

HOUSE SCHOOL FUNDING AND TAX FAIRNESS/REVENUE COMMITTEE

MARCH 12, 2001 — 1:30 P.M. - HEARING ROOM A - STATE CAPITOL BUILDING

Members Present: Representative Lane Shetterly, Chair

Representative Janet Carlson, Vice Chair

Representative Deborah Kafoury, Vice Chair (1:55 arrived)

Representative Chris Beck

Representative Alan Brown

Representative Mark Hass

Representative Max Williams

Representative Bill Witt

Members Excused: Representative Alan Bates

Staff: Paul Warner, Legislative Revenue Officer

Lizbeth Martin-Mahar, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office

Richard Yates, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office

Joan Green, Committee Assistant

Witnesses: Rep. Bill Morrisette, House Legislative District 42

Rep. Betsy Johnson, House Legislative District 1

Sen. Ted Ferrioli, Senate Legislative District 28

John McCulley, Oregon Fairs Association

Laura Mickelson, Canby Future Farmers of America

Jean Wilkinson, Oregon Farm Bureau

TAPE 82, SIDE A

005 Chair Shetterly Meeting called to order at 1:42 p.m.

OPENED PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2831

010 Richard Yates Provided a description of the measure and discussed the background. (Exhibit 1)

019 Rep. Bill Spoke in support of the measure and noted that
Morrisette he is waiting for further information regarding the fiscal impact of the measure.

030 Rep. Beck Questions and discussion regarding if the measure is an attempt to address ethanol and ethanol mixes.

051 Chair Shetterly Referenced Butterfield's submitted testimony. (Exhibit 2)

LRO Staff Distributed a fiscal impact statement. (Exhibit 6)

OPENED PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2047

065 Richard Yates Provided a description of the measure and discussed the background and distributed an excerpt from ORS Chapter 796. (Exhibits 3-4)

097 Chair Shetterly ORS Chapter 796 from 1995 created the trust fund, which is different from the distribution fund? (Exhibit 4)

099 Yates Concurred.

101 Rep. Hass How much is currently in that fund?

102 Chair Shetterly McCulley will testify to that.

104 Yates Continued with description of the measure and review of revenue impact statement. (Exhibit 3)

118 Chair Shetterly Questions and discussion regarding funding under the 1.2% and implementation.

123 Rep. Betsy Spoke in support of the measure, if it is passed
Johnson in conjunction with HB 3905, which addresses county fair accountability and sustainability.

177 Sen. Ted Ferrioli Spoke in support of the measure.

246 John McCulley Presented testimony in support of measure. (Exhibit 5)

- 353 Chair Shetterly Requested comment on Rep. Johnson's comments.
- 356 McCulley Concurred with her comments and assisted in the drafting of the HB 3905.
- 368 Rep. Hass Why separate the two measures, why not amend this measure.
- 374 McCulley Legislative Counsel advised that this measure relating to clause would not allow for amendments to meet Rep. Johnson's concerns.

TAPE 83, SIDE A

- 009 Rep. Beck "Are any of the fairs profitable?"
- 010 McCulley No, all are subsidized in some manner.
- 024 Vice Chair Referenced fiscal impact statement, (Page 3, Carlson Exhibit 3); "is the 1.2% the net proceeds taken after the three dedicated funds are taken or is it of the entire fund?"
- 028 Yates Explained the process of how the 1.2% would be allocated.
- 050 Vice Chair Read the last paragraph of the fiscal statement, Carlson (Page 3, Exhibit 3), and questioned why wouldn't lottery money that goes to other sources be drawn on rather than drawing from the three dedicated sources?
- 053 Yates Discussed conversations with Counsel; the 1.2% applies to the net proceeds of lottery, (Page 3, Exhibit 3).
- 065 Laura Mickelson Spoke in support of the measure and circulated a picture of produce exhibited by her Grandfather in 1916.
- 106 Jean Wilkinson Spoke in support of the measure.
- 156 Rep. Beck Questioned if the county fairs would lose their community viability if they were consolidated rather than maintaining 36 separate fairs.
- 170 Wilkinson Noted there is a difference between the smaller, more intimate fairs and the skills taught there vs. the larger State fair; personally supportive of the small county fair where the competition is against people of your own community.
- 186 McCulley There is an identity with the county; discussed the pros and cons of consolidation.

- 199 Rep. Witt Questions and discussion regarding the Legislative fiscal statement and the revenue impact statement and the inconsistencies, (Pages 2-3, Exhibit 3). Questioned McCulley what dollar figure he had in mind when they talked previously.
- 238 McCulley In that conversation was working with the fiscal figures, did not see the revenue figures until today. Discussed how the 1.2% figure was derived.
- 240 Chair Shetterly Questions and discussion regarding the intent of the sunset date.
- LRO Staff Distributed staff measure summary and fiscal impact statement for HB 2869. (Exhibit 7)
- 282 Chair Shetterly Meeting adjourned at 2:30 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Joan Green Kim Taylor James

Committee Assistant Revenue Office Manager

Exhibit Summary:

1. HB 2831, Staff Measure Summary and Revenue Impact Statement, Yates, 2 pages
2. HB 2831, Testimony, Butterfield, 8 pages
3. HB 2047, Staff Measure Summaries, Revenue and Fiscal statements, Yates, 4 pages
4. HB 2047, Excerpt from ORS Chapter 796, Yates, 1 page
5. HB 2047, Testimony, McCulley, 2 pages
6. HB 2831, Fiscal Impact Statement, LRO Staff, 1 page
7. HB 2869, Staff Measure Summary and Fiscal statement, Martin-Mahar, 2 pages