HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH AND PUBLIC ADVOCACY

February 15, 2001 Hearing Room D 1:30 p.m. Tapes 23 - 24

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Jeff Kruse, Chair

Rep. Phil Barnhart

Rep. Bill Morrisette, Vice-Chair Rep. Bill Garrard, Vice-Chair

Rep. Jan Lee

Rep. Laurie Monnes-Anderson

Rep. Carolyn Tomei Rep. Cherryl Walker

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Jerry Krummel

STAFF PRESENT: Diane Lewis, Committee Administrator

Rachel Brown, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2331- Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2252- Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2257- Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2258- Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2261- Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2261- Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2268- Public Hearing and Work Session

Update on activities within Oregon Housing and Community Services

(OHCS)

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 23,	A	
005	Chair Kruse	Calls the meeting to order at 1:40 p.m. Opens a public hearing on HB 2331.
HB 2331 -	PUBLIC HEARING	
010	Diane Lewis	Committee Administrator. Provides background information on HB 2331.
030	Mickey Lansing	Deputy Director, Oregon Commission on Children and Families (OCCP). Testifies in favor of HB 2331. States that OCCF was directed by HB 2003 (1993) to administer Children's Individual Development Accounts. Believes it would be more appropriate to transfer this program to Housing and Community Services.
049	Rep. Garrard	Asks if the program move can take place without funding.
042	Lansing	Responds that a policy piece can move without the funds.
060	Bob Repine	Director, Oregon Housing Community Services Division (OHCSD). Remarks that ICDA's are designed to deal with three things:
		 Educational opportunities

- First time homebuyer opportunities
- Starting small private businesses

States that there are great success stories within the Individual Children's Development Account Program but that the program only works if it's adequately funded.

100	Chair Kruse	Closes the public hearing on HB 2331 and open a work session on HB 2331.
<u>HB 2331 – WO</u>	RK SESSION	
101	Chair Kruse Repine	Speaks of being approached by a county commissioner who was concerned and in favor of this possible legislation. States if HB 2331 passes, it will have a funding stream attached. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT A) and discusses the following major points:
		 Individual Children's Development Account Program and what it has meant in the United States
125	Rep. Morrisette	 Comparison sheet of the possible varieties of direction that could exist in the accounts MOTION: Moves HB 2331 BE REFERRED to the
		committee on Ways and Means.
133	Rep. Barnhart	Refers to HB 2331 and asks how the "blank after the dollar sign" gets filled in.
137	Chair Kruse	Replies that the committee can decide to put in an amount based on the many factors or that the bill could move by simply putting
152		a zero in the space. VOTE: 8-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
		EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Krummel
157	Chair Kruse	The motion CARRIES.
159	Chair Kruse	Closes the work session on HB 2331 and opens a public hearing on HB 2252.
<u>HB 2252 – PUE</u>	BLIC HEARING	
162	Diane Lewis	Committee Administrator. Offers background information on HB 2331.
171	Nancy Pope Schlangen	State Housing Council member. Testifies in support of HB 2252. Explains that the amount hasn't been increased since 1991, even in lieu of the 20% inflation rate.
192	Bob Repine	Director, Oregon Housing Community Services Division (OHCSD). Submits written testimony in favor of HB 2252 (EXHIBIT B). Remarks on two important issues in reference to the bill:
		• Confidentiality
219 224 240 242	Rep. Lee Repine Rep. Lee Repine	• Time Asks what the income threshold is. Responds that it varies from one geographical area to the next. Asks if the interest rate is subsidized. Responds that General Obligation bonds are sold and the office is given a preferred rate. States that when the loan is paid back it replenishes the bond program.
253	Rep. Morrisette	Asks what the bonding capacity is, and if more bonds are to be sold.

257	Repine	Responds that the Revenue Committee will reallocate the volume cap each year. States OHCS accessed the Oregon Veterans volume cap but now Oregon Veterans have an opportunity to use
306	Pan Marrigatta	their resources. Asks if there is a bill for allocation each biennium.
	Rep. Morrisette	
309 317	Repine	Answers affirmatively.
31/	Rep. Walker	Asks about the \$250,000 figure being a reasonable amount for a
220	Danier.	low-income family.
328	Repine	Responds that although \$250,000.00 is the steepest amount, rarely will a family buy such a costly house. States that setting amount higher than necessary is taking future inflation into consideration.
351	Rep. Walker	Asks if this threshold amount would be sufficient for 5 years.
352	Repine	Replies that the figure would hold for at least 4-6 years.
355	Rep. Garrard	Asks how many loans would exceed \$250,000.00 in the next year.
365	Repine	Responds that most likely no loans would be issued that would exceed this amount.
374	Chair Kruse	Asks what the income threshold was from 1990.
377	Repine	Responds that he does not have the answer.
387	Rep. Morrisette	Requests more information about eligibility in different areas.
406	Rep. Lee	Inquires if it would be possible to include in that information, an
	•	escalating factor along with the pricing structure in each area.
TAPE 24, A		
002	Repine	States that the questions to ask that are at the heart of HB 2252 are:
		• Will the council take the time to review these small amount loans?
		• Will the citizen applying for the loan be subject to the placing of his or her name and income in the Oregonian?
		• How long will citizens need to wait before moving into their new homes?
015	Chair Kruse	Believes that it is necessary to offer a threshold that will last 3 or 4 biennia.
019	Rep. Barnhart	Asks why there would ever be a loan application granted for a house that would be worth over \$250,000.
030	Repine	Responds that figures are based on a Housing and Urban Development (HUD) assessment of eligible income levels.
060	Rep. Morrisette	Asks why a lesser amount is not the threshold amount.
064	Repine	Responds that in using an interest rate from the last 10 years, the
	1	projected 10-year interest rate resulted in a figure of \$250,000.
076	Repine	States that he doesn't disagree with lowering the \$250,000 figure.
084	Chair Kruse	Points out that loans are based on income levels.
095	Repine	Offers anecdote of a family who was upset about the lack of
	T. P. I.	confidentiality surrounding this issue area.
116	Chair Kruse	Closes the public hearing on HB 2252 and opens public hearing on HB 2253.
	BLIC HEARING	
119	Bob Repine	Director, Oregon Housing Community Services Division (OHCSD). Submits written testimony in favor of HB 2253 (EXHIBIT C) and explains that this bill adds 3 other agencies
		Carried and and and and a contract about the

173	Patty Whitney-Wise	who participate on the hunger council. Director, Oregon Hunger Relief Task Force. Testifies in favor of HB 2253 and lists 2 functions of the task force:
		Advising the Governor and the Legislature
		 Working together on improving programs that serve the hungry
		Lists 3 areas to improve:
		 Defining hunger and food security
		Expanding existing services
		 Defining what is needed beyond the food programs
		 Looking at ways to implement improvements and streamline services through;
		A. Joint outreach
		B. Joint monitoring of programs
		C. Nutrition education
		D. Data collection and reporting
		E. Program improvements
253	Rep. Morrisette	Asks if these efforts are already being made and if the lack of coordination is being addressed.
268	Whitney-Wise	Replies affirmatively.
275	Repine	Discusses the State Corrections' partnering efforts with the Food Bank distribution centers.
324	Chair Kruse	Closes the public hearing on HB 2253 and opens a public hearing on HB 2257.
	BLIC HEARING	Grand Market Company
325	Nancy Pope Schlangen	State Housing Council member. Testifies in support of HB 2257. States that the funds are for three purposes:
		 Forming a partnership between mortgagers and bankers
		• Education in home ownership
381	Jim Markee	• Down payments and the work with the banks Represents the Oregon Mortgage Bankers Association. Strongly supports HB 2257. Believes the bill to be very beneficial for first-time home buyers.
TAPE 23, B	D 14 : "	
001	Rep. Morrisette	Asks if there's a connection between HUD and other local resources.
004	Jim Markee	Replies that they could be coupled together.
012	Rep. Morrisette	Asks if the money is for a down payment.
016	Markee	Responds that it is all down payment money and explains that it can be used to reduce fees.
022	Markee	Explains that potential clients must be:
		• Low-income
		First time homebuyers
		• Retain less than \$5,000.00 in liquid assets
		Complete an approved homebuyer's education course
028	Rep. Morrisette	Asks who designed the required course.

030	Markee	Responds that the department has the curriculum for the course but that it was developed in conjunction with the members.
040	Rep. Garrard	Asks for the average monthly balance.
044	Markee	Replies that the amount is \$750,000 General Fund dollars.
046	Bob Repine	Submits written testimony in favor of HB 2257 (EXHIBIT D) and states that since the shift from grant to loan programs, the loan savings will not be observed until the houses are refinanced in 7-10 years.
56	Chair Kruse	Closes the public hearing on HB 2257 and opens a public hearing on HB 2258.
<u>HB 2258 – WC</u>	_	
58	Bob Repine	Director, Oregon Housing Community Services Division (OHCSD). Submits written testimony in favor of 2258 (EXHIBIT E) and states that the bill would allow OHCS to hold properties for non-profit organizations.
92	Rep. Morrisette	Urges the committee to support HB 2258.
100	Rep. Tomei	Asks where the funds come from to purchase the property.
101	Repine	Responds that the same funds used for community service programs would target the resources to appropriate and hold property for short periods of time.
124	Rep. Tomei	Asks if there is availability to do a lease option and if this option is even feasible.
125	Repine	Replies that a lease option has not been made available but that as the economy tightens up, it can be used as a new tool.
136	Rep. Garrard	Refers to section 1, line 30 of HB 2258 and asks what is a reasonable amount of time.
142	Repine	Responds that this is a 6-12 month short-term loan.
160	Rep. Barnhart	Inquires if the rules surrounding this loan type speak to the issue of property given as a gift.
170	Repine	Responds that he does not have a direct answer to the question.
176	Rep. Morrisette	States that he would like to understand more about how general fund budget financing.
188	Repine	Replies that he would be happy to come back to answer more specific questions.
203	Chair Kruse	Explains that there will be no more public hearings on these bills, only work sessions.
210	Rep. Barnhart	Remarks that he is also interested in learning more about this issue.
213	Repine	Responds that it's rare within the state system that it must perform a task that a private market has to perform on a day to day basis.
220	Chair Kruse	Closes the public hearing on HB 2258 and open a public hearing on HB 2261.
249	Chair Kruse	Closes the public hearing on HB 2261 and reopens public hearing on HB 2258.
249	Repine	Submits written testimony in favor of 2258 (EXHIBIT F) remarks on its contents.
259	Victor Smeltz	Represents the Housing Authority of Portland. Testifies in support of HB 2258. Explains that the development of affordable housing takes a lot of time.
324	Rep. Barnhart	Asks if there is an assessment of an increase of risk.
337	Repine	Responds that if risks are not taken then there's a loss of opportunity.
361	Rep. Walker	Asks if after the property is bought, is it reappraised and sold at

379	Repine	the current market value, and if the property is held for 2 years then who pays the property taxes. Responds that the standard practice is to assess the property thoroughly and if anything about the property is not satisfactory the deal will be let go of.
TAPE 24, B		
002	Smeltz	States that there is 45-60 day period during which all of the work is done.
010	Repine	Remarks that if there is an option to buy, the owner of the property would be liable for the taxes incurred.
034	Chair Kruse	Closes the public hearing on HB 2258 and opens a public hearing on HB 2261.
HB 2261 – PI	LBIC HEARING	on 115 2201.
040	Bob Repine	Director, Oregon Housing Community Services Division (OHCSD). Submits written testimony in favor of HB 2261 (EXHIBIT G) and explains that conduit financing is a new attempt to make loans match or equal the same private marketplace environment for loan opportunities.
065	Jack Kenny	Deputy Director, Oregon Housing and Community Services. Testifies in support of HB 2261.
071	Rep. Barnhart	Asks what the difference is between conduit bonds and revenue bonds.
078	Kenny	Responds that the primary difference is that assets serve as security for the revenue bonds, but that the conduit bonds' only security is that specific transaction.
099	Rep. Barnhart	Asks what the security is for the loan.
100	Kenny	Replies that the piece of property is the security for the loan.
112	Rep. Garrard	Asks if the variable rate is below the market rate.
115	Kenny	Replies that he is not sure that the sale of conduit bonds include a variable rate.
132	Diane Lewis	Committee Administrator. Asks if there are requirements that a developer maintain the property.
144	Kenny	Responds that a review is conducting to ensure that the borrower is responsible and the project is viable.
200	Chair Kruse	Closes the public hearing on HB 2261and opens a public hearing on HB 2668.
207	Sean Smith	Represents the Association of Oregon Housing Authorities. Submits written testimony in favor of HB 2668 (EXHIBIT H) and testifies that the intent of the bill is to cleanup issues related to housing authority in Oregon statute in compliance with federal and state law and is a response to a recent HUD mandate. Explains that Oregon's housing authorities, that are federally funded, provide housing to as many people as possible. States that the bill enables elected bodies to appoint up to two residents to serve as board members and the bill is supported by would be affected housing authorities. Points out that HB 2668 also reduces the terms of service for members from 5 years to 4 years.
267	Smith	Discusses proposed amendments.
305	Smith	Continues discussing proposed amendments.
361	Smith	Clarifies his proposed amendments.
365	Smith	Reiterates that one of the intentions of HB 2668 is to reduce the terms of office.
370	Chair Kruse	Remarks that he intends to bring back HB 2668, with

amendments, within the context of a work session. Closes the public hearing on HB 2668 and adjourns the committee at 3:25 p.m.

Chair Kruse

Submitted By,

383

Reviewed By,

Rachel Brown, Committee Assistant Diane Lewis, Committee Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A - HB 2331, written material, Bob Repine, 10 pp.

B – HB 2252, written material, Bob Repine, 3 pp.

C – HB 2253, written material, Bob Repine, 3 pp.

D – HB 2257, written material, Bob Repine, 3 pp.

E – HB 2258, written material, Bob Repine, 3 pp.

F – HB 2261, written material, Bob Repine, 4 pp.

G – HB 2261, written material, Bob Repine, 1 p.

H – HB 2668, written material, Sean Smith, 2 pp.

-

-

-

_