

# HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ADVANCING E-GOVERNMENT

February 08, 2001  
3:30 PM

Hearing Room E  
Tapes 9 - 10

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Rep. Jim Hill, Chair  
Rep. Rob Patridge, Vice-Chair  
Rep. Kathy Lowe

**MEMBER EXCUSED:**

**STAFF PRESENT:** Mark Jolley, Committee Administrator  
Alice Morton, Committee Assistant

**MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:** HB 2232 – Public Hearing and Work Session  
HB 2235 – Public Hearing  
HJR 4 – Public Hearing  
HB 2038 – Public Hearing

---

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

---

<u>TAPE/#</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Comments</u>
<b>TAPE 9, A</b>		
050	Chair Hill	Convenes committee at 3:30 p.m. Opens a public hearing on HB 2232.
<b><u>HB 2232 PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
009	Mark Jolley	Committee Administrator. Explains HB 2232 ( <b>EXHIBIT A</b> ) and -1 amendment ( <b>EXHIBIT B</b> ) which deletes requirement for triplicate receipts for certain transfers of funds.
020	Chair Hill	Indicates the committee has received written testimony ( <b>EXHIBIT C</b> ), but there is no personal testimony on the bill..
024	Chair Hill 2232.	Closes public hearing on HB 2232, opens work session on HB
<b><u>HB 2232 WORK SESSION</u></b>		
025	Rep. Patridge	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2232-1 amendments dated 2/7/01.</b>
025		<b>VOTE: 3-0</b>
030	Chair Hill	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
040	Rep. Patridge	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 2232 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b>
045		<b>VOTE: 3-0</b>
045	Chair Hill	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. HILL, REP. PATRIDGE, REP. LOWE will lead discussion on the floor.</b>
052	Chair Hill	Closes work session on HB 2232 and opens public hearing on HB 2235.
<b><u>HB 2235 – PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
055	Jolley	Explains HB 2235 that eliminates the State Printer. ( <b>EXHIBIT D</b> ).
063	Mike Freese	Oregon State Printer, Department of Administrative Services (DAS). Submits written material ( <b>EXHIBIT E</b> ) and begins

		testimony. Says that HB 2235 is statutory cleanup, and that the 10-year experience requirement is outdated.
130	Chair Hill	Asks Mr. Freese for background information on the state printer and on the bill.
135	Freese	Tells about the origins and purpose of the Oregon State Printer and comments on the current day role.
160	Grover Simmons	Pacific Printing and Imaging Association. Indicates he has a neutral position and explains why. Says he is reluctant to see the history of the state printer eliminated.
242	Chair Hill	Asks for clarification of what the association really wants, if there is something in the constitution they object to.
281	Simmons	Says they want the reference to the State Printer in the constitution maintained, and that DAS's main objection was the 10 years experience provision. Elaborates that DAS could write an administrative rule to provide appropriate language.
300	Rep. Lowe	Says she understands what Mr. Simmons means about preserving the constitution, and wonders what Chair Hill means when he says that the bill does nothing.
320	Chair Hill	Explains and restates that he is confused about what the printing association's issue actually is.
366	Simmons	Responds and defers to Mr. Freese.
390	Freese	Clarifies the practice of DAS contracting out printing, says that if they can get a better deal by contracting printing jobs out, they will do it.
415	Rep. Lowe	Asks if Mr. Freese personally makes those decisions.
420	Freese	Says, no, and explains market research and contracting methods of DAS.

**TAPE 10, A**

031	Rep. Patridge	Asks if there may be an unintended consequence as to their definition of printing within the bill, in terms of duplicating and copying.
040	Freese	Explains language and says the purpose is to keep agencies from setting up little, inefficient print shops.
086	Simmons	Indicates support to maintaining the State Printer position.
115	Discussion on the history	and appropriateness of changing the constitution.
220	Chair Hill	Closes public hearing on HB 2235. Opens public hearing on HJR 4 (EXHIBIT F).

**HJR 4 – PUBLIC HEARING**

227	Jerry Tynell	Printer from Eugene. Gives historical view of the State Printer. Says instead of deleting the State Printer position, the position should be given the additional power to run state printing.
276	Rep Lowe	Asks if doing as he suggests would save money for the state and save paper.
280	Tynell	Says it will be more efficient and therefore better for the people because it will save money by bulk buying and economies of scale.
335	Fariborz Pakseresht	Manager, Publishing and Distribution, Department of Administrative Services (DAS). Clarifies there is no such thing as a state printer because of mergers in the 1990's. Expresses pride as being the first state to merge and create 'publishing and distribution'. Agrees there should be a strong leader for printing, but that person does not need to be called 'state printer' or be in the constitution. Adds the bill does not impact contracting to the

		private sector. Says nothing in this bill changes the function of printing.
399	Chair Hill	Closes public hearing on HJR 4. Opens public hearing on HB 2038
<b><u>HB 2038 – PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
407	Jolley	Explains HB 2038 ( <b>EXHIBIT G</b> ) which is intended to identify what information obtained by the state through business transactions with customers that the state will keep confidential.
<b>TAPE 9, B</b>		
020	Pat Lundeen	Electronic Commerce Manager, Department of Administrative Services (DAS). Gives testimony in support of HB 2038 with amendments suggested by DAS, and submits written material ( <b>EXHIBIT H</b> ). Says DAS is still developing privacy statements.
135	Rep. Patridge	Asks how many agencies use cookies and do not tell the public.
137	Lundeen	Says she does not know. Notes there are no clear policies and they need legislation in order to develop policies. Says she tells agencies that must disclose, but there are no written mandates.
175	Rep. Patridge	Asks if there is a plan to address this issue.
190	Lundeen	Says they have been addressing that policy discussion for the past two years.
231	Rose Jade	Citizen from Lincoln City. Provides written material ( <b>EXHIBIT I</b> ) and begins testimony with suggested amendment language, stating that HB 2038 is too broad and thus grants too much power to the agencies.
320	Sarah Reader	Oregon Newspaper Publishers Association. Indicates her agreement with Ms Jade’s testimony.
333	Jim Craven	American Electronics Association. Says this is a base bill whose language needs further refinement. Says protection of privacy is a huge national issue.
425	Craven	Continues testimony on privacy issues related to the internet.
<b>Tape 10, B</b>		
014	Craven	Says privacy laws should be considered that covers all aspects of privacy, not just those of the internet.
022	Chair Hill	Closes public hearing on HB 2038. Points out to committee some printed information provided on e-government issues. Adjourns committee at 5:00 p.m.

Submitted By,

Reviewed By,

Alice Morton,  
Committee Assistant

Mark Jolley,  
Committee Administrator

**EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

- A – HB 2232, staff, 1p.**
- B – HB 2232-1 amendments, staff, 1p.**
- C – HB 2232, letter from Darren Q. Bond, staff, 1p.**
- D – HB 2235, staff, 4pp.**

**E – HB 2235, written materials, Mike Freese, 5pp.**

**F – HJR 2, staff, 1p.**

**G – HB 2038, staff, 8pp.**

**H – HB 2038, written testimony, Pat Lundeen, 2pp.**

**I - HB 2038, written testimony, Rose Jade, 16pp.**