HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

March 25, 2003 Hearing Room 357 1:00 pm Tapes 102 - 105

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Rep. Max Williams, Chair Rep. Robert Ackerman, Vice-Chair Rep. Gordon Anderson, Vice-Chair Rep. Jeff Barker Rep. Bob Jenson Rep. Jerry Krummel Rep. Greg Macpherson Rep. Floyd Prozanski Rep. Lane Shetterly
	Rep. Lane Shetterly
STAFF PRESENT:	Bill Joseph, Counsel

Nancy Massee, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2449 Public H	Iearing and Work Session
HB 2646 Public Hearing	_
HB 2696 Public Hearing	
HB 2275 Work Session	
HB 2276 Work Session	
HB 2177 Work Session	
These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text e	enclosed in quotation marks reports a s

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 102,	A	
003	Chair Williams	Opens meeting at 1:10 pm. Opens public hearing on HB 2449.
HB 2449 PU	JBLIC HEARING	
010	Bill Joseph	Committee Counsel. Explains HB 2449 which increases civil
		penalties for abuse of elderly or incapacitated person to three
		times actual economic and noneconomic damages.
025	Rep. Mark Hass	House District 27. Introduces Col. Ken Reusser. Testifies in
		support of HB 2449 and submits (EXHIBITS A and B).
040	Col. Ken Reusser	U. S. Veteran born in 1920 from Beaverton. Testifies in support
		of HB 2449. Relates personal experience of having his money
		embezzled.
087	Virginia Mitchell	Attorney who represents seniors. Testifies in support of HB
		2449. Says fraud against elders has increased five fold in the last
		few years. Gives examples of elderly clients who have been
		swindled.
222	Mitchell	Continues discussing how attorney threats can help return money
		to victims. Discusses disadvantages of punitive damages.
		Discusses reasons to pass HB 2449:
		• There is a growing elderly population.
		• The elderly often own their homes, have a nest egg.
		• There is a great transfer of wealth between generations

- There is a great transfer of wealth between generations now.
- The elderly generation is more trusting.

		• The elderly are less likely to report fraud because they do not know where to report and they may be ashamed.
		• There may be competency issues involved.
317	Steven Schneider	Deputy Chief of Staff for Governor Kulongoski. Says the Governor supports HB 2449. Describes how individuals and corporations target seniors. Describes fraudulent acts against seniors by individuals. Relates effects of fraud on senior citizens' lives. States that once elders lose money, their health declines. States the greatest elder abuse is neglect and that needs to be addressed by the legislature, but it is not part of HB 2449.
392	Jim Davis	United Seniors of Oregon, Alzheimers Association, Gray Panthers. Testifies in support of HB 2449. Describes financial exploitation of seniors and disabled persons. Family members and strangers can both be exploiters.
TAPE 103, A		
025	Grady Tarbutton	Washington County Aging and Disabilities Services. Testifies in support of HB 2449. Describes the number of senior abuse cases reported each month to the county; about half are financial exploitation. Describes ways of building public awareness about financial exploitation of the elderly. Explains how the resulting problems from abuse and neglect of the elderly reflect in costs to the public in general.
077	Rep. Shetterly	Comments on the expanding problems of abuse and neglect of the elderly. Refers to statute ORS 124.100 and other related statutes .
089	Schneider	Talks about abuse and neglect of elderly.
098	Rep. Prozanski	Asks what is happening in other states in regards to neglect of elderly persons.
118	Tarbutton	Answers they will look into that.
120	Schneider	Answers they will look into that. Describes how victims are not able to testify due to health, competency, family relations, etc.
125	Chair Williams	Explains that this bill should move and wants the issue to be broadened in future legislation.
140 HB 2449 WOR	Chair Williams	Closes public hearing on HB 2449. Opens work session on HB 2449.
<u>пв 2449 wok</u> 150	<u>Rep. Prozanski</u>	MOTION: Moves HB 2449 to the floor with a DO PASS
		recommendation.
		VOTE: 9-0
151	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. JENSON will lead discussion on the floor.
200	Chair Williams	Closes work session on HB 2449. Opens public hearing on HB 2646.
HB 2646 PUBL		
205	David Heynderickx	Legislative Counsel. Explains HB 2646 which revises laws relating to judgments. Testifies in support of HB 2646 (EXHIBIT C). Discusses different kinds of judgments that resolve claims.
305	Heynderickx	Continues explaining the HB 2646 work group discussions.
422	Heynderickx	Explains "limited judgments." States the bill asks that all judgments be labeled either "general limited" or "supplemental." Explains section 11 that makes a statement about the "effect of a judgment."

TAPE 102, B		
018	Chair Williams	Points out there are amendments to be put forth on HB 2646.
		Recognizes the hard work of the people in the work group.
		Explains this bill will address part of the law that needs fixing.
		Promises this bill will be back for further hearing.
055	Vice Chair Ackerman	Comments on the creation of judgment liens, section 5, requiring
055	Vice Chair Ackernian	
0.00	TT 1 · 1	monetary awards be placed in certain sections.
060	Heynderickx	Responds that part of the bill is carried forth under existing law
		ORCP 70. The bill does apply to civil and criminal judgments.
077	Chair Willliams	Encourages the committee to read the report and understand the
		bill.
099	John Powell	Oregon State Sheriffs Association. Agrees this is an attorneys'
		bill. Section 37 and 38 deal with sheriffs' duties and writ of
		execution. Recommends with some adjustments in those
		sections, HB 2646 would be acceptable.
147	Chair Williams	Closes public hearing on HB 2646. Opens public hearing on HB
117	Chun Winnuns	2696.
HB 2696 PUBL	IC HEARING	2070.
150	Bill Joseph	Committee Councel Explains UP 2606 that modifies definition
150	Biii Joseph	Committee Counsel. Explains HB 2696 that modifies definition
171		of public assistance.
171	Martin Taylor	Oregon Law Center. Explains what HB 2696 does. Discusses
		whether policy should be consistent standards or is flexibility a
		better process. Refers to the fiscal impact of this bill.
230	Lorey Freeman	Oregon Law Center. Speaks about DHS Jobs Program.
		Describes basic needs of workers to reduce the barriers to
		employment. Testifies in support of HB 2696 and submits
		testimony (EXHIBIT D). States this bill is good public policy.
330	Ellen Lowe	Legislative Advocate, Oregon Food Bank. Describes how
		Oregon Food Bank operates. Emphasizes that a timely review of
		service requests is important. Testifies in support of HB 2696
		and submits testimony (EXHIBIT E).
TAPE 103, B		
023	Chair Williams	Restates this bill allows use of administrative law procedure to
025	Chan williams	•
021	Dam. Chattanlar	challenge a contested case proceeding with the agency.
031	Rep. Shetterly	Reiterates that the question the bill addresses is whether the
		venue for review is through the circuit court or hearing officer
		panel.
035	Rep. Krummel	Refers to section 15 that repeals ORS 411.121, ORS 860 and
		ORS 865. Asks if the work relief programs should be eliminated.
053	Freeman	Explains that parts of the bill are being cleaned up.
100	Vice Chair Ackerman	Asks if cases are appealed to circuit court, is a filing fee and
		possible trial fee incurred.
104	Freeman	Answers that is correct.
110	Vice Chair Ackerman	Asks how much the fee is.
112	Freeman	Responds about \$125 filing fee.
114	Vice Chair Ackerman	Comments that there is no cost in administrative law proceeding.
129	Chair Williams	Wants HB 2696 worked out between DHS and the proponents as
127		to the fiscal. Will spend some time on this issue before a policy
		is determined by the committee.
150	Michael D1-1	
150	Michael Buckley	Department of Human Services (DHS). Testifies in opposition
		to HB 2696 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT F). Explains
		how standards would limit flexibility in support services
		programs.
300	Buckley	Continues explaining costs to DHS. Says the bill would create

388 TARE 104 A	Elizabeth Lopez	sufficient complexity at the expense of the program. Office of Financial Benefits, DHS. Describes how public assistance programs are administered. Testifies in opposition to HB 2696 because it is too broad and submits testimony (EXHIBIT G). States that section 13h adds to the definition of public assistance and could open up hearing rights. States HB 2696 will have unintended consequences that increase costs.
TAPE 104, A 030	Mark Troseth	DHS. Testifies in opposition to HB 2696. Describes how the programs will be slowed down and add costs to DHS.
040	Chair Williams	Comments on the need for flexibility and possibility of arbitrary determinations of benefits.
087	Rep. Shetterly	Says currently these clients are not being provided notice of their right to seek review in circuit court.
099	Rep. Krummel	Asks why there will be increases in hearings.
112	Buckley	Responds that DHS routinely offers the opportunity to request conciliation. Says less than 2% of clients have administrative hearings.
140	Chair Williams	Asks the sides of this bill to get together so that the fiscal impact can be discussed later.
153	Vice Chair Ackerman	Refers to page 2 of Mr. Buckley's testimony. Suggests the parties come up with ideas on how to mediate before the hearings process.
160	Rep. Jenson	Expresses concern that the number of hearings will be increased.
189	Chair Williams	Closes public hearing on HB 2696. Opens work session on HB 2275.
190	Staff	Submits testimony for Tina Kotek, Children First of Oregon in support of HB 2696 (EXHIBIT H).
<u>HB 2275 WOR</u>		
195	Bill Joseph	Committee Counsel. Explains HB 2275 which prohibits unlawful discrimination based on age by place of public accommodation under certain circumstances. Explains the -4 and the -5 amendments.
245	Marcia Ohlemiller	Bureau of Labor and Industries (BOLI). Testifies in support of HB 2275 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT I). Explains the -4 and -5 amendments (EXHIBIT J)
248	Rep. Shetterly	Asks if there have been claims that have been rejected on account of this drafting error.
249	Ohlemiller	Replies she is not aware of any.
250	Rep. Prozanski	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2275-5 amendments dated 03/18/03.
		VOTE: 9-0
251 252	Chair Williams Rep. Prozanski	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. MOTION: Moves HB 2275 to the floor with a DO PASS AS
		AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 9-0
253	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. SHETTERLY will lead discussion on the floor.
254	Chair Williams	Closes work session HB 2275. Opens work session on HB 2276.
<u>HB 2276 WOR</u>		
340	Marcia Ohlemiller	Bureau of Labor and Industries (BOLI). Explains HB 2276 which permits compensatory and punitive damages in civil action for violation of certain injured worker rights. Addresses a

385	Rep.Shetterly	drafting error. Testifies in support of HB 2276 with the -5 amendments and submits testimony (EXHIBITS K and L). Says this is consistent with HB 2275 policy.
352	Rep. Shetterly	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2276-5 amendments dated 03/17/03.
		VOTE: 9-0
355 357	Chair Williams Rep. Shetterly	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. MOTION: Moves HB 2276 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 9-0
358	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. SHETTERLY will lead discussion on the floor.
360	Chair Williams	Closes work session on HB 2276. Opens work session on HB 2177.
HB 2177 WOR	K SESSION	
TAPE 105, A		
001	Craig Prins	Committee Counsel. Explains HB 2177 which provides that inmates may not bring civil stalking action against officer, employee or agent of Department of Corrections for official conduct of officer, employee or agent. Explains -6 amendments are the same as -5 with emergency clause. Submits the-6 amendments (EXHIBIT M).
037	Rep. Krummel	Asks about the issue of police officers in their normal duties exempt from certain statutes.
043	Chair Williams	Explains why HB 2177 is needed to prevent disruption management of facilities.
055	Rep. Prozanski	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2177-6 amendments dated 03/21/03.
		VOTE: 9-0
056 058	Chair Williams Rep. Prozanski	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. MOTION: Moves HB 2177 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		VOTE: 9-0
060	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. BARKER will lead discussion on the floor.
066	Chair Williams	Closes the work session on HB 2177 and adjourns meeting at 3:30 pm.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A – HB 2449, written testimony, Rep. Mark Hass, 1 p

- B HB 2449, written testimony, Rep. Mark Hass, 5 pp
- C HB 2646, written testimony, David Heynderickx, 24 pp
- D HB 2696, written testimony, Lorey Freeman, 4 pp
- E HB 2696, written testimony, Ellen Lowe, 1 p
- F HB 2696, written testimony, Michael Buckley, 5 pp
- G HB 2696, written testimony, Elizabeth Lopez, 2 pp
- H HB 2696, written testimony of Tina Kotek, Staff, 1 p
- I HB 2696, written testimony, Marcia Ohlemiller, 2 pp
- J HB 2275-5 and -4 amendments, staff, 3 pp
- K HB 2276, written testimony, Marcia Ohlemiller, 2pp

L – HB 2276-5 amendments, staff, 2 pp M – HB 2276-6 amendments, staff, 1 p