SENATE REVENUE COMMITTEE May 7, 2003 1:00 PM STATE CAPITOL BUILDING

| Members Present: | Senator Ryan Deckert, Chair Senator Ted Ferrioli, Vice Chair Senator Tony Corcoran Senator Charlie Ringo Senator Bruce Starr |
|--------------------|--|
| Members Excused: | Senator Lenn Hannon |
| Witnesses Present: | Rob Drake, Mayor of Beaverton Jack Kenny, Oregon Housing and Community Services Mike Burton, Economic and Community Development Department |
| Staff Present: | Paul Warner, Legislative Revenue Office Richard Yates, Legislative Revenue Office Tara Lantz, Committee Assistant |

TAPE 116, SIDE A

| 003 | Chair Deckert | Calls meeting to order at 1:20 pm. |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---|
| OPENS PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3224 A | | |
| 016 | Richard Yates | Explains HB 3224 which authorizes cities with more than 50,000 residents to issue nonrecourse bonds to provide for retail, commercial, and industrial development. Exhibit 1. |
| 022 | Rob Drake | Testifies in support of HB 3324 A because it would give Beaverton and other cities a tool for economic development in order to solve critical problems and attract jobs. |
| 048 | Sen. Deckert | Asks for an example of a project. |
| 050 | Drake | Gives example of a health clinic in downtown Beaverton. |
| 072 | Sen. Ringo | Asks why there is a limit on the number of residents. |
| 077 | Drake | Responds that small cities don't have the technical capabilities that large cities have. |
| 089 | Sen. Ringo | Asks if a city of 50,000 or more is supposed to have these technical capabilities. |
| 091 | Drake | Responds positively. |
| 093 | Sen. Deckert | Points out that there is a -3 amendment that raises it to 70,000. |
| 099 | Sen. Ringo | Asks about the difference between retail and commercial. |
| 104 | Janet Young | Responds that retail could be considered a subset of commercial and that the two words are both in there to be more clear. |

| 110 | Sen. Ferrioli | Responds that retail is open to the public for the sale of goods and commercial relates to the provision of services. |
|-----|---------------|--|
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 127 | Sen. Corcoran | Asks why Rep. Prozanski voted no in the House. |
| 130 | Drake | Responds that he doesn't know. |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 136 | Sen. Deckert | Asks if he has an opinion on the -3 amendments. |
| 140 | Drake | Responds negatively. |
| 144 | Sen. Ferrioli | States that under 50,000 small cities have more resources that larger cities do not, and that the bill should go down to the lowest number as possible. |
| 150 | Sen. Starr | Asks what cities are included in the original bill and with the amendment. |
| 155 | Drake | Responds that Beaverton, Portland, Eugene, Hillsboro, Salem, and Gresham are above 70,000 and that Medford, Bend, Springfield, and Corvallis are in the 50,000 range. |
| 164 | Sen. Deckert | Asks if those cities excluded in the amendments have the capabilities to issue these bonds. |
| 170 | Drake | Responds affirmatively. |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 178 | Jack Kenny | Testifies in support of the -3 amendments. States that he was opposed to the original bill in the house because in order to issue and monitor bonds, a city needs to have resources, such as a professional staff. Discusses problems with issuing too many bonds. |
| 225 | Sen. Deckert | Asks if the cities excluded in the amendment have the resources to issue these bonds. |
| 226 | Kenny | Responds that they were asked to come up with a compromise with the City of Beaverton. |
| 238 | Sen. Ferrioli | Asks why the housing authority didn't offer to broker these services to cities. |
| 241 | Kenny | Responds that already offer these services to cities. |
| 256 | Sen. Ringo | States that he is supportive of the -3 amendments. |
| 272 | Mike Burton | States that the Economic and Community Development Department has the authority to issue bonds for any economic development project in small cities and ports. |
| 288 | Sen. Deckert | Asks why they need this bill if there is already a system in place. |
| 295 | Kenny | Responds that he doesn't perceive that there is a problem and that they don't have an exclusive monopoly. |
| 332 | Burton | Responds that smaller jurisdictions don't have the capacity and are happy to let the state take control. |

CLOSES PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3224

OPENS WORK SESSION ON HB 3224

385 Drake Responds that they do not know.

<u>TAPE 117, SIDE A</u>

| - 040 | Quer Euroiali | Otates that he would asthe as a the EO 000 list's but would suggest the |
|-------|---------------|--|
| 013 | Sen. Ferrioli | States that he would rather see the 50,000 limit, but would support the amendment to move the bill because creating the authority is the most important thing. |
| 020 | Sen. Starr | MOTION: MOVES HB 3224-A3 AMENDMENTS DATED 5/5/03 BE ADOPTED. |
| 029 | Sen. Deckert | ORDER: HEARING NO OBJECTION: MOTION PASSES: 5-0-1. SENATOR EXCUSED: HANNON. |
| 030 | Sen. Starr | MOTION: MOVES HB 3224 A TO THE SENATE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS AS AMENDED RECOMMENDATION. |
| 032 | Sen. Deckert | ORDER: HEARING NO OBJECTION: MOTION PASSES: 5-0-1. SENATOR EXCUSED: HANNON. Sen. Ringo will carry the bill on the Senate Floor. |

OPENS WORK SESSION ON HB 2213

| 040 | Sen. Starr | Argues in support of HB 2213 in its original form and for giving the authority to issue GARVEE bonds because it gives the department a tool for special projects that otherwise would not be able to happen. |
|-----|---------------|--|
| 057 | Sen. Corcoran | Asks who is responsible for the amendments and what they would do. |
| 059 | Sen. Starr | Responds that they would remove the GARVEE language out of the bill. |
| 062 | Sen. Deckert | States that the amendments were written after the initial hearing because there was concern over the issuance of GARVEE bonds. |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 092 | Sen. Ringo | States that he is uncomfortable with additional mechanisms for the state to create more debt. |
| 106 | Sen. Ferrioli | Expresses the same concerns about creating debt, but states that he would be willing to vote the bill to the floor as a courtesy. |
| 118 | Sen. Deckert | States that the only issue is the GARVEE bonds and that he can see both sides of the argument. |
| 131 | Sen. Starr | Points out that ODOT has historically paid as they went and have incurred very little debt and that they wouldn't issue bonds for 30 years if the lifespan of the project were only 15 years. |
| 151 | Sen. Ferrioli | Recognizes that these bonds are not the same as short-term borrowing in other agencies, but points out that giving agencies those authorities has not been a good experience. |

| 172 | Sen. Ringo | Discusses his objection to lottery backed bonds and states that in the long run the state would be better off if it paid as it went. |
|-----|---------------|---|
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 210 | Sen. Corcoran | States that the roads and bridges are in crisis and that if ODOT feels they need this option, he supports it. |
| 227 | Sen. Starr | Asks if Sen. Deckert is comfortable with Sen. Ferrioli's courtesy vote. |
| 229 | Sen. Deckert | Responds affirmatively. |
| | | Discussion follows. |
| 236 | Sen. Starr | MOTION: MOVES HB 2213 TO THE SENATE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION. |
| 240 | Sen. Deckert | ORDER: ROLL CALL VOTE: MOTION PASSES: 4-1-1. SENATORS ANSWERING AYE: CORCORAN, STARR, FERRIOLI, DECKERT. SENATOR ANSWERING NO: RINGO SENATOR EXCUSED: HANNON. |
| 242 | Sen. Deckert | Adjourns meeting at 1:56 pm. |

Tape Log Submitted by,

Tara Lantz, Committee Assistant

Exhibit Summary:

- 1. HB 3224 A, Richard Yates, Staff Measure Summary, 1p.
- 2. HB 3224 A, Jack Kenny, Proposed HB 3224-A3 Amendments, 1p.
- 3. HB 2213, Richard Yates, Proposed HB 2213-1 Amendments, 1p.