CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON HB 2594A

July 15, 2003 Hearing Room 357 3:00 pm Tapes 1 - 2

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Rep. Max Williams, Chair Sen. John Minnis Rep. Bob Ackerman Sen. Vicki Walker Rep. Jerry Krummel
STAFF PRESENT:	Bill Taylor, Counsel Ann Martin, Committee Assistant Patsy Wood, Office Coordinator

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2594A Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words</u>. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 1, A		
005	Chair Williams	Calls the meeting to order at 3:16 p.m. Convenes a subcommittee because they are lacking a quorum. Opens a work session on HB 2594A.
HB 2594A V	VORK SESSION	
020	Jenny Scanlon	Director, Deschutes County Juvenile Community Justice Department. Submits testimony and testifies on HB 2594A which provides that certain transfers and payments of moneys by justice and municipal courts be made not later than last day of month following month in which collected (EXHIBIT A).
059	Chair Williams	Talks about the A5 amendments (EXHIBIT B).
063	Dennis Luke	Deschutes County Commissioner. Testifies in support of HB 2594A and A5 amendments and A4 amendments from the Juvenile Director's Association (EXHIBIT C).
114	Sen. Walker	Asks Mr. Luke which amendments he supports.
117	Bill Taylor	Committee Counsel. Points out that Legislative Counsel says they cannot adopt both amendments. Says they need to adopt one or the other.
121	Luke	Answers that they need the A5 amendments.
127	Sen. Walker	Asks if he has talked with other juvenile courts about how they liked using the video technology for juvenile hearings.
131	Luke	Answers that they were looking only at the equipment.
143	Scanlon	Says that she has done some research on this issue in Oregon and says there are nine counties in the state that are currently using video or telephone technology to hold juvenile hearings. Says that no one was using it for a trial. Adds that the majority of the counties had the full support from their judges, attorneys and district attorneys.
166	Rep. Ackerman	Says that in Deschutes County they have an empty court room facility in the juvenile detention facility. Questions why they can't transport a circuit court judge to use the empty court room.

171	Luke	Responds that it is not the choice of the county, but the choice of the court. Comments that it is a transportation problem to move all of the staff.
182	Rep. Ackerman	Says that they already have the technology in place so why are they seeking authority to do something they have already done.
185	Luke	Explains that they believe the law allows this, but they have had threats by different defense counsels that they were going to appeal cases heard by video conferencing. Says they are asking for clarification in the law.
198	Rep. Ackerman	Asks what proceedings they propose to have take place by video or telephonic communication.
203	Luke	Defers to Ms. Scanlon.
212	Scanlon	Discusses when they would use video or telephonic technology.
220	Rep. Ackerman	Asks where the proceedings in which the use of video technology will not be used will take place.
223	Scanlon	Answers that they will have those proceedings at their building.
228	Rep. Krummel	Asks question about the A4 amendments.
251	Scanlon	Explains that Deschutes County is not the sponsor of the A4 amendments.
265	Luke	Clarifies that the majority of the juvenile proceedings will be held at the juvenile facility on Fridays.
280	Larry Oglesby	Director, Oregon Juvenile Department Directors Association. Testifies on A4 amendments. Discusses types of hearings and exclusions in which they would use video or telephonic recording. Emphasizes that they do not want contested adjudications to be held by video or telephone.
367	Molly Rogers	Director, Wasco County, Department of Youth Services. Submits testimony and testifies on the A4 amendments (EXHIBIT D). Explains when video or telephonic hearings are very important to the rural counties. Discusses the costs to transport youths to hearings. Emphasizes they do not want contested adjudications to take place by video or telephone.
TAPE 2, A		1 5 1
020	Taylor	Asks Mr. Oglesby what he means by contested and non- contested adjudications.
023	Oglesby	Explains contested and non-contested adjudications.
035	Sen. Walker	Asks which attorneys support video-taped hearings.
042	Oglesby	Answers defense attorneys and prosecutors.
050	Rogers	Says that she believes most attorneys support the use of video
	C	technology for juvenile hearings.
063	Sen. Walker	Asks how a youth can talk off the record with counsel during a video-taped hearing.
067	Oglesby	Explains that the defense lawyers meet with their clients prior to the hearings so they have gone over the issues and expectations. Adds that if they need private time, then they could take time off the record.
079	Sen. Walker	Asks if the defense attorney has an opportunity to request not to have a hearing held by video or telephone.
086	Oglesby	Says that in most counties there is communication between the parties so that issue can be discussed.
096	Rogers	Comments that on the Eastern side of the mountains the defense attorneys have not been able to do that because of timelines.
139	Angela Sherbo	Senior Attorney, Juvenile Rights Project. Submits testimony and testifies in opposition to the amendments to HB 2594A. States

224		her concerns against televised or telephonic hearings (EXHIBIT E).
224	Karla Nash	Attorney. Submits testimony and testifies in opposition to the amendments to HB 2594A (EXHIBIT F). Says she is against holding juvenile proceedings by telephone or closed-circuit
285	Chair Williams	television. Comments that they need to work out some issues and do some
		research on the constitutionality of the issue. Says he is interested to see any scientific studies on this issue. Closes the conference committee on HB 2594A and adjourns the meeting at 4:05 p.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A – HB 2594A, written testimony, submitted by Jenny Scanlon, 2 pgs.

B – HB 2594A, -A5 amendments, submitted by staff, 2 pgs.

C – HB 2594A, -A4 amendments, submitted by staff, 3 pgs.

D – HB 2594A, written testimony, submitted by Molly Rogers, 2 pgs.

E – HB 2594A, written testimony, submitted by Angela Sherbo, 5 pgs.

F – HB 2594A, written testimony, submitted by Karla Nash, 2 pgs.