HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY SUBCOMMITTEE ON CIVIL LAW

February 21, 2001Hearing Room 3578:00 a.m.Tapes 19 and 20MEMBERS PRESENT:Rep. Cherryl Walker, Chair
Rep. Vic Backlund
Rep. Charlie Ringo
Rep. Lane ShetterlyMEMBER EXCUSED:Rep. Kathy LoweSTAFF PRESENT:Andrea Shartel, Counsel
Ann Martin, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2616 Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2179 Public Hearing and Work Session HB 2494 Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| TAPE 19, A | | |
| 004 | Chair Walker | Calls the meeting to order at 8:10 a.m. |
| HB 2616 PU | BLIC HEARING | |
| 010 | Counsel Shartel | Introduces HB 2616 relating to court processes in dealing with the issue of informed consent to sterilization. |
| 045 | Bob Joondeph | Executive Director, Oregon Advocacy Center |
| 141 | Rep. Shetterly | Testifies in support of HB 2616 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT A) . Asks if there is a particular reason that the testimony be given in |
| | | person to the court and asks what the circumstances are for a waiver. |
| 131 | Joondeph | Answers that the exceptions are for medical or behavioral reasons. Adds that the intent of the statute is to urge the court to get out and have an opportunity to see the individual in a hearing outside of the courtroom if necessary, although it's not mandated. |
| 200 | Chair Walker | Closes the public hearing on HB 2616 and opens a work session on HB 2616. |
| HB 2616 WC | ORK SESSION | |
| 210 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves HB 2616 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. VOTE: 4-0-1 |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 – Lowe |
| 210 | Chair Williams | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 215 | Chair Williams | Closes the work session on HB 2616 and opens a public hearing |

| | | on HB 2179. | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| HB 2179 PUBL | JC HEARING | | | | |
| 225 | Merrilee Harrell | Committee Extern | | | |
| | | Introduces HB 2179 relating to the Public Utility Commission (PUC). | | | |
| 250 | Tom Barkin | Chief Administrative Law Judge, Public Utility Commission | | | |
| 378 | Rep. Shetterly | Testifies in support of HB 2179 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT B) . Asks what happened to this bill last session. | | | |
| 383 | Barkin | Answers that the bill got caught up in some of the other issues the PUC was involved in. | | | |
| 399 405 | Rep. Shetterly Barkin | Asks if the Court of Appeals is reviewing the PUC record. | | | |
| 403 | Paul Lipscomb | Says yes. Judge, Marion County Circuit Court | | | |
| 122 | i au Especinio | Testifies in support of HB 2179 and states that it is a good government bill that will save time and money. | | | |
| TAPE 20, A | | | | | |
| 004 036 | Lipscomb Brian Boe | Continues testimony on HB 2179. Portland General Electric | | | |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 2179 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C) . | | | |
| 054 | Ginny Lang | Qwest | | | |
| 106 | Rep. Shetterly | Testifies against HB 2179 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT D). Says that he is not unsympathetic to her concerns, but feels that this bill doesn't address their concerns. | | | |
| 121 | Lang | Answers that they feel the Circuit Court is the right place for them to be. | | | |
| 128 | Rep. Ringo | Asks for clarification. | | | |
| 129 | Lang | Says that the Circuit Court gives them a second place to clean up the record or add information and has been a useful tool for their legal teams. | | | |
| 137 | Rep. Ringo | Asks if they can add information in the Circuit Court. | | | |
| 141 | Lang | Answers that she would have to check with their legal team. | | | |
| 147 | Rep. Shetterly | States that he would be happy to work with Qwest and their concerns. | | | |
| 153 | Chair Walker | Closes the public hearing on HB 2179 and opens a work session on HB 2179. | | | |
| <u>HB 2179 WOR</u> | | | | | |
| 170 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves HB 2179 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. | | | |
| | | VOTE: 4-0-1 | | | |
| 170 | Chair Walker | EXCUSED: 1 - Lowe Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. | | | |
| 182 | Chair Walker | Closes the work session on HB 2179 and opens a public hearing on HB 2494. | | | |
| HB 2494 PUBLIC HEARING | | | | | |
| 179 | Counsel Shartel | Introduces HB 2494 relating to decrees in domestic relations suits. | | | |
| 220 | Shawn M. O'Neil | Attorney, Gevurtz, Menashe, Larson & Howe, P.C. | | | |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 2494 and submits testimony | | | |

| | | (EXHIBIT E). |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 272 | Rep. Ringo | Asks what types of contracts are at issue. |
| 283 315 | O'Neil Bradd Swank | Says that there are times when property transactions need contractual terms and this bill addresses that, but does not modify support or enforce payment of child support. State Court Administrator's Office |
| 515 | Drauu Swalik | |
| TADE 10 D | | Testifies on HB 2494. |
| TAPE 19, B 003 | Bill Howe | Attorney, Gevurtz, Menashe, Larson & Howe, P.C. |
| 005 | Din Howe | Testifies in support of HB 2494 and submits testimony |
| | | (EXHIBIT F). |
| 090 | Kathy Graham | Dean, Willamette University Law School |
| | · | Testifies in support of HB 2494 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT G) . |
| 181 | Carol Westendorf | Attorney, Mediator |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 2494. |
| 235 | Barbara McFarland | Divorce Lawyer |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 2494. |
| 344 | Rep. Shetterly | Wonders if this bill provides for contempt remedies to provisions that you have excluded from the judgment. |
| 386 | McFarland | Says that her understanding is that the election of remedies is the way it now stands under current law and that this bill will fix the problem of being limited to a contractual remedy. |
| 400 | Howe | States that if the separate contracts are done, they are done in a sophisticated way with both sides represented by lawyers. |
| 410 | Swank | Says that this bill addresses an important issue for people that don't go to the expense of attorneys. |
| TAPE 20, B | | |
| 023 | Tammy Dentinger | Family Law Section, Oregon State Bar |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 2494 and states that this bill will |
| | | promote creative settlements. |
| 035 | Rep. Shetterly | Says he is strongly inclined to move this bill forward and thinks it needs amendments. |
| 042 | Dentinger | Says that there are no amendments and that this bill has been worked on for a long time and has been reviewed by many |
| | | people. |
| 065 | Rep. Shetterly | Asks about fiscal implications. |
| 075 | Swank | Expresses his concern that the enforceability of this bill is unclear and that they need time to look at the bill. State he wants clarity on the issue of the passive language and remedies and availability. |
| 134 | Counsel Shartel | Points out the faxed testimony of Peter Bunch (EXHIBIT H). |
| 145 | Chair Walker | Directs Mr. Swank to do his research and then they will re- schedule the bill. Suggests that they will need a fiscal impact statement. |
| 150 | Chair Walker | Closes the public hearing on HB 2494 and adjourns the meeting at 9:44 a.m. |

Submitted By,

Reviewed By,

Ann Martin, Committee Assistant Andrea Shartel, Counsel

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A – HB 2616, written testimony submitted by Bob Joondeph, Executive Director, Oregon Advocacy Center, 2 pgs.

- B HB 2179, written testimony submitted by Tom Barkin, PUC, dated 2/21/01, 3 pgs.
- C HB 2179, written testimony submitted by Brian Boe, PGE, dated 2/21/01, 1 p.
- D HB 2179, written testimony submitted by Ginny Lang, Qwest, dated 2/21/01, 2 pgs.
- E HB 2494, written testimony submitted by Shawn O'Neil, dated 2/21/01, 17 pgs.
- F HB 2494, written testimony submitted by William Howe, dated 2/21/01, 2 pgs.
- G HB 2494, written testimony submitted by Kathy Graham, dated 2/21/01, 12 pgs.
- H HB 2494, written testimony submitted by Peter Bunch via facsimile, dated 2/20/01, 2 pgs.