HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

June 19, 2003 Hearing Room 357 1:00 p.m. Tapes 223 - 224

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Rep. Max Williams, Chair
	Rep. Robert Ackerman, Vice-Chair
	Rep. Gordon Anderson, Vice-Chair
	Rep. Jeff Barker
	Rep. Bob Jenson
	Rep. Jerry Krummel
	Rep. Greg Macpherson
	Rep. Floyd Prozanski
	Rep. Lane Shetterly
STAFF PRESENT:	Bill Taylor, Counsel

Nancy Massee, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2759 Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 223, A		
003	Chair Williams	Calls the meeting to order at 1:12 p.m. Opens work session on HB 2759.
HB 2759 WOI	RK SESSION	
013	Chair Williams	Comments on interest groups and committees working with addressing the court funding issues.
036	Bill Taylor	Committee Counsel. Explains HB 2759 which eliminates legislative policy and intent that judges of circuit court need adequate court services to assist courts in exercising jurisdiction over family and family-related matters. Discusses amendments to be considered, -2, -9, -18, -19, -21, -22, -23, -24, and -25 (EXHIBITS A-I).
077	Chair Williams	Comments on amendments.
099	Doug Bray	Trial Court Administrator, Multnomah County. Introduces Susan Klosterman and Judge Dale Koch. Mentions that the Oregon State Bar will speak to the -21 amendments.
124	Bray	Discusses the -2 amendments which adjust all fees. Speaks to the -9 amendments and the -18 amendments.
208	Bray	Explains the -21 amendments. Discusses the -22 amendments.
258	Bray	Continues discussing the -22 amendments. Explains the -24 amendments. Explains how -25 amendments change how violations are handled in fee schedules.
381	Rep. Jenson	Asks about the -2 amendments.
387	Bray	Responds on the public safety budget.
407	Rep. Jenson	Asks about the -18 amendments.
TAPE 224, A	-	
011	Rep. Barker	Asks about the Brown vs. Multnomah County case.
013	Bray	Discusses the case.

029	Rep. Barker	Asks about refund of filing fees.
038	Bray	Responds on 15-day period for filing for refund.
045	Rep. Krummel	Refers to the -22 amendments and asks about a concern of
		creating loss of access to the court.
055	Bray	Says that is a serious concern.
063	Rep. Krummel	Asks if a series of motions are filed, can there be a daily cost.
078	Chair Williams	Explains how papers are handled in a process with multiple
		filings.
126	Judge Koch	Multnomah County Circuit Court. Testifies in support of HB
	-	2759 and gives example of how multiple filings can be
		processed.
150	Rep. Krummel	Discusses court fees.
168	Vice Chair	Asks if types of fees have been considered for certain
	Ackerman	proceedings.
178	Bray	Agrees that those proceedings may support a fee.
191	Vice Chair	Wonders if the committee should pursue these alternative
	Ackerman	funding methods.
200	Bray	Answers that they have tried to come up with other funding for a
	5	revenue basis.
207	Vice Chair	Refers to -21 amendments. Wonders if agricultural committee
	Ackerman	needs the current mediation program.
218	Bray	Defers to the Oregon State Bar and Oregon Legal Services to
		address that issue.
238	Vice Chair	Asks about the -22 amendments and elimination of fees.
	Ackerman	
241	Bray	Talks about those proceedings.
271	Rep. Macpherson	Asks about costs of civil litigation in business.
282	Bray	Responds that the filing fee is \$167; the jury trial fee is \$175;
202	Diuj	and the court trial fee is \$75.
295	Rep. Macpherson	Asks about cost of range of service that the courts deliver.
310	Judge Koch	Comments on the court fees and describes difficulty in sorting
510	budge moon	out cases by type.
322	Rep. Macpherson	Asks if there has been any analysis done regarding the court cost
	rtep: maepherson	and attorney fees.
334	Bray	Replies that it is difficult to attach a specific fee to a type of case.
352	Rep. Macpherson	Asks about the dispute resolution process.
363	Bray	Says that he is not prepared to answer that question.
369	Rep. Prozanski	Refers to the -22 amendments, Section 9, page 8.
419	Judge Koch	Answers there is a fee for the filing of a motion and that fee is for
41)	Judge Roen	filing a response.
434	Rep. Prozanski	Asks if there is an issue for certain individuals to be able to bring
434	Rep. 1102dliski	their enforcement actions forward.
441	Judge Koch	Answers that the fee for that motion is \$45 and can be waived or
441	Judge Koen	deferred with a judgment entered against the responding party.
TAPE 223, B		deferred with a judgment entered against the responding party.
010	Pop Prozonski	Asks if judges across the state will be willing to defer the fee.
020	Rep. Prozanski Judge Koch	Responds on enforcement obligations.
035	-	· ·
033	Rep. Prozanski	Asks when jury trial fee is due; is it when trial is set or when
026	Drov	they initially file.
036	Bray Bon Brozonski	Answers due at the time trial is set.
039	Rep. Prozanski	Asks how much will be collected under Section 9, page 8 of the -
044	Vlagmar	22 amendments.
044	Klossman Michael Magar	Believes approximately \$1.5 million.
059	Michael Mason	Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation/Chair,

		Legal Aid Services of Oregon. Testifies in support of the -2 amendments and the -21 amendments. Describes how offices would have to be closed without this bill.
168	Bob Oleson	Oregon State Bar. Testifies in support of HB 2759 and the amendments.
183	Jim Markee	Oregon Collectors Association and Mortgage Lenders Association. Describes work group that worked on fees. Supports HB 2759 and amendments.
247	Vice Chair	Asks what type of mediation is being done through the
	Ackerman	Department of Agriculture.
252	Mason	Answers that it is a wide range of legal cases. Does not know who does the mediation.
266	Vice Chair	Asks if there is a "means" test. Wonders if we are subsidizing
	Ackerman	people who can pay.
269	Mason	Says he does not believe there is a "means" test and that it is
		family farmers using the service.
274	Vice Chair	Asks about filing fees for summary judgment proceedings. Asks
	Ackerman	if they have discussed a fee structure for these proceedings.
283	Olson	Answers that there has been a lot of discussion about different
		ways to generate money.
291	Susan Grabe	Oregon State Bar. Testifies on HB 2759 and says that issue came up, but there was resistance to the idea so they did not pursue it.
300	Vice Chair	Inquires who opposed it.
	Ackerman	
303	Grabe	Answers practitioners and court staff. Says they thought it would be difficult to track fees on motions.
316	Chair Williams	Comments that early next week there will be another work session on this bill and then the bill will be referred to Ways and Means.
332	Rep. Prozanski	Asks about a consolidated amendment.
336	Chair Williams	Says that there will be one consolidated amendment to vote on.
367	Vice Chair	Comments on issues raised for additional funds.
	Ackerman	
380	Chair Williams	Asks Vice Chair Ackerman to work with Mr. Mason on his amendment. Closes the work session on HB 2759. Adjourns the meeting at 2:32 p.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A HB 2759, -2 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 3/20/03, 75 pgs.
- B HB 2759, -9 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 5/6/03, 3 pgs.
- C HB 2759, -18 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 6/13/03, 2 pgs.
- D HB 2759, -19 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 6/13/03, 3 pgs.
- E HB 2759, -21 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 6/13/03, 7 pgs.
- F HB 2759, -22 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 6/13/03, 11 pgs.
- G HB 2759, -23 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 6/13/03, 1 pg.
- H HB 2759, -24 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 6/16/03, 4 pgs.
- I HB 2759, -25 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 6/18/03, 4 pgs.