## **HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY**

April 08, 2003 Hearing Room 357 1:00 pm Tapes 127 - 130

| MEMBERS PRESENT: | Rep. Max Williams, Chair<br>Rep. Robert Ackerman, Vice-Chair<br>Rep. Gordon Anderson, Vice-Chair<br>Rep. Jeff Barker<br>Rep. Bob Jenson<br>Rep. Jerry Krummel<br>Rep. Greg Macpherson<br>Rep. Floyd Prozanski |
|------------------|---|
|                  | Rep. Floyd Prozanski  |
|                  | Rep. Lane Shetterly   |
| STAFF PRESENT:   | Bill Taylor, Counsel  |

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:

HB 3363 Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2390 Public Hearing HB 2094 Public Hearing HB 2620 Public Hearing HB 2821 Public Hearing and Work Session HB 3167 Public Hearing HB 2811 Public Hearing and Work Session HB 3339 Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2733 Public Hearing HB 2736 Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2034 Work Session HB 2082 Work Session

Nancy Massee, Committee Assistant

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/#      | Speaker             | Comments   |
|-------------|---------------------|--|
| Tape 127, A |                     |  |
| 005         | Chair Williams      | Opens meeting at 1:08 pm. Opens public hearing on HB 3363.   |
| HB 3363 PU  | JBLIC HEARING       |  |
| 035         | Nancy Miller        | State Court Administrator's Office. Testifies in support of HB 3363 which allows certain persons to file petition seeking participation in drug court program, and submits testimony <b>(EXHIBIT A).</b> Explains the entire bill is now the -3 amendments <b>(EXHIBIT B).</b> |
| 072         | Hon. Deanne Darling | Judge, Clackamas County Circuit Court. Testifies in support of HB 3363. Explains how the drug courts operate.  |
| 090         | Vice Chair Ackerman | Discusses the -3 amendments. Asks how the criterion to get into a drug program is decided.   |
| 095         | Judge Darling       | Responds that there is a handbook laid out by the district attorney, court, defense, and treatment providers.  |
| 121         | Susan Russell       | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA).<br>Testifies in support of HB 3363.   |
| 125         | Stephanie Tuttle    | Oregon District Attorneys Association. Testifies in opposition to  |

|                    |                                  | HB 3363 as it was originally written, but supports the -3 amendments.   |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 146                | Chair Williams                   | Closes public hearing on HB 3363. Opens work session on HB 3363.  |
| <u>HB 3363 WOR</u> |                                  |   |
| 148                | Rep. Shetterly                   | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3363-3 amendments dated 4/7/03.   |
|                    |                                  | VOTE: 9-0   |
| 149<br>150         | Chair Williams<br>Rep. Shetterly | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.<br>MOTION: Moves HB 3363 to the floor with a DO PASS AS<br>AMENDED recommendation.   |
|                    |                                  | VOTE: 9-0   |
| 151                | Chair Williams                   | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.<br>REP. BARKER will lead discussion on the floor.  |
| 152                | Rep. Jenson                      | Asks where the cost effectiveness information is.   |
| 154                | Miller                           | Replies that there were two evaluations done on the drug court<br>program. The focus was on the outcomes of the program. Offers<br>to get information on cost effectiveness for Rep. Jenson   |
| 170                | Chair Williams                   | Closes work session on HB 3363. Opens public hearing on HB 2390.  |
| HB 2390 PUBL       | IC HEARING                       |   |
| 230                | Rep. Mark Hass                   | House District 27. Gives background on HB 2390 which<br>prohibits all restrictions in non-competition agreements in<br>broadcasting industry other than 30-day restriction on<br>employee's service in broadcasting industry after termination of<br>employment, and relates reasons for the bill. Explains personal<br>experience in broadcasting. Testifies in support of HB 2390 and |
| 319                | Jeff Scovel                      | submits testimony (EXHIBIT C).<br>Broadcasting industry. Testifies in support of HB 2390 and<br>submits written testimony (EXHIBIT D). Gives personal<br>experience.  |
| <b>TAPE 128, A</b> |                                  | 1   |
| 003                | Scovel                           | Continues presentation on reasons why HB 2390 should pass.  |
| 020                | John Williams                    | Portland Radio Personality. Gives personal experience in broadcasting. Testifies in support of HB 2390 and submits testimony <b>(EXHIBIT E).</b>  |
| 043                | Williams                         | Continues explaining non-competition agreements in broadcasting.  |
| 058                | Nicholas Fish                    | Attorney, Meyer and Wyse. States his practice emphasizes labor<br>employment law. Testifies in support of HB 2390 and submits<br>testimony (EXHIBIT F).   |
| 150                | Rep. Macpherson                  | Discusses the type of agreements described by Mr. Fish. Asks if<br>there is a legitimate interest within the industry for the branding<br>of personalities for non-competition agreements.  |
| 155                | Fish                             | Explains the practice of "branding" in the broadcasting industry.   |
| 198                | Chair Williams                   | Comments on the two-year non-compete within a 125-mile radius.  |
| 292                | Fish                             | Explains how cases proceed for employees with limited resources.  |
| 301                | Rep. Krummel                     | Asks about national talent. Asks why the local broadcasters have<br>the non-competition agreements and high-priced broadcasters do<br>not.  |

| 316                | Fish                | Explains how highly compensated personalities have more<br>leverage. Smaller markets with modest salaries do not have<br>leverage to force an employer to back-off on these agreements.<br>Explains other contractual provisions. |
|--------------------|---------------------|---|
| 388                | Scovel              | Comments on two-year non-competes. Describes what banding together will do.   |
| 400                | Rep. Shetterly      | Asks what is unique about broadcasting industry.  |
| 425                | Fish                | Gives example of no compete incident, highly compensated.   |
| <b>TAPE 127, B</b> | 1 1011              |   |
| 051                | Sen. Rick Metsger   | Senate District 26. Comments there is a major difference in broadcasting within Federal Communications Commission. Supports HB 2390.  |
| 060                | Dan Gardner         | Commissioner, Bureau of Labor and Industries (BOLI).<br>Testifies in support of HB 2390 and submits testimony and the -<br>1 amendments (EXHIBITS G & H).   |
| 090                | Rep. Prozanski      | Asks if there is opposition.  |
| 092                | Gardner             | Replies it is a friendly amendment.   |
| 100                | Dave Fiskum         | Oregon Association of Broadcasters. Opposes HB 2390.  |
| 178                | Ron Carter          | Introduces Ron Carter, David Lippoff. Mike Cheney.<br>KWJJ. Testifies in opposition of HB 2390 and submits testimony<br>(EXHIBIT I). Explains the huge investments made to promote  |
| 273                | David Lippoff       | airtime shows.<br>KOIN. Gives background in broadcasting. Testifies in<br>opposition of HB 2390 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT J).  |
| 377                | Mike Cheney         | Manager of Bend radio stations. Testifies in opposition of HB 2390 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT K).   |
| <b>TAPE 128, B</b> |                     |   |
| 020                | Cheney              | Continues presentation of smaller markets in broadcasting.  |
| 050                | Rep. Barker         | Comments about non-competes.  |
| 093                | Vice Chair Anderson | Asks if it is the person that is kept from competing, or is it the voice, the face, or the branding.  |
| 098                | Cheney              | Answers the contracts are with individuals.   |
| 127                | Vice Chair Ackerman | Asks if he supports the part of the bill where the employee is laid off.  |
| 136                | Cheney              | Discusses "laid off" versus ending an employment arrangement.   |
| 145                | Rep. Prozanski      | Asks if any employees refuse to sign contracts based on the non-<br>compete agreement presented to them.  |
| 163                | Carter              | Replies they do not get the protection of the contract if they do<br>not sign them; he does not believe there is a standard.  |
| 171                | Cheney              | States the non-compete clauses are for six months to one year.  |
| 180                | Rep. Prozanski      | Gives example of professional athletes.   |
| 200                | Carter              | Answers that when the professionals change they go to another market place. This is a different kind of thing.  |
| 218                | Rep. Jenson         | Asks what is a reasonable time for non-competes.  |
| 220                | Lippoff             | Answers reasonable non-competes are six months to one year.   |
| 231                | Rep. Jenson         | Asks about two-year non-competes.   |
| 242                | Fiskum              | Explains two-year agreements are negotiations between<br>employee and employer.   |
| 249                | Staff               | Submits testimony in support of HB 2390 by Wendi Weiss for<br>the American Federation of Television and Radio Artists<br>(EXHIBIT L), and supporting testimony by Ron Pivo<br>(EXHIBIT M).  |
| 250                | Chair Williams      | Closes public hearing on HB 2390. Opens public hearing on HB 2094.  |

| HB 2094 I       | PUBLIC HEARING |   |
|-----------------|----------------|---|
| 282             | Pete Shepherd  | Deputy, Attorney General, Department of Justice. Explains HB 2094 requires tobacco product manufacturers to report certain information to Attorney General. Explains this bill needs further work and there will be policy choices for the committee.                 |
| 300             | Chair Williams | Closes public hearing on HB 2094. Opens public hearing on HB 2620.  |
| HB 2620 1       | PUBLIC HEARING |   |
| 321             | Susan Russell  | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA).<br>Testifies in opposition to HB 2620 which provides that third<br>offense of driving while under the influence of intoxicants is<br>felony under specified circumstances.                                       |
| 350             | Staff          | Submits testimony ( <b>EXHIBIT N</b> ) from Governor's Advisory Committee on DUII.  |
| 355             | Chair Williams | Closes public hearing on HB 2620. Opens public hearing on HB 2821.  |
|                 | PUBLIC HEARING |   |
| 391             | Bill Taylor    | Committee Counsel. Explains HB 2821 which clarifies authority<br>of sheriff to use such force as is necessary to enter into building<br>or enclosure and take personal property when court has ordered<br>sheriff to take property into custody at specific premises. |
| 400             | Rob Bovett     | Assistant County Counsel, Lincoln County. Testifies in support<br>of HB 2821 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT O)  |
| 425             | Marshall Ross  | Senior Deputy, Multnomah County. Testifies in support of HB 2821 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT P).   |
| <b>TAPE 129</b> | 9, A           |   |
| 048             | Brian Wallace  | Civil Deputy, Polk County. Testifies in support of HB 2821 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT Q).   |
| 149             | John Powell    | Oregon State Sheriffs Association. Describes the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT R).   |
| 154             | Chair Williams | Closes public hearing on HB 2821. Opens work session on HB 2821.  |
|                 | WORK SESSION   |   |
| 155             | Rep. Prozanski | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2821-1 amendments dated 4/9/03.   |
|                 |                | VOTE: 7-0-2<br>EXCUSED: 2 - Barker, Macpherson  |
| 156             | Chair Williams | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.  |
| 157             | Rep. Prozanski | MOTION: Moves HB 2821 to the floor with a DO PASS AS<br>AMENDED recommendation.   |
|                 |                | VOTE: 7-0-2   |
|                 |                | EXCUSED: 2 - Barker, Macpherson   |
| 158             | Chair Williams | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.<br>REP. ANDERSON will lead discussion on the floor.  |
| 159             | Chair Williams | Closes work session on HB 2821. Opens public hearing on HB 3167.  |
|                 | PUBLIC HEARING |   |
| 210             | Chair Williams | Closes public hearing on HB 3167. Opens public hearing on HB 3388.  |
|                 | PUBLIC HEARING |   |
| 215             | Jim Main       | Sheriff Douglas County. Testifies in support of HB 3388 which allows city or county to charge booking fee to person committed to local correctional facility, and submits testimony ( <b>EXHIBIT S</b> ).   |

| 220                 | John Powell         | Oregon State Sheriffs Association. Testifies in support of HB 3388.                                  |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| 233                 | Rep. Prozanski      | Asks if these fees will be applied to everyone, or just those who<br>do not have the ability to pay. |
| 240                 | Main                | Describes charging of fees.  |
| 245                 | Vice Chair Ackerman | Asks how much the county would gross.  |
| 382                 | Main                | Replies that booking fees would bring about 30 percent   |
| 562                 | Iviaiii             | collection rate. Possibly \$40,000 a year to go into other   |
| ТА <b>ДЕ 12</b> 0 А |                     | programs.  |
| <b>TAPE 130, A</b>  | Sugar Duggall       | One and Criminal Defense Leveners Association Testifies in   |
| 050                 | Susan Russell       | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association. Testifies in  |
|                     |                     | opposition to HB 3388.   |
| 075                 | Chair Williams      | Closes public hearing on HB 3388. Recesses meeting at 3:30   |
|                     |                     | pm. To reconvene at 5:30 pm.   |
| 090                 | Chair Williams      | Reconvenes the meeting at 5:37 p.m. Opens a public hearing on HB 2811.                               |
| HB 2811 PUBL        | IC HEARING          |  |
| 111                 | Kelly Peterson      | Humane Society of United States. Testifies in support of HB  |
|                     | 5                   | 2811 which expands definition of minimum care with regard to   |
|                     |                     | non-ambulatory livestock animals for purposes of certain   |
|                     |                     | criminal statutes. Discusses work group efforts that lead to this                                    |
|                     |                     | legislation. Clarifies the intent of the bill on how a non-  |
|                     |                     | ambulatory animal should be handled.   |
| 147                 | Clan Stan abrink    | •  |
| 147                 | Glen Stonebrink     | Oregon Cattleman's Association. Testifies in support of HB   |
|                     |                     | 2811 and the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT T).  |
| 155                 | Rep. Shetterly      | Signifies that the -1 amendments delete "veterinary" and insert                                      |
|                     |                     | "ongoing."   |
| 168                 | Marcia Keith        | Oregon Veterinary Medical Association. Submits testimony and   |
|                     |                     | testifies in support of HB 2811 and the -1 amendments  |
|                     |                     | (EXHIBIT U).   |
| 172                 | Bruce Anderson      | Eugene Livestock Auction. Supports the -1 amendments.  |
| 184                 | Rep. Shetterly      | Thanks Ms. Peterson and Mr. Stonebrink for their efforts in  |
|                     | 1 5                 | getting this legislation to this point.  |
| 200                 | Lt. Randy Covey     | Support Enforcement for Humane Society. Testifies in support   |
| 200                 | Et. Rundy Covey     | of HB 2811 and -1 amendments.  |
| 204                 | Chair Williams      | Closes public hearing on HB 2811 and opens work session.   |
|                     |                     | Closes public hearing on TID 2011 and opens work session.  |
| HB 2811 WOR         |                     | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2811-1 amendments dated  |
| 205                 | Rep. Shetterly      |  |
|                     |                     | 3/6/03.  |
|                     |                     | <b>VOTE: 9-0</b>   |
| 206                 | Chair Williams      | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.   |
| 207                 | Rep. Shetterly      | MOTION: Moves HB 2811 to the floor with a DO PASS AS   |
|                     |                     | AMENDED recommendation.  |
|                     |                     | VOTE: 9-0  |
| 208                 | Chair Williams      | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.   |
|                     |                     | REP. SHETTERLY will lead discussion on the floor.  |
| 209                 | Chair Williams      | Closes the work session on HB 2811 and opens a public hearing  |
| 207                 |                     | on HB 3339.  |
| UD 2220 DUDI        | IC HEADING          | UII 11D 3337.  |
| HB 3339 PUBL        |                     | Humana Conjety of United States Tratification states (UD   |
| 240                 | Kelly Peterson      | Humane Society of United States. Testifies in support of HB  |
|                     |                     | 3339 which creates crime of trading in non-ambulatory  |
|                     |                     | livestock. Discusses work group efforts that lead to this  |
|                     |                     | legislation.   |

| 245                | Glen Stonebrink      | Oregon Cattleman's Association. Testifies in support of HB 3339 and the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT V). Discusses why "knowingly delivers" is of importance in this legislation. |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| 265                | Marcia Keith         | Oregon Veterinary Medical Association. Submits testimony and testifies in support of HB 3339 (EXHIBIT W)  |
| 279                | Bruce Anderson       | Eugene Livestock Auction. Supports HB 3339.   |
| 280                | Lt. Randy Covey      | Support Enforcement for Humane Society. Supports HB 3339.   |
| 305                | Rep. Shetterly       | Commends panel on work done to produce this legislation.  |
| 344                | Rep. Prozanski       | Asks if "pot shots" can be taken to load animals.   |
| 351                | Stonebrink           | Replies he is not sure, but thinks that would bruise the animal<br>and diminish the quality of the meat.  |
| 360                | Chair Williams       | Asks if that is a cattle prod.  |
| 365                | Stonebrink           | Responds affirmatively.   |
| 370                | Chair Williams       | Closes public hearing on HB 3339 and opens a work session on HB 3339.   |
| HB 3339 WOR        | K SESSION            | IID 3337.   |
| 371                | Rep. Shetterly       | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3339-1 amendments dated 3/24/03.  |
| 372                |                      | VOTE: 9-0   |
| 373                | Chair Williams       | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.  |
|                    |                      | REP. PROZANSKI will lead discussion on the floor.   |
| 374                | Rep. Shetterly       | MOTION: Moves HB 3339 to the floor with a DO PASS AS  |
|                    |                      | AMENDED recommendation.<br>VOTE: 9-0  |
| 27(                | Chain Williama       |   |
| 376                | Chair Williams       | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.<br>REP. SHETTERLY will lead discussion on the floor.   |
| 378                | Chair Williams       | Closes the work session on HB 3339 and opens a public hearing on HB 2733.   |
| HB 2733 PUBL       | IC HEARING           |   |
| 410                | Rep. Floyd Prozanski | House District 8. Discusses the reasons for introducing HB 2733 which reorganizes provisions relating to venue in violation cases.  |
| <b>TAPE 129, B</b> |                      |   |
| 028                | Vice-Chair           | Asks for clarification on the geographic lines in Lane County.  |
|                    | Ackerman             |   |
| 035                | Rep. Prozanski       | Replies he isn't sure where the geographic lines are drawn, but   |
|                    | T. T. T. T. T.       | explains where particular courts might be located in the county.  |
| 040                | Vice Chair Ackerman  | Says the justice courts geographic limits are prescribed by ordinance less than what the county is.   |
| 047                | Rep. Prozanski       | Comments that is true, the same thing is in Florence Justice  |
| 017                | Rep. 1 tozunski      | Court and Oakridge Justice Court; all are Justice of Peace courts   |
|                    |                      | within Lane County and have geographic location.  |
| 051                | Rep. Shetterly       | Asks if this bill expands the jurisdictional limit of the justice   |
| 0.51               | Kep. Shetterry       | court in a particular county.   |
| 060                | Vice-Chair           | Asks for clarification on the intent of the bill.   |
| 000                | Ackerman             | Asks for clarification on the intent of the oni.  |
| 069                | Rep. Prozanski       | Explains the intent of HB 2733 is not to change what is already   |
| 009                | Kep. Prozanski       | geographically marked but to make clear the options are limited   |
|                    |                      |   |
|                    |                      | to what was previously based in the statute and making certain  |
|                    |                      | municipal courts' jurisdiction is limited to their city limits.   |
| 074                | Dan Andona-          | Describes the jurisdictional limitations within the legislation.  |
| 074                | Rep. Anderson        | Asks for specific jurisdiction in Coburg and Harrisburg.  |
| 080                | Rep. Prozanski       | Explains which court might have jurisdiction based on the current statutes. Says this does not limit the municipal court.   |

| 005                    |                      |  |
|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 085                    | Chair Williams       | Summarizes the intent of the bill.   |
| 095                    | Rep. Prozanski       | Agrees with his summation. Explains the only times the   |
|                        |                      | municipal court would have jurisdiction.   |
| 119                    | Chair Williams       | Asks if this new wording would avoid "poaching" by a city  |
|                        |                      | policeman.   |
| 129                    | Rep. Krummel         | Wonders if an interstate highway would limit the jurisdiction of   |
|                        |                      | city officials.  |
| 135                    | Rep. Prozanski       | Explains who would have jurisdiction if an interstate highway  |
|                        |                      | passed within a city.  |
| 153                    | Rep. Macpherson      | Asks for clarification concerning where a violation would be   |
|                        |                      | heard.   |
| 160                    | Rep. Prozanski       | Clarifies where the violation could be heard by giving an  |
|                        |                      | example.   |
| 179                    | Bill Taylor          | Committee Counsel. Notes that the bill is difficult to   |
|                        |                      | comprehend and tries to clarify the legislation by using an  |
|                        |                      | example.   |
| 195                    | Rep. Macpherson      | Appreciates the clarification.   |
| 201                    | Carl Myers           | Oregon Municipal Judges Association. Adds further  |
|                        |                      | clarification to the legislation by using an example of being  |
|                        |                      | stopped within the city limits of Salem, but in Polk County.   |
|                        |                      | Says this bill does not change overlapping jurisdiction.   |
| 225                    | Chair Williams       | Asks if that was Rep. Prozanski's intent in this bill.   |
| 231                    | Rep. Prozanski       | Agrees.  |
| 234                    | Rep. Barker          | Suggests an amendment that municipal courts have jurisdiction  |
|                        |                      | only within city limits.   |
| 239                    | Chair Williams       | Comments that "poaching" should be avoided.  |
| 245                    | Rep. Shetterly       | Wonders about amending Chapter 221.  |
| 294                    | Myers                | Still need language in Chapter 153 which has been  |
|                        |                      | misinterpreted. Feels venue for handling a case can only be if   |
|                        |                      | the violation occurred within the boundaries of that jurisdiction.   |
|                        |                      | Says Chapter 221 could be amended, but 153 has to be, as well.   |
| 300                    | Taylor               | Suggests amendments.   |
| 303                    | Rep. Prozanski       | Suggests a way of amending the legislation.  |
| 305                    | Chair Williams       | Agrees that the legislation should be less complicated.  |
| 307                    | Chair Williams       | Closes the public hearing on HB 2733. Opens a public hearing   |
|                        |                      | on HB 2736.  |
|                        | LIC HEARING          |  |
| 350                    | Rep. Floyd Prozanski | House District 8. Introduces and testifies in support of HB 2736   |
|                        |                      | which creates crime of interfering with firefighter or emergency   |
|                        |                      | medical technician. Describes situations which lead to   |
| 202                    | Don Jongen           | introduction of this bill.<br>Wonders if other eximes could be proceeded when interfering                      |
| 393                    | Rep. Jenson          | Wonders if other crimes could be prosecuted when interfering   |
| 404                    | Den Dramanalai       | with a firefighter.  |
| 404                    | Rep. Prozanski       | Says it depends on what actually happens on the scene and gives  |
| ТА <b>р</b> е 120 р    |                      | examples of various violations that could occur.   |
| <b>TAPE 130, B</b> 025 | Rep. Jenson          | Asks about more serious crimes that could be prosecuted.   |
| 030                    | Rep. Barker          | Asks about more serious entries that could be prosecuted.<br>Asks for clarification on the intent of the bill. |
| 035                    | Rep. Prozanski       | Describes intent is to give them the protection they need. Before  |
| 055                    | rop. i tozaliski     | citing an individual, that notice would be given. The individual   |
|                        |                      | would have to have the knowledge they are interfering with the   |
|                        |                      | person doing their job.  |
| 040                    | Rep. Barker          | Asks what would be done in a particular situation in Portland.   |
| 040                    | Rep. Prozanski       | Explains how law enforcement would handle this situation.  |
|                        |                      |  |
|                        |                      |  |

| 055                    | Vice-Chair Anderson              | Asks if volunteers would be covered as well.   |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 058                    | Rep. Prozanski                   | Answers no, and clarifies who would be covered by this   |
|                        |                                  | legislation.   |
| 072                    | Rep. Prozanski                   | Clarifies that this legislation is for a firefighter or emergency  |
| 0.60                   |                                  | medical technician.  |
| 069                    | Chair Williams                   | Asks if it would include a volunteer firefighter.  |
| 072                    | Vice Chair Anderson              | Wonders if it covers volunteer police.   |
| 076                    | Rep. Prozanski                   | Says that is covered under volunteer police officer.   |
| 090                    | Chair Williams                   | Asks if there might be a fiscal involved in creating a new crime.  |
| 093<br>106             | Rep. Prozanski<br>Chair Williams | Feels it will not be used that often to impact the state.  |
| 100                    | Chair williams                   | Closes the public hearing on HB 2736 and opens a work session on HB 2736.                                |
| HR 2736 V              | WORK SESSION                     | 011 HB 2750.   |
| <u>110 2700</u><br>107 | Rep. Prozanski                   | MOTION: Moves HB 2736 to the floor with a DO PASS  |
|                        |                                  | recommendation.  |
|                        |                                  | <b>VOTE: 9-0</b>   |
| 108                    | Chair Williams                   | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.<br>REP. PROZANSKI will lead discussion on the floor.  |
| 110                    | Chair Williams                   | Closes work session on HB 2736. Opens work session on HB 2034.   |
| HB 2034 V              | WORK SESSION                     |  |
| 112                    | Bill Taylor                      | Committee Counsel. Explains -6 amendments to HB 2034   |
|                        |                                  | which modifies definition of iodine matrix (EXHIBIT X).  |
| 135                    | Chair Williams                   | Addresses concerns about minors, which was withdrawn, and the  |
|                        |                                  | idea of putting the items behind the counter.  |
| 140                    | Taylor                           | Describes how that was withdrawn.  |
| 145                    | Chair Williams                   | Refers to previous issues by veterinarians which have been   |
| 1.50                   |                                  | resolved.  |
| 150                    | Marcia Keith                     | Oregon Veterinary Medical Association. Testifies in support of   |
| 171                    |                                  | the -6 amendments.   |
| 171                    | Vice-Chair Anderson              | Asks for clarification on the retailers' issue of not wanting to lock up this medication.                |
| 185                    | Chair Williams                   | Describes how the amendments satisfy previous concerns of  |
| 105                    | Chair Williams                   | retailers.   |
| 189                    | Rep. Jenson                      | Asks for clarification on which parts of the bill have been  |
| 10)                    | rtep. venson                     | deleted.   |
| 192                    | <b>Rep. Macpherson</b>           | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2034-6 amendments dated  |
|                        |                                  | 4/1/03.  |
|                        |                                  | <b>VOTE: 9-0</b>   |
| 195                    | Chair Williams                   | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.   |
| 196                    | Rep. Macpherson                  | MOTION: Moves HB 2034 to the floor with a DO PASS AS   |
|                        |                                  | AMENDED recommendation.<br>VOTE: 9-0   |
| 107                    | Chair Williams                   |  |
| 197                    | Chair winnains                   | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.<br>REP. MACPHERSON will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 199                    | Chair Williams                   | Closes work session on HB 2034. Opens a work session on HB 2082.   |
| HB 2082 V              | WORK SESSION                     |  |
| 235                    | Jim McCloud                      | Manager for Quality Assurance, Office of Mental Health &   |
|                        |                                  | Addiction Services. Testifies in opposition to HB 2082 which   |
|                        |                                  | allows investigator in civil commitment proceeding access to   |
|                        |                                  | Law Enforcement Data System and submits testimony  |

|     |                | (EXHIBIT Y). Gives specific reasons for his opposition.           |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 260 | McCloud        | Testifies in support of the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT Z).            |
| 266 | Chair Williams | Clarifies when the -1 amendments are in effect, but is not sure   |
|     |                | that these will be the only amendments to the bill.               |
| 280 | Rep. Jenson    | Expresses his opposition to HB 2082. States there is the issue of |
|     |                | protecting the mentally ill.                                      |
| 290 | Staff          | Submits written testimony by Philip Chadsey in support of HB      |
|     |                | 2082 for National Association for the Mentally Ill (NAMI)         |
|     |                | (EXHIBIT AA).   |
| 300 | Chair Williams | Discusses the reception of previous legislation to this effect.   |
| 302 | Chair Williams | Closes the work session on HB 2082 and adjourns the meeting at    |
|     |                | 6:52 p.m.   |

## **EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

- A HB 3363, written testimony, Nancy Miller, 4 pp
- B HB 3363-3 amendments, staff, 2 pp
- C HB 2390, written testimony, Rep. Mark Hass, (notebook)
- D HB 2390, written testimony, Jeff Scovel, 1 p
- E HB 2390, written testimony, John Williams, 1 p
- F HB 2390, written testimony, Nicholas Fish, 6 pp
- G HB 2390, written testimony, Dan Gardner, 2 pp
- H HB 2390-1 amendment, staff, 3 pp
- I HB 2390, written testimony, Ron Carter, 2 pp
- J HB 2390, written testimony, David Lippoff, 3 pp
- K HB 2390, written testimony, Mike Cheney, 2 pp
- L HB 2390, written testimony, Wendi Weiss, 4 pp
- M HB 2390, written testimony, Ron Pivo, 1 p
- N HB 2390, written testimony, Gretchen McKenzie, 1 p
- O HB 2821, written testimony, Rob Bovett, 1 p
- P-HB 2821, written testimony, Marshall Ross, 1 p
- Q HB 2821, written testimony, Brian Wallace, 1 p
- R HB 2821-1 amendment, Staff, 1 p
- S HB 3388, written testimony, Jim Main, 1 p
- T HB 2811-1 amendment, staff, 1 p
- U HB 2811, written testimony, Marcia Keith, 1 p
- V HB 3339-1 amendment, staff, 1 p
- W HB 3339, written testimony, Marcia Keith, 1 p
- X HB 2034-6 amendment, staff, 1 p
- Y HB 2082, written testimony, Jim MacLeod, 2 pp
- Z HB 2082-1 amendment, staff, 1 p
- AA HB 2082, written testimony, Philip Chadsey (NAMI), p 1