HOUSE JUDICIARY SUBCOMMITTEE ON CIVIL LAW

January 17, 2001 Hearing Room 357 8:00 AM Tape 3

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Cheryl Walker, Chair

Rep. Vic Backlund Rep. Kathy Lowe Rep. Charlie Ringo Rep. Lane Shetterly

STAFF PRESENT: Andrea Shartel, Administrator

Ann Martin, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2046 Work Session

HB 2043 Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|------------|---------------------|---|
| TAPE 3, A | • | |
| HB 2046 WC | ORK SESSION | |
| 005 | Chair Walker | Calls the meeting to order at 8:04 a.m. |
| 009 | Greg Chaimov | Legislative Counsel |
| | | Explains –1 and –2 amendments to HB 2046 (EXHIBITS A & B). |
| 013 | Rep. Shetterly | States that he is satisfied with Chaimov's explanation. |
| 018 | Rep. Lowe | Asks if there is a definition of "service" in this chapter or is it Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 7. |
| 022 | Chaimov | Answers it's "the latter". |
| 024 | Rep. Lowe | Says there is "no formality of service or provable service." Asks if there should be a reference to ORCP. |
| 033 | Chaimov | Thinks that they can find whatever service requirements are necessary under rules of civil procedure or appellate procedure. Says that a reference to that in Line 15 can be included as an explanation. |
| 040 | Rep. Lowe | Indicates she wants that reference included. |
| 047 | Rep. Shetterly | Answers that he is not opposed to that, but asks if ORCP or Oregon Rules of Appellate would govern it. |
| 049 | Chaimov | Defers to Bradd Swank. |
| 056 | Bradd Swank | State Court Administrator's Office |
| 060 | Rep. Shetterly | Explains that it would be procedural and covered by the Oregon Rules of Appellate Procedure. Points out that they do not need to incorporate that because the rules of appellate procedure are not statutory and are the rules of the court. |

| 066 | Rep. Lowe | Wonders about the people filing initiatives without counsel, but |
|--------------|-----------------|--|
| 073 | Chaimov | withdraws her concern. Points out the difference between the -1 and -2 amendments. The -2 amendments are adding a specific explanation for failing |
| 096 | Rep. Shetterly | to file and serve in a timely manner. States that they may be creating "too narrow of a window" for service. |
| 124 | Swank | Agrees that these time frames are short, but if one chooses to be jurisdictional, then the consequences and procedures are clear. |
| 138 | Chair Walker | Comments that the time frames are short and the people involved should be aware and informed on that issue. |
| 145 | Rep. Shetterly | Wonders if that's true. Indicates the obligation is on the person challenging to make the service. |
| 155 | Chaimov | Says that his expectation is that the Supreme Court would prescribe a means of service that would take into account the short timeframe. |
| 166 | Counsel Shartel | Asks what the time frame is for when the response has to be in. |
| 171 | Swank | States that he doesn't have that information because it is set by Supreme Court rule. There is an Oregon Rule of Appellate Procedure Committee that meets to review those issues. |
| 179 | Counsel Shartel | States that if there is a problem with the service time frame being too short, the statute could delay service until a few days after the petition deadline. |
| 191 | Rep. Shetterly | Recommends that they leave it to the Supreme Court. |
| 209 | Chaimov | States that there is one other change that needs to be made to the amendments. Suggests to delete lines 6 and 7 from page 1 of the -1 amendments and in the -2 amendments, delete lines 8 and 9. |
| 232 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2046-2 amendments dated 01/16/01 and that the measure be FURTHER AMENDED on page 1, by deleting "lines 8 and 9." |
| 232 | | VOTE: 5-0 |
| 255 | Chair Walker | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 257 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves HB 2046 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. |
| 256 | | VOTE: 5-0 |
| 257 | Chair Walker | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 258 | Chair Walker | Closes the work session on HB 2046 and opens a work session on HB 2043. |
| HB 2043 WORK | | Logislative Counsel |
| 273 | Greg Chaimov | Legislative Counsel |
| 303 | D (1) // 1 | Explains the -1 amendments to HB 2043 (EXHIBIT C). |
| | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2043-1 amendments dated 01/16/01. VOTE: 5-0 |

| 306 | Chair Walker | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
|---------------|----------------|---|
| 307 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves HB 2043 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. |
| 307 | | VOTE: 5-0 |
| 312 | Chair Walker | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 316 | Chair Walker | Adjourns the meeting at 8:25 a.m. |
| Submitted By, | | Reviewed By, |

Andrea Shartel, Ann Martin, Administrative Support Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A-HB 2046,–1 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 1/16/01, 1 p B-HB 2046, -2 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 1/16/01, 1 p C-HB 2043, -1 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 1/16/01, 1 p