HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

April 03, 2003 Hearing Room 357 1:00 pm Tapes 121 - 124

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Rep. Max Williams, Chair Rep. Robert Ackerman, Vice-Chair Rep. Jeff Barker Rep. Bob Jenson Rep. Jerry Krummel Rep. Greg Macpherson
	Rep. Floyd Prozanski
	Rep. Lane Shetterly
MEMBER EXCUSED:	Rep. Gordon Anderson, Vice-Chair
STAFF PRESENT:	William Taylor , Counsel Nancy Massee, Committee Assistant
MEASURE/ISSUES HEAI	RD: HB 2414 Public Hearing HB 3559 Public Hearing and Work Session
	HB 2802 Public Hearing
	HB 2865 Public Hearing
	HB 2885 Public Hearing and Work Session
	HB 2092 Work Session
	HB 2877 Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words</u>. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 121,	Α	
030	Chair Williams	Opens meeting at 1:10 p.m. Opens public hearing on HB 2414.
HB 2414 PU	JBLIC HEARING	
035	Bert Geiger	Portland Police Reserves. Explains HB 2414 which expands authority of reserve officers. Describes the work of the police reserves. Testifies in support of HB 2414
060	Denney Kelley	Portland Police Bureau. Explains legislative intent of the bill. Clarifies authority for reserve officers.
098	Geiger	Says City of Portland supports HB 2414.
099	Kelley	Mentions other supporters of HB 2414.
100	Rep. Barker	Asks if this clarifies the authority of reserve officers.
150	Brian Delashmutt	Oregon Council of Police Associations. Testifies in opposition to HB 2414. Explains the concern is between certified reserve officer and reserve officer. Concern is with the level of training. Concern is with the expansion of authority and especially the ability to carry a concealed handgun.
162	Rep. Jenson	Asks about the meaning of "reserve officer," and if they are allowed to carry a handgun if they are not concealed.
186	Chair Williams	Asks Mr. DeLashmutt to work on concerns with the bill. Asks Oregon Police Bureau to speak with chiefs and sheriffs

190	Chair Williams	associations. Closes public hearing on HB 2414. Opens public hearing on HB
HB 3559 PUBL	IC HEARING	3559.
229	Steve Doell	Crime Victims United of Oregon. HB 3559 directs Attorney General to produce and broadcast radio and television advertisements, and to prepare videotape program, to urge prevention of violent crime and inform individuals of sentences for Measure 11 crimes. Testifies in support of HB 3559 which would
272	Hardy Myers	promote radio and television announcements on Measure 11. Attorney General. Testifies in support of HB 3559. Supports public service announcements to educate young people.
305	Judge Sid Galton	Multhomah County Circuit Court Judge. Testifies in support of HB 3559. Describes his experience of sentencing 15, 16 and 17 year-olds. Describes attempts to go out to high schools and present programs to prevent young people from perpetrating crime. Notes this form of advertising would be of little cost.
347	Rep. Prozanski	Comments this is an excellent program. Asks about the costs.
372	Doell	Refers to the -1 amendments to HB 3559 (EXHIBIT A). Comments on appropriation of \$75,000 for the program.
382	Myers	States that donated funds will be sought.
386	Rep. Krummel	Asks difference between juveniles and 12 to 18 year olds.
390	Doell	Describes difference between video tapes and advertisements.
421	Susan Russell	Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in support of HB 3559. Tells of her experience with students who do not understand consequences of Measure 11.
TAPE 122, A		L L
040	Chair Williams	Closes public hearing on HB 3559. Opens work session on HB 3559.
<u>HB 3559 WOR</u>	K SESSION	
042	Rep. Prozanski	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3559-1 amendments dated 3/20/03.
		VOTE: 8-0-1 EXCUSED: 1 – Anderson
043	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
045	Rep. Prozanski	MOTION: Moves HB 3559 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation and BE REFERRED to the committee on Ways and Means BY PRIOR REFERENCE.
		VOTE: 8-0-1
		EXCUSED: 1 – Anderson
046	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
070	Chair Williams	Closes work session on HB 3559. Opens public hearing on HB 2802.

HB 2802 PUBI	<u>AC HEARING</u>	
075	Bill Taylor	Committee Counsel. Explains HB 2802 which expands the crime
		of arson in the first degree.
103	Ken Armstrong	Oregon Fire Chiefs Association, Tualatin Valley Fire and Rescue,
	C	and Oregon Volunteer Firefighters Association. Testifies in
		support of HB 2802 and explains the need for it. Reviews
		legislative history of HB 2802.
115	Tim Birr	Tualatin Valley Fire and Rescue. Testifies in support of HB
110		2802. Describes arsonist behaviors studied in relation to acts of
		arson.
200	Courtland Greyer	Marion County District Attorneys Office, Oregon District
200	Courtiand Greyer	Attorneys Association. Describes serious cases of arson.
230	Bob Livingston	Oregon State Firefighters Council. Testifies in support of HB
230	Bob Livingston	
		2802. Describes personal experience of arson fires that he has
270	Vice Chair	fought.
270	Vice Chair	Comments on terminology in bill. Wants to clearly define the
	Ackerman	criminal statutes. Suggests wording should not leave open
		"reasonable doubt" in juror's minds. Asks about "property of
	~	another."
343	Greyer	Explains a situation where a person sets fire to his own property.
		Explains how somebody else may have equitable interest.
360	Vice Chair	Refers to Section 1, subsection C, page 1 of HB 2802. Asks about
	Ackerman	proving "reckless."
400	Greyer	Discusses holding someone accountable and proving "reckless"
		behavior.
440	Taylor	Discusses taking out "recklessly." Submits written testimony by
		Seth Karpinski for the City of Eugene (EXHIBIT B).
TADE 141 D		
TAPE 121, B		
ТАРЕ 121, В 044	Chair Williams	Comments on language changes in HB 2802. Asks about
	Chair Williams	Comments on language changes in HB 2802. Asks about rationale on the \$50,000 threshold.
	Chair Williams Greyer	
044		rationale on the \$50,000 threshold.
044		rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property
044 048	Greyer	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes.
044 048 067	Greyer Chair Williams	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges.
044 048 067 077	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence.
044 048 067 077	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history.
044 048 067 077 093	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the
044 048 067 077 093	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down.
044 048 067 077 093 123 130	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing.
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold.
044 048 067 077 093 123 130	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA).
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C). States HB 2802 is too broad. Describes
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140 150	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong Susan Russell	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C) . States HB 2802 is too broad. Describes numerous acts that could be interpreted as arson by this bill.
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C). States HB 2802 is too broad. Describes numerous acts that could be interpreted as arson by this bill. Continues presentation. Talks about 5 new crimes created by HB
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140 150 210	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong Susan Russell Russell	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C). States HB 2802 is too broad. Describes numerous acts that could be interpreted as arson by this bill. Continues presentation. Talks about 5 new crimes created by HB 2802. Describes relatively inconsequential acts resulting in fires.
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140 150	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong Susan Russell	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C) . States HB 2802 is too broad. Describes numerous acts that could be interpreted as arson by this bill. Continues presentation. Talks about 5 new crimes created by HB 2802. Describes relatively inconsequential acts resulting in fires. Describes a newspaper fire where an apartment building burned
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140 150 210 387	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong Susan Russell Russell Taylor	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C) . States HB 2802 is too broad. Describes numerous acts that could be interpreted as arson by this bill. Continues presentation. Talks about 5 new crimes created by HB 2802. Describes relatively inconsequential acts resulting in fires. Describes a newspaper fire where an apartment building burned down and people were killed.
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140 150 210	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong Susan Russell Russell	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C). States HB 2802 is too broad. Describes numerous acts that could be interpreted as arson by this bill. Continues presentation. Talks about 5 new crimes created by HB 2802. Describes relatively inconsequential acts resulting in fires. Describes a newspaper fire where an apartment building burned down and people were killed.
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140 150 210 387 387	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong Susan Russell Russell Taylor Russell	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C). States HB 2802 is too broad. Describes numerous acts that could be interpreted as arson by this bill. Continues presentation. Talks about 5 new crimes created by HB 2802. Describes relatively inconsequential acts resulting in fires. Describes a newspaper fire where an apartment building burned down and people were killed. Answers the bill does not address this issue. It was based on property.
044 048 067 077 093 123 130 140 150 210 387	Greyer Chair Williams Rep. Macpherson Greyer Rep. Prozanski Chair Williams Armstrong Susan Russell Russell Taylor	rationale on the \$50,000 threshold. Answers the threshold is consistent with present law on property crimes. Asks about Arson I and II charges. Asks the difference in presumptive sentence. Explains the basis for determining sentencing depends on the seriousness of the offense and the offender's criminal history. Discusses possible higher thresholds to keep the fiscal impact down. Discussing sentencing. Says there is no problem on changing the threshold. Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to HB 2802 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C). States HB 2802 is too broad. Describes numerous acts that could be interpreted as arson by this bill. Continues presentation. Talks about 5 new crimes created by HB 2802. Describes relatively inconsequential acts resulting in fires. Describes a newspaper fire where an apartment building burned down and people were killed.

		2865.
<u>HB 2865 PUBI</u>	LIC HEARING	
463	John Bradley	Multnomah County District Attorney's Office. Explains HB 2865 which allows district attorney to treat certain felonies as Class A misdemeanors. Testifies in support of HB 2865. Summarizes sections of the bill. Tells how this bill came to be.
TAPE 122, B		
040	Bradley	Discusses how HB 2865 will reduce costs. Discusses possible amendments.
094	Harcleroad	Testifies in support of HB 2865 which will save money. Suggests line 9, page 1, be changed to "orally or in writing."
100	Chuck French	Multnomah County District Attorney's Office. Explains the cuts in deputies in the office and describes costs to the office.
155	Bradley	Points out the many cases of people charged or convicted and who are known by the DA's office to be innocent because of false identification. HB 2865 states the legal name must be used. HB 2865 also gives the victim the right to go back and correct the name.
200	French	Agrees that false identification is a huge problem in law enforcement.
220	Chair Williams	Suggests more work on this bill.
230	Rep. Shetterly	States a definition of true name is necessary to make this work. Supports these ideas.
258	Ann Christian	Public Defense Services Commission. Testifies in support of HB 2865. Offers potential savings for indigent defense that this bill could provide as \$3.6 million. Refers to section 7, line 27, page 4 and suggests clarification.
299	Susan Russell	Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA). Testifies in opposition to parts of HB 2865.
TAPE 123, A		
017	Russell	Continues discussing HB 2865 with objections.
028	Chair Williams	Asks for further clarification on "true name." Mentions constitutional issues. Closes public hearing on HB 2865. Opens public hearing on HB 2885.
<u>HB 2885 PUBI</u>	LIC HEARING	
050	Rep. Barker	House District 28. Testifies in support of HB 2885 which provides that person convicted of driving while under the influence of intoxicants for third time is subject to revocation of driving privileges.
088	Anne Pratt	Crime Victims United, Mothers Against Drunk Driving, and Parents of Murdered Children. Presents testimony in support of HB 2885 and submits (EXHIBIT D). Gives statistics on drunk drivers.
118	Bruce Pratt	Crime Victims United. Points out that U.S. is too lenient with Driving Under the Influence of Intoxicants (DUII) drivers. Describes how Europeans treat DUII drivers. Laws need to be tougher on driving while drinking. Education needs to be improved.
150	Doug Harcleroad	Oregon District Attorneys Association. Testifies in support of HB 2885.
155	Staff	Submits written testimony by Seth Karpinski for the City of Eugene in support of HB 2885 (EXHIBIT E) and written testimony by Gretchen McKenzie for the Governor's Advisory Committee in support of HB 2885 (EXHIBIT F).

156	Chair Williams	Closes public hearing on HB 2885. Opens work session on HB 2885.
HB 2885 WOF	RK SESSION	
158	Rep. Barker	MOTION: Moves HB 2885 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 7-0-2 EXCUSED: 2 - Anderson, Macpherson
159	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. BARKER will lead discussion on the floor.
160	Chair Williams	Closes work session on HB 2885. Opens work session on HB 2092.
HB 2092 WOF		
161	Chair Williams	MOTION: Moves HB 2092 to the floor without recommendation and BE REFERRED to the committee on Ways and Means.
		VOTE: 7-0-2 EXCUSED: 2 - Anderson, Macpherson
162	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
162	Chair Williams	Closes work session on HB 2092. Opens public hearing on HB
		2877.
	LIC HEARING	
199	Doug Harcleroad	Oregon District Attorneys Association. HB 2877 requires that early disposition programs allow district attorney to make resolution offer to offenders before arraignment.
206	Rep. Prozanski	Supports HB 2877. Does not believe this bill limits a prosecutor to make offers to a defendant prior to, or at the time of
		arraignment.
210	Chuck French	Asks for an emergency clause in HB 2877 because this bill will save money.
220	John Bradley	Multnomah County District Attorney's Office. Testifies in support of HB 2877. Says savings will be in indigent defense.
250	Harcleroad	Testifies that the Oregon District Attorneys Association supports this bill. Describes Lane County programs.
273	Susan Russell	Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association. Testifies in opposition to HB 2877 and provides testimony (EXHIBIT G) . States the bill changes current statute so that there is no longer the requirement to have an assigned counsel.
303	David Fidanque	American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) of Oregon. Testifies in opposition to HB 2877. Discusses the controversy in trial court procedures to save costs of indigent defense. Speaks of the danger of trying to dispose of criminal cases without defense counsel.
TAPE 124, A		
025	Fidanque	Continues presentation opposing HB 2877. Supports the present process.
040	Rep. Barker	Asks if defendants always get an attorney if they ask for one.
050	Fidanque	Explains how defendants do not know how the defense procedure works.
070	Chair Williams	Asks if this would be a constitutional flaw because defendants are not advised of their rights. Asks about knowing waivers.
073	Fidanque	Answers that is correct. Discusses knowing waivers.
114	Russell	Describes defendants waiving counsel.
120	Chair Williams	Asks for Legislative Counsel to issue an opinion.
130	Rep. Krummel	Asks what kind of cases the DA would use this in.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A – HB 3559-1 amendments, staff, 1 p

B – HB 2802, written testimony of Seth Karpinski, City of Eugene, submitted by staff, 1 p

C – HB 2802, written testimony, Susan Russell, 4 pp

D – HB 2885, written testimony, Anne Pratt, 8 pp

E – HB 2885, written testimony of Seth Karpinski, City of Eugene, 1 p

F – HB 2885, written testimony of Gretchen McKenzie, Governors Advisory Committee on DUII, 1 p.

G – HB 2877, written testimony, Susan Russell, 1 p