.m. and opens a public hearing on SB 842.
<u>SB 842 – PU</u>	BLIC HEARING	
004	Chair Verger	Recesses the meeting at 3:15 p.m.
005	Chair Verger	Reconvenes the meeting at 3:18 p.m.
008	Judith Callens	Administrator. Explains the provisions of the bill.
011	Sen. Ryan Deckert	Senate District 14. Presents written testimony (EXHIBIT A) and testifies in support of SB 842.
061	Sen. Ben Westlund	Senate District 27. Testifies in opposition to SB 842. Notes that in some parts of the state, studded tires are a necessity for safety.
107	Sen. Ted Ferrioli	Senate District 30. Testifies in opposition to SB 842. States that this issue has been visited in previous legislative sessions.
163	Sen. Ferrioli	Adds that this bill will be referred to Ways and Means.
183	John Jackley	 Executive Officer, Highway Division, Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT). Presents written testimony (EXHIBIT B) and a report entitled "Studded Tire Use in Oregon" (EXHIBIT C) and testifies that ODOT is neutral on SB 842.
213	Chair Verger	Asks if he agrees with the estimate of \$11 million of annual damage to the roads.
215	Jackley	Agrees that is probably a good estimate of the damage.
217	Chair Verger	Notes that this bill would provide additional revenue to the state highway fund.
220	Jackley	Reviews how the funds would be collected and disbursed.
224	Sen. Whitsett	Asks if there has been a recent study on the damage caused by

220	T 11	studded tires.
228	Jackley	Responds that a study was conducted in 2000.
233	Sen. Metsger	Reviews a Washington state study that demonstrates that
		stopping distances on dry pavement is greater when studded tires are installed.
253	Inaklay	
233	Jackley	Notes that he does not have that particular data but will provide it to the committee.
259	Sen. Metsger	Points out that several states have banned studded tires.
268	Jackley	Responds that he will provide that information to the committee
200	Suckiey	as well.
275	Mark Nelson	Les Schwab Tire Centers. Presents written testimony (EXHIBIT
		D) and a report entitled "Socio-Economic Effects of Studded Tire
		Use on Alaska" (EXHIBIT E) and testifies in opposition to SB
		842.
336	Nelson	Reviews a study that examined studded tire use in Alaska.
377	Nelson	Observes that some people are not physically able to install
		chains on their vehicles.
TAPE 28, A		
005	Nelson	Notes that we must consider the total costs, i.e., accidents and
		increased insurance rates, and not just the cost of the damage to
007		roads. Adds that this is also a fairness issue.
027	Sen. Whitsett	Asks about the traction differences between snow tires and
040	Malaan	studded tires on packed or wet snow and ice.
040	Nelson	Answers by reviewing the unique climate of eastern Oregon, specifically that the snow melts during the day and then freezes
		during the night.
051	Sen. Metsger	Discusses the prices of studded tires with the witness. Adds that
001	ben. Wietsger	the bill's intent is to act as a disincentive and the proposed fee
		should not be a hardship to users of studded tires.
088	Nelson	Restates his belief that the additional fee would mean some
		people would not use the studded tires.
097	Rep. Terry Beyer	House District 12. Testifies in support of SB 842. Reviews an
		examination of this issue during the interim.
141	Rep. Beyer	Continues a summary of past efforts on this issue and reviews
		ODOT estimates of damage to roads due to studded tires.
175	Rep. Beyer	States that people drive faster in bad conditions with studded
100		tires.
183	Sen. Metsger	Thanks Rep. Beyer for her work during the interim.
189	Rep. Dave Hunt	House District 40. Testifies in support of SB 842.
227	Sen. Prozanski	Asks if there was a discussion during the interim on a ban in specified areas of the state.
244	Rep. Beyer	Reviews discussions but notes that no consensus was reached.
271	Sen. Whitsett	Reviews the type of road conditions at higher elevations.
298	Rep. Beyer	Restates that this bill would not take away anyone's choice to
270	nop. Doyor	utilize studded tires.
305	Rep. Hunt	Notes that those drivers causing the most damage to the roads do
	. L	not live in the areas of higher elevation.
319	Sen. Whitsett	Asks why a fee should be assessed to those who really need the
		tires.
325	Rep. Rosenbaum	Emphasizes that the fee would be assessed on anyone purchasing
		studded tires.
351	Glen Stonebrink	Oregon Cattlemen's Association. Testifies in opposition to SB
105		842.
405	Stonebrink	States that this fee would be a discrimination against those who

		live in the eastern portion of the state.
TAPE 27, B		
011	Stonebrink	Discusses safety issues.
033	Chair Verger	Closes the public hearing and opens an informational meeting on
		the Metropolitan Planning Organizations.
		NIZATIONS – INFORMATIONAL MEETING
039	Betty Griffiths	Councilor, City of Covallis. Presents a PowerPoint presentation
		(EXHIBIT F) and an information packet consisting of a roster of
		Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) and resolutions
000		related to transportation (EXHIBIT G).
098	Michael Quilty	Councilman, City of Central Point. Continues an explanation of
		the multi-modal concerns of the MPO and their federal
150	Dan Clem	requirements.
152	Dan Clem	Councilor, City of Salem. Continues a discussion of the MPO strategies related to multi-modal programs.
197	Rex Burkholder	Councilor, Metro. Reviews the twenty-year regional
197	Kex Durkholder	transportation plans of the MPOs.
247	Burkholder	Reviews the bottlenecks situated within the Portland area.
287	Chair Verger	Closes the informational meeting and opens a public hearing on
201	chun verger	SB 595.
<u>SB 595 – PUBL</u>	IC HEARING	
293	Callens	Reviews the provisions of the bill.
314	Sen. Betsy Johnson	Senate District 16. Testifies in support of SB 595.
363	Mark Gibson	Timberland Logging. Presents written testimony (EXHIBIT H)
		and testifies in support of SB 595.
TAPE 28, B		
003	Gibson	Reviews how refueling operations are conducted when
		helicopters respond to a forest fire.
038	Chair Verger	Asks if the fuel truck drivers work for the helicopter company.
041	Gibson	Responds with an explanation of how refueling operations are
0.50	C 111 · · ·	carried out in fire fighting operations.
059	Sen. Whitsett	Asks how the reduction of the availability of fixed wing fire
050	Gibson	fighting aircraft has affected their operations.
059	GIUSOII	Comments on the availability of federal air tankers and how those operations differ from helicopter fire fighting operations.
081	Sen. Whitsett	Relates an experience fighting fires where the fuel truck was
081	Sell. Willisett	caught in the fire.
089	Sen. Johnson	Stresses the importance of the right combination of assets to fight
007	Sen. Johnson	fires.
108	Chair Verger	Closes the public hearing on SB 595.
114	Sen. Metsger	Asks if heavy trucks are allowed to use studded tires.
120	Bob Russell	President, Oregon Trucking Association. Responds that heavy
		trucks are not allowed to use studded tires.
125	Chair Verger	Opens a public hearing on SB 373.
<u>SB 373 – PUBL</u>	IC HEARING	
132	Callens	Explains the provisions of SB 373, and presents the -3
		amendments (EXHIBIT I).
167	Tricia Smith	Oregon School Employees Association. Testifies in support of
		SB 373 and the intent of the -3 amendments.
213	John Stubenvoll	Communications Director, Oregon Liquor Control Commission
		(OLCC). Testifies in support of SB 373 and notes that the type
240	Son Mater	of OLCC employees covered is narrowly defined.
249	Sen. Metsger	Asks if OLCC has done any analysis of how many employees
		would be protected under this measure.

253	Stubenvoll	Responds that he has spoken to individual staff members that have been threatened.
269	Chair Verger	Closes the public hearing and opens a work session on SB 373.
<u>SB 373 – WOI</u>		
287	Sen. Prozanski	MOTION: Moves to AMEND SB 373 on page 2, in line 32, after "district attorney," insert "as defined in ORS 131.005 ".
		VOTE: 4-0-1
		AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
		EXCUSED: 1 - B. Starr
	Chair Verger	The motion CARRIES.
327	Sen. Whitsett	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 373-3 amendments dated 2/28/05.
		VOTE: 4-0-1
		AYE:In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.EXCUSED:1 - B. Starr
	Chair Verger	The motion CARRIES.
351	Sen. Whitsett	MOTION: Moves SB 373 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
360	Sen. Prozanski	Declares a possible conflict of interest as he is a district attorney
		and therefore affected by the provisions of the bill.
365		VOTE: 4-0-1
		AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
	Chain Vangan	EXCUSED: 1 - B. Starr
	Chair Verger	The motion CARRIES. SEN. PROZANSKI will lead discussion on the floor.
371	Chair Verger	Closes the work session and opens a public hearing on SB 685.
	LIC HEARING	closes the work session and opens a public hearing on ob 005.
375	Callens	Explains the provisions of the bill.
385	Ken McDermott	Legislative Assistant for Sen. Charles Starr. Testifies in support
		of SB 685.
TAPE 29, A		
002	McDermott	Continues with an explanation of the intent of the bill.
013	Jackley	Presents an information packet containing written testimony and
		a report entitled "U-Turn Feasibility Study Report" (EXHIBIT
040		
040	Chair Verger	J) and testifies in support of SB 685.
	Chair Verger	J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U-
043	C C	J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U- turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns.
043 050	Chair Verger Jackley Sen. Prozanski	J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U- turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns. Explains the differences.
	Jackley	J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U- turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns.
050	Jackley Sen. Prozanski	 J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U-turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns. Explains the differences. Summarizes the existing law and the suggested changes.
050 065 069	Jackley Sen. Prozanski Chair Verger	 J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U-turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns. Explains the differences. Summarizes the existing law and the suggested changes. Asks why the estimated costs are so high. Responds with an explanation of the inspections of intersections and other analysis by traffic personnel that would be necessary.
050 065 069 080	Jackley Sen. Prozanski Chair Verger Jackley Chair Verger	 J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U- turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns. Explains the differences. Summarizes the existing law and the suggested changes. Asks why the estimated costs are so high. Responds with an explanation of the inspections of intersections and other analysis by traffic personnel that would be necessary. Comments that any change seems to have a high cost attached.
050 065 069 080 089	Jackley Sen. Prozanski Chair Verger Jackley Chair Verger Jackley	 J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U- turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns. Explains the differences. Summarizes the existing law and the suggested changes. Asks why the estimated costs are so high. Responds with an explanation of the inspections of intersections and other analysis by traffic personnel that would be necessary. Comments that any change seems to have a high cost attached. Reviews some of the safety concerns at intersections.
050 065 069 080 089 098	Jackley Sen. Prozanski Chair Verger Jackley Chair Verger Jackley McDermott	 J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U- turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns. Explains the differences. Summarizes the existing law and the suggested changes. Asks why the estimated costs are so high. Responds with an explanation of the inspections of intersections and other analysis by traffic personnel that would be necessary. Comments that any change seems to have a high cost attached. Reviews some of the safety concerns at intersections. Explains the original intent of the bill.
050 065 069 080 089 098 109	Jackley Sen. Prozanski Chair Verger Jackley Chair Verger Jackley McDermott Chair Verger	 J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U- turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns. Explains the differences. Summarizes the existing law and the suggested changes. Asks why the estimated costs are so high. Responds with an explanation of the inspections of intersections and other analysis by traffic personnel that would be necessary. Comments that any change seems to have a high cost attached. Reviews some of the safety concerns at intersections. Explains the original intent of the bill. Asks for the cost of the study.
050 065 069 080 089 098	Jackley Sen. Prozanski Chair Verger Jackley Chair Verger Jackley McDermott	 J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U- turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns. Explains the differences. Summarizes the existing law and the suggested changes. Asks why the estimated costs are so high. Responds with an explanation of the inspections of intersections and other analysis by traffic personnel that would be necessary. Comments that any change seems to have a high cost attached. Reviews some of the safety concerns at intersections. Explains the original intent of the bill. Asks for the cost of the study. Answers that he does not have the figures but will provide the
050 065 069 080 089 098 109	Jackley Sen. Prozanski Chair Verger Jackley Chair Verger Jackley McDermott Chair Verger	 J) and testifies in support of SB 685. Asks about the differences between being "able" to make a U- turn rather than "prohibitions" against U-turns. Explains the differences. Summarizes the existing law and the suggested changes. Asks why the estimated costs are so high. Responds with an explanation of the inspections of intersections and other analysis by traffic personnel that would be necessary. Comments that any change seems to have a high cost attached. Reviews some of the safety concerns at intersections. Explains the original intent of the bill. Asks for the cost of the study.

<u>SB 373 – WORK SESSION</u>

123	Callens	Presents the -2 amendments (EXHIBIT K) and explains that they contain the language Sen. Prozanski suggested as his conceptual amendment.
125	Sen. Whitsett	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 373-2 amendments dated 2/14/05.
		VOTE: 4-0-1
		AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
		EXCUSED: 1 - B. Starr
	Chair Verger	The motion CARRIES.
135	Sen. Whitsett	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 373-3 amendments dated 2/28/05.
		VOTE: 4-0-1
		AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
		EXCUSED: 1 - B. Starr
	Chair Verger	The motion CARRIES.
140	Sen. Whitsett	MOTION: Moves SB 373 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 4-0-1
		AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
		EXCUSED: 1 - B. Starr
	Chair Verger	The motion CARRIES.
153	Chair Verger	Closes the work session and adjourns the meeting at 5:15 p.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. SB 842, written testimony, Sen. Ryan Deckert, 1 p
- B. SB 842, written testimony, John Jackley, 1 p
- C. SB 842, report, John Jackley, 19 pp
- D. SB 842, written testimony, Mark Nelson, 2 pp
- E. SB 842, report, Mark Nelson, 19 pp
- F. Metropolitan Planning Organizations, PowerPoint, Betty Griffiths, 4 pp
- G. Metropolitan Planning Organizations, information packet, Betty Griffiths, 21 pp
- H. SB 595, written testimony, Mark Gibson, 3 pp
- I. SB 373, -3 amendments, staff, 1 p
- J. SB 685, information packet, John Jackley, 24 pp
- K. SB 373, -2 amendments, staff, 1 p