## HOUSE COMMITTEE ON RULES AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS

August 25, 2003 Hearing Room E 3:00 PM Tapes 141 - 143

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Rep. Dan Doyle, Chair
	Rep. Linda Flores, Vice-Chair
	Rep. Laurie Monnes Anderson, Vice Chair
	Rep. Vic Backlund
	Rep. Phil Barnhart
	Rep. Betsy L. Close
	Rep. Joanne Verger

STAFF PRESENT: Cara Filsinger, Committee Administrator Sandy Thiele-Circa, Administrator Rick Berkobien, Administrator Ray Kelly, Administrator Janet Adkins, Administrator Annetta Mullins, Committee Assistant David Peffley. Committee Assistant

<b>MEASURES HEARD:</b>	HB 2523 A – Work Session
	SB 946 – Work Session
	SB 938 A – Work Session
	SB 332 A – Work session
	SB 763 – Work Session
	SB 915 A – Work Session
	SB 538 A – Work Session
	HB 2502 – Work Session
	SCR 9 – Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
<b>TAPE 141,</b>	Α	
004	Chair Doyle	Calls the meeting to order at 3:49 p.m., announces the order in which agenda items will be considered, and opens a work session on SB 938.
SB 938 A -	WORK SESSION	
030	Chair Doyle	Closes the work session on SB 938 and opens a work session on HB 2523 A.
HB 2523 A	- WORK SESSION	
040	Sandy Thiele-Cirka	Committee Administrator. Explains the provisions of HB 2523 A.
047	Bill Linden	Deschutes County. Submits <b>(EXHIBIT A)</b> and explains the background and history of HB 2523 A. Asserts that the general fund would be held harmless or even benefit from the collection process. Adds that HB 2523 A will provide an effective judicial forum for minor infractions.

080	Rep. Monnes	Asks if the County Commissioners are in favor of this	
084	Anderson Linden	legislation. Confirms that they are and that the Commissioners sponsored the	
004	Linden	bill and favor the courts in order to expedite the legal process.	
090	Rep. Monnes	Asks the Oregon Judicial Department's opinion of the bill.	
	Anderson		
092	Linden	Replies that the Circuit Court in Deschutes County is not	
		opposed to the measure, however notes that the Judicial	
		Department testified against the bill in the House Judicial	
005		Committee.	
095	Rep. Monnes Anderson	Asks why the Judicial Department opposed the bill.	
096	Linden	States that the Department expressed concerns about the revenue	
		impact.	
104	<b>Rep. Flores</b>	MOTION: Moves HB 2523A to the floor with a DO PASS	
107		recommendation.	
107	Rep. Monnes	States that she will initially be a nay vote pending the receipt of	
	Anderson	more information from the opponents of the bill, although notes	
113	Rep. Flores	that she may change her vote later. Indicates her support for HB 2523 A, enabling local entities	
115	Kep. Plotes	searching for local solutions.	
121		VOTE: 4-1	
		AYE: 4 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Doyle	
		NAY: 1 - Monnes Anderson	
		EXCUSED: 2 - Barnhart, Verger	
122	Chair Doyle	The motion CARRIES.	
		<b>REP. DOYLE will lead discussion on the floor.</b>	
124	Chair Doyle	Closes the work session on HB 2523 A and opens a work session	
	L'ARAGION	on SB 946.	
<u>SB 946 – WOR</u> 124	<u>Sen. Ben Westlund</u>	SD 27. Explains SB 946 and testifies in support, as it will	
124	Sell. Dell westlund	correct an inconsistency in the graduated drivers' license	
		provision passed in the $70^{\text{th}}$ Legislative Assembly. Explains the	
		current driving permit system and how this bill would correct the	
		problem.	
171	<b>Rep. Flores</b>	MOTION: Moves SB 946 to the floor with a DO PASS	
	<b>p</b>	recommendation.	
179		recommendation.	
		vote: 5-0	
		VOTE: 5-0AYE:In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.EXCUSED:2 - Barnhart, Verger	
181	Chair Doyle	VOTE: 5-0AYE:In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.	
181	Chair Doyle	VOTE: 5-0AYE:In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.EXCUSED:2 - Barnhart, Verger	
<b>181</b> 183	<b>Chair Doyle</b> Chair Doyle	VOTE: 5-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 2 - Barnhart, Verger The motion CARRIES.	
		<ul> <li>VOTE: 5-0</li> <li>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</li> <li>EXCUSED: 2 - Barnhart, Verger The motion CARRIES.</li> <li>REP. FLORES will lead discussion on the floor.</li> </ul>	
183 <u>SB 938 A – WC</u>	Chair Doyle DRK SESSION	VOTE: 5-0AYE:In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.EXCUSED: 2 - Barnhart, Verger The motion CARRIES.REP. FLORES will lead discussion on the floor.Closes the work session on SB 946 and opens a work session on SB 938.	
183	Chair Doyle	<ul> <li>VOTE: 5-0</li> <li>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</li> <li>EXCUSED: 2 - Barnhart, Verger The motion CARRIES.</li> <li>REP. FLORES will lead discussion on the floor. Closes the work session on SB 946 and opens a work session on SB 938.</li> <li>AT and T Wireless. References HB 2785, similar to the current</li> </ul>	
183 <u>SB 938 A – WC</u>	Chair Doyle DRK SESSION	<ul> <li>VOTE: 5-0</li> <li>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</li> <li>EXCUSED: 2 - Barnhart, Verger The motion CARRIES.</li> <li>REP. FLORES will lead discussion on the floor. Closes the work session on SB 946 and opens a work session on SB 938.</li> <li>AT and T Wireless. References HB 2785, similar to the current bill. Summarizes SB 938 A and urges passage. States that there</li> </ul>	
183 <u>SB 938 A – WC</u> 190	Chair Doyle DRK SESSION John Powell	<ul> <li>VOTE: 5-0</li> <li>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</li> <li>EXCUSED: 2 - Barnhart, Verger The motion CARRIES.</li> <li>REP. FLORES will lead discussion on the floor. Closes the work session on SB 946 and opens a work session on SB 938.</li> <li>AT and T Wireless. References HB 2785, similar to the current bill. Summarizes SB 938 A and urges passage. States that there is no known opposition.</li> </ul>	
183 <u>SB 938 A – WC</u>	Chair Doyle DRK SESSION	<ul> <li>VOTE: 5-0</li> <li>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</li> <li>EXCUSED: 2 - Barnhart, Verger The motion CARRIES.</li> <li>REP. FLORES will lead discussion on the floor. Closes the work session on SB 946 and opens a work session on SB 938.</li> <li>AT and T Wireless. References HB 2785, similar to the current bill. Summarizes SB 938 A and urges passage. States that there</li> </ul>	

		AYE: 6 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Monnes Anderson, Verger, Doyle	
244	Chair Doyle	NAY: 1 - Barnhart The motion CARRIES.	
		REP. CLOSE will lead discussion on the floor.	
253	Chair Doyle	Closes the work session on SB 938 A and opens a work session on SB 332 A.	
<u>SB 332 A – WC</u>	ORK SESSION		
260	Bill Perry	Oregon Restaurant Association. Testifies in support of SB 332 A and addresses the effects of the -4 amendments (EXHIBIT B), which define full employment with regard to minimum wage indexing.	
315	Rep. Verger	Expresses concern about overturning the will of the voters.	
323	Perry	Concurs with Rep. Verger, but asserts that this bill will be an effective index for the future. Asserts that this bill will be especially important during times of high unemployment.	
378	Rep. Barnhart	Asks Perry how many months in the past several years the calculation would have resulted in an increase in the minimum wage.	
384	Perry	States that he doesn't have those figures with him. Cites Measure 36, voted on in 1996, and the three-tier increase. Notes that we have been over the national rate most months.	
416	Rep. Barnhart	Asks about vote percentages from the past election.	
418	Perry	Acknowledges that the initiative did pass, which provided for a \$.40 increase, not an indexing increase. Replies that he thinks the percentage was approximately 51-49%.	
433	Rep. Barnhart	Asks whether the indexing was included on the ballot measure during the past election.	
440	<b>Rep. Flores</b>	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 332A-4 amendments dated 8/22/03.	
443	Rep. Barnhart	Asserts that there is no evidence of a correlation between minimum wage rates and job loss. Notes that adjusted for inflation, the minimum wage is still lower than when it was originally instituted. Announces his opposition to SB 332 A.	
<b>TAPE 142, A</b>			
030	Rep Close	States that businesses shy away from relocating in Oregon due to minimum-wage indexing. Postulates that with low-wage foreign labor, businesses will choose to locate overseas in order to pay lower labor costs.	
046	Rep. Verger	Agrees that the world economy does make for difficult policy. Once again expresses concern about overturning the will of the voters and notes that she will vote nay.	
059	Rep. Monnes Anderson	States that she will be an aye vote due to concerns about costs to small businesses.	
072		VOTE: 5-2	
		AYE:5 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Monnes Anderson,Doyle	
	~ · · · ·	NAY: 2 - Barnhart, Verger	
074 076	Chair Doyle Rep. Flores	The motion CARRIES. MOTION: Moves SB 332A to the floor with a DO PASS AS	
081		AMENDED recommendation. VOTE: 5-2	

		AYE: 5 Doyle	- Backlund, Close, Flores, Monnes Anderson,
000			- Barnhart, Verger
083	Chair Doyle	The motion CA	
086	Chair Doyle		<b>Fill lead discussion on the floor.</b> session on SB 332 A and opens a work session A.
<u>SB 763 A – W</u>	ORK SESSION		
090	Sen. Bruce Starr	the 20-year land legislation. Note expansion process <b>C)</b> and announce realtors as well a	
138	Chair Doyle		nplications of the –A8 amendments.
140	Jon Chandler	(OBIA). Clarifie	es the effects of the -A8 amendments.
168	Rep. Verger	Asks for a clarifi supply.	cation on inventory regarding the 20-year
173	Chandler		a 20-year land inventory.
174	Rep. Verger	statute.	is better suited to administrative rule than to
177	Chandler		important to put this rule into statute.
193	Rep. Monnes Anderson	Asks if Metro an	d 1000 Friends are opposed to the bill.
197	Chandler	States that he bel acceptable.	lieves the aforementioned groups find the bill
201	Rep. Flores		ves to ADOPT SB 763A-8 amendments dated 2/03.
203	Rep. Monnes Anderson		ill be a nay vote in committee, but that before e will need to consult various sources in order to ecision.
208	Rep. Verger	States that despit	e concerns about putting this bill into statute, I to be balanced and fair and will vote aye on the
213		VOTE: 5-2	
		AYE: 5	- Backlund, Close, Flores, Verger, Doyle
			- Barnhart, Monnes Anderson
221 223	Chair Doyle Rep. Flores		ves SB 763A to the floor with a DO PASS AS
230		AM VOTE: 5-2	ENDED recommendation.
		AYE: 5	- Backlund, Close, Flores, Verger, Doyle
232	Chair Doyle	NAY: 2 The motion CA	- Barnhart, Monnes Anderson RRIES.
235	Chair Doyle		<b>ND will lead discussion on the floor.</b> session on SB 763 A and opens a work session
SB 915 A – W	ORK SESSION		
236	Rep. Dalto	HD 21. Submits	three amendments, the -A7 amendments

		(EXHIBIT D), which provide a technical fix, the -A8	
		(EXHIBIT E) and the -A9 amendments (EXHIBIT F).	
		Conveys that this legislation would allow public safety facilities	
		to be built in Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) zones, allowing public	
		safety to be considered as a conditional use. States his	
		preference for the –A9 amendments.	
267	Rep. Monnes	Asks for clarification on the current restrictions in EFU buffer	
	Anderson	zones.	
271	Rep. Dalto	Notes that presently such facilities can not be built within the buffer zones.	
275	Rep. Monnes	Asks how this bill is different from a similar bill, HB 3561.	
	Anderson		
276	Rep. Dalto	States the difference in the two bills.	
281	Rep. Monnes	Asks Oregon Farm Bureau's (OFB) opinion on SB 915.	
	Anderson		
282	Rep. Dalto	Replies that OFB would like to see a buffer zone.	
291	Rep. Flores	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 915A-9 amendments dated 8/25/03.	
295	Rep. Verger	States that she will be a nay vote in committee, but may change her mind for the floor vote.	
298	Rep. Monnes Anderson	Asks for clarification on the effects of the –A9 amendments.	
314	Rep. Dalto	Explains the changes in the buffer zone.	
322	Rep. Monnes	Asks which amendments Rep. Dalto supports.	
	Anderson		
324	Rep. Dalto	Confirms that he would like to see the passage of the –A9 amendments, which remove all buffer zone language.	
336	Rep. Monnes	Expresses concern that all stakeholders have not been able to	
	Anderson	represent their opinions, thus she will be a nay vote.	
341	Rep. Backlund	Asks the impact of the -2 amendments (EXHIBIT G).	
345	Chair Doyle	States that the -2 amendments will be discussed after the -A9 amendments.	
251	Dan Flores	States that she'd also like to hear more discussion on the issue,	
351	Rep. Flores		
256	Dan Daaldund	but affirms that she will provide a courtesy aye vote.	
356	Rep. Backlund	Concurs with Rep. Flores and will also provide a courtesy aye vote.	
363		<b>VOTE: 4-3</b>	
		AYE:4 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Doyle	
		NAY: <b>3 - Barnhart, Monnes Anderson, Verger</b>	
371	Chair Doyle	The motion CARRIES.	
382	Rep. Prozanski	Addresses the effects of the -2 amendments, which was brought about by a constituent's concerns	
404	Rep. Barnhart	Asks for a clarification on the effects of moving the statutes.	
407	Rep. Prozanski	Clarifies the matter further, and notes that they would allow for greater regulation.	
424	Rep. Barnhart	Asks what concern prompted Rep. Prozanski's constituent to seek this change in statute.	
428 TAPE 141, B	Rep. Prozanski	Explains that noise factors influenced the proposed legislation.	
005	Chair Doyle	Announces that he has received word from Legislative Council that the -2 amendments do not relate to the A-engrossed version of the bill and that the amendment will need to be redrafted.	

014	Rep. Prozanski	States that he will investigate.		
021	Chair Doyle	Delays action on the bill, recessing the work session on SB 915 and opens a work session on SB 538 A.		
SB 538 A -	- WORK SESSION			
029	Chair Doyle	Announces that there will be a host of amendments to consider regarding the A-engrossed version of the bill. Addresses the - A24 amendments (EXHIBIT H), submitted by the Chair, as the centerpiece of the legislation. Also introduces the -A25 amendments (EXHIBIT I), submitted by Rep. Barnhart, similar to the -24, except that the -A25 includes Douglas County. Mentions that the aggregate industry prefers the -25 amendments and OFB prefers the -A25 amendments. Also introduces the - A12 (EXHIBIT J), the -A13 (EXHIBIT K), the -A20 (EXHIBIT L), and the -A23 amendments (EXHIBIT M).		
075	Rep. Barnhart	Concurs with Chair Doyle's assessment on the difference with the amendments. Addresses the aggregate issue and notes the compromise which was reached as part of this bill. Also addresses soil classification. Recommends adoption of the –A25 amendments (EXHIBIT I).		
112	Chair Doyle	Explains his rationale for wanting to move the –A24 amendments (EXHIBIT H), citing the Beaver State case.		
134	Rep. Backlund	Addresses the compromise and asks who came together for compromise on the –A24 amendments.		
137	Chair Doyle	Speaks to the governor's desire for compromise, which prompte the -A24 amendments. Addresses the interests of the aggregat community as well as those of OFB.		
144	Rep. Flores	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 538A-24 amendments dated 8/25/03.		
151	Rep. Verger	Expresses concern with the legislature overturning the legal process and thus will oppose the -A24 amendments.		
173	Rep. Monnes Anderson	References the farmers' concerns with regard to the gravel pits and will be a nay vote.		
218	Rep. Barnhart	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 538A-25 amendments dated 8/25/03.		
222	Chair Doyle	Clarifies that the motion to move the $-A25$ amendments supercede the motion to move the $-A24$ amendments.		
225	Rep. Barnhart	Addresses the motion and the importance of the -A25 for the farmer. Addresses the compromise reached on the amendment and how it was arrived at. Urges an aye vote on the amendments.		
237		VOTE on the –A25 amendments: 3-4		
		AYE: <b>3 - Barnhart, Monnes Anderson, Verger</b>		
242 251	Chair Doyle	NAY: 4 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Doyle The motion FAILS. VOTE on the –A24 amendments: 4-3		
		AYE: 4 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Doyle		
254	Chair Doyle	NAY: <b>3</b> - Barnhart, Monnes Anderson, Verger The motion CARRIES.		
260	Chair Doyle	Announces that the –A20 amendments (EXHIBIT L) will be addressed next.		
269	Ross Day	Oregonians In Action (OIA). Addresses the $-A20$ amendments, which are of the gut and stuff variety and came about as a		

		compromise between OIA and the Department of Land
		Conservation and Development (DCLD).
301	Jon Chandler	Legislative Advocates. Testifies in favor of the –A20
		amendments. Refers to the exceptions process as it relates to
		land use planning. States that the amendments are the same as HB 2860 which passed the House and stalled in the Senate.
		The 2000 which passed the mouse and staned in the Schate.
334	Rep. Verger	Asks for clarification that nothing changes in the exceptions
		process except for the golf course provisions.
337	Day	Affirms that is correct.
338	Rep. Verger	Asks who is served by the golf course facilities provisions.
344	Day	States that it depends on the definition of playing golf and notes allowances.
352	Chair Doyle	Notes that the language seems to address those patronizing to the course.
358	Day	References HB 3247.
359	Rep. Monnes	Asks if DLCD and the environmental groups find the
	Anderson	-A20 amendments acceptable.
368	Chandler	Affirms that 1000 Friends find the amendments acceptable.
370	Rep. Flores	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 538-A20 amendments dated 8/23/03.
372	Chair Doyle	Notes that even though the -A20 amendments are gut and stuff
		amendments, the elimination of the original bill was
		accomplished by the -A24 amendments adopted earlier. Affirms
		the intention to combine the $-A24$ amendments with the $-A20$
207		amendments.
386 <b>390</b>	Rep. Barnhart Chair Doyle	States a preference for the amendments "as is." <b>VOTE: 6-1</b>
390	Chan Doyle	
		AYE:6 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Monnes Anderson,Verger, Doyle
		NAY: 1 - Barnhart
393	Chair Doyle	The motion CARRIES.
397	Chair Doyle	Introduces the -A12 amendments (EXHIBIT J).
404	Richard Kosesan	$\mathbf{W}_{1}$
		Water For Life. Addresses the –A12 amendments in order to
		attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate,
120	D. W	attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways.
430	Rep. Verger	attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways. Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and
		attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways. Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.
430 441	Rep. Verger Kosesan	attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways. Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed. States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures
441		attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways. Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.
		attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways. Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed. States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes. Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the
441 <b>TAPE 142, B</b> 004	Kosesan Rep. Verger	<ul> <li>attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways.</li> <li>Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.</li> <li>States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes.</li> <li>Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the cost to erect any kind of structure.</li> </ul>
441 TAPE 142, B	Kosesan	attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways. Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed. States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes. Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the
441 <b>TAPE 142, B</b> 004	Kosesan Rep. Verger	<ul> <li>attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways.</li> <li>Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.</li> <li>States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes.</li> <li>Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the cost to erect any kind of structure.</li> <li>States uncertainty. Restates the intent of the bill to grandfather</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>441</li> <li><b>TAPE 142, B</b></li> <li>004</li> <li>011</li> <li>015</li> </ul>	Kosesan Rep. Verger Kosesan Rep. Verger	<ul> <li>attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways.</li> <li>Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.</li> <li>States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes.</li> <li>Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the cost to erect any kind of structure.</li> <li>States uncertainty. Restates the intent of the bill to grandfather existing structures.</li> <li>Notes the effect on Front Street in Coos Bay and thus announces support for the amendments.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>441</li> <li><b>TAPE 142, B</b></li> <li>004</li> <li>011</li> </ul>	Kosesan Rep. Verger Kosesan	<ul> <li>attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways.</li> <li>Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.</li> <li>States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes.</li> <li>Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the cost to erect any kind of structure.</li> <li>States uncertainty. Restates the intent of the bill to grandfather existing structures.</li> <li>Notes the effect on Front Street in Coos Bay and thus announces support for the amendments.</li> <li>Asks how the -A12 amendments grandfather in existing</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>441</li> <li><b>TAPE 142, B</b></li> <li>004</li> <li>011</li> <li>015</li> <li>022</li> </ul>	Kosesan Rep. Verger Kosesan Rep. Verger Rep. Barnhart	<ul> <li>attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways.</li> <li>Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.</li> <li>States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes.</li> <li>Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the cost to erect any kind of structure.</li> <li>States uncertainty. Restates the intent of the bill to grandfather existing structures.</li> <li>Notes the effect on Front Street in Coos Bay and thus announces support for the amendments.</li> <li>Asks how the -A12 amendments grandfather in existing structures.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>441</li> <li><b>TAPE 142, B</b></li> <li>004</li> <li>011</li> <li>015</li> <li>022</li> <li>026</li> </ul>	Kosesan Rep. Verger Kosesan Rep. Verger Rep. Barnhart Kosesan	<ul> <li>attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways.</li> <li>Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.</li> <li>States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes.</li> <li>Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the cost to erect any kind of structure.</li> <li>States uncertainty. Restates the intent of the bill to grandfather existing structures.</li> <li>Notes the effect on Front Street in Coos Bay and thus announces support for the amendments.</li> <li>Asks how the –A12 amendments grandfather in existing structures.</li> <li>Clarifies the permit process.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>441</li> <li><b>TAPE 142, B</b></li> <li>004</li> <li>011</li> <li>015</li> <li>022</li> </ul>	Kosesan Rep. Verger Kosesan Rep. Verger Rep. Barnhart	<ul> <li>attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways.</li> <li>Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.</li> <li>States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes.</li> <li>Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the cost to erect any kind of structure.</li> <li>States uncertainty. Restates the intent of the bill to grandfather existing structures.</li> <li>Notes the effect on Front Street in Coos Bay and thus announces support for the amendments.</li> <li>Asks how the –A12 amendments grandfather in existing structures.</li> <li>Clarifies the permit process.</li> <li>Notes that Rep. Close has pointed out that Line 13 answers his</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>441</li> <li><b>TAPE 142, B</b></li> <li>004</li> <li>011</li> <li>015</li> <li>022</li> <li>026</li> </ul>	Kosesan Rep. Verger Kosesan Rep. Verger Rep. Barnhart Kosesan	<ul> <li>attach the former HB 3297 which also stalled in the Senate, dealing with structures on waterways.</li> <li>Asks for clarification on the existing Coos Bay structures and why an easement or permit release is needed.</li> <li>States that the amendment would grandfather existing structures and avoid future additional permitting processes.</li> <li>Refers to new structures in navigable waterways and asks the cost to erect any kind of structure.</li> <li>States uncertainty. Restates the intent of the bill to grandfather existing structures.</li> <li>Notes the effect on Front Street in Coos Bay and thus announces support for the amendments.</li> <li>Asks how the –A12 amendments grandfather in existing structures.</li> <li>Clarifies the permit process.</li> </ul>

038	Rep. Flores	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 538A-12 amendments dated 7/21/03.	
040		<b>VOTE: 7-0</b>	
042	Chair Doyle	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.	
043	Chair Doyle	Announces the -A13 amendments (EXHIBIT K), brought forth by the Farm Bureau.	
047	Don Schellenberg	Oregon Farm Bureau (OFB). Addresses the –A13 amendments, in order to meet the concerns of Hood River Wineries to allow for a five-acre site and allow Hood River and Wasco County to work together.	
080	Rep. Barnhart	Asks where wine growers stand on the amendments.	
081	Schellenberg	Replies that the winegrowers do not oppose the bill.	
084	Rep. Flores	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 538A-13 amendments dated 8/6/03.	
086		<b>VOTE: 7-0</b>	
087	Chair Doyle	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.	
088	Chair Doyle	Announces the –A23 amendments (EXHIBIT M).	
091	Schellenberg	Addresses the -A23 amendments, addressing the definition of "preparation." Addresses the grass seed industry and their ability to clean, treat, sort, and package grass seed products,	
		which are presently not accepted practices under current statute,	
118	Rep. Flores	which refers to the said practices as "processing." MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 538A-23 amendments	
123		dated 8/23/03. VOTE: 7-0	
123	Chair Davia		
124	Chair Doyle Chair Doyle	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b> Recesses the meeting at 5:24.	
RECESS	Chan Doyle	Recesses the meeting at 5.24.	
129	Chair Doyle	Reconvenes the meeting at 5:29	
130	Rep. Flores	MOTION: Moves SB 538A to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.	
135	Rep. Barnhart	States that although there are commendable aspects to the bill, he finds other aspects unacceptable and thus will vote nay on SB 538A.	
138	Rep. Monnes Anderson	Expresses concerns with the aggregate sitings on farms and announces that she also will be a nay vote.	
143	Rep. Verger	States discomforts with not moving the -A25 amendments, due to the exception of Douglas County. Announces that she will also vote nay.	
152	Ray Kelly	Administrator. Clarifies the motion on which amendments are being moved into SB 538A and to the floor.	
160	Rep. Barnhart	Asks for clarification that the original A-engrossed bill is no longer under consideration.	
164		<b>VOTE: 4-3</b>	
		AYE: 4 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Doyle	
169	Chair Doyle	NAY: <b>3</b> - Barnhart, Monnes Anderson, Verger The motion CARRIES.	
		<b>REP. DOYLE will lead discussion on the floor.</b>	
171	Rep. Barnhart	Serves notice of a possible minority report.	
173	Chair Doyle	Closes the work session on SB 538 A and opens a work session on HB 2502.	

HB 2502 – W	ORK SESSION	
178	Chair Doyle	Announces the -2 amendments to HB 2502 (EXHIBIT N).
181	Joe Schweinhart	Association Oregon Industries (AOI). Testifies with support for HB 2502, in order to lessen Oregon's capital gains tax.
		Asserts the capital gains tax to be detrimental to Oregon's
		business growth, as it will increase consumer spending,
107		productivity, tax revenue, and jobs.
196	Rep. Barnhart	Asks why this issue wasn't raised in the committee on revenue.
200	Schweinhart	States that it was raised, but it wasn't moved out of committee.
201	Rep. Barnhart	Asks how much the tax would be reduced by.
203 204	Schweinhart Bon Barnhart	Replies that it would be reduced by one-half percent in 2006.
204	Rep. Barnhart Schweinhart	Asks for clarification that the tax would eventually be reduced by 4.5 %. Affirms that is correct.
208	Rep. Barnhart	Asks for studies that show the effect that a 4.5% reduction has on
	*	the economy.
220	Schweinhart	Refers to studies that affirm those effects.
227	Rep. Barnhart	Asks how many dollars would be foregone if the tax reduction
220	0.1 1.1 4	went into effect.
230	Schweinhart	Replies that he doesn't have those figures, and affirms that the levels would depend on the economy. Affirms that this year the effect would be a net loss of \$16 million.
237	Rep. Barnhart	Asks for further clarification on the figures.
240	Schweinhart	Restates that he doesn't have the figures handy.
242	Rep. Close	Asks if there is a state capital gains tax in Idaho.
244	Schweinhart	States uncertainty.
245	Rep. Close	Asks if there is a state capital gains tax in Washington.
251	Schweinhart	Replies that there is not.
254	Rep. Close	Opines that the capital gains tax is behind the exodus of
		businesses from the Portland Metro area, across the river to Washington. Asks if a capital gains reduction has been before the state legislature before
258	Schweinhart	the state legislature before. Replies that during the past legislative session, a bill proposing a
230	Senwenmart	decrease in the capital gains tax passed both houses, before being vetoed by the governor.
261	Rep Barnhart	Asks about the effect of a tax cut based on the Oregon model.
269	Schweinhart	Addresses beneficiaries of the capital gains tax cut.
271	Rep. Barnhart	Asks for clarification on the question.
272	Schweinhart	Restates that he doesn't have the figures at hand.
285	Rep. Verger	Notes that reducing capital gains is an economic stimulus and asks why it will take until 2006 to get it through.
294	Schweinhart	Concurs with Rep. Verger, but notes that compromise has been necessary.
314	Rep. Backlund	Asks where we are in capital gains in relation to other states.
320	Schweinhart	Notes that Oregon has the highest capital gains tax because of where it kicks in.
326	Rep. Backlund	Addresses capital gains as it relates to business stimulus and job creation.
354	Schweinhart	Concurs with Rep. Backlund. Notes a misprint on Page 4, Section 4 of the bill.
377	Cara Filsinger	Committee Administrator. Clarifies the wording of the amendment as it should be.
390	Dexter Johnson	Legislative Council. Clarifies the timing of the capital gains reduction, noting the phasing in of the incremental increases.

404	Rep. Barnhart	Recommends 2502.	that Tim Nesbitt testify regarding his work on HB
406	Chair Doyle		he does not intend to hear any additional invited
411	Rep. Flores	MOTION: N	Moves to ADOPT HB 2502-2 amendments dated 3/25/03.
416	Rep. Monnes		s understanding the need for a lowered capital
	Anderson	-	asserts that the time is not right, given the
		uncertain eco	nomic times, and thus will be a nay vote.
440	Rep. Barnhart	Notes opposit be seriously le	ion to the bill, stating that tax restructuring needs to poked at.
<b>TAPE 143, A</b>			
018	Rep. Barnhart		eaking in opposition to HB 2502. Asserts that a ax cut would be more effective at stimulating wth.
024	Rep. Verger	Addresses the	e capital gains tax, believing that it would be an nulus. Asserts that a broader restructuring is badly
041	Rep. Backlund		small-business driving job growth. Asserts that
011	Rep. Ducklund		to address tax reform, including the idea of the
			tes further that in the interim, a capital gains tax
		reduction is e	ven more import to encourage the economy and
		thus will be a	
064	Rep. Close		e sees this bill as a compromise and will vote aye
		she would like	ddresses corporate tax collections and notes that e to send a stronger message with this bill,
			ight of the fact that businesses routinely leave ashington due to the high capital gains tax.
086	Rep. Flores		his bill is a start in addressing the capital gains
	1. p. 1 101 00		hus will vote aye.
093	Chair Doyle	*	positive step for business and the future and will
	2	vote aye.	A A
101		<b>VOTE: 5-2</b>	
		AYE:	5 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Verger, Doyle
		NAY:	2 - Barnhart, Monnes Anderson
110	Chair Doyle	The motion (	
111	Rep. Flores	<b>MOTION:</b> N	Moves HB 2502 to the floor with a DO PASS AS
			<b>MENDED</b> recommendation.
118		<b>VOTE: 5-2</b>	
		AYE:	5 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Verger, Doyle
		NAY:	2 - Barnhart, Monnes Anderson
120	Chair Doyle	The motion <b>(</b>	CARRIES.
			E will lead discussion on the floor.
121	Rep. Barnhart		of a possible minority report.
122	Rep. Doyle		ork session on HB 2502 and opens a work session
<u>SCR 9 – WOR</u>	C SESSION	on SCR 9.	
<u>SCR 9 – WORF</u> 133	Chair Doyle	Introduces the	e late Chuck Frederickson, who recently passed
		away on July	14 at the age of 76. Offers a background of Mr. s involvement in community and state.

148	Rep. Backlund	Concurs with Chair Doyle. Notes that Mr. Frederickson is well-deserving of this honor.
158	Rep. Flores	States that she has worked with Mr. Frederickson's wife Frances, and thus knew Chuck by proxy. Concurs with previous sentiments.
167	Rep. Flores	MOTION: Moves SCR 9 be sent to the floor with a BE ADOPTED recommendation.
172		<b>VOTE: 7-0</b>
173	Chair Doyle	AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. The motion CARRIES.
175	Chair Doyle	<b>REP. BACKLUND will lead discussion on the floor.</b> Closes the work session on SCR 9 and reopens a work session on SB 915.
<u>SB 915 A – WORK SESSION</u>		
175	Chair Doyle	Announces that the committee is not going to consider Rep. Prozanski's -2 amendments.
184	Rep. Flores	MOTION: Moves SB 915A to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
186	Rep. Monnes Anderson	Asks for clarification as to which amendment is presently being considered.
188	Chair Doyle	Confirms that Rep. Dalto's –A9 amendments are the amendments currently under consideration.
202	Rep. Verger	States that she would like to talk with constituents before the floor vote. States that she will vote nay in committee, but could change her vote on the floor.
206	Rep. Barnhart	Concurs with Rep. Verger. States that he will also be a nay.
208	Rep. Backlund	States that he has sentiments similar to those of Rep. Verger, but that he will give the bill a courtesy aye vote.
210	Rep. Close	Announces that she will give the bill a courtesy aye vote in committee.
214		<b>VOTE: 4-3</b>
		AYE: 4 - Backlund, Close, Flores, Doyle
219	Chair Doyle	NAY: 3 - Barnhart, Monnes Anderson, Verger The motion CARRIES.
223	Chair Doyle	<b>REP. DALTO will lead discussion on the floor.</b> Closes the work session on SB 915 A and recesses the meeting at 6:06 p.m.
RECESS		-
225	Chair Doyle	Reconvenes the hearing at 6:45 and adjourns the committee at 6:45 p.m.

## **EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

A – HB 2523 A, written testimony, Bill Linden, 3 pp.

- B SB 332 A, -A4 amendments, staff, 2 pp.
- C SB 763 A, -A8 amendments, staff, 2 pp.
- D SB 915 A, -A7 amendments, staff, 1 p.
- E SB 915 A, -A8 amendments, staff, 1 p.
- F SB 915 A, -A9 amendments, staff, 1 p.

- G SB 915 A, -2 amendments, staff, 15 pp.
- H SB 538 A, -A24 amendments, staff, 5 pp.
- I SB 538 A, -A25 amendments, staff, 5 pp.
- J SB 538 A, -A12 amendments, staff, 1 p.
- K SB 538 A, -A13 amendments, staff, 1 p.
- L SB 538 A, -A20 amendments, staff, 37 pp.
- M SB 538 A, -A23 amendments, staff, 4 pp.
- N HB 2502, -2 amendments, staff, 5 pp.