HOUSE COMMITTEE ON RULES, REDISTRICTING, AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS

May 02, 2001 Hearing Room D
1:30 PM Tapes 99 -101

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Carl Wilson, Chair

Rep. Richard Devlin, Vice-Chair Rep. Dan Doyle, Vice-Chair

Rep. Dan Gardner Rep. Bill Garrard Rep. Steve March Rep. Bruce Starr Rep. Joanne Verger Rep. Cherryl Walker

STAFF PRESENT: Cara Filsinger, Committee Administrator

Jennifer Goodman, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: REDISTRICTING - PUBLIC HEARING

HB 3960 – WORK SESSION HB 3983 – PUBLIC HEARING HB 3686 – WORK SESSION SB 194A – PUBLIC HEARING HB 3983 – PUBLIC HEARING HB 2087 – WORK SESSION

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 99, A		
004	Chair Wilson	Opens the meeting at 1:34 p.m. Opens a public hearing on redistricting.
PUBLIC HE	EARING - REDISTRIC	CTING
021	Cara Filsinger	Committee Administrator. Submits letters, faxes and e-mails for public record (EXHIBITS A- N).
022	Jesse Lohrke	Representing the people of Chapman. Speaks of moving to House District 1. Submits (EXHIBIT O).
045	Chair Wilson	Asks where Chapman is located on the map.
047	Lohrke	Provides information.
052	Chair Wilson	Closes the public hearing on Redistricting. Opens a work session on HB 3960.
HB 3960 – V	VORK SESSION	
074	Joe Gilliam	Oregon Grocery Industry Association. Describes the –5 amendments.
106	Chair Wilson	Asks for clarification regarding the bottle deposit paid to the distributor.
110	Gilliam	Describes what happens with the bottle deposit transactions.
132	Chair Wilson	Asks how this works.
133	Gilliam	Describes the nickel exchange between retailer and consumer, and the benefits.
157	Rep. Gardner	Asks if Mr. Gilliam has talked to the distributors about the

		nickel redemption issue.
159	Gilliam	Responds that he has not.
160	Rep. Gardner	Asks how he can expect any compromise if they have not talked
1.6.4	Cilliana	to the distributors before creating the amendments.
164	Gilliam	Clarifies his earlier statement.
172	Rep. Gardner	Restates that he finds it difficult to compromise if they have not
173	Gilliam	talked to the distributors yet.
183	Rep. Gardner	Responds that he was threatened by the other side. Comments that they need another hearing before voting on this.
188	Rep. Gardner Rep. Verger	Asks what percentage of the cans do not come back.
191	Gilliam	Responds that 98% come back.
199	Rep. Starr	Asks what they do with the cans.
205	Gilliam	Responds that the nickel goes back and forth as mandated by the
203	Ommuni	bottle bill.
224	Paul Romain	Attorney representing the Oregon Beer and Wine Distributors.
	- ****	Comments on the –4 and –5 amendments.
330	Chair Wilson	Asks what he intends to do if the retailer does not pay within the
		five days.
334	Romain	Responds that he believes major grocers have leverage. States
		that if the –4 amendments are not moved, then they will try to
		move the −2 amendments through OLCC.
373	Rep. Garrard	Asks if he is okay with the –4 amendments.
376	Romain	Responds yes, if the opponents also accept them.
395	Chair Wilson	Closes the work session on HB 3960. Opens a public hearing on
		HB 3983.
	BLIC HEARING	W D: . : . 50 0 1 01
405	Speaker Mark	House District 58. Speaks of the energy crisis and rising energy
	ď.	
	Simmons	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee.
Tana 100 A	Simmons	
Tape 100, A		prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P).
061	Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002.
061 063	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline.
061	Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can
061 063 071	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this.
061 063	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break.
061 063 071	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this.
061 063 071 073 078	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill.
061 063 071 073 078 084	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law.
061 063 071 073 078 084	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility
061 063 071 073 078 084 088	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer.
061 063 071 073 078 084 088	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he
061 063 071 073 078 084 088	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q).
061 063 071 073 078 084 088	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits
061 063 071 073 078 084 088	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits (EXHIBIT R).
061 063 071 073 078 084 088	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits (EXHIBIT R). House District 7. Testifies in support of the bill but opposes the
061 063 071 073 078 084 088	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits (EXHIBIT R). House District 7. Testifies in support of the bill but opposes the -3 amendments. Refers to SB 1149. Reviews current bills that
061 063 071 073 078 084 088	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits (EXHIBIT R). House District 7. Testifies in support of the bill but opposes the -3 amendments. Refers to SB 1149. Reviews current bills that address the issue of supply and demand. Explains market
061 063 071 073 078 084 088 093 101 249	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Representative Robert Ackerman Representative Betsy Close Representative Bill Witt	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits (EXHIBIT R). House District 7. Testifies in support of the bill but opposes the -3 amendments. Refers to SB 1149. Reviews current bills that address the issue of supply and demand. Explains market certainty.
061 063 071 073 078 084 088	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits (EXHIBIT R). House District 7. Testifies in support of the bill but opposes the -3 amendments. Refers to SB 1149. Reviews current bills that address the issue of supply and demand. Explains market certainty. Asks for clarification regarding his comment, "a shorter date of
061 063 071 073 078 084 088 093 101 249 281	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Representative Robert Ackerman Representative Betsy Close Representative Bill Witt	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits (EXHIBIT R). House District 7. Testifies in support of the bill but opposes the -3 amendments. Refers to SB 1149. Reviews current bills that address the issue of supply and demand. Explains market certainty. Asks for clarification regarding his comment, "a shorter date of implementation adds certainty, a later date adds to uncertainty."
061 063 071 073 078 084 088 093 101 249 281	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Representative Robert Ackerman Representative Betsy Close Representative Bill Witt Rep. Garrard Rep. Witt	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits (EXHIBIT R). House District 7. Testifies in support of the bill but opposes the -3 amendments. Refers to SB 1149. Reviews current bills that address the issue of supply and demand. Explains market certainty. Asks for clarification regarding his comment, "a shorter date of implementation adds certainty, a later date adds to uncertainty." Responds in the affirmative.
061 063 071 073 078 084 088 093 101 249 281	Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Rep. Gardner Speaker Simmons Representative Robert Ackerman Representative Betsy Close Representative Bill Witt	prices. Asks for a do-pass recommendation from the committee. Submits (EXHIBIT P). Asks why the date of December 31, 2002. Responds that it is a natural timeline. Ask why not make it July 2003 so the next legislature can address this. Responds that the year break is a natural break. Comments that this is not an implementation bill. Explains that the next session can adjust the law. Asks if it would not be more difficult for the Public Utility Commission (PUC) to go backwards than it would to have it on July 1 and not have it implement then. Replies that if the PUC asks they can extend it longer. House District 39. Testifies on the -3 amendments that he prepared. Submits (EXHIBIT Q). House District 36. Testifies in support of this bill. Submits (EXHIBIT R). House District 7. Testifies in support of the bill but opposes the -3 amendments. Refers to SB 1149. Reviews current bills that address the issue of supply and demand. Explains market certainty. Asks for clarification regarding his comment, "a shorter date of implementation adds certainty, a later date adds to uncertainty."

431	Rep. Witt	Responds that investments would not be made if they believe that the legislature is going to keep changing the policy.		
456	Rep. March	Asks if he is saying that they remove the power of the commission to order the costs of the service rate option available to two of the big users.		
463	Rep. Witt	Responds in the negative.		
477		Asks if he is saying that if the legislature meddles in the process,		
4//	Rep. March			
106	Dan Witt	then the removal of Section 6 is a bad move by this legislature.		
486	Rep. Witt	Responds that was not the case.		
Tape 99, B	D 0			
041	Rep. Starr	Asks if large businesses can still go to market now.		
047	Rep. Witt	Responds in the affirmative.		
051	Rep. Starr	Asks if on January 1, 2003 the option for them to stay under the		
		cost of service rate is removed, are they then forced to go to		
		market.		
053	Rep. Witt	Replies that is correct.		
063	Chair Wilson	Submits for the public record letters from PGE and PacificCorp.		
		(EXHIBITS S, T).		
069	Greg Miller	Co-Chair, Business Customer Coalition. Urges support of this		
00)	Greg willer	bill. Submits (EXHIBIT U).		
101	Libby Henry	Eugene Water & Electric Board (EWEB). Speaks in support of		
101	Libby Helliy	HB 3983.		
133	Jason Eisdofer			
		Citizen's Utility Board. Speaks in support of HB 3983.		
177	Andrea Fogue	League of Oregon Cities. Testifies in support of HB 3983.		
202	D 11	States that this bill provides additional consumer protection.		
202	Rep. Verger	Asks if EWEB supports this bill, or supports no repeal or delay.		
206	Henry	Replies they support it because of the certainty and comfort it		
		provides.		
211	Rep. Verger	Asks if they know of any groups planning the siting of new		
		generating plants.		
221	Henry	Replies that they can supply a list of those who are ready to go.		
228	Rep. Verger	Asks how 15 months would affect a large industrial customer.		
241	Miller	Replies that the market is very volatile and that the cost of		
		service rate is a safe way to go.		
252	Rep. Verger	Asks if this helps create market certainty for all.		
260	Miller	Replies he believes so.		
261	Rep. March	Asks if he was around during the discussions of SB 1149.		
263	Miller	Replies that he was.		
265	Rep. March	Asks how many customers are going to the market now and how		
203	Rep. Maren	many want to.		
270	Miller	Replies that he cannot answer that question.		
274		Asks a hypothetical question regarding delaying the		
2/4	Rep. Devlin			
205	N 4:11	implementation.		
285	Miller	Replies that this will not happen.		
295	Rep. Devlin	Asks if the difference is that you would not be forced into the		
		market, but a standard offer.		
300	Eisdofer	Responds in the affirmative and notes that this bill gives the		
		commission a cost of service rate offer.		
323	Chair Wilson	Closes the public hearing on HB 3983. Opens a work session on		
		HB 3686.		
HB 3686 - WORK SESSION				
342	Brian DeMarco	Assistant to the Commissioner of the Oregon Real Estate		
		Agency. Explains that the amendments will create an		
		association for residents		

association for residents.

390	Chair Wilson	Asks how this would affect new parks.
396	DeMarco	Replies that it would not impact new parks.
400	Rep. Starr	Asks if the local cities and counties have been a part of this discussion.
404	DeMarco	Replies not to his knowledge.
406	Chair Wilson	Asks if he has any idea why they have not.
410	DeMarco	Replies that he is surprised there are no representatives commenting on the proposal.
421	Rep. Starr	States that he would be interested to know why they have not said anything.
428	Chair Wilson	Notes that he likes this bill and expects to see them on the Senate side.
434	Bob Repine	Director, Oregon Housing and Community Services. Explains that this has been an issue for 10 years. Explains that this bill will allow people to own the land beneath their home, thus increasing the value of the home.
Tape 100, E	3	
051	Rep. March	States that he supports the concept, but is concerned about a comment regarding a portion of the park that might be owned by the individual residents. Asks if he sees this equally applying to the park going up for sale individually or if half be kept for rental or sale by lot.
060	DeMarco	Responds that the whole park would be sub-divided.
071	Rep. March	Comments that it would be like a condo association. Asks if the
		property is developed, does management maintain it until a certain percentage is sold.
078	DeMarco	Replies yes.
083	Rep. Starr	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3686-2 amendments dated 4/25/01.
		VOTE: 8-0 EXCUSED: 1 – Gardner
087	Chair Wilson Rep. Starr	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. MOTION: Moves HB 3686 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
088		VOTE: 8-0
		AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.
		EXCUSED: 1 – Gardner
	Chair Wilson	The motion CARRIES. REP. STARR will lead discussion on the floor.
097	Chair Wilson	Closes the work session on HB 3686. Opens a public hearing on SB 194A.
SB 194A –	PUBLIC HEARING	
112	John Van	Attorney, Legal Services Program in Lane County. States that
	Landingham	this bill represents the coalition compromise to produce changes that are acceptable to both landlords and tenants.
125	Emily Cedarleaf	Director, Multifamily Housing Council. Testifies that the goal is that things are balanced between landlords and tenants. States they have another set of amendments they are working on.
163	Chuck Carpenter	Manufactured Home Owners of Oregon. States that they are pleased with the bill but would like to continue working on it.
183	Van Landingham	Explains that there are two coalitions working on this and that they are still negotiating amendments.

201	Rep. Verger	Asks for a definition of unlawful, not unlawful, and unsafe.
207	Van Landingham	Gives brief definitions.
224	Chair Wilson	Closes the public hearing on SB 194A. Reopens the public
		hearing on HB 3983.
HB 3983 – PU	BLIC HEARING	6
229	Roy Hemingway	Testifies on behalf of the Governor who supports HB 3983.
22)	resy froming way	Explains that it solves problems that some people are worried
		about being thrown into a chaotic marketplace. Emphasizes that
		this does not mean there will not be rate increases. Concludes
		that the Governor supports this bill, and hopes to solve the
		issues regarding deregulation questions for now.
283	Rep. March	Asks if he has seen the -3 amendments.
285	Hemingway	Responds that he has not.
186	Rep.March	States that the -3 amendment proposes that the PUC can rescind
		the requirement under the bill to do a cost of service rate. Asks
		for his thoughts on that.
305	Hemingway	Replies that he would like to be able to talk this over with
		people. States he believes it offers flexibility.
328	Chair Wilson	Closes the public hearing on HB 3983. Reopens the public
		hearing on SB 194A.
SB 194 A – PU	JBLIC HEARING	
337	Brad Swank	Special Counsel for Government Relations with the State Court
		Administrators Office. States that there were changes made from
		the original bill from the Senate. Asks to work with those who
		are working on the bill.
368	Bob Repine	Director of Oregon Housing and Community Services. Speaks
500	Воб перше	in support of SB 194A.
398	Pat Schwoch	Representing Manufactured Home Owners of Oregon. Speaks in
370	1 at Senwoen	favor of this bill.
410	Chair Wilson	Closes the public hearing on SB 194A. Opens a work session on
410	Chan whison	HB 2087.
11D 2007 W/C	NDL CECCION	11D 2007.
	DRK SESSION Remandantation Retain	House District 36. Reviews the bill and her –6 amendments.
430	Representative Betsy	nouse district 30. Reviews the offr and fiel—6 amendments.
TD 101 A	Close	
Tape 101, A	D 11 M C 1	
035	Paddy McGuire	Deputy Secretary of State. States that he still opposes major
	G1 1 77711	parts of the bill and give a brief analysis.
103	Chair Wilson	Referring to Section 3 asks if they have not steered away from
		violating the National Voters Rights Act by the changes made in
		that section.
112	Scott Tighe	Operations Manager, Elections Division. Replies that the part of
		Section 3 that is in violation of the Voter's Act is because it goes
		beyond the federal requirement.
128	Rep. Starr	Asks if anyone ever objects to these checks.
130	Tighe	Replies that any challenges are looked into.
137	Rep. March	Asks if the current law allows for a challenge of voters.
139	Tighe	Answers in the affirmative.
149	Rep. Close	States that she will look into the violation of the Voter's Right
		Act. Adds that the challenge is for the registration not the vote.
163	Chair Wilson	Closes the work session on HB 2087. Staff submits (EXHIBIT
		V). Adjourns the meeting at 3:38 p.m.
		, , J - wind wit interving we o . o o p.iii.

Submitted By,

Cara Filsinger, Committee Administrator

Transcribed By,

Cheryl Young Committee Assistant

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, Raphael Antical, 1p.
- B Redistricting, written testimony, Deborah Knapp, 1p.
- C Redistricting, written testimony, James Draznin, 1p.
- D Redistricting, written testimony, Deanna Berman, 1p.
- E Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, Jon Mandaville, 1p.
- F Redistricting, written testimony, Judy O'Connor, 1p.
- G Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, Chuck and Katie Riley, 1p.
- H Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, Kate Gullette, 2pp.
- I Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, Eileen Woodward, 1p.
- J Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, Winchell Craig, 1p.
- K Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, Peter Geiser, 1p.
- L Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, James Bradfield, 1p.
- M Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, Margaret Philben, 1p.
- N Redistricting, written testimony e-mail, Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Crino, 1p.
- O Redistricting, written testimony, Jesse Lohrke, 11pp.
- P HB 3983, written testimony, Rep. Mark Simmons, 2pp.
- Q HB 3983, written testimony, Rep. Robert Ackerman, 3pp.
- R HB 3983, written testimony, Rep. Betsy Close, 3pp.
- S HB 3983, letter from PGE, submitted by Staff, 1p.
- T HB 3983, letter from PacifCorp, submitted by Staff, 1p.
- U HB 3983, written testimony, Greg Miller, 1p.
- V HB 2087-6 amendment, submitted by Staff, 11pp.