## HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

May 29, 2001 Hearing Room 357 8:30 a.m. Tapes 77 - 78

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Max Williams, Chair

Rep. Wayne Kreiger, Vice Chair Rep. Kathy Lowe, Vice Chair Rep. Robert Ackerman Rep. Vic Backland Rep. Jackie Dingfelder Rep. Charlie Ringo Rep. Lane Shetterly Rep. Cherryl Walker

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Rep. Vicki Walker

Rep. Carl Wilson

STAFF PRESENT: Bill Taylor, Counsel

Shannon Reed, Committee Assistant

**MEASURES HEARD:** SB 230A Reconsideration and Work Session

SB 366A Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 290A Work Session SB 133A Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
<b>TAPE 77, A</b>		
003	Chair Williams	Calls the meeting to order at 8: 44 a.m. and reviews the changes
		to the agenda. Opens a work session on SB 230A.
<b>SB 230A RI</b>	ECONSIDERATION AND	WORK SESSION
020	Chair Williams	MOTION: Moves to SUSPEND the rules for the purpose of
		possible reconsideration of SB 230A.
		VOTE: 9-0-2
		EXCUSED: 2 – Walker V, Wilson
	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the rules suspended.
024	Counsel Bill Taylor	Explains the –A22 amendments ( <b>EXHIBIT A</b> ) to SB 230 which change neglect resulting in death of animal from crime of animal
		neglect in the first degree to a new crime of aggravated animal neglect in the first degree.
073	Chair Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 230A-A22 amendments dated 5/29/01.
		VOTE: 9-0-2
		EXCUSED: 2 – Walker V, Wilson
075	Chair Williams	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
076	Chair Williams	MOTION: Moves SB 230A to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
		<u>VOTE: 9-0-2</u>

		AYE: Aye.	In a roll call vote, all members present vote
007	Ci · Wall		: 2 - Walker C, Wilson
086	Chair Williams	The motion REP. DINC	CARRIES.  GFELDER will lead discussion on the floor.
089	Chair Williams	Closes the whearing on S	ork session on SB 230A and opens the public B 366A.
<b>SB 366A PUBI</b> 099	<u>LIC HEARING</u> Dianne Middle	Donautman	t of Dublic Cofety Standards and Training
099	Dianne Miluule	•	t of Public Safety Standards and Training
		(EXHIBIT I	mony and testifies in support of SB 366A  3).
124	Rep. C. Walker	Questions the	definition of "family member."
129	Middle	dependent of	s the spouse, child, or person who qualifies as a a public safety officer for tax purposes.
135	Rep. Ackerman	`	e solvency of the fund.
139	Middle	Responds that available.	at the fund is subject to the amount of funds
157	Rep. Ringo		nat the purpose of the fund is.
161	Middle		at there is often a time lag before surviving families
189	Chair Williams	that discusse	ere were a number of hearings in the 1999 session d the surviving spouse not having the resources to ons as well as scholarship funding.
214 SP 3664 WOR	Chair Williams		ablic hearing on SB 366A and opens a work session.
SB 366A WOR 216	Rep. Lowe	1	Moves SB 366A to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.
		VOTE: 9-0- AYE:	In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
			2 - Walker V, Wilson
220	Chair Williams	The motion	CARRIES.
		REP. KRIE	GER will lead discussion on the floor.
232	Chair Williams	Closes the wo	ork session on SB 366A and opens a work session A.
<b>SB 290A WOR</b>			
233	Counsel Taylor	Safety Standards for which concertification	SB 290A which, requires Department of Public ards and Training to adopt rules specifying crimes nviction requires denial or revocation of of public safety officer or instructor. Explains the – ents (EXHIBIT C).
228	Dianne Middle	Department	of Public Safety Standards and Training
260 268	Rep. Shetterly Middle	Questions if Responds that	upport of the –A4 amendments. the department wants this authority. It this allows the board flexibility and states that the partment, and the advisory committees are in
281	Chair Williams	Cautions the	board to monitor the crimes that disqualify and he department report to the Interim Judiciary

315 <b>319</b>	Middle <b>Rep. Williams</b>	Responds affirmatively.  MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 290A-A4 amendments dated 05/24/01.  VOTE: 9-0-2		
321	Chair Williams	EXCUSED: 2 – Walker V, Wilson Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.		
322	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves SB 290A to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.		
		VOTE: 9-0-2		
331	Chair Williams	AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.  EXCUSED: 2 – Walker V, Wilson The motion CARRIES.  REP. ACKERMAN will lead discussion on the floor.		
336	Chair Williams	Closes the work session on SB 290A and opens a work session on SB 133A.		
SB 133A WOI				
352 392	Counsel Taylor  Ann Christian	Summarizes SB 133A which creates crime of felon in possession of body armor. Reviews the -A5, -A6, -A7, -A8, -A9, and -A11 amendments ( <b>EXHIBIT D</b> ). Notes that the -A8 amendments have been withdrawn.  State Court Administrator's Office		
372	Ann Omistun	Reviews the –A5 amendments and the –A10 amendments. Introduces the –A13 amendments ( <b>EXHIBIT E</b> ).		
TAPE 78, A				
1111 2 70,11				
026	Dale Penn	Oregon District Attorney's Association		
026		Testifies in support of the –A10 amendments.		
	Dale Penn  Rep. Lowe Bill Houser	·		
026 046	Rep. Lowe	Testifies in support of the –A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the –A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the –A7 amendments.  Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before		
026 046 060 087 093	Rep. Lowe Bill Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser	Testifies in support of the –A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the –A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the –A7 amendments. Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before the grand jury. Responds not in the grand jury chamber.		
026 046 060 087	Rep. Lowe Bill Houser Rep. C. Walker	Testifies in support of the –A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the –A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the –A7 amendments.  Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before the grand jury.		
026 046 060 087 093	Rep. Lowe Bill Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser	Testifies in support of the -A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the -A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the -A7 amendments. Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before the grand jury. Responds not in the grand jury chamber. Comments that the individuals may not realize the impact of something said before the grand jury and questions if the recording of the proceedings could later be used in trial. Responds if an incriminating statement is made before the grand jury and the individual is indicted due to that statement, the		
026 046 060 087 093 103	Rep. Lowe Bill Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser Rep. Lowe	Testifies in support of the -A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the -A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the -A7 amendments. Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before the grand jury. Responds not in the grand jury chamber. Comments that the individuals may not realize the impact of something said before the grand jury and questions if the recording of the proceedings could later be used in trial. Responds if an incriminating statement is made before the grand jury and the individual is indicted due to that statement, the evidence would be under hearsay rule in trial.  Discusses a scenario where an individual has information but		
026 046 060 087 093 103	Rep. Lowe Bill Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser Rep. Lowe  Houser	Testifies in support of the -A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the -A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the -A7 amendments. Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before the grand jury. Responds not in the grand jury chamber. Comments that the individuals may not realize the impact of something said before the grand jury and questions if the recording of the proceedings could later be used in trial. Responds if an incriminating statement is made before the grand jury and the individual is indicted due to that statement, the evidence would be under hearsay rule in trial.  Discusses a scenario where an individual has information but does not want their identity known. Responds there is a lag time between the testimony before the		
026 046 060 087 093 103 115	Rep. Lowe Bill Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser Rep. Lowe  Houser	Testifies in support of the –A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the –A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the –A7 amendments. Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before the grand jury. Responds not in the grand jury chamber. Comments that the individuals may not realize the impact of something said before the grand jury and questions if the recording of the proceedings could later be used in trial. Responds if an incriminating statement is made before the grand jury and the individual is indicted due to that statement, the evidence would be under hearsay rule in trial.  Discusses a scenario where an individual has information but does not want their identity known.		
026 046 060 087 093 103 115 143 155 175 180	Rep. Lowe Bill Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser Rep. Lowe  Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser  Houser  Houser  Houser	Testifies in support of the –A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the –A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the –A7 amendments. Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before the grand jury. Responds not in the grand jury chamber. Comments that the individuals may not realize the impact of something said before the grand jury and questions if the recording of the proceedings could later be used in trial. Responds if an incriminating statement is made before the grand jury and the individual is indicted due to that statement, the evidence would be under hearsay rule in trial. Discusses a scenario where an individual has information but does not want their identity known. Responds there is a lag time between the testimony before the grand jury and the release of the information. Questions if grand jury proceedings are court reported in the federal system. Responds that he believes they are.		
026 046 060 087 093 103 115 143 155 175	Rep. Lowe Bill Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser Rep. Lowe  Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser  Rep. C. Walker	Testifies in support of the -A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the -A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the -A7 amendments. Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before the grand jury. Responds not in the grand jury chamber. Comments that the individuals may not realize the impact of something said before the grand jury and questions if the recording of the proceedings could later be used in trial. Responds if an incriminating statement is made before the grand jury and the individual is indicted due to that statement, the evidence would be under hearsay rule in trial. Discusses a scenario where an individual has information but does not want their identity known. Responds there is a lag time between the testimony before the grand jury and the release of the information. Questions if grand jury proceedings are court reported in the federal system. Responds that he believes they are. Questions if the proceedings in the state system are court		
026 046 060 087 093 103 115 143 155 175 180	Rep. Lowe Bill Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser Rep. Lowe  Houser  Rep. C. Walker  Houser  Houser  Houser  Houser	Testifies in support of the –A10 amendments. Supports and discusses the –A6 amendments.  Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association (OCDLA)  Discusses the intent of the –A7 amendments. Questions if counsel accompanies the individuals brought before the grand jury. Responds not in the grand jury chamber. Comments that the individuals may not realize the impact of something said before the grand jury and questions if the recording of the proceedings could later be used in trial. Responds if an incriminating statement is made before the grand jury and the individual is indicted due to that statement, the evidence would be under hearsay rule in trial. Discusses a scenario where an individual has information but does not want their identity known. Responds there is a lag time between the testimony before the grand jury and the release of the information. Questions if grand jury proceedings are court reported in the federal system. Responds that he believes they are.		

232 <b>219</b>	Houser <b>Brian DeLashmutt</b>	Responds, he does not have that information.  Oregon Council of Police Associations
231	Dale Penn	Testifies in opposition to the –A7 amendments.  Oregon District Attorney's Association
		Testifies in support of SB 133A but explains opposition to the – A7 amendments. Explains the federal system has different rules about grand jury proceedings.
358	Penn	Continues to testify in opposition to the –A7 amendments.
418	Paul Snider	Association of Oregon Counties
T + D T = D		Testifies in opposition to the –A7 amendments.
TAPE 77, B	D 4.1	
024	Rep. Ackerman	Questions if the district attorney's office has the authority to establish early disposition programs without this bill.
037	Penn	Explains that it takes the court, district attorney, and the defense bar to agree to do a program. Notes this would provide guidance statewide.
048	Rep. Ringo	Questions what expenses would be associated with the cost of recording proceedings.
054	Penn	Describes the staff associated with recording proceedings and the cost of equipment.
104	Rep. Chris Beck	House District 12
104	Rep. Chi is Deck	
117	Sen. Jason Atkinson	Testifies in support for the –A9 amendments.  Senate District 25
150	at i willi	Testifies in support of the –A9 amendment and relates observations and personal experience with regard to biking around the country.
158	Chair Williams	Questions if there was a fault determination in the cycling accident.
162	Sen. Atkinson	Responds it was a substantial slap on the wrist.
181	Chair Williams	Notes that the current assault statute would cover this type of
		incident.
189	Joel Shapiro	Attorney
		Explains the intent of the -A9 amendments is to have assault
		language in the pedestrian and bicycling statutes.
239	Rep. Shetterly	Questions if there would be a charge for an offense less than recklessness.
249	Shapiro	Explains if the officer issues a citation as an infraction then the
280	Chair Williams	incident would not be considered as a criminal charge.  Reads the definition of recklessness from the criminal code.
268	Rep. Ackerman	Questions why wouldn't reckless driving cover the intent of the
200	Rep. Ackerman	-A9 amendments.
274	Shapiro	Responds the concern is where the crimes are located in the
		code, reckless driving is not in the duties to pedestrians and
295	Rep. Ackerman	bicycles. Comments on prosecution options.
313	Rep. Ringo	Discusses the standard for recklessness in citing practices.
331	Rep. C. Walker	Relates personal experience.
399	Rep. Lowe	States for the record she has no objections to the –A9
	1	amendments, (EXHIBIT F).
371	Rep. Janet Carlson	House District 32
		Testifies in support of the –A11 amendments.

414	Kathie Osborn	Juvenile Rights Project
		Testifies in opposition to the –A9 amendments.
466	Chair Williams	Closes the work session on SB 133A and adjourns the meeting
		at 10:04 a.m.

Transcribed By, Reviewed By,

Linda Gatto, Bill Taylor, Committee Assistant Counsel

## **EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

- A SB 230A, -A22 amendments dated 5/29/01, submitted by staff, 3 pp.
- B SB 366A, testimony submitted by Diane Middle, Department of Public Safety and Standards Training, dated 5/29/01, 2 pp.
- C SB 290A, -A4 amendments dated 5/24/01, staff, 3 pp.
- D SB 133A, -A5 amendments dated 5/14/01, -A6 amendments dated 5/14/01, A7 amendments dated 5/16/01, -A8 amendments dated 5/18/01, -A9 amendments dated 5/23/01, -A11 amendments dated 5/29/01, staff, 16 pp.
- E SB 133A, -A13 amendments dated 5/29/01, Ann Christian, 6 pp.
- F SB 133A, prepared testimony, Rep. Kathy Lowe, 2 pp.
- G SB 133A, written testimony, Phillip Fell, 1 p