HOUSE REVENUE COMMITTEE MAY 7, 2003 8:30 AM STATE CAPITOL BUILDING

| Members Present: | Representative Lane Shetterly, Chair Representative Joanne Verger, Vice Chair Representative Phil Barnhart Representative Vicki Berger Representative Elaine Hopson Representative Max Williams |
|------------------|--|
| Members Excused: | Representative Wayne Scott, Vice Chair Representative Pat Farr Representative Mark Hass |
| Witness Present: | Representative Jerry Krummel, District 26 Rob Saxton, Sherwood School District Connie Hansen, Sherwood United for Schools George Lanning, Oregon Small Schools Association |
| Staff Present: | Paul Warner, Legislative Revenue Officer Steve Meyer, Legislative Revenue Office Kathy Tooley, Committee Assistant |

TAPE 158, SIDE A

| | 004 | Chair Shetterly | Calls meeting to order at 8:42 a. |
|--|-----|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
|--|-----|-----------------|-----------------------------------|

OPENS WORK SESSION ON SB 550A AND 819A

| 025 | Rep. Krummel | Introduces SB 819-A7 amendments (Exhibit 1), which creates a high growth grant. Offers support for the amendments and discusses the Sherwood school district. |
|-----|---------------|--|
| 050 | Rob Saxton | Discusses Sherwood school district growth and spending per student, which is less than any district in Oregon. Discusses executive report developed last year (Exhibit 2). Gives history of local option in Sherwood. |
| 200 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks what the minimum amount per student to fund Sherwood school district is. |
| 210 | Saxton | Responds that last session they were allotted 5181 per student, which they didn't feel was adequate. States that there are exceptions to the formula for various circumstances and Sherwood does not qualify for any of them, even though they cannot adequately fund their schools. |
| 238 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks if Sherwood qualifies for capital matching funds. |
| 241 | Saxton | Responds that he is not aware of capital matching funds. |
| 248 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks about increasing numbers of younger children. |
| 252 | Saxton | Responds that kindergarten classes are growing larger each year. |
| 259 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks if the district is holding these kids or if the families move to a more established district as they get older, putting less stress on the high schools. |

| 262 | Saxton | Responds that he doesn't know because they are such a young community, but that right now it looks like they are holding them. |
|----------------|----------------|--|
| 255 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks how are Sherwood is paying for hard assets such as property. |
| 270 | Saxton | Responds that the last construction was done with facility grant dollars, but they have used operating dollars. |
| 287 | Connie Hansen | Testifies in support of SB 819-A7 amendments. Discusses cuts being made in Sherwood school district. Discusses the history of local options in Sherwood and the problems created by high growth in the area. |
| 402 | Rep. Verger | States that she is envious of growth and doesn't believe that a cookie cutter approach to school funding problems will work because each district is different and has different problems. |
| 421 | Rep. Krummel | States that the amendments would fit in SB 550 or SB 819. |
| <u>TAPE 15</u> | 9, SIDE A | |
| 012 | Rep. Krummel | States that there are flaws in funding formula, particularly with high growth, and that this bill is a way to meet the demands of the school districts. |
| 024 | Rep. Hopson | Asks how many schools could receive high growth grants. |
| 028 | Steve Meyer | Responds that he has only identified Sherwood. |
| 033 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks if Sherwood expects this growth rate into the future. |
| 035 | Saxton | Responds that they expect an increase in growth by 30% over next 5 years. |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 053 | George Lanning | Testifies in support of SB 550 because it benefits small rural districts. Discusses Harney and Malheur county and their difficulties in providing transportation. Discusses connection to high cost special education. |
| 120 | Rep. Hopson | Asks if boarding costs come out of transportation monies. |
| 123 | Meyer | Nods affirmatively. |
| 125 | Rep. Hopson | Asks for information on distances between schools. |
| 132 | Meyer | Responds that he does not have that information, but the Department of Education may. |
| 137 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks if this change in transportation funding formula would apply to boarding costs. |
| 141 | Meyer | Responds affirmatively. |
| 150 | Steve Meyer | Discusses SB 819-A10 amendments (exhibit 3). |
| 160 | Meyer | Discusses the "High Cost Special Education" table (Exhibit 4), which estimates costs per student. |
| | | Clarifying questions and answers interspersed |

Clarifying questions and answers interspersed.

| 220 | Chair Shetterly | Asks if districts that have a higher proportion of lower cost students would see a decrease in their average per student. |
|--|-----------------|--|
| 224 | Meyer | Responds that it is the other way around and that districts that have a lot around the \$25,000 - \$35,000 tend to be better off with the \$20,000 reduction. |
| 232 | Rep. Berger | Asks how many districts did not report. |
| 235 | Meyer | Responds that 9 did not respond. |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 245 | Meyer | Discusses "Local Option Tax Excluded from School Formula Local Revenue" (Exhibit 5). |
| 276 | Chair Shetterly | Asks for example using Gilliam school district. |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 294 | Meyer | Gives example using Multnomah school district. |
| 301 | Rep. Verger | Expresses confusion about the number under current law. |
| 303 | Meyer | Responds that under current law the amount of local option that can be excluded is the lesser of 10 percent or \$500 per student. |
| 311 | Rep. Barnhart | States that it looks as though there are at least 2 districts that are flowing money into the formula. |
| 320 | Meyer | Responds that the numbers may indicate that, but it is not the case because the local option number is the amount imposed and not collected. |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 365 | Meyer | Discusses "School Finance Distribution" (Exhibit 6). |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 412 | Rep. Hopson | Asks about the Neah-Kah-Nie district. |
| 416 | Meyer | Responds that Neah-Kah-Nie has typically been in the situation where local revenue is greater than their formula allocation, so that they don't receive any school funds, but that with this change they would qualify for additional formula revenue. |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 456 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks if redistributing funds would modify the table. |
| 458 | Meyer | Responds negatively. |
| CLOSES WORK SESSION ON SB 550 A AND SB 819 A | | |
| 462 | Chair Shetterly | Meeting adjourned at 9:40 a.m. |

Kathy Tooley, Committee Assistant Tara Lantz, Committee Assistant

Exhibit Summary:

- 1. SB 819 A, Representative Krummel, Proposed SB 819-A7 Amendments, 3pp.
- 2. SB 819 A, Rob Saxton, Executive Summary, 1p.
- 3. SB 819 A, Steve Meyer, Proposed SB 819-A10 Amendments, 19pp.
- 4. SB 550 A, Steve Meyer, High Cost Special Education, 6pp.
- 5. SB 819 A, Steve Meyer, Local Option Tax Excluded from School Formula Local Revenue, 6pp.
- 6. SB 550 A, Steve Meyer, School Finance Distribution, 6pp.