HOUSE COMMITTEE ON WATER

February 18, 2003 Hearing Room B 8:30 AM Tapes 20 - 22

| MEMBERS PRESENT: | Rep. Bob Jenson, Chair Rep. Jackie Dingfelder, Vice-Chair Rep. Jeff Kropf, Vice-Chair Rep. Linda Flores Rep. Jeff Kruse Rep. Mike Schaufler Rep. Carolyn Tomei |
|------------------|--|
| STAFF PRESENT: | Pete Test, Committee Administrator Ryan Sherlock, Committee Assistant |

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2259 – Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2255 – Public Hearing HB 2253 – Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|--------------------|----------------|---|
| TAPE 20, A | | |
| | Chair Jenson | Calls meeting to order at 8: 36 a.m. and opens public hearing on |
| | | HB 2259. |
| <u>HB 2259 – I</u> | PUBLIC HEARING | |
| 005 | Pete Test | Offers a description of the bill. |
| 010 | Chair Jenson | Clarifies the objective and function of the committee regarding HB 2259. |
| 030 | Ed Bowles | Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Division Administrator. Offers written testimony (EXHIBIT A) and presentation (EXHIBIT B) regarding HB 2259: |
| | | • HB 2259 Overview: Restoration and Enhancement (R&E) |
| | | • R&E Overview |
| 065 | Chair Jenson | Asks for clarification of the source for matching funds. |
| 070 | Bowles | Responds that there are several sources of the matching funds, and points out that there is no restriction in statute as to where these funds come from. |
| 075 | Chair Jenson | Asks for a specific percentage. |
| 080 | Bowles | Refers to Mr. Stahl |
| 085 | Tom Stahl | Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Restoration and Enhancement Program Coordinator. Guesses that the total funding from Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB) is about ten percent. |
| 090 | Bowles | Continues with presentation: |
| | | • R&E Funding |
| 135 | Rep. Kruse | Asks if the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) has |

| 140 | Bowles | many Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) issues. Explains ADA issues facing the ODFW. Continues with presentation: |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | • R&E Project Type |
| | | • "R" Projects |
| | | • "E" Projects |
| | | • R&E Projects |
| | | • Impacts of HB 2259 Failure |
| 200 | Rep. Dingfelder | Asks how the projects funded by the ODFW relate to the OWEB funded Project, and how these projects are consistent. |
| 205 | Bowles | Discusses the efforts to keep projects consistent. |
| 235 | Rep. Dingfelder | Clarifies that there is no overlap or duplication between the two agencies, or if there were that there is knowledge of this and cooperation. |
| 245 | Bowles | Comments on the communication between the two agencies. |
| 255 | Rep. Flores | Asks about the balance of the R&E funding. |
| 260 | Bowles | Observes that the balance is made up with matching funds. |
| 270 | Rep. Kruse | Clarifies that those matching funds could be used for other groups. |
| 275 | Bowles | Acknowledges. |
| 280 | Chair Jenson | Recalls Rep. Dingfelder's concerns about duplicity among projects, and clarifies that some of the R&E projects are out of OWEB's authority. |
| 285 | Bowles | Discusses the differing authority. |
| 295 | Darlene Kline-Dolby | Offers written testimony (EXHIBIT D) in support of HB 2259. |
| 360 | Les Helgeson | Native Fish Society. Offers written testimony (EXHIBIT E) against HB 2259. |
| TAPE 21, A | | |
| 010 | Rep. Tomei | Asks whether the maintenance problem would become worse if the fee did not pass. |
| 015 | Helgeson | Provides that he does not know, but discusses several possibilities. |
| 025 | Rep. Dingfelder | Asks whether Mr. Helgeson would rather see a different amount of the fee go to facility maintenance, and expresses her concern for how removing funds would create better funding. |
| 040 | Helgeson | Comments on the possibilities for addressing the problem. |
| 050 | Rep. Schaufler | Indicates that he is unsure that repealing the fee would accomplish the goals the Native Fish Society supports. |
| 055 | Helgeson | Expresses his groups wish to see more of the fund go to maintenance. |
| 065 | Dave Peters | Coquille STEP. Offers testimony in support of HB 2259. |
| 175 | Chair Jenson | Thanks Mr. Peters and closes the public hearing on HB 2259. Opens a work session on HB 2259. |
| <u>HB 2259 – WO</u> | <u>RK SESSION</u> | - |
| 180 | Chair Jenson | Asks Mr. Bowles to address the maintenance issue. |
| 190 | Bowles | Refers to the Fish Restoration and Enhancement Program: 2001-2003 Report to the Oregon Legislature (Exhibit C), and |
| 225 | | discusses maintenance issues. |
| 225 | Rep. Flores | Refers to the list of restoration projects, and asks about the Elk River installation of freezer door. |
| 230 | Bowles | Explains the costs regarding the freezer door. |
| 245 | Rep. Kropf | Indicates that he believes some of the listed costs are rather high |

| 260 | Bowles | for their intended purposes. Refers to Mr. Stahl. |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 265 | Tom Stahl | Responds to the issue of project costs, including the use of the project titles and the extent of projects. |
| 285 | Rep. Kropf | Indicates the legislature's sensitivity to allocation of funds. |
| 295 | Rep. Flores | Adds that the handrail installation costs seem high. |
| 300 | Bowles | Explains that the mentioned handrail is an Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) obligation. |
| 305 | Rep. Schaufler | Comments that the costs presented could be reasonable. |
| 315 | Rep. Kruse | Points out that the particulars of funding will be addressed by Ways and Means Committee. |
| 320 | Chair Jenson | Thanks Rep. Kruse for his comment and concurs. Points out the mandate of the committee is to decide the policy issue and the subsequent referral to Ways and Means should address the funding the issues. |
| 330 | Rep. Tomei | MOTION: Moves HB 2259 to the floor with a DO PASS |
| | | recommendation and BE REFERRED to the |
| | | committee on Ways and Means by prior reference. |
| 340 350 | Rep. Kruse | Expresses concern for the lack of an emergency clause in the bill. VOTE: 7-0 |
| 000 | | AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. |
| | Chair Jenson | The motion CARRIES. |
| 360 | Chair Jenson | Closes the work session on HB 2259, and opens a public hearing on HB 2255. |
| HB 2255 – PUB | LIC HEARING | |
| 370 TAPE 20, B | Pete Test | Committee Administrator. Offers a description of HB 2255. |
| 002 | Grant Higginson | Oregon Department of Human Services, State Public Health |
| | | Office. Offers written testimony (EXHIBIT F) in support of HB 2255 with reference to (EXHIBIT G). |
| 070 | Rep. Kruse | Asks what the match rate is. |
| 074 | Higginson | Indicates the federal match rate is between fifty and sixty percent. |
| 075 | Rep. Dingfelder | Asks how the program is currently funded. |
| 077 | Higginson | Explains that currently the program receives \$1.2 million of general funds, and the rest comes from the Environmental |
| | | Protection Agency (EPA) or from other sources. |
| 088 | Rep. Dingfelder | Clarifies that the fee would supplement the funds which come from the general fund. |
| 090 | Higginson | Discusses the use of those funds. |
| 092 | Rep. Dingfelder | Clarifies that the fees would only be collected from public water suppliers. |
| 095 | Higginson | Acknowledges. |
| 100 | Dave Leland | Department of Human Services, Drinking Water Division |
| | | Manger. Offers a definition of the term public water systems which includes private suppliers. |
| 105 | Rep. Schaufler | Indicates his support for clean water, but asks how the department justifies charging those districts which currently |
| | | comply a fee to make other districts comply. |
| 115 125 | Higginson Chair Jenson | Comments on the issue of compliance. Points out that HB 2255 does have a subsequent referral to Ways |
| | | and Means, but discusses his policy concerns with the bill and proposed fee. |

| 150 | Higginson | Acknowledges the sensitivity to increasing, but expresses the |
|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | basic need to ensure clean drinking water. |
| 160 | Willie Tiffany | League of Oregon Cities, Senior Staff Associate. Offers written testimony (EXHIBIT H) in opposition to HB 2255. |
| 190 | Susan Schneider | City of Portland, Government Relations Office. Offers written |
| 170 | Subul Sellierael | testimony (EXHIBIT I) in opposition to HB 2255. |
| 270 | Amanda Rich | Special Districts Association of Oregon, and Tri-County Water |
| 270 | Amanda Kich | Resources Association. Offers testimony in opposition to HB |
| | | 2255. |
| 305 | Rep. Tomei | Asks whether Ms. Rich is implying that those who need the service are not those who are paying for the service. |
| 310 | Rich | Comments on who would pay for the service and who would |
| | | receive the benefits of the service. |
| 320 | Doug Riggs | Central Oregon Cities Organization. Offers testimony in |
| 520 | Doug Mgg3 | opposition to HB 2255. |
| 355 | Chair Jenson | Comments on the nature of taxation and its inherent unfairness. |
| | Chan Jenson | Comments on the nature of taxation and its innerent unnamiess. |
| TAPE 21, B | Lie Frankel | Land SWenner Vature? Offers with the tasting one (EVIIDIT |
| 020 | Liz Frenkel | League of Women Voters'. Offers written testimony (EXHIBIT |
| | | J) in support of HB 2255. |
| 050 | Chair Jenson | Closes the public hearing on HB 2255, and opens a public |
| | | hearing on HB 2253. |
| <u>HB 2253 – PUB</u> | <u>BLIC HEARING</u> | |
| 065 | Pete Test | Offers a description of HB 2253. |
| 075 | John Lilly | Oregon Division of State Lands, Assistant Director. Offers |
| | | written testimony (EXHIBIT K) in support of HB 2253. |
| 140 | Rep. Kruse | Clarifies an inclusion in the permit. |
| 142 | Lilly | Acknowledges. |
| 155 | Rep. Kropf | Asks what would happen if to the below 50 cubic yards issue if |
| 100 | nep. mopi | the assumption goal is achieved. |
| 160 | Lilly | Discusses the below 50 yard exemption. |
| 183 | Rep. Kropf | Clarifies Mr. Lilly's response. |
| 193 | Lilly | Further discusses the 50 cubic yard issue. |
| 215 | 2 | |
| 213 | Rep. Kropf | Asks whether the general authorization requires a permit when under 50 yards. |
| 220 | T :11 | • |
| 220 | Lilly Dan Knowf | Explains that no permit is required. |
| 230 | Rep. Kropf | Asks if you still need a permit. |
| 235 | Lilly | Clarifies that the general authorization constitutes the permit. |
| 250 | Rep. Tomei | Asks for clarification on why the applicant does not better fund |
| | | the program. |
| 255 | Lilly | Explains the reasoning behind the applicant fee. |
| 280 | Chair Jenson | Points out that there is not a work session scheduled on HB 2253, |
| • • • • | | and asks that Mr. Lilly continue with his testimony. |
| 290 | Lilly | Concludes his testimony in support of HB 2253. |
| 350 | Chuck Bennett | Confederation of Oregon School Administrators. Offers |
| | | testimony in support of HB 2253. |
| TAPE 22, A | | |
| 002 | Laurie Wimmer- | Oregon Education Association. Offers testimony in support of |
| | Whealand | HB 2253. |
| 030 | Jon Oshel | Association of Oregon Counties, County Road Program |
| | | Manager. Offers written testimony (EXHIBIT L) in opposition |
| | | to HB 2253. |
| 090 | Tom Quintal | Salem, Oregon. Offers testimony in opposition to HB 2253. |
| ~ / ~ | Tim Cremer | Oregon Independent Miners. Offers written testimony |
| | | (EXHIBIT M) in support of HB 2253. |
| | | $(\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}H$ |
| | | |

| 185 | Mel Stewart | Salem, Oregon. Offers testimony in opposition to HB 2253 with reference to (EXHIBIT N) . |
|-----|-----------------|--|
| 220 | Glen Stonebrink | Oregon Hay and Quarry Association, and the Oregon Cattlemen Association. Offers testimony in opposition to HB 2253. |
| 260 | Dave Babits | Thompson's Mills. Offers testimony in opposition to HB 2253. |
| 295 | Rich Angstrom | Oregon Concrete Aggregate Producers Association, President. Offers testimony in opposition to HB 2253. |
| | Chair Jenson | Closes public hearing on HB 2253, and adjourns the meeting at 10:37 a.m. |

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A HB 2259, written testimony, Ed Bowles, 3 pp.
- B HB 2259, presentation, Ed Bowles, 9 pp.
- C HB 2259, Fish Restoration and Enhancement Program: 2001-2003 Report to the Oregon Legislature, Ed Bowles, 12 pp.
- D HB 2259, written testimony, Darlene Kline-Dolby, 1 p.
- E HB 2259, written testimony, Les Helgeson, 1 p.
- F HB 2255, written testimony, Grant Higginson, 3 pp.
- G HB 2255, written testimony, John Iani, 2 pp.
- H HB 2255, written testimony, Willie Tiffany, 1 p.
- I HB 2255, written testimony, Susan Schneider, 1 p.
- J HB 2255, written testimony, Liz Frenkel, 1 p.
- K HB 2253, written testimony, John Lilly, 9 pp.
- L HB 2553, written testimony, Jon Oshel, 2 pp.
- M HB 2553, written testimony, Tim Cremer, 1 p.
- N HB 2553, Oregon Division of State Lands: State Programmatic General Permit (SPGP), Mel Stewart, 5 pp.