HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH AND PUBLIC ADVOCACY

March 08, 2001 Hearing Room D 1:30 P.M. Tapes 43 - 46

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Jeff Kruse, Chair

Rep. Bill Morrisette, Vice-Chair Rep. Bill Garrard, Vice-Chair

Rep. Phil Barnhart Rep. Jerry Krummel Rep. Jan Lee

Rep. Laurie Monnes-Anderson

Rep. Carolyn Tomei Rep. Cherryl Walker

STAFF PRESENT: Diane Lewis, Committee Administrator Rachel Brown, Committee Assistant

| MEASURE/ISSUES | HEARD: | Public | Hearing | and | Work | Session | _ | HB |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------|---------|---------------|------------|----------|-----------|------|
| 2077 | | | | Public | Hea | ring | _ | HB |
| 2707 | | | |] | Public | Hearing | _ | HB |
| 2079 | | | | Publ | ic Hearing | and Work | Session - | - HB |
| 2080 | | | | | | | | |

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|-------------------|----------------------|---|
| TAPE 43, A | • | |
| 008 | Chair Kruse | Calls the meeting to order at 1:40 p.m. Explains the bills that |
| | | were sent to Ways and Means. |
| 020 | Chair Kruse | Opens a public hearing on HB 2077. |
| HB 2077 - P | UBLIC HEARING | |
| 026 | Diane Lewis | Committee Administrator. Summarizes HB 2077. |
| 035 | Muriel Goldman | Multnomah County Commission on Children, Families, and |
| | | Community. Testifies in support of HB 2077. |
| 042 | Kathleen Heath | Multnomah County Commission on Children, Families, and |
| | | Community. Introduces self. |
| 044 | Jonathan Enz | Multnomah County Commission on Children, Families, and |
| | | Community. Introduces self. |
| 047 | Goldman | Explains her support for the Oregon Children's Plan (OCP). |
| | | States that the bill clarifies the responsibility of local |
| | | commissions on children and families. Wishes to restore the |
| | | capacity of local commissions. Expresses concern of losing the |
| | | ability to serve middle school aged children and adolescents. |
| 066 | Heath | Testifies in support of HB 2077. States that the commission |
| | | supports the idea of assisting children ages 0-18. Explains that it |
| | | is difficult for local commissions to compartmentalize young |
| | | people. Adds that she wishes to serve in a preventative and |
| | | remedial capacity. |
| 077 | Enz | Concurs with the two previous witness's testimony. |
| 080 | Rep. Tomei | Asks which programs should be cut based on the budget. |
| 085 | Goldman | Responds that she would prefer no programs for children to be |

| | | cut. Explains what local commissions on children and families do. Adds that they need the entire community's support. States that Multnomah County is attempting to augment what the direct service programs do by mobilizing the community. Adds that |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|
| | | they have leveraged additional funds from the public sector for |
| 126 | Heath | their "Take the Time Program." Responds that she does not know which programs should be cut. Discusses leveraging local funds. Adds that they need to |
| 143 | Rep. Barnhart | cover the continuum on ages 0-18. Asks if the best place for decisions to be made regarding funds is at the local level. Adds that if this is true, the legislature does not decide which programs to cut, the counties do. |
| 159 | Goldman | Responds that they must find the resources within the county to continue with the programs. |
| 166 | Rep. Tomei | Comments that children are more likely to be shot in their own homes than in school. |
| 181 | Jean Collins- Lasater | Northwest Human Services. Submits and presents written testimony in favor of HB 2077 (EXHIBIT A). |
| | | Explains that the HOST Program serves a geographic area for a high-risk population. Adds that the purpose of the program is to intercede before youth enter the juvenile system. |
| | | Mental Health assessments are in very short supply in the public and private sectors of the mental health communities. |
| | | Families that are served by the HOST programs are those who fall between the cracks; too poor to pay for assistance and too rich to receive funding. |
| 262 | Collins-Lasater | Continues testimony and asks the committee to pick up the work that has been done by local commissions until this point. Expresses concern that programs using "best practices" will be cut by the Governor. States that these programs do not have to be cut. |
| 328 | Joana Gonzalez | States that she is receiving services from the HOST Program. Explains that she is doing well and just received her GED. |
| 351 | Peggy Kahan | Executive Director of the Home Youth and Resource Center. Testifies in support of HB 2077. Explains the services her program supplies. Adds that she works with 'at-risk' and 'disconnected' kids. Speaks about the center's budget and money received. Explains that the Oregon Commission on Children and Families (OCCF) supports a broad range of programs that include children of all ages. Adds that they have received \$100,000 from in-kind and volunteers. Refers to a Time Magazine article entitled "A Generation Excluded." |
| TAPE 44, A 003 | Kahan | States that she does not want to limit programs only to the first 8 |
| 019 | Rep. Monnes- | years in Healthy Start programs. Adds that older children have only a meager safety net funded mainly by OCCF. Refers to various challenges unsupported older children face. Believes that HB 2077 includes all children. Asks how much her funding is now. |
| 017 | Anderson | ASAS HOW HIGH HEI TURNING IS HOW. |
| 020 023 | Kahan Trey Malicoat | Replies that the center receives approximately \$60,000. Executive Director of Mother Oaks Child Center for Grieving |
| | | |

Children. Testifies in favor of HB 2077. Speaks to those people that have 'fallen through the system'. Adds that we need to work not only with children, but with their families. Talks about the move to cut programs that aid children after a very young age. Believes this bill includes older children, which is much needed. Discusses brain research and trauma that effect children. Believes if we don't fund to age 18 we will find ourselves with problems we don't want to face. Describes a story of prisoner's childhood traumas. Believes there is a direct correlation between childhood trauma and societies ills. work session

| 140 | Chair Kruse | Closes the public hearing on HB 2077 and opens work session on HB 2077. |
|-----------|----------------------|---|
| HB 2077 - | WORK SESSION | |
| 141 | Chair Kruse | States that this is a simple bill that groups children together. |
| 154 | Rep. Krummel | MOTION: Moves HB 2077 to the floor with a DO PASS |
| | | recommendation. |
| 156 | Rep. Monnes- | States that no money is set up for this. Adds that she wishes the |
| | Anderson | state had more money. |
| 161 | Rep. Tomei | States that she is concerned with the dwindling funds for these |
| | | children. Adds that they will have to make difficult decisions in |
| | | the future regarding these issues. |
| 183 | Rep. Morrisette | States that this bill allows local commissions to decide how the |
| | | Governor's money will be spent at the local level. |
| 186 | Chair Kruse | States that this bill clearly defines the scope of local |
| | | commissions. Adds that the funds will be decided by Ways and |
| | | Means. |
| 195 | Rep. Morrissette | Asks if the commission will decide how to distribute the local |
| | | funds. |
| 201 | Chair Kruse | Responds that he hopes so, and explains that there is another bill |
| | | that speaks directly to this issue. |
| 206 | Rep. Garrard | States his support for serving children of all ages. |
| 213 | Rep. Krummel | Comments that there have been questions regarding where the |
| | | commission is to invest funds. Adds that there is a problem |
| | | when this is done every two years, as if there is no long-term |
| | | direction. Believes it is important that when investing in one |
| | | area, not to neglect another. States that this bill will help in |
| 2.45 | | long-term planning and that it covers all age groups. |
| 247 | | VOTE: 9-0 |
| | Chair Kruse | AYE: 9 In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. |
| | Chair Kruse | The motion CARRIES. |
| 251 | Chair Kruse | REP. GARRARD will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 231 | Chan Kruse | Closes the work session on HB 2077 and opens a public hearing |
| HR 2707 | PUBLIC HEARING | on HB 2707. |
| 254 | Diane Lewis | Committee Administrator. Summarizes HB 2707. |
| 260 | Representative Janet | House District 32. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT B) in |
| 200 | Representative Janet | House District 32. Submits written testimony (EATHOLL B) III |

Carlson

House District 32. Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT B) in support of HB 2707. Explains her handout, page 2 line 31 of the bill regarding comprehensive planning. Explains her experience in developing a 0-8 plan with a state team of professionals and developing a best practices guideline for local commissions that includes quality assurance standards. Explains that the team talked about how services to children and families are being provided. States that one issue with HB 2707 is that people believe that the Juvenile Crime Prevention Plan and the Alcohol

| | | focused on academic reform, other things are forgotten. Acknowledges that it is difficult to meet with teachers in May and June. Refers to the state of Colorado that developed a state development plan for youths. |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 425 | Rep. Monnes- | States that the Juvenile Crime Prevention Plan may be |
| | Anderson | duplicated. Asks if it can be a part of the Youth Development Plan. |
| TAPE 43, B | | |
| 001 | Rep. Carlson | Explains that the Crime Prevention Plan was proposed to affect a small segment of the population. Asserts that the YDP is a much broader program to build social skills and relationships. |
| 023 | Chair Kruse | Reviews juvenile crime prevention and juvenile justice funding and issues. Explains that there was a lot of flexibility given to each county, and that requirements and restrictions will now be more strict. States that HB 2707 adds a piece to an already existing planning model. |
| 032 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | Asks if there will still be two plans regarding Crime Prevention and the Youth Development Plan. |
| 037 | Rep. Carlson | Explains that regarding the Crime Prevention Plan, the authority is given to the county commissioners to decide how the planning will occur. Explains how various counties deal with assigning the task. States that SB 555 develops coordination at the state level. |
| 053 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | Makes the point that there is a competition between the Juvenile Justice System and the Office of Children and Families for funds. Believes they should be blended in the planning. |
| 063 | Chair Kruse | States that there will be bills that discuss this later in committee. States that SB 555 required each county to take a comprehensive look at all the local services available and how these services do or do not work together. |
| 077 | Rep. Tomei | States that the state must concentrate on 'high risk' kids. |
| 087 | Rep. Carlson | Responds that there are many ways to look at resources in communities. Explains that in order for taxpayers to fund programs, the counties need to come up with comprehensive plans for providing services that are efficient. Questions who should define "the most at risk" children when allocating funds. |
| 121 | Rep. Tomei | States that it is easy to identify "high risk" children and that by defining them as such does not have to stigmatize them. |
| 133 | Chair Kruse | States that the Governor's and the Co-Chair's budgets assign certain programs more resources. |
| 140 | Rep. Carlson | States that a broad-based program may allow some kids to just be children, instead of regularly being sent to therapy. Adds that it takes a whole community and existing programs to enable children to have some fun activities. |
| 160 | Rep. Barnhart | Refers to the material passed out and comments that some services lend themselves to volunteer and community based activities. |
| 174 | Rep. Carlson | Responds that interaction between professionals and non- professionals is important to evaluate. Adds that mentoring programs give benefits to children. Explains that professionals |

and Drug Plan are the same as the Youth Development Plan

(YDP). Adds that YDP has a broader span. Refers to and reads from a submitted article by Pittman. States that education in the schools is a key component of YDP. Adds that education is so

| | | need to reach out to volunteers and paraprofessionals. |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 179 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks about the effects on adults in making this shift. |
| 196 | Rep. Carlson | Responds that she has some material regarding this. Adds that |
| | · F · · · · · · · | adults need to look at the way they treat youth. |
| 214 | Rep. Lee | States that she has concerns about this bill and will not vote for |
| 211 | Rep. Lee | it until she gets more information from Clackamas County. |
| 222 | Pan Mannas | Asks if OCCF would have to come up with the plan. |
| 222 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | Asks if OCCI would have to come up with the plan. |
| 226 | | D |
| 236 | Rep. Carlson | Responds that the language used in the 0-8 plan is also used for |
| | | Youth Development. Believes that OCCF is not "all-powerful." |
| | | Adds that the Marion County commission was involved in |
| | | bringing people together and assisted in creating the plan. |
| 270 | Rep. Morrisette | Makes the comment that he supports the bill's local control |
| | | provision. |
| 286 | Rep. Carlson | States that the state must now look at how the Youth |
| | | Development Programs are coordinated. |
| 292 | Chair Kruse | Refers directly to the bill and states that it uses collaborative |
| | | language. |
| 306 | Rep. Lee | Asks about the language "consolidate administrative functions" |
| | 1 | and how this works with different locations. |
| 313 | Chair Kruse | States that the word "practicable" is the key. |
| 318 | Rep. Carlson | Explains that this language parallels the language in the 0-8 |
| 310 | rep. Carison | plan. Adds that policy makers are looking into policies that |
| | | support a system of coordination. |
| 337 | Rep. Tomei | Asks if the wording in section 2 could be changed if the program |
| 331 | Rep. Tomer | at Oregon State University changes. |
| 246 | Don Carlson | |
| 346 | Rep. Carlson | Responds in the affirmative. |
| 353 | Rep. Lee | States that if this bill is amended, it would give her time to speak |
| 271 | Cl IX | to the people in Clackamas County. |
| 361 | Chair Kruse | Indicates that the committee will submit amendments to make |
| | | language changes regarding references to the Oregon State |
| | | University. |
| 372 | Chair Kruse | Closes the public hearing on HB 2707 and opens a public |
| | | hearing on 2079. |
| | BLIC HEARING | |
| 378 | Diane Lewis | Explains HB 2079 and submits written information on Asset |
| | | Building (EXHIBIT C). |
| 400 | Chair Kruse | States that HB 2079 was pre-session filed by the House Interim |
| | | Committee on Health and Human Services. |
| 404 | Rep. Carlson | Submits written testimony (EXHIBIT D) and testifies in favor |
| | 1 | of HB 2079. States that it is important for children to be |
| | | introduced to books, plays, and to other cultural pursuits. |
| | | Explains that the benchmarks often to refer to the problems |
| | | children are having instead of the successive. Suggests that |
| | | Oregon should also be collecting positive statistics on children. |
| | | Discusses the Search Institute's requirements for awarding |
| | | grants. |
| TAPE 44, B | | grants. |
| 024 | Rep. Carlson | Relieves that asset statistics should be compiled and used by |
| U4 T | rep. Carison | Believes that asset statistics should be compiled and used by |
| | | state and federal governments. Explains that the bill is asking that the State Commission on Children and Families and the |
| | | Covernment's Coveril on Alachal and Drug Abyes take a lack at |

Governor's Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse take a look at what the current survey is asking and how it connects with the Search Institute's recommendations to include a focus on assets.

| | | Suggests that a statewide assessment could be developed which |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 0.60 | D I | would include asset and risk information. |
| 069 | Rep. Lee | Refers to the fiscal and asks about the cost to change the survey. |
| 073 | Rep. Carlson | States that she does not believe the person who did the fiscal |
| | | fully understood the intent of the bill. Adds that proponents are |
| | | not asking for the creation of a new survey, only that entities involved in conducting the surveys should come together and |
| | | discuss how assets could become a part of existing surveys. |
| | | States that there could eventually be a fiscal impact if a decision |
| | | is made to change the current survey. Reminds the committee |
| | | that HB 2079 does not direct anyone to create a new survey. |
| 096 | Rep. Lee | States that it is not a good time now to pass the bill if this fiscal |
| | _ | is correct. |
| 099 | Rep. Carlson | Responds that she will work with the agencies to trim down the |
| | | fiscal impact. Adds that she believes there was a |
| | | misunderstanding. |
| 101 | Chair Kruse | States that he would like to discuss this bill after the committee |
| 100 | D C 1 | receives a new fiscal. |
| 108 111 | Rep. Carlson | Believes that there is an error in the fiscal. |
| 111 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | Believes that this action is already being taken. Adds that it will only take some coordination. Expresses concern over a fiscal. |
| 130 | Rep. Carlson | Discusses the state commission's involvement in gathering |
| 150 | rtop. Carison | information on youth assets. |
| 139 | Mickey Lansing | Deputy Director, Oregon Commission on Children and |
| | , , | Families. Explains that the fiscal was submitted awhile ago and |
| | | is not accurate. Believes that the stakeholders need to meet to |
| | | come up with an accurate fiscal. |
| 161 | Rep. Walker | Asks Representative Carlson what the practical application is of |
| 170 | D C 1 | doing the asset survey. |
| 172 190 | Rep. Carlson Melvin Kohn | Responds that it is a different way of approaching youth. State Epidemiologist. Testifies and submits (EXHIBIT E) in |
| 190 | MEMII KOIIII | opposition to HB 2079. Expresses concern with how the bill is |
| | | written. States that the health division is concerned that |
| | | government is not the best mechanism for determining the |
| | | content of surveys. Believes that the agencies need to sit down |
| | | and discuss the information and determine the content of the |
| | | surveys. Adds that stakeholders should not have to come back |
| | | to the legislature to approve a new survey. Explains that the |
| | | Healthy Teen Survey is an instrument being used now that |
| | | includes many of the assets being asked for in this bill. States |
| | | that the survey is done on a yearly basis because of a grant the |
| | | division has received. Agrees that the counties need good data in order to plan however, the current survey does not have enough |
| | | participation. States that the division is concerned that they will |
| | | have to pay for the Search Institute's survey. Adds that they |
| | | could incorporate questions into the survey for a far less price. |
| | | Believes that the bill needs to make this clear. |
| 287 | Chair Kruse | States that he does not see mention of the Search Institute |
| | | anywhere in the bill. Explains that this bill does not dictate |
| | | survey content. |
| 295 | Lansing | Comments that 'assets' have generally been defined as the |
| 205 | Ol ' W | Search Institute's assets. |
| 305 | Chair Kruse | Replies that people have assumed that the Search Institute's |
| | | survey is the only asset survey available. |
| | | |

| 307 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks if the annual testing reference was removed would it make any difference to the division's opposition to the bill. |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 316 | Melvin Kohn | Responds that the division and others are already working together to incorporate assets into the survey and will continue |
| 326 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | to do so. Refers to the last section of HB 2079 and wonders why it needs to be in conjunction with the State Commission on Children and Families. Asks why the state commission is involved. |
| 346 | Rep. Carlson | Responds that the state commission is already working with Oregon State University through Clara Pratt. Adds that local commissions want this information for their planning and that is why they are already using the Search Institute Survey. Believes that a uniform survey needs to be used regardless of who develops it. |
| 384 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | Asks why the commission would be better at influencing school districts into implementing the survey. |
| 396 | Rep. Carlson | Responds that they have already convinced the Roseburg school district to use the survey. |
| 413 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | Asks if preference for one survey over another is decided by the questions asked. |
| 424 | Rep. Carlson | Explains that they were asked to preview the Search Institute model and agreed to distribute it. Adds that if a survey asks about illegal acts many will not answer. Believes that positive questions may lead to more honest answers. |
| TAPE 45, A | | |
| 007 | Rep. Lee | Asks why the bill is needed. Adds that she is concerned whether asset surveys will work in the long term. Suggests that the agencies now doing surveys should continue to do so. |
| 015 | Chair Kruse | States that the committee will not pass HB 2079 today. Suggests that the division and other stakeholders meet with Representative Carlson to review provisions in the bill. |
| 024 | Kohn | Reiterates the importance of looking at the necessity of putting survey content into law. |
| 037 | Chair Kruse | Believes that local people have the proper tools to do what they need to do. |
| 041 | Chair Kruse | Closes the public hearing on HB 2079 and opens a public hearing on HB 2080. |
| | BLIC HEARING | |
| 046 | Diane Lewis | Committee Administrator, summarizes HB 2080. |
| 050 | Chair Kruse | Explains that these are operational funds for state and local commissions. Adds that the dollar amount will be filled in by the Committee on Ways and Means. |
| 061 | Chair Kruse | Closes the public hearing on HB 2080 and opens a work session on HB 2080. |
| | ORK SESSION | |
| 062 | Rep. Barnhart | Expresses confusion regarding the appropriations process. |
| 072 | Chair Kruse | Responds that some bills are legislature bills and some are agency bills. |
| 088 | Rep. Barnhart | Asks if there is a dual process. |
| 092 | Chair Kruse | States that no money decisions are made by substantive committees, only in Ways and Means. Explains that by passing this bill the committee is not deciding on funding amounts. |
| 111 | Rep. Lee | Asks if this has ever been funded in this context before. |

| 114 | Chair Kruse | Responds that this funding was done through SB 555 (1999). Adds that all the funding bills from the children and families |
|-----|--------------------------|--|
| | | agenda are sent to Ways and Means and were part of SB 555. |
| 119 | Rep. Lee | Asks how much was spent and if it will increase the Governor's budget. |
| 128 | Lewis | Refers to the Staff Measure Summary to clarify what happened in the 1999 session. Reviews the SMS and fiscal. |
| 142 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | Believes that all social programs are under-funded. Adds that when grants are awarded, a percentage is capped at 10% which goes to administrative costs. States that children and family funding is being treated differently than other agencies in this bill. |
| 155 | Chair Kruse | Responds that it is necessary to assist local commissions to pay for administrative costs attached to legislative mandates. |
| 170 | Rep. Walker | Believes that there is duplication in HB 2080 that is costly and may not be necessary. States that counties already receive \$300,000 and asks why the additional \$34,000 is necessary to gather data. |
| 206 | Chair Kruse | States that there are some counties with a lot of data and some without much at all. Adds that the work is not yet completed. |
| 212 | Diane Lewis | Points out information on the fiscal generated for SB 555 (1999). |
| 226 | Chair Kruse | States that the budget is \$495,000 for local commissions for the entire state. Adds that this comes to \$14,000 per county which is not much to cover expensive administrative costs. |
| 240 | Lansing | Discusses the funds provided to local commissions for implementing provisions in SB 555 (1999). Explains that the county commissions decided that each county should receive \$13,750 as a one-year allocation. Adds that if the Governor's recommended budget is passed as is and they get 1.18 million, the commission will decide how to divide funds among the counties. |
| 267 | Rep. Monnes- | States her concern that the fiscal for HB 2080 is not in the |
| | Anderson | Governor's recommended budget. |
| 278 | Rep. Barnhart | Reviews the fiscal for HB 2080 and commission funds in the governor's budget. Believes these serve two different functions. |
| 308 | Rep. Barnhart | States that the first step is a GAP analysis and survey and the second is doing the coordination. Suggests different amounts of funding are required for these two separate processes. |
| 325 | Lansing | Explains that if SB 555 is fully implemented, it will be the first in the nation to do so. Adds that the state still has many things to learn. Explains the survey and information process. Continues that the aggregated finds will then be turned into a formulated plan based on what different counties are doing. Explains that the information gathering process is ongoing. |
| 372 | Chair Kruse | Explains that what is deleted from the Governor's budget is the funding for two staff people for each county. Adds that the planning money was a commitment made by the state to the counties in 1999 when SB 555 passed. |
| 400 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | Explains her concern that the bill is creating a new budget line item. |
| 404 | Chair Kruse | Responds that the bill is not creating anything to do with the Governor's budget. Adds that HB 2080 is to be sent to Ways and Means. |

| 410 | Rep. Monnes- Anderson | Asks for clarification regarding taking a percent off grant streams for administrative costs. |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 424 | Chair Kruse | Responds that they are sending down a bill with a blank line item. |
| TAPE 46, A | | |
| 002 | Rep. Lee | Believes that the committee is sending down a bill that sets up a process that will require additional funding. |
| 008 | Rep. Morrisette | Explains that he supports the bill but acknowledges other members' concerns and asks that they wait on taking action on the bill. |
| 012 | Chair Kruse | Concurs and closes the work session on HB 2080. Adjourns the meeting at 3:53 p.m. |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Rachel Brown, Diane Lewis,

Committee Assistant Committee Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A – HB 2077, written testimony, Jean Collins-Lasater, 4 pp.

B - HB 2707, written testimony, Representative Carlson, 13 pp.

C – HB 2079, fiscal analysis, Staff, 1 p.

D – HB 2079, written testimony, Representative Carlson, 6 pp.

E – HB 2079, written testimony, Melvin Kohn, 2 pp.