HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY SUBCOMMITTEE ON CIVIL LAW

April 18, 2001 Hearing Room 357 8:00 a.m. Tapes 75 - 77

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Cherryl Walker, Chair

Rep. Vic Backlund Rep. Kathy Lowe Rep. Charlie Ringo Rep. Lane Shetterly

STAFF PRESENT: Andrea Shartel, Counsel

Ann Martin, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 3251 Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2932 Public Hearing HB 3677 Work Session HB 2389 Work Session HB 2687 Work Session HB 3647 Work Session

HB 3398 Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--|
| TAPE 75, A | \ | |
| 004 | Chair Walker | Calls the meeting to order at 8:20 a.m. and opens a public hearing on HB 3251. |
| HB 3251 PU | JBLIC HEARING | |
| 014 | Counsel Andrea Shartel | Introduces HB 3251 that modifies procedures for promulgation, amendment or repeal of rule of civil procedure by Council on Court Procedures. |
| 018 | Maury Holland | Executive Director, Council on Court Procedures |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 3251. |
| 094 | Rep. Ringo | Says that he appreciates his attempt at efficiency, but feels he could have stepped up the process so that it started sooner and then had the comments earlier for a more practical way. |
| 097 | Holland | Answers that that is a possible way of doing it and explains that his suggestion would be a very difficult way to do it. |
| 136 | Rep. Shetterly | Recollects that the process is that the counsel adopts the amendments, but they do not become effective until after the next legislative session. |
| 154 | Chair Walker | Closes the public hearing on HB 3251 and opens a work session on HB 3251. |
| HB 3251 W | ORK SESSION | |
| 158 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves HB 3251 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 5-0 |
| 160 | Chair Walker | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |

| 171 | Chair Walker | Closes the work session on HB 3251 and opens a public hearing on HB 2932. |
|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| HB 2932 PUBI | IC HEARING | |
| 173 | Counsel Shartel | Introduces HB 2932 that provides that the physician-patient privilege does not apply to confidential communication relevant to physical, mental or emotional condition of patient if patient relies on condition as element of patient's claim or defense, or if other party relies on the condition as an element of that party's claim or defense and that the patient has died. |
| 194 | Bill Sime | Oregon Association of Defense Counsel Testifies in support of HB 2932 and submits testimony |
| | | (EXHIBIT A). |
| 394 | Rep. Shetterly | Asks if this gives the defendant direct access to the plaintiff's medical records—the ability to send a letter to the doctor and require disclosure directly from the doctor to the defendant. |
| 415 430 | Sime Rep. Shetterly | Answers yes, if there is a waiver of the privilege upon filing. Asks who makes the determination of relevance of one's medical records. |
| 441 | Sime | Answers that a physician does not have to respond to a letter |
| TAPE 76, A | | asking for medical records and expounds. |
| 002 | Sime | Continues discussion. |
| 018 | Rep. Lowe | Asks if it's true that a cluster of symptoms can be attributable to |
| 010 | rep. Bowe | a lot of things—isn't this going to make it much easier for insurance companies to defend a claim for an illness or injury caused by another. |
| 042 | Sime | Answers that he does not think that is unfair. Says that it's the jury's decision to decide on the issue of causation. |
| 060 | Rep. Lowe | Gives a scenario of an individual and wonders if the defense lawyer can use medical facts of this person during trial. Asks if this bill would prevent people from disclosing medical problems to their doctor. |
| 109 | Sime | Says he does not think this will have a chilling effect on the physician/patient relationship. |
| 142 | Rep. Lowe | Reports that there is nothing in the bill that states that the medical conditions have to be close in time. |
| 151 | Sime | Answers no, that there is not any time limit in the bill and feels that there should not be. |
| 170 | Rep. Lowe | Says that she does not have the same degree of confidence in record tracking as he does (Sime) and asks about the work group on this issue. |
| 198 | Sime | Answers that he does not know much about the work group. |
| 247 | Rep. Ringo | Gives Sime a quick hypotheticalasks if the defense then has the ability to inquire into their counseling records. |
| 281 | Sime | Says it depends on how relative it is to the case. |
| 305 | Mike Crew | Counsel, Oregon Medical Association |
| | | Testifies on HB 2932 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT B). |
| | | Says they have some concerns. |
| 414 | Rep. Lowe | Says that she is concerned with DNA typing and wonders how easy it would be for an insurance company to get a DNA profiling of an individual. |
| 431 | Crew | Remarks that there is a genetic privacy act before this legislature that is extremely complex—discusses issue. |

| TAPE 75, E TAPE 77, A | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 020 | Bill Gaylord | Oregon Trial Lawyers Association |
| 268 | Chair Walker | Testifies in opposition to HB 2932 and submits testimony (EXHIBIT C). Represents injured people and their families. States that this bill will harm the relationship between patients and their medical providers. Closes the public hearing on HB 2932 and opens a work session on HB 3677. |
| HB 3677 W | ORK SESSION | on the sorr. |
| 279 | Rep. Max Williams | House District 9 |
| 313 | Justice Mick | Discusses HB 3677 that prescribes method for judicial construction of statutes and supports the -1 amendments (EXHIBIT D). Oregon Supreme Court |
| 313 | Gillette | Testifies in support of HB 3677 and the –1 amendments. |
| 361 | Phillip Schradle | Attorney General's Office |
| | - | Testifies on HB 3677. Says they are not opposed to the bill, but have some concerns. |
| 369 | Rep. Ringo | Asks if a statute is unambiguous, but the legislative history indicates a contrary intent, could the court decide to go with what they believe to be the actual intent despite unambiguous |
| 380 | Rep. Williams | language. Answers that he believes that it allows any party to present the legislative history to the court, but it still vests in the judge the right to determine the weight that that legislative history ought to receive given the full analysis of the text, the context and the legislative history. |
| TAPE 76, E | 3 | iogioimi (o motor). |
| 004 | Justice Gillette | Answers that what is necessary is that the court find that the words were not intended to be used in their ordinary sense, but rather in a special or unusual sense. |
| 020 | Schradle | Says that this statute would not amend ORS 174.010 so that this would not allow the court to do something that is plainly inconsistent with statutory language. |

| 301 | Phillip Schradie | Attorney General's Office |
|-------------------|------------------|---|
| | | Testifies on HB 3677. Says they are not opposed to the bill, but |
| | | have some concerns. |
| 369 | Rep. Ringo | Asks if a statute is unambiguous, but the legislative history |
| | P. | indicates a contrary intent, could the court decide to go with what |
| | | they believe to be the actual intent despite unambiguous |
| | | language. |
| 380 | Rep. Williams | Answers that he believes that it allows any party to present the |
| 200 | rtop. Williams | legislative history to the court, but it still vests in the judge the |
| | | right to determine the weight that that legislative history ought to |
| | | receive given the full analysis of the text, the context and the |
| | | legislative history. |
| TAPE 76, B | | <u>8</u> , |
| 004 | Justice Gillette | Answers that what is necessary is that the court find that the |
| | | words were not intended to be used in their ordinary sense, but |
| | | rather in a special or unusual sense. |
| 020 | Schradle | Says that this statute would not amend ORS 174.010 so that this |
| | | would not allow the court to do something that is plainly |
| | | inconsistent with statutory language. |
| | | |
| 056 | Rep. Shetterly | Adds that we are not inviting the court to use this tool to |
| | . P | sabotage what is the apparent intent or purpose of particular |
| | | legislation. |
| 079 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3677-1 amendments dated |
| | | 04/17/01. |
| | | VOTE: 5-0 |
| 085 | Chair Walker | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| | | |
| 090 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves HB 3677 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 4-1-0 |
| | | AYE: 4 - Backlund, Ringo, Shetterly, Walker C |
| | | NAY: 1 - Lowe |
| 091 | Chair Walker | The motion CARRIES. |
| | | |
| 100 | Chair Walker | Closes the work session on HB 3677 and opens a work session |
| | | • |

on HB 2389.

| | | on HB 2389. |
|----------------------|--------------------|---|
| HB 2389 | WORK SESSION | |
| 107 | Counsel Shartel | Introduces HB 2389 that provides that public official may be held personally liable for unlawful expenditure of public moneys only if expenditure constitutes malfeasance in office or wanton |
| 117 | Rep. Lowe | neglect of duty. MOTION: Moves HB 2389 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. VOTE: 4-0-1 |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Shetterly |
| 110 | Chair Wallar | · · |
| 119 | Chair Walker | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 120 | Chair Walker | Closes the work session on HB 2389 and opens a work session on HB 2687. |
| HB 2687 | WORK SESSION | |
| 127 | Counsel Shartel | Introduces HB 2687 that prohibits discrimination by public bodies against persons with disabilities and discusses the –3 and the –4 amendments (EXHIBITS E & F). |
| 150 | Rep. Shetterly | States that he supports the -3 amendments. |
| 174 | Rep. Ringo | Says that he completely agrees with Rep. Shetterly's concerns. |
| 192 | Counsel Shartel | Comments that she thinks that the reason that the proponents of |
| | | the bill like the –4 amendments is because it covers the groups that they were concerned about. |
| 204 | Rep. Shetterly | Says that they should stay with the –3 amendments and that they |
| | rep. Showers | are sufficient. |
| 240 | Chair Walker | States that she would like a clarification from Legislative |
| 2.0 | Chair Wanter | Counsel. Closes the work session on HB 2687 and opens a work session on HB 3647. |
| HB 3647 WORK SESSION | | |
| 242 | Counsel Shartel | Introduces HB 3647 that directs Department of Justice to |
| | | establish a hotline to allow students and others to report criminal or suspicious activities on school grounds or at school-sponsored activities and mentions proposed amendments. |
| 264 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves HB 3647 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. VOTE: 5-0 |
| 266 | | |
| 266 | Chair Walker | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 270 | Chair Walker | Closes the work session on HB 3647 and opens a public hearing on HB 3398. |
| HB 3398 | PUBLIC HEARING | |
| 279 | Counsel Shartel | Introduces HB 3398 that sets two-year limit for requesting |
| | | special education due process hearing. |
| 289 | Andrea Hungeford | Lawyer, Clackamas County |
| | ui vu iiuiigeivi u | • |
| 0.71 | D. 1. 6 | Testifies in support of HB 3398. |
| 351 | Rob Saxton | Superintendent of Sherwood School District |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 3398. |
| 422 | Rep. Lowe | Says that she supports the bill. |
| 436 | Craig Roessler | Silver Falls School District |
| - | | |
| m | _ | Testifies in support of HB 3398 and submits testimony (EXHIBITS G & H). |

| 023 | Petrea Hagen- | Tigard-Tualatin School District |
|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| 020 | Gliden | Testifies in support of HB 3398 and submits written testimony of Christine Moore (EXHIBIT I). |
| 038 | Christine Moore | Beaverton School District |
| 046 | Rep. Shetterly | Testifies in support of HB 3398. Comments about the effective date and some amendments that might need to be made in the Senate. |
| 065 | Chair Walker | Closes the public hearing on HB 3398 and opens a work session on HB 3398. |
| HB 3398 WO | RK SESSION | |
| 066 | Rep. Shetterly | MOTION: Moves HB 3398 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 4-0-1 |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Ringo |
| 070 | Chair Walker | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 082 | Chair Walker | Closes the work session on HB 3398 and adjourns the meeting at 10:15 a.m. |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Ann Martin, Andrea Shartel, Committee Assistant Counsel

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A HB 2932, written testimony submitted by Bill Sime, dated 4/18/01, 7 pp.
- B HB 2932, written testimony submitted by Mike Crew, dated 4/17/01, 13 pp.
- C HB 2932, written testimony submitted by Bill Gaylord, dated 4/18/01, 6 pp.
- D HB 3677, -1 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 4/17/01, 1 p.
- E HB 2687, -3 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 4/13/01, 1 p.
- F HB 2687, -4 amendments, submitted by staff, dated 4/13/01, 1 p.
- G HB 2687, written testimony of Steve Johnson, Office of Special Education, Oregon Department of Education, submitted by staff, 2 pp.
- H HB 3398, written testimony submitted by Craig Roessler, 1 p.
- I HB 3398, written testimony submitted by Petrea Hagen-Gilden, dated 4/18/01, 2 pp.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY SUBCOMMITTEE ON CIVIL LAW

April 18, 2001 Hearing Room 357 3:30 p.m. Tapes 79 - 80

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Cherryl Walker, Chair

Rep. Kathy Lowe Rep. Lane Shetterly

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Rep. Vic Backlund

Rep. Charlie Ringo

STAFF PRESENT: Andrea Shartel, Counsel

Ann Martin, Committee Assistant

MEASURE HEARD: HB 3910 Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|-------------------|--------------------|--|
| TAPE 79, A | | |
| 003 | Chair Walker | Calls the meeting to order at 3:35 p.m. and opens a public hearing on HB 3910. |
| HB 3910 PUB | BLIC HEARING | · · |
| 012 | Andrea Shartel | Counselor. Summarizes HB 3910 which, creates Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act, (UCITA). Submits correspondence from Ray Hoving, Society for Information Management (SIM) (EXHIBIT A). |
| 019 | Rep. Phil Barnhart | House District 40 |
| 060 | Jim Craven | Discusses HB 3910-1 amendments, (EXHIBIT B) . States the purpose for the amendments. American Electronics Association |
| 074 | John Woodard | States that UCITA is an issue of debate. Introduces Ray Nimmer and John Woodard. Senior Counsel, Intel Corporation |
| 090 | Ray Nimmer | Compares UCITA to the UCC Article 2 as it relates to evolution in economics. Refers to the law review from Tulane University, (EXHIBIT C). States support for HB 3910. Professor of Law, University of Houston – Drafter of UCITA |
| | • | Summarizes the background of UCITA. |
| 144 | Nimmer | Explains that UCITA is a contract statute, (EXHIBIT D). |
| 191 | Nimmer | Discusses the goals of UCITA. |
| 338 | Nimmer | Concludes testimony with an overview of the opposition to UCITA. |
| 395 | Craven | States support for HB 3910. |
| 385 | Paula Holm Jensen | Stoel Rives LLP, on behalf of Computer and Electronic Information (CEI) Workgroup of the Oregon State Bar |

Summarizes prepared testimony, **(EXHIBIT E)**. Notes that Maryland and Virginia have adopted UTICA and refers to the amendments since the adoption. Recommends that UCITA be studied further and revisited in 2003.

| TLADE OO A | | OCITA de studied futilier and revisited in 2003. |
|-------------------|----------------------|---|
| TAPE 80, A 036 | Peter Bragdon | Attorney, Oregon Internet Commission |
| 060 | Geoffrey Burling | Testifies in support of predicable and uniform law, and updating the commercial code. Opposes passage of HB 3910 without further study. Worker in the Computer Industry |
| | | Discusses law of first sale and reverse engineering. Opposes HB 3910. |
| 105 | Gary Miller | President of Rellin Consulting Engineering Company, Bend |
| 207 | Todd Thakar | States that UCITA attempts to remove existing consumer protections, provides examples. Opposes HB3910 stating that it needs a lot of work. Prudential Insurance Company |
| 207 | Todd Thakai | Expresses concern about contracts that can not be read until after the product is purchased. Opposes to HB 3910 stating there are issues that need to be resolved. |
| 234 | Rep. Shetterly | Questions how it will cost Prudential \$20,000,000. |
| 252 254 | Thakar Chuck Bennett | Explains the self-help aspect and the shrink-wrap licenses. Confederation of Oregon Schools Administrators |
| 204 | Chuck Dennett | Opposes HB 3910 and submits prepared testimony, (EXHIBIT |
| 277 | Thor Prichard | F). Executive Director, Oregon Educational Technology Consortium |
| | | Testifies that present law has suited schools well. Opposes HB 3910. |
| 289 | Ben Rawlins | Director of Legal Services, Oregon University System |
| | | Expresses four areas of concern of UCITA as currently written. Submits prepared testimony in opposition to HB 3910, (EXHIBIT G). |
| 394 | Nan Heim | Oregon Library Association |
| 416 | Deborah Carver | Introduces Deborah Carver and Cindy Gibbon. University Librarian, University of Oregon |
| | | Summarizes three concerns of UCITA. Submits and reads (EXHIBIT H) . Concurs that further study is needed and expresses that the library perspective be included in that study. |
| 056 | Cindy Gibbon | Director, Multnomah County Central Library |
| TABE 50 P | | Summarizes prepared testimony in opposition to HB 3910, (EXHIBIT I) . Concurs that further study is needed. |
| TAPE 79, B 112 | Lynn Johnson | Industries Affairs Manager, Boeing Company |
| | V | Concurs with most of the points made in previous testimony. |

Concurs with most of the points made in previous testimony. Opposes UCITA and states that Weyerhaeuser is also in opposition of UCITA. Discusses the cost increases to companies. States support for uniformity but opposes HB 3910 as currently

| 150 | Carl Page | written. Northwestern Director for Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility |
|-----|---------------|--|
| 204 | Pete Shepherd | Testifies that UCITA makes it easy to get into a contract without realizing it. Opposes HB 3910. Deputy Attorney General, Oregon Department of Justice |
| 285 | Steve Telfer | Responds to Professor Nimmer's testimony to focus on the text of the measure. Provides an example. States the conclusion of the Attorney General is not to adopt HB 3910 in this session and that it deserves an interim study. Alliance of American Insurers |
| 355 | Chair Walker | Submits and summarizes correspondence and article reprint, (EXHIBIT J) . Closes the public hearing on HB 3910 and adjourns the meeting at 4:55 p.m. |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Ann Martin, Andrea Shartel,
Committee Assistant Counsel

Transcribed By,

Linda K. Gatto, Committee Assistant

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. HB 3910, correspondence, Ray Hoving, 3 pp.
- B. HB 3910, -1 amendments dated 4/11/01, 2 pp.
- C. HB 3910, Tulane Law Review, John Woodard, 28 pp.
- D. HB 3910, UCITA outline, Ray Nimmer, 7 pp.
- E. HB 3910, prepared testimony, Paula Holm Jensen, 25, pp.
- F. HB 3910, prepared testimony, Chuck Bennett, 1 p
- G. HB 3910, prepared testimony, Benjamin E. Rawlins, 2 pp.

- H. HB 3910, prepared testimony, Deborah Carver, 2 pp.
- I. HB 3910, prepared testimony, Cindy Gibbon, 2 pp.
 J. HB 3910, prepared testimony, Steve Telfer, 6 pp.