HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

May 18, 2001 8:00 a.m. Hearing Room 357 Tapes 59 - 62

| MEMBERS PRESENT: | Rep. Max Williams, Chair Rep. Wayne Krieger, Vice-Chair Rep. Kathy Lowe, Vice-Chair Rep. Robert Ackerman Rep. Vic Backlund Rep. Jackie Dingfelder Rep. Charlie Ringo Rep. Lane Shetterly Rep. Cherryl Walker Rep. Vicki Walker Rep. Carl Wilson |
|------------------|---|
| STAFF PRESENT: | Bill Taylor, Counsel Ann Martin, Committee Assistant |
| MEASURES HEARD: | HB 3357 Work Session HB 2513 Work Session HB 3642 Work Session HB 2551 Public Hearing HB 2865 Public Hearing HB 3857 Work Session HB 2590 Work Session |

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|--|------------------------|--|
| TAPE 59, A | | |
| 276 *Meeting starts here on tape | Chair Williams | Calls the meeting to order at 8:15 a.m. Announces that SB 318A, HB 2917, HJR 66, HB 2356, and HB 3675 will all be held over until next week. Opens the work session on HB 3357. |
| HB 3357 WO | RK SESSION | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 309 | Rep. Rob Patridge | House District 50 |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 3357, which allows proceeds from forfeited security to be applied to unsatisfied child support judgments or as security for child support payments. States support for HB 3357–2 amendments, (EXHIBIT A) and explains the differences in the –3 amendments (EXHIBIT B). |
| 367 | Ronelle Shankle | Department of Justice |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 3357 and the -3 amendments. |
| 370 | Rep. Lowe | Questions how forfeited bail money is used. |
| 375 | Shankle | Discusses the flow chart (EXHIBIT C). |
| 415 | Rep. Lowe | States that (EXHIBIT C) does not illustrate that the case agains the defendant is concluded prior to an order of forfeiture. |

| 430 451 | Shankle Rep. Shetterly | Questions if the order of forfeiture can be requested anytime after the first failure to appear. Answers affirmatively. Responds to Rep. Lowe's earlier question regarding how forfeited bail money is used and refers to section 5, (EXHIBIT B) regarding where the funds are directed. Refers to section 5, (EXHIBIT B) and questions the distinction |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| | | between the general fund portion and the specific distribution of the amount forfeited. |
| TAPE 60, A | | |
| 024 061 | Shankle Rep. Ackerman | Refers to page 2, section 1, lines $26 - 29$, (EXHIBIT B). Questions why this cannot be accomplished with a garnishment. |
| 079 | Karl Stencker | Marion County Deputy District Attorney |
| | | Refers to ORS Chapter 29. States that funds held by the court are except from garnishment. |
| 096 | Rep. Shetterly | Questions how much would be withheld in a biennium that was not allocated to the general fund or the other accounts. |
| 107 | Stencker | Responds about \$300,000 in the last biennium. |
| 122 | Rep. Ackerman | Questions why a child support mechanism is being used to collect money that is not going back to the family. |
| 139 | Rep. Patridge | Responds that the -2 amendments do not allow for the 46% to go to the state. |
| 146 | Rep. Dingfelder | Asks ifl either of these amendments accelerate the time it takes to recover child support payments. |
| 164 | Rep. Patridge | Responds that a larger portion of the judgment could be satisfied with the -2 amendments. |
| 180 | Chair Williams | Questions what the impact will be if either the -2 or -3 amendments are adopted and the anticipated changes in HB 2877. |
| 187 | Rep. Patridge | Responds he does not believe it will have a significant effect. |
| 268 | Chair Williams | Closes the work session on HB 3357 and opens the work session on HB 2513. |
| <u>HB 2513 W</u> 280 | <u>ORK SESSION</u> Rep. Rob Patridge | House District 50 |
| 280 | Kep. Kob Patridge | |
| | | Explains that the HB 2513-1 amendments (EXHIBIT D), are gut-n-stuff amendments that require municipal and justice courts to register with the state court administrator. |
| 308 | Bradd Swank | State Court Administrator's Office |
| | | States that justice courts are registered with the Secretary of State office, municipal courts have a voluntary registry with the Department of Revenue. Proposes that there be one registry and a consequence for not registering. |
| 405 | Chair Williams | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2513-1 amendments dated 04/27/01. VOTE: 9-0-2 |
| | | EXCUSED: 2 – Dingfelder, Wilson |
| 406 | Chair Williams | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 418 | Chair Williams | MOTION: Moves HB 2513 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. VOTE: 9-0-2 |
| | | EXCUSED: 2 – Dingfelder, Wilson |

| 420 | Chair Williams | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. REP. PATRIDGE will lead discussion on the floor. |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|
| 426 | Chair Williams | Closes the work session on HB 2513 and opens a work session on HB 3642. |
| HB 3642 WOF | <u>RK SESSION</u> | |
| TAPE 59, B 007 | Dave Fidanque | Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union of Oregon |
| 045 | Daina Vitolins | Discusses the efforts of the work group. States that the Department of Justice and the District Attorney's are in support of HB 3642. Department of Justice |
| | | Presents an overview of HB 3642, which provides that all proceeds and instrumentalities of misdemeanor or felony are subject to criminal forfeiture upon conviction. Discusses HB 3642-9 amendments, (EXHIBIT E) . |
| 100 | Mark McDonnell | Multnomah County District Attorney's Office |
| 101 162 | Fidanque Rep. Ringo | Testifies in support of HB 3642. Reviews the property protections for innocent property owners. Asks about civil forfeitures. |
| 182 | Fidanque | Responds in civil forfeiture it is the property that has violated the law not the owner of the property. Continues that in a criminal forfeiture, the judgement is against a defendant's interest in a property. |
| 208 | Rep. Ringo | Questions would Driving Under the Influence of Intoxicants (DUII) apply a civil or a criminal forfeiture. |
| 218 | McDonnell | Responds that cities and counties can pursue civil forfeiture for DUII, Driving While Suspended (DWS) and felony DUII and DWS. |
| 265 | Rep. Dingfelder | Questions what changes have been made regarding animal forfeitures. |
| 270 | Fidanque | Responds that prior to conviction, neglected animals would go the humane society or other care provider and the instrumentality would be subject to criminal forfeiture. |
| TAPE 60, B | T , 1 | |
| 040 | Fidanque | States that the assumption of the work group is that animals are not proceeds or instrumentalities of criminal activity. |
| 057 | Fidanque | Refers to the definition on page 3, lines $16 - 20$ of HB 3642 that explains the distribution of proceeds. |
| 127 | George Stevenson | Salem City Attorney's Office Presents (EXHIBIT F) in support of HB 3642. Testifies on the |
| 181 | Rep. Williams | features that are important to the city of Salem. MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3642-9 amendments dated |
| 184 | | 05/18/01. VOTE: 8-0-3 EXCUSED: Shetterly, Walker C., Walker V. |
| | Chair Williams | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |

| 185 | Chair Williams | MOTION: Moves HB 3642 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. |
|------------|------------------------|--|
| 188 | | <u>VOTE: 8-0-3</u> |
| | Chair Williams | EXCUSED: 3 – Shetterly, Walker C., Walker V. The motion CARRIES. REP. WILLIAMS will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 200 | Chair Williams | Closes the work session on HB 3642 and opens the public hearing on HB 2551. |
| | LIC HEARING | |
| 202 | Rep. Tom Butler | House District 60 |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 2551, which requires that agency official who either alone or with other officials will render final order in contested case proceeding must report ex parte communications and provide opportunity to parties for rebuttal. Discusses line 26, HB 2551-3 amendments, (EXHIBIT G). |
| 240 | Chair Williams | Refers to the correspondence from Henry H. Lazenby, (EXHIBIT H) . |
| 297 | Rep. Butler | Responds that this would help quantify procedures and recommendations by the interim task force. |
| 309 | Rep. Ackerman | Describes a hypothetical lobbying situation and asks if it would be covered by the provisions in HB 2551. |
| 321 | Rep. Butler | Responds he is not certain. |
| 316 | Rep. Dingfelder | Refers to testimony submitted by OSB Administrative Law from April 27 and questions if the interests expressed have been incorporated in HB 2551-3 amendments. |
| 350 | Rep. Butler | Responds he does not have that letter. |
| 332 | Ginny Lang | Qwest |
| 371 | Rep. Shetterly | Responds that she does not believe those interests are included. Responds that the original bill would have expanded the ex parte contact rules written into HB 2525 (1999). States that the –3 amendments (EXHIBIT G) departs from the original bill and applies new standards for ex parte communications to PUC proceedings. |
| 392 | Chair Williams | Closes the public hearing on HB 2551 and opens the public hearing on HB 2865. |
| | LIC HEARING | |
| 370 | Andrea Shartel | Counselor. Summarizes HB 2865, which provides that court in civil action may not make award of attorney fees to public body that exceeds actual cost of legal services. |
| 428 | Dave Hunnicutt | Oregonians in Action Explains that HB 2865 limits the costs of legal services to the |
| TAPE 61, A | | actual cost incurred by the local government. |
| 048 | Andrew Aubertine | Assistant Attorney General, Oregon Department of Justice |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 2865-1 amendments, (EXHIBIT H) . States for clarification that the Attorney General's office can represent public bodies in anti-trust enforcement actions as plaintiffs. |
| 085 | Cheryl Pellegrini | Oregon Department of Justice |

| 125 | David Barenberg | Testifies in support of HB 2865. Explains the reasons for proposing the –1 amendments, (EXHIBIT I). Legislative Director for the League of Oregon Cities |
|-------------|------------------|--|
| | | Testifies in opposition to HB 2865-1 amendments, submits |
| 177 | Hunnicutt | testimony (EXHIBIT J). Responds that HB 2865 does not prevent local government from |
| 199 | Rep. Shetterly | obtaining an award of attorney fees. Remarks that he is a little confused by the case lawdiscusses |
| 229 | Chair Williams | the Domingo case in the Court of Appeals and other cases. Expresses concern when attorney fees awarded are in excess of the cost incurred. |
| 258 | Rep. Ringo | States there are a variety of factors that determine attorney fees. |
| 255 | Chair Williams | Discusses profit motive. |
| 279 | Rep. Ackerman | Explains that under ORS 20.075, the court has discretionary authority to exceed market rates in some cases. |
| 300 | Rep. Lowe | Agrees with Rep. Ackerman's statement. |
| 332 | Rep. Ringo | Questions if Chair Williams agrees with Mr. Hunnicutt that there is a disparity of power. |
| 340 | Chair Williams | Comments that this is, on the whole, most often the case but is not always the case. Expresses his concerns are economic. |
| 390 | Rep. Shetterly | Remarks that if there are cases in which we want to establish penalties or fines, we can do that—the question is, is it appropriate to use attorney fees or request attorney fees as a |
| | | means of extracting money from a litigant over and above what they are contractually obligated to pay or what some statute might require. |
| 418 | Aubertine | Discusses post-judgement legal services. |
| 500 | Chair Williams | Closes the public hearing on HB 2865. States to Mr. Hunnicutt that the bill may have a constitutional issue. Opens the work session on HB 3857. |
| TAPE 62, A | | |
| HB 3857 WOR | <u>K SESSION</u> | |
| 050 | Craig Prins | Counselor. Introduces HB 3857, which prohibits attorney who represents governing body of public body from representing public body in judicial or quasi-judicial proceeding if any member of governing body is party to proceeding and has adverse interests. States that the –4 amendments (EXHIBIT K) are the compromise solution to ORS 9.527 subsection 4. |
| 060 | Rep. Ackerman | Comments on an attorney being involved in covert activities. |
| 077 | Counsel Prins | Refers to the decision by the supreme court, comments on officers that are attorneys, and participation in covert activities. |
| 123 | Rep. Ackerman | States there is a distinction in subsection a, providing legal advice and in subsection b, may participate. |
| 130 | Chair Williams | Explains why he is comfortable with the language. |
| 149 | Rep. V. Walker | Concurs. |
| 143 | Rep. Shetterly | Notes that the underlying statute recognizes that the Supreme Court may discipline on conducts itemized on page three, (EXHIBIT K). |
| 230 | Chair Williams | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3857-4 amendments dated 05/18/01. |
| | | VOTE: 10-0-1 |
| 221 | | EXCUSED: 1 - Walker C. |
| 231 | Chair Williams | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |

| 233 | Chair Williams | MOTION: Moves HB 3857 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. VOTE: 8-2-1 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | AYE: 8 - Backlund, Krieger, Lowe, Ringo, Shetterly, Walker V, Wilson, Williams |
| 238 | Chair Williams | NAY: 2 - Ackerman, Dingfelder EXCUSED: 1 - Walker C The motion CARRIES. |
| | | REP. WILLIAMS will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 254 | Chair Williams | Closes the work session on HB 3857 and opens the work session on HB 2590. |
| <u>HB 2590</u> 256 | <u>WORK SESSION</u> Chair Williams | Introduces HB 2590 that directs Juvenile Crime Prevention |
| 230 | Chair Williams | Advisory Committee to make grants to partnerships of local public entities and private nonprofit entities for after-school programs. Explains HB 2590-4 amendments, and submits supportive written materials (EXHIBIT L). States there are two conceptual amendments: |
| | | • in line seven, change "or" to "and". |
| 292 | Chair Williams | in line nineteen, delete "\$300,000" and leave a blank line. MOTION: Moves to SUSPEND the rules for the purpose of making conceptual amendments to HB 2590. |
| | | VOTE: 10-0-1 |
| 295 | Chair Williams | EXCUSED: 1 – Walker C. Hearing no objection, the rules are SUSPENDED. |
| 275 | Chan winnams | ficating no objection, the fulls are SOSTEMPED. |
| 296 | Chair Williams | MOTION: Moves to CONCEPTUALLY AMEND HB 2590 the -4 amendments dated 5/18/01, on line 7, changing the word "or" to "and", and on line 19, by striking "\$300,000" and inserting a |
| | | VOTE: 10-0-1 |
| 200 | | EXCUSED: 1 - Walker C. |
| 298 | Chair Williams | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 300 | Chair Williams | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2590-4 amendments dated 05/18/01 as CONCEPTUALLY AMENDED. VOTE: 10-0-1 |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 – Walker C. |
| 302 | Chair Williams | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 303 | Chair Williams | MOTION: Moves HB 2590 to the committee on Ways and Means with a DO PASS recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 10-0-1 EXCUSED: 1 Wellier C |
| 307 | Chair Williams | EXCUSED: 1 – Walker C. Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. |
| 309 | Chair Williams | Closes the work session on HB 2590 and adjourns the meeting at 10:30 a.m. |

Submitted By,

Reviewed By,

Ann Martin Committee Assistant Bill Taylor, Counsel

Transcribed By,

Linda K. Gatto, Committee Assistant

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. HB 3357, -2 amendments, dated 5/7/01, Rep. Rob Patridge, 3 pp.
- B. HB 3357, -3 amendments, dated 5/7/01, Rep. Rob Patridge, 7 pp.
- C. HB 3357, diagram, Ronnelle Shankle, 1 p
- D. HB 2513, -1 amendments, dated 4/27/01, Rep. Rob Patridge, 2 pp.
- E. HB 3642, -9 amendments, dated 5/18/01, 69 pp.
- F. HB 3642, correspondence, George Stevenson, 1 p
- G. HB 2551, -3 amendments, dated 5/15/01, Rep. Tom Butler, 2 pp.
- H. HB 2551, correspondence, Henry H. Lazenby, 4 pp.
- I. HB 2865, -1 amendments, dated 4/19/01, Andrew Aubertine, 1 p
- J. HB 2865, prepared testimony, David Barenberg, 2 pp.
- K. HB 3857, -4 amendments, dated 5/18/01, staff, 3 pp.
- L. HB 2590, -4 amendments, dated 5/18/01, and correspondence, Rep. Max Williams, 7 pp.