

# HOUSE SPECIAL SESSION COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC POLICY

February 25, 2002 Hearing Room B  
10:10 A.M. Tapes 1 - 5

## MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Carl Wilson, Chair  
Rep. Alan Bates  
Rep. Butler  
Rep. Hayden  
Rep. Johnson  
Rep. King  
Rep. Knopp  
Rep. Kruse  
Rep. March

## STAFF PRESENT:

Janet Adkins, Administrator  
Linda M. Gatto, Administrative Support

## MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:

Organizational Meeting  
Introduction of Committee Bills  
HB 4027 Public Hearing and Work Session  
HB 4025 Public Hearing and Work Session  
HB 4026 Public Hearing and Work Session  
Introduction of Committee Bills

---

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

---

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
<b>TAPE, 1A</b>		
005	Chair Wilson	Calls the meeting to order at 10: 13 a.m. Opens an organizational meeting to adopt committee rules.
<b><u>ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING</u></b>		
012	Rep. Butler	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT the proposed Committee Rules, (EXHIBIT A).</b> <b>VOTE: 8-0-1</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 – King</b>
014	Chair Wilson	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
015	Chair Wilson	Closes the organizational meeting and opens a work session to introduce committee bills.
<b><u>WORK SESSION</u></b>		
021	Rep. Kruse	<b>MOTION: Moves LC 3 BE INTRODUCED as a committee bill (EXHIBIT B).</b>

**VOTE: 8-0-1**  
**AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.**  
**EXCUSED: 1 – King**  
**The motion CARRIES.**  
**MOTION: Moves LC 12 BE INTRODUCED as a committee bill (EXHIBIT C).**

**VOTE: 7-1-1**  
**AYE: 7 - Bates, Butler, Hayden, Knopp, Kruse, March, Wilson**  
**NAY: 1 - Johnson**  
**EXCUSED: 1 - King**  
**The motion Carries.**

**MOTION: Moves LC 39 BE INTRODUCED as a committee bill (EXHIBIT D).**

**VOTE: 9-0**  
**Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**

**MOTION: Moves LC 29 BE INTRODUCED as a committee bill (EXHIBIT E).**

**VOTE: 9-0**  
**Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**

**MOTION: Moves LC 30 BE INTRODUCED as a committee bill (EXHIBIT F).**

**VOTE: 9-0**  
**Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**

048 Chair Wilson Closes the work session and recesses the meeting at 10:16 a.m.  
 051 Chair Wilson Reconvenes the meeting at 1:35 p.m. and opens a public hearing on HB 4027.

**HB 4027 PUBLIC HEARING**

059 Rep. Alan Brown House District 10. Testifies in support of HB 4027 which authorizes the Director of the Economic and Community Development Department to create up to five additional enterprise zones.

080 Rep. Butler Notes that the expansion of enterprise zones would benefit construction and new jobs, but questions if it will be the state or local government that bears the cost of this incentive.

088 Rep. A. Brown Asks if he is referring to the actual cost of the infrastructure.

089 Rep. Butler Replies the actual cost of bringing this construction online.

091 Rep. A. Brown Answers that it would be the local government.

093 Rep. Butler Asks for verification that local government is willing to forego some local property taxes in order to enhance buildout and the creation of new jobs within the community.

096 Rep. A. Brown Clarifies that the local government is foregoing taxes for new construction, not for existing properties.

101 Rep. Butler Asks if this is strictly a local option that benefits local jobs.

104	Rep. A. Brown	Replies affirmatively.
108	Rep. King	Comments that he will probably have some questions about the fiscal impact when it is available.
113	Rep. Johnson	Notes that she is not familiar with how enterprise zones work and who has control. Wonders what the downside would be if a company received the abatements and then did not perform financially or declared bankruptcy.
125	Rep. A. Brown	Replies that he could not answer this question.
126	Rep. Johnson	States that understanding how the enterprise zones are administered and the impact if a company fails to perform is a key element in understanding the fiscal impact of additional enterprise zones.
136	Chris Chandler Di-Torrice	Economic Development Alliance of Lincoln County. Addresses Rep. Johnson's question stating that there would be no tax abatement on a property that went bankrupt. Explains that the Oregon Employment Department, Tax Assessor's Office and a zone manager enforce policy.
148	Rep. Johnson	Inquires what the agreement terms would look like, especially with regard to local individuals being hired.
158	Di-Torrice	Replies that the existing statutes do not specify that locals must be hired, but notes that most companies do hire locally.
163	Rep. Johnson	Notes that she is familiar with inducement packages in her area and states that companies have not performed as expected.
177	Di-Torrice	Describes a company that is currently waiting to expand in Lincoln county and hires strictly local individuals.
184	Rep. Johnson	Asks if that hiring policy is in writing.
186	Di-Torrice	Notes it is not in writing because it is not required in statute.
187	Rep. Johnson	Asks if she would expect to get it in writing.
188	Di-Torrice	Responds affirmatively.
190	Rep. March	Inquires if there is currently an enterprise zone within Lincoln county.
191	Di-Torrice	Answers one, which includes Newport, Waldport, and Toledo which has a hotel/motel inclusion for the benefit of Waldport. Notes that Lincoln City does not want to induce hotel/motel growth: it would be easier to create a separate zone than expand the existing one to approximately 50 miles with six cities having to sign off on any agreement.
207	Rep. March	Wonders if this is coming before the legislature now so as not to trouble the six cities with expansion.
211	Rep. A. Brown	States that expansion could occur only if the county was willing to expand that current enterprise zone. If they did not approve it, then legislation is needed.
216	Ms. Di-Torrice	Responds that Clatsop County, City of Turner and the City of Stanfield were communities who could not expand so they applied for a zone and passed the necessary ordinances
222	Rep. March	Wonders if placing two enterprise zones next to each other defeats the purpose of making them valuable.

230	Di-Torrice	Stresses that this program is limited to communities that are economically distressed and meet certain criteria.
239	Rep. King	Notes how the entire Oregon Coast could qualify as a distressed area.
243	Rep. A. Brown	Agrees that the coastal area is distressed and could benefit from these enterprise zones being created.
254	Rep. King	Inquires if new businesses might create Industrial Development Revenue bonds that would impact school funding.
273	Ms. Di-Torrice	Responds that this is seen as a separate issue because enterprise zones are not directly tied to bonds for economic development growth.
280	Rep. Kruse	Points out that bringing in new businesses should increase the tax base to offset any impact on school funding.
301	Rep. Johnson	Notes that applying for an enterprise zone is a competitive process.
326	Rep. March	Notes that the history of enterprise zone use has been in rural areas. Asks if HB 4027 adds up to five urban zones.
333	Rep. A. Brown	Explains that HB 4027 extends the number of zones under existing statute changing only the number.
367	Tom Brumm	Intergovernment Relations Manager, Oregon Economic & Community Development Department. Submits a letter in response to the effects of Industrial Development Revenue bonds and their impact on school revenue, <b>(EXHIBIT G)</b> .
388	Chuck Bennett	Confederation of Oregon School Administrators, (COSA). Discusses the exclusion of the school system in an economic assistance package that included the City of Turner and Marion County that resulted in a \$20.2 million loss of revenue to K-12 over the next biennium.
434	Brumm	Agrees that this issue needs closer scrutiny. Comments that new industry coming to an enterprise zone brings additional revenue.
<b>TAPE 2, A</b>		
004	Tricia Smith	Oregon School Employees Association. Discusses how schools do not get additional revenue as a result of an enterprise zone being created, but they do get additional students.
022	Rep. Hayden	Comments that a business in an enterprise zone may not pay property taxes, but the employees pay personal income tax which help fund the schools.
036	Rep. Kruse	Suggests property taxes may be going down because people are moving for lack of work. Recommends looking at these enterprise zones from a long-view approach.
054	Rep. Butler	Comments on having additional students opposed to bare land.
068	Bennett	Notes that while growth is important, there should be time to address questions that have arisen with regard to the impact on K-12.
080	Chair Wilson	Closes the public hearing and opens a work session on HB 4027.

**HB 4027 WORK SESSION**

083	Rep. Butler	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 4027 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.</b>
086	Rep. Johnson	Clarifies the genesis of her earlier questions stating she is not opposed to the legislation, she just wants to make sure that there is proper administration from the Economic Development Department.
107	Rep. King	Expresses that it is appropriate to go forward with this legislation, but he is concerned about the immediate impact on schools.
125		<b>VOTE: 5-3-1</b> <b>AYE: 5 – Butler, Hayden, Knopp, Kruse, Wilson</b> <b>NAY: 3 – Johnson, King, March</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 – Bates</b>
130	Chair Wilson	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b> <b>REP. A. BROWN will lead discussion on the floor.</b>
131	Chair Wilson	Closes the work session on HB 4027 and opens a public hearing on HB 4025.

**HB 4025 PUBLIC HEARING**

143	Andy Anderson	Executive VP of the Oregon Farm Bureau. Testifies in support of HB 4025 which removes persons employed in agricultural labor from employee exemption in labor disputes. States the reason why this bill is being brought forward during special session.
203	Anderson	Explains the main components of the bill: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It puts agricultural employers and employees into the same law that governs other workers and employers in Oregon;</li> <li>• It declares an emergency; and</li> <li>• It sunsets in December of 2003.</li> </ul> Explains the reason for the sunset in December of 2003 and why they want the interim task force to continue working on this.
249	Anderson	States PCUN stated they are interested in an independent task force. Explains that by passing this legislation it will provide the necessary rules to bargain in good faith.
278	Rep. March	Asks about the interaction of workers with the rule-writing process.
287	Anderson	Describes how the current board and rules are not equipped to handle agriculture.
318	Rep. Butler	Asks if removal of the exemption for agricultural workers gives them the same collective bargaining rights as factory workers.
326	Anderson	Says that is correct.
327	Rep. Butler	Asks if the current rules of collective bargaining are written in English or Spanish.
331	Anderson	Responds that as far as he knows they are written in English.
338	Rep. Butler	Expresses his concern that any participants in the rule-making process need to be able to understand the proceedings without a language barrier.

- 360 Anderson Agrees, saying the state laws only cover those industries that are not covered by the National Labor Relations Act.
- 385 Ramon Ramirez President of Pineros y Campesinos Unidos del Noroeste (Spanish for Northwest Treeplanters and Farmworkers United), (PCUN). Submits testimony in opposition to HB 4025, **(EXHIBIT H)**. Discusses why this organization is opposed to placing farm workers under the Employment Relations Board (ERB) who have no bilingual staff and no structure to deal with agricultural issues.

**TAPE 1, B**

- 023 Ramirez States the bill is ill timed and all views should be fully explored. Explains that an agreement was reached with NORPAC only through a secondary boycott because farm workers are not covered under state law or the federal National Labor Relations Act.
- 053 Ramirez Contends that the Oregon Farm Bureau has blocked collective bargaining for farm workers for the past 30 years, and only when a secondary boycott proves successful, the Oregon Farm Bureau favors legislation that would essentially prohibit secondary boycotts.
- 063 Michael Dale Lawyer working with farm workers. Discusses how agricultural workers are different from public employees and therefore need a different set of rules. Questions the urgency for this legislation moving now rather than waiting until the 2003 regular session.
- 131 Brad Witt Secretary-Treasurer, Oregon AFL-CIO. Submits prepared testimony, **(EXHIBIT I)**. Discusses why this legislation will not provide for collective bargaining because it lacks a balance of power.
- 177 Rep. March Asks is there a state whose statutes provide a good framework for collective bargaining that we should be looking at.
- 186 Dale Responds that California has some experience there. Says there is no state in the country that incorporates farm workers into the general collective bargaining statutes as this legislation purports to do.
- 208 Pieper Sweeney Farm owner in Dayton, Oregon. Testifies in support of HB 4025 saying that agriculture currently has no rules, which has allowed PCUN to come in and strong-arm the workers and growers.
- 276 Kandis Gilbert Farm owner near Stayton, Oregon. Testifies in support of HB 4025.
- 303 Peter Kenagy NORPAC Grower, Albany, Oregon. Testifies in support of HB 4025.
- 323 Sweeney Adds a comment made by a member of PCUN that NORPAC was “a means to an end.”
- 337 Kenagy Expresses frustrations about the growers and farm workers being in- the-dark about negotiations between PCUN and NORPAC.
- 359 Eduardo Angulo Salem, Oregon. Testifies in opposition to HB 4025.

441 Steven Witte Executive Director, Oregon Farm Worker Ministry. Testifies in opposition to HB 4025 saying a hastily considered bill is ill advised.

**TAPE 2, B**

023 Verne Cooperider Board Member, Oregon Farm Worker Ministry. Testifies in opposition to HB 4025 saying it has no place in special session.

051 Tom Brawley Marion County Farmer. Testifies in support of HB 4025. States he is one of 10,000 members of the Oregon Farm Bureau compared to 5,000 members of PCUN. States that his farm pays above the minimum wage, noting it is the second highest in the nation, and has a bilingual staff.

100 Doug Krahmer Marion County Farmer. Testifies in support of HB 4025 explaining that this legislation will give all parties the same set of rules. Notes that Oregon passed a collective bargaining bill in the early 70's, which was vetoed by the Governor.

127 Scott Ashcom Oregon Association of Nurserymen. Testifies in support of HB 4025. States the agreement between NORPAC and PCUN should be kept separate from the Oregon Farm Bureau bill.

172 Rep. Butler Asks what a secondary boycott would do to the most valuable crop coming out of Oregon nurseries.

181 Ashcom Replies that a secondary boycott would have little effect on the larger nursery's product because their product is not "branded." Responds that this bill is the right thing for the worker.

207 Rep. March Asks what other exemptions are provided for agriculture in labor law.

217 Ashcom Discusses how most of the exemptions in agriculture have been eliminated and only exist for the smallest farm operations, if at all.

259 Marion Malcolm Lane County resident working with human rights' organizations. Testifies in opposition to HB 4025 saying this legislation should not be rushed through a special session, but should be determined by the interim task force working on this issue.

302 Michael Papadopolis Retired mathematician from Corvallis with an interest in free speech. Testifies in opposition to HB 4025 saying that he does not want his free speech affected. States it is his opinion this is a free speech issue.

347 Bob Castagna Oregon Catholic Conference. Testifies in opposition to HB 4025. Explains why this legislation is premature and inappropriate.

437 Clif Kenagy Retired Farmer. Expresses his concern about his retirement funds that are "locked up" at NORPAC.

**TAPE 3, A**

008 Sam Sweeney Farmer. Testifies in support of HB 4025. States that legal guidelines would be helpful in case the agreement between NORPAC and PCUN does not work out.

030 Chair Wilson Closes the public hearing on HB 4025 and opens a work session.

### HB 4025 WORK SESSION

032	Rep. Kruse	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 4025 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.</b>
035	Rep. March	Explains why he will vote in opposition.
051	Rep. Kruse	Explains his concern if this issue has to wait until the 2003 session.
084	Rep. Bates	Announces that he will vote a courtesy yes so it can be discussed in chamber. Expresses concern about another boycott hitting NORPAC.
092	Rep. Butler	Expresses his concern about secondary boycotts hitting other co-ops.
113	Rep. King	Explains why he will be a no vote.
134		<b>VOTE: 7-2</b> <b>AYE: 7 – Bates, Butler, Hayden, Johnson, Knopp, Kruse, Wilson</b> <b>NAY: 2 – King, March</b>
140	Chair Wilson	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b> <b>REP. KRUSE will lead discussion on the floor.</b>
141	Chair Wilson	Closes the work session on HB 4025 and recesses the committee.

### **TAPE 4, A**

004	Chair Wilson	Reconvenes the committee and opens a public hearing on HB 4026.
-----	--------------	---

### HB 4026 PUBLIC HEARING

008	Rep. Karen Minnis	House District 49. Discusses HB 4026 which requires the Oregon Economic and Community Development Department, (OECDD) to deliver an economic stimulus plan to the Emergency Board by April 12, 2002. States that in meetings she has had with business leaders across the state she does not sense a direction coming from the department. Submits HB 4026–1 amendments dated 2/25/02, ( <b>EXHIBIT J</b> ).
025	Rep. Johnson	Asks why ( <b>EXHIBIT J</b> ) emphasizes imports and exports.
026	Rep. Minnis	Replies that Oregon derives a lot of income from exports and they would like to raise this level of revenue.
031	Rep. Bates	Asks if this is going to be an oversight committee.
039	Rep. Minnis	Responds that the Emergency Board will handle oversight.
043	Rep. Butler	Questions how much of this mandate is within the mission statement of OECDD.
051	Rep. Minnis	Responds that the department is not being proactive in performing this function.
056	Rep. Johnson	Comments on the difficulty of getting measurable outcomes from OECDD.
071	Rep. Minnis	Responds that having an articulated plan with set expectations should keep the department accountable.
078	Rep. Kruse	Comments on trade practices of neighboring countries and the effect on Oregon agriculture.



099	Bruce Anderson	Legislative Director, House Majority Office. Comments that several groups have pointed out the need for the OECDD to move forward: this legislation should help that process.
119	Chair Wilson	Closes the public hearing on HB 4026 and recesses the committee until 6 p.m.
129	Chair Wilson	Reconvenes the committee. Opens a work session on HB 4026.
<b><u>HB 4026 WORK SESSION</u></b>		
138	Rep. Mark Hass	House District 27. Submits prepared testimony, <b>(EXHIBIT K)</b> and HB 4026– 2 amendments dated 2/25/02 <b>(EXHIBIT L)</b> , which creates a Bioscience Task Force. Discusses how the bioscience industry will diversify Oregon’s economy and create hundreds of jobs.
183	Rep. Kruse	Wonders if OHSU would be a more appropriate place for this task force rather than OECDD.
188	Rep. Hass	Responds that several parties are making recruiting calls but there is no strategy for coordinating interested parties.
195	Rep. Kruse	States conceptual support but expresses that the center for biotech research in Oregon should be OHSU.
203	Rep. Hass	Notes there may be disagreement on the location but a statewide coordinating strategy is needed.
209	Rep. Kruse	Expresses concern about a state agency doing something that another quasi-state agency OHSU has already invested time in.
220	Rep. Hass	Indicates that OHSU is supportive of this legislation.
224	Rep. Johnson	Wonders if OECDD will have the same expertise as OHSU in recruiting personnel in this area.
234	Rep. Hass	Reiterates that OHSU is supportive of this legislation.
243	Rep. Butler	Asks Rep. King how the Oregon Council for Knowledge and Economic Development ties into this particular opportunity and asks Rep. Johnson if this new task force will overlap with the Oregon Opportunities Task Force.
255	Rep. King	Responds that the Oregon Council for Knowledge and Economic Development is dealing with a broad range of topics and the question is how to keep the high-tech industry strong as well as bio-science. States this new task force will be able to go into greater depth but not duplicate efforts of others.
285	Rep. Johnson	Stresses how important communication between the groups will be as there may be some overlap among parallel groups.
298	Rep. Butler	Asks why this task force is limited to the development of facilities capable of producing biotechnology and pharmaceutical products.
323	Rep. Hass	Responds that the Oregon Bioscience Advancement Committee believes there is an opportunity for specialized facilities in Oregon.
346	Rep. Butler	Expresses concern that this is just another task force that parallels studies already being done in the state.
360	Rep. Kruse	Asks for some assurance that one of the appointees to this task force will be from OHSU.

371	Rep. Hass	Agrees.
374	Rep. Bates	Addresses the revolution of how patients will be treated in the next 15 to 20 years moving from chemicals to biological advancements. Notes the importance of bringing this technology to Oregon.
417	Rep. March	Comments that page two, lines four through six of the HB4026 –2 amendments indicate the department will be looking for expertise in this area.
443	Rep. Hass	Expresses that this legislation sends a message to Oregonians that the state is serious about economic development in this industry.

**TAPE 5, A**

002	Bryan Boehringer	Director, Government Relations, Oregon Health Sciences University. Explains the discussions that have previously taken place and areas where OHSU would differ from this proposed task force.
027	Rep. Hayden	Asks if the state will be at risk for any significant expense in developing pharmaceuticals.
038	Rep. Hass	States he does not see how a task force could incur that kind of liability.
040	Rep. Hayden	Asks for the parameters of what biotechnology encompasses.
044	Boehringer	Explains what OHSU has been focusing on in this area – the human genome project. Details that after mapping the genome, the next process is to find the cure and discover how everything fits together. States to do that you take the science, the bio part, and map enormous amounts of data; this is the technology part. Notes that amazing speed and computer data processing equipment is necessary to compare which genes are turned on and which are turned off. States that OHSU is focusing on functional genomics.
059	Rep. Hayden	Inquires if there would be more beyond mapping the human genome and pharmaceuticals.
064	Boehringer	Responds that it is all inter-related.
071	Rep. Hayden	Asks if this would involve stem cell research.
072	Boehringer	Replies that is dependent upon the federal government for funding.
077	Rep. Hayden	Asks if any of the genome research involves human cloning.
079	Boehringer	Responds that the federal government will set the guidelines on that.
083	Rep. Hayden	Asks, for the record, if anyone testifying on this bill would state that human cloning would not be part of the mission of this task force.
085	Rep. Hass	Restates that the task force shall: “identify and study opportunities for promoting the development by private parties of specialized bioscience manufacturing facilities.”
093	Rep. Kruse	Notes that the human cloning argument will be decided at the federal level. Asks about the mainframe capacity to run these computations.

107	Boehringer	Responds he is not sure how many machines are currently used on the project.
113	Rep. Kruse	Notes that these machines are expensive.
116	Rep. Bates	Identifies areas of research that he would expect the proposed task force to be working on. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identifying pieces of the genome that predict breast cancer,</li> <li>• Monitor blood glucose without the use of needles,</li> <li>• An implanted defibrillator.</li> </ul> States the next step after research is to manufacture these items and this is the aim of for this legislation.
143	<b>Rep. Kruse</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 4026-2 amendments dated 02/25/02.</b>
146	Rep. Hayden	Explains he is uncomfortable voting yes on the amendment due to his lack of knowledge, however if the amendment passes he will vote yes on the bill. <b>VOTE: 8-1</b> <b>AYE: 8 – Bates, Butler, Johnson, King, Knopp, Kruse, March, Wilson</b> <b>NAY: 1 – Hayden</b>
157	<b>Chair Wilson</b>	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>
159	<b>Rep. Kruse</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 4026 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b> <b>VOTE: 9-0</b>
161	<b>Chair Wilson</b>	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. KING will lead discussion on the floor.</b>
163	Rep. King	Comments on the progress made by the committee by passing this piece of bipartisan legislation to the floor.
167	Chair Wilson	Closes the work session on HB 4026 and opens a work session for the possible introduction of committee bills.

**INTRODUCTION OF COMMITTEE BILLS**

172	Chair Wilson	Introduces LC 10-1 relating to term limits, (EXHIBIT M). Asks the members to pay special attention to page one, Section 34, lines 6-10.
181	<b>Rep. Kruse</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves LC 10-1 BE INTRODUCED as a committee bill.</b> <b>VOTE: 8-1</b> <b>AYE: 8 – Bates, Butler, Johnson, King, Knopp, Kruse, March, Wilson</b> <b>NAY: 1 – Hayden</b>
186	<b>Chair Wilson</b>	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>
196	<b>Rep. Kruse</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves LC 45 BE INTRODUCED as a committee bill (EXHIBIT N).</b> <b>VOTE: 8-1</b> <b>AYE: 8 – Bates, Butler, Johnson, King, Knopp, Kruse, March, Wilson</b>

200  
202

**Chair Wilson**  
Chair Wilson

**NAY: 1 – Hayden**  
**The motion CARRIES.**  
Closes the work session on and adjourns the meeting at 7 p.m.

Transcribed by,

Patsy Wood  
Committee Assistant

Submitted By,

Reviewed By,

Linda M. Gatto,  
Committee Assistant

Janet Adkins,  
Committee Administrator

### **EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

- A. Proposed Committee Rules, submitted by staff, 1 p**
- B. Draft LC 3 dated 2/20/02, submitted by staff, 6 pp.**
- C. Draft LC 12 dated 2/21/02, submitted by staff, 1 p**
- D. Draft LC 39 dated 2/24/02, submitted by staff, 3 pp.**
- E. Draft LC 29 dated 2/24/02, submitted by staff, 1 pp.**
- F. Draft LC 30 dated 2/24/02, submitted by staff, 1 pp.**
- G. HB 4027, testimony submitted by Tom Brumm, OECDD, dated 2/25/02, 3 pp.**
- H. HB 4025, testimony submitted by Ramon Ramirez, PCUN, 5 pp.**
- I. HB 4025, testimony submitted by Brad Witt, AFL-CIO, dated 2/25/02, 1 p**
- J. HB 4026, -1 amendments dated 2/25/02, submitted by Rep. Karen Minnis, 1 pp.**
- K. HB 4026, testimony submitted by Rep. Mark Hass, dated 12/12/2001, 1 pp.**
- L. HB 4026, -2 amendments dated 2/25/02, submitted by Rep. Mark Hass, 2 pp.**
- M. Draft LC 10-1 dated 2/25/02, submitted by staff, 2 pp.**
- N. Draft LC 45 dated 2/25/02-1, submitted by staff 2 pp.**