

**HOUSE REVENUE COMMITTEE**  
**JUNE 3, 2003 8:30 AM STATE CAPITOL BUILDING**

Members Present: Representative Lane Shetterly, Chair  
Representative Wayne Scott, Vice Chair  
Representative Joanne Verger, Vice Chair  
Representative Phil Barnhart  
Representative Vicki Berger  
Representative Pat Farr  
Representative Mark Hass  
Representative Elaine Hopson  
Representative Max Williams

Witness Present: Debra Buchanan, Oregon Department of Revenue

Staff Present: Paul Warner, Legislative Revenue Officer  
Mazen Malik, Legislative Revenue Office  
Lizbeth Martin-Mahar, Legislative Revenue Office  
Kathy Tooley, Committee Assistant

**TAPE 179, SIDE A**

004 Chair Shetterly Calls meeting to order at 9:33 a.m.

**OPENED WORK SESSION ON SB 228**

005 Chair Shetterly Explained SB 228 returned from the House floor to Committee for amendment.

009 Mazen Malik Described the -A7 amendments, (Exhibit 1) is a compilation of three amendments. Provided background on the bill, (Exhibit 2).

029 Chair Shetterly Questions and discussion with Debra Buchanan, as to whether the -A7 amendments work administratively, providing the information Multnomah County needs while protecting confidentiality?

037 Chair Shetterly **MOTION: MOVED ADOPTION OF THE -7 AMENDMENTS INTO SB 228.**  
**ORDER: HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERS. (ALL MEMBERS PRESENT).**

040 Chair Shetterly **MOTION: MOVED SB 228, AS AMENDED, TO THE HOUSE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION**  
**ROLL CALL: MOTION PASSED 9-0-0**  
**REPRESENTATIVES VOTING AYE: Barnhart, Berger, Farr, Hass, Hopson, Scott, Verger, Williams, Chair Shetterly.**  
**Rep. Barnhart will carry the bill.**

046 Chair Shetterly Closed the Work Session on SB 228.

**INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION**

|     |                      |  |
|-----|----------------------|--|
| 060 | Lizbeth Martin-Mahar | Provided presentation on "State Revenue Impact from Federal Law Changes in 2003, H.R. 2", (Page 1, Exhibit 3).   |
| 062 | Martin-Mahar         | Described "Reduction in Capital Gains and Dividends Federal Tax Rate", (Page 1, Exhibit 3). Will not cause Oregon to have a negative loss in revenue, as it is not an exclusion from the taxable income.                 |
| 098 | Rep. Hass            | Does anyone know why they did 10% for one year?  |
| 100 | Chair Shetterly      | Because they were limited to what they agreed it was going to cost, The sunsets are in to limit the costs.   |
| 104 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed sunsets on taxable rates and dividends.  |
| 107 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed "Accelerate Some Previously Enacted Tax Reduction in 2001", Page 1, Exhibit 3).  |
| 171 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed "Depreciation Incentive for Businesses", (Page 1, Exhibit 3)   |
| 210 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed "State Fiscal Relief Fund of \$20 billion", (Page 1, Exhibit 3). Oregon will receive about 1%.   |
| 218 | Martin-Mahar         | Described "Breakdown of Oregon Feedback effects from Federal Stimulus Package". (Page 2, Exhibit 3)  |
| 270 | Rep. Barnhart        | Primary effect on Oregon income tax is a result of the federal tax deduction in Oregon's income decreasing which increases the Oregon net income which results in more Oregon taxes being paid. Are there other effects? |
| 281 | Martin-Mahar         | That's all that is captured here, will be discussing further in presentation.  |
| 292 | Martin-Mahar         | Described "Oregon Tax Impact from Federal Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Act of 2003 (H.R. 2), (Page 3, Exhibit 3). Discussed static effects.  |
| 323 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed, "OTIM Dynamic Feedback Effects", (Page 3, Exhibit 3).   |
| 339 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed, "Total Static and Dynamic Impact", (Page 3, Exhibit 3)  |
| 342 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed, "Total Depreciation Impact by Biennium", (Page 3, Exhibit 3).   |
| 357 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed, "Reduction in Federal Tax Liability", (Page 3, Exhibit 3).  |
| 370 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed, "Total Depreciation Impact and Positive Feedback Effects", (Page 3, Exhibit 3).   |
| 370 | Rep. Verger          | The window of opportunity on the depreciation schedule without the bonus is very short for business 2003-05 is it?   |
| 394 | Martin-Mahar         | Answered affirmatively, but extends an additional year from current law.   |
| 400 | Rep. Hass            | Did you do a feedback on the dividends and capital gains?  |
| 404 | Martin-Mahar         | Answered affirmatively, that was part of the components. Section 2 and Section 1 together became the total personal income tax reductions.   |
| 410 | Martin-Mahar         | Discussed "Revenue Impact on State Income Tax Revenue from the New Multnomah County Local Tax" (Exhibit 4).  |

|     |              |   |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 440 | Martin-Mahar | Multnomah will not be added back as local income tax.   |
| 468 | Martin-Mahar | Discussed "Oregon Income Tax Reduction Due to Multnomah County Income Tax Deduction", (Exhibit 4), and revenue lost to Oregon income taxes. These are estimates that will be incorporated into current law. |
| 501 | Rep. Hass    | Can you refresh as to why there are tax reductions here. Is that because of the total taxes paid?   |
| 504 | Martin-Mahar | As far as Multnomah county?   |
| 505 | Rep. Hass    | Answered affirmatively.   |
| 506 | Martin-Mahar | It's our connection to the federal tax code, which allows local income taxes to be deducted on federal returns and Oregon conforms to that code.  |

**TAPE 180, SIDE A**

|     |                   |  |
|-----|-------------------|--|
| 043 | Rep. Verger       | For clarification, thought it was added back into state taxes.   |
| 045 | Martin-Mahar      | Oregon ads back state income taxes, but law does not say "all income taxes in Oregon", not clear legally that all state income taxes would be added back. According to Legislative Counsel, that would not include Multnomah County income tax. Additional legislation would be needed to include. |
| 053 | Rep. Barnhart     | It may be time for another amendment to the Oregon tax code.   |
| 060 | Paul Warner       | These numbers mean the close of session forecast is down \$52 million down from May 15 forecast. These numbers will go into the final budget as a -\$52.   |
| 068 | Rep. Hass         | Where are you getting \$52?  |
| 067 | Warner            | That would be summing up -\$38.5 from the federal package and -\$13.9 for Multnomah County deduction.  |
| 075 | Vice Chair Verger | Meeting adjourned at 10:10 a.m.  |

Tape Log Submitted by,

Kathy Tooley, Committee Assistant

Exhibit Summary:

1. Malik, "SB 228-A7 Amendment", 1 page
2. Malik, "Staff Measure Summary SB 228-A7", 1 page
3. Martin-Mahar, "State Revenue Impact from Federal Law Changes in 2003, H.R. 2", 3 pages
4. Martin-Mahar, "Revenue Impact on State Income Tax Revenue from the New Multnomah County Local Tax" (Exhibit 4), 1 page