SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

Tapes

69-

| March 25, 2003 8:00 a.m. 71 | | Hearing Room HR 343 |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| MEMBERS PRESENT: | Sen. John Minnis, Chair Sen. Ginny Burdick, Vice-Chair Sen. Ted Ferrioli Sen. Charlie Ringo Sen. Charles Starr Sen. Vicki Walker | |
| STAFF PRESENT: | Craig Prins, Counsel Bill Joseph, Counsel Ann Martin, Committee Assistant | |
| MEASURE/ISSUES HEA | ARD: SB 100 Public Hearing SB 487 Public Hearing and Work Sessior SB 304 Public Hearing and Work Sessior SB 617 Public Hearing | |

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|------------|-----------------|--|
| 69, A | | |
| 005 | Chair Minnis | Calls the meeting to order at 8:10 a.m. Opens a public hearing on SB 100. |
| SB 100 PUE | BLIC HEARING | |
| 010 | Philip Schradle | Department of Justice. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 100 which establishes rules and procedures for transmission of record to court when petition is filed in circuit court challenging order other than contested case issued by state agency. Discusses -3 amendments (EXHIBITS A & B). |
| 101 | Sen. Ringo | Asks Mr. Schradle for some examples of the most common types of orders other than in a contested case. |
| 107 | Schradle | Explains that it can take a variety of forms. |
| 131 | Sen. Ringo | Asks how it would end up in court. |
| 130 | Schradle | Responds that the next step would be for that person to file a petition in circuit court for judicial review. Says that is the process that's in place right now. |
| 157 | Sen. Burdick | Asks if there could be a case where the evidence is presented to the agency and the agency decides not to make it part of the record. |
| 160 | Schradle | Answers, no. Says that the agency is required to forward the record on to the circuit court. |
| 165 | Sen. Burdick | Asks if there is any provision for the agency to say that the evidence is irrelevant and that they are not making it part of the record. |
| 167 | Schradle | Concludes that the reviewing court determines if the agency |

| 179 | Stephen Bushong | correctly determined if the evidence is relevant or irrelevant. Oregon Department of Justice. Submits testimony and testifies in |
|-------|-----------------|---|
| • • • | 5.1 | support of SB 100 (EXHIBIT C). |
| 283 | Bushong | Discusses cases. |
| 343 | Sen. Burdick | Asks what happens during a contested case hearing and who gets |
| | | to request that. |
| 348 | Bushong | Explains the process of a contested case hearing. |
| 359 | Sen. Burdick | Wonders if there is any process that provides an avenue for |
| | | people to get a contested case hearing. |
| 374 | Bushong | Stresses that Oregon Law establishes the right to a contested case hearing in a number of statutes. |
| 391 | Sen. Burdick | Comments on contested case hearings for major cases. |
| 400 | Bushong | Agrees that it would be a different kind of record. Adds that in the <i>GASP</i> case there was a public process, but it wasn't a |
| | | contested case process. |
| 414 | Sen. Burdick | Asks if there had been a contested case process involved in the |
| | | GASP case, could they have saved money. |
| 420 | Bushong | Replies that he does not have the figures. Says that you could |
| | | have an argument either way that it would or would not save |
| | | money. |
| 70, A | | |
| 003 | Bushong | Continues his testimony in support of SB 100. |
| 021 | Sen. Burdick | Expresses her concerns for major cases that do not go through the |
| | | contested case or circuit court process. Asks if Mr. Bushong has |
| | | thought about ways to address that. |
| 034 | Bushong | Explains that did happen before Norden. Answers that requiring |
| | | a contested case hearing in every instance is a significant |
| | | commitment of resources. |
| 058 | Sen. Burdick | Asks about PERS case. |
| 065 | Bushong | Explains that in the <i>PERS</i> instance, the review would still be |
| | | before the Circuit Court. |
| 076 | Sen. Ringo | Inquires about discovery opportunities before an agency. |
| 081 | Bushong | Responds that when a petition for review is filed in circuit court, |
| | | that triggers the normal discovery rules. |
| 092 | Sen. Ringo | Wonders if at the agency level, if there is not the right to issue a |
| | | subpoena to third parties. |
| 095 | Bushong | Says that is right when there is not a contested case process |
| | - | required by law. |
| 102 | Sue Oliver | Oregon Department of Environmental Quality. Submits |
| | | testimony and testifies in support of SB 100 (EXHIBIT D). |
| 158 | Milton Jones | Attorney, Associated Oregon Industries. Submits testimony and |
| | | testifies in support of SB 100 (EXHIBIT E). |
| 256 | Sen. Ringo | Says he assumes that the administrative law judge is making |
| | C | factual determinations. Asks if that is correct. |
| 261 | Jones | Answers, yes. |
| 263 | Sen. Ringo | Wonders if there are circumstances where the public would think |
| | e | that someone in the agency has a certain bias that a circuit court |
| | | judge would not have. |
| 271 | Jones | Says that's possible and that is why a person would withhold |
| | | information from the agency. |
| 286 | Sen. Ringo | Asks what's the standard for reviewing factual errors at the |
| | | appellate level. |
| 290 | Jones | Explains standard review. |
| 297 | Sen. Ringo | Mentions that he wants to understand the process a little better. |
| | ~ | * |

| | | Asks if the findings of fact by an agency have to be supported by the record. |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 306 | Jones | Answers, that is correct. |
| 316 | Sen. Burdick | Asks what Oregon standard is for overturning agency decision. |
| 322 | Jones | Says that he believes that the Oregon standard is whether or not the decision by the agency is supported by the record. |
| 333 | Sen. Burdick | Thinks that it would be easier to overturn an agency decision by the Oregon standard than by federal standard. |
| 340 | Jones | Concludes his testimony in support of SB 100. |
| 363 | Janice Krem | Oregon Administrative Law Section, Oregon State Bar. Submits testimony and testifies in opposition to SB 100 (EXHIBIT F). |
| | | Expresses her concerns about the -3 amendments (Exhibit A). |
| 69, B | | |
| 002 | Krem | Continues her testimony in opposition to SB 100. |
| 031 | Sen. Burdick | Asks where administrative law judges come from. |
| 033 | Krem | Answers that they are generally employed by the hearing officer panel. Provides example. |
| 071 | Chair Minnis | Asks for clarification on why a contested case would be sent to the circuit court. |
| 077 | Krem | Says that there are a variety of reasons why they would do that. |
| 078 | Chair Minnis | Asks why an agency would hold a contested case hearing. |
| 094 | Krem | Says that an agency does not have to hold a contested case |
| 0)4 | Kicili | hearing. |
| 101 | Sen. Ringo | Asks if Ms. Krem wants everyone to be able to have a right to a contested case hearing. |
| 104 | Krem | Responds that she thinks it should be an option, but this bill does not offer enough due process. |
| 111 | Sen. Ringo | Asks if there are other sets of disputes resolved in other ways than by a contested case hearing. |
| 114 | Krem | Says that she doesn't think that's an accurate description because |
| | | an agency does not have to give a contested case hearing. |
| 119 | Sen. Ringo | Feels she is not satisfied with the current situation. |
| 123 | Krem | Responds that is correct because this bill does not address a |
| 142 | Sen. Ringo | proceeding that is enough like a contested case proceeding. Says that they are not envisioning a whole new process. Asks where the Administrative Law Section was on this issue prior to |
| 1 4 7 | Vacua | the Norden case. |
| 147 | Krem | Explains that the <i>Norden</i> case was expressed in an opinion and that was the status quo. |
| 154 | Chair Minnis | States that he is not happy with the Oregon State Bar this session. Closes the public hearing on SB 100 and opens a public hearing |
| SB 487 PUBLIC | THEADING | on SB 487. |
| <u>56 467 I UBLIC</u> 176 | Sen. Rick Metsger | Senate District 26. Submits testimony and testifies in support of |
| | - | SB 487 (EXHIBIT G). |
| 200 | Craig Prins | Committee Counsel. Introduces SB 487 which adds animal control officer to crime of assaulting public safety officer. |
| 210 | Becky Hoffman | Deputy, Hood River County Animal Control. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 487 (EXHIBIT H). |
| 331 | Sen. Burdick | Asks what happened to the woman that assaulted her. |
| 342 | Hoffman | Answers that a jury let her off and explains. |
| 359 | Susan Russell | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association. Testifies in opposition to SB 487. |
| 368 | Sen. Ringo | Asks if expanding the definition of "animal control officer" may |

| 383 | Sen. Ferrioli | have any other ramifications other than what has been discussed. Recalls that past legislation increased the penalty for assaulting an official in a sporting event. Wonders why Ms. Russell would | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| 394 | Russell | not want to protect a safety officer. Explains that their concern is that at some point a line needs to be drawn between categories. | | |
| 384 | Jim Dohr | Code Enforcement Specialist, Albany Police Department. Submits testimony and testifies in support of SB 487 (EXHIBIT I). | | |
| 70, B | | , | | |
| 003 | Dohr | Continues his testimony in support of SB 487. | | |
| 034 | Chair Minnis | Closes a public hearing on SB 487 and opens a work session on SB 487. | | |
| <u>SB 487 WORK</u> | | | | |
| 037 | Sen. Ferrioli | MOTION: Moves SB 487 to the floor with a DO PASS | | |
| | | recommendation. VOTE: 5-0-1 EXCUSED: 1 - Ringo | | |
| 038 | Chair Minnis | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. SEN. BURDICK will lead discussion on the floor. | | |
| 041 | Chair Minnis | Closes the work session on SB 487 and opens a public hearing on SB 304. | | |
| SB 304 PUBLIC HEARING | | | | |
| 049 | Craig Prins | Committee Counsel. Introduces SB 304 which expands basis for waiving youth to be prosecuted as adult. | | |
| 054 | Karen Brazeau | Director, Oregon Youth Authority. Testifies on SB 304. Discusses her concerns. | | |
| 087 | Doug Harcleroad | Lane County District Attorney. Testifies in support of SB 304. | | |
| 088 | Scott Heiser | Benton County District Attorney. Testifies in support of SB 304. | | |
| 089 | John Fisher | Polk County District Attorney. Testifies in support of SB 304. | | |
| 090 | Amy Holmes Hehn | Multnomah County District Attorney. Testifies in support of SB 304. | | |
| 091 | Brad Berry | Yamhill County District Attorney. Testifies in support of SB 304. | | |
| 147 | Sen. Walker | Asks what happens if they go over their cap (beds). | | |
| 150 | Berry | Answers that if they are at their cap then they will have to find alternatives. | | |
| 203 | Susan Russell | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association. Testifies in support of SB 304. | | |
| 212 | Chair Minnis | Closes the public hearing on SB 304 and opens a work session on SB 304. | | |
| <u>SB 304 WORK</u> | | | | |
| 211 | Sen. Burdick | MOTION: Moves SB 304 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. | | |
| | | VOTE: 6-0 | | |
| 215 | Chair Minnis | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. SEN. FERRIOLI will lead discussion on the floor. | | |
| 222 | Chair Minnis | Closes the work session on SB 304 and opens a public hearing on SB 617. | | |
| SB 617 PUBLI | <u>C HEARING</u> | | | |
| 225 | Hardy Myers | Attorney General. Restitution Task Force. Testifies in support of SB 617 which requires restitution in all cases in which victim | | |
| 235 | Connie Gallagher | suffers injury, loss or damage (EXHIBIT K). Department of Justice. Testifies in support of SB 617 | | |

| 335 | Senator Kate Brown | Senate District 21. Testifies in support of SB 617. |
|-------|--------------------|---|
| 364 | Arwen Bird | Executive Director, Survivors Advocating For an Effective |
| | | System (SAFES). Submits testimony and testifies in support of |
| | | SB 617 (EXHIBIT L). |
| 428 | Sen. Ringo | Asks if the person that injured her has the ability to pay |
| | | restitution. |
| 433 | Bird | Answers that her case is unusual. Says that he has had the means |
| | | to pay, but the state has not pursued him and that he has currently |
| | | filed for bankruptcy. |
| 71, A | | |
| 011 | Steve Doell | Crime Victims United. Testifies in support of SB 617. |
| 050 | Helen Smith | Chief Deputy District Attorney, Multnomah County District |
| | | Attorney's Office. Testifies in support of SB 617 (EXHIBIT M). |
| 074 | Diane Rea | Board of Parole. Says that she will come back later to testify in |
| | | support of SB 617. |
| 076 | Myers | Explains proposed amendments to SB 617 that they are working |
| | | on. |
| 088 | Sen. Ferrioli | Says that he thinks the policy issue is persuasive. |
| 096 | Sen. Ringo | States that he wants to study some issues of this bill later. |
| 108 | Susan Russell | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association. Testifies in |
| | | opposition to SB 617. Says they oppose it as it is currently |
| | | drafted. |
| 162 | Chair Minnis | Closes the public hearing on SB 617 and adjourns the meeting at |
| | | 10:10 a.m. |

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A – SB 100, -3 amendments, dated 3/11/03, submitted by staff, 5 pgs.

B – SB 100, written testimony, submitted by Phillip Schradle, 2 pgs.

C – SB 100, written testimony, submitted by Stephen Bushong, 1 pg.

- D SB 100, written testimony, submitted by Sue Oliver, 1 pg.
- E SB 100, written testimony, submitted by Milton Jones, 2 pgs.
- F SB 100, written testimony, submitted by Janice Krem, 4 pgs.

G – SB 487, written testimony, submitted by Senator Rick Metsger, 1 pg.

H – SB 487, written testimony, submitted by Becky Hoffman, 3 pgs.

I – SB 487, written testimony, submitted by Jim Dohr, 2 pgs.

J – SB 487, written testimony of Jim Tomson, submitted by staff, 1 pg.

K – SB 617, written testimony, submitted by Connie Gallagher, 2 pgs.

L – SB 617, written testimony, submitted by Arwen Bird, 2 pgs.

M – SB 617, written testimony of Michael Schrunk, submitted by staff, 1 pg.

N – SB 617, written testimony of Vic Falgout, submitted by staff, 2 pgs.