PUBLIC HEARING: HJM 25; HB 3942

WORK SESSION: HB 2477, HB 2670, HB 2704, HB 3080

TAPE 119 A/B

TAPE 120 A

HOUSE SCHOOL FUNDING AND TAX FAIRNESS/REVENUE COMMITTEE

APRIL 6, 2001 — 1:30 P.M. - HEARING ROOM A - STATE CAPITOL BUILDING

Members Present: Representative Lane Shetterly, Chair

Representative Janet Carlson, Vice Chair

Representative Deborah Kafoury, Vice Chair (1:54 arrived)

Representative Alan Bates

Representative Alan Brown

Representative Mark Hass

Representative Max Williams (1:44 arrived)

Representative Bill Witt (1:46 arrived)

Members Excused: Representative Chris Beck

Staff: Paul Warner, Legislative Revenue Officer

Lizbeth Martin-Mahar, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office

Ed Waters, Economist, Legislative Revenue Office

James Jensen, LRO Intern from Willamette University

Joan Green, Committee Assistant

Witnesses: Rep. Susan Morgan, Legislative House District 46

Joseph Wolf, City of Mytle Creek

Gil Riddell, Oregon Association of Counties

John Kitzhaber, Governor of Oregon

Tony VanVliet, Former House Revenue Committee Member

Debra Buchanan, Department of Revenue

TAPE 119, SIDE A

006 Chair Shetterly Meeting called to order at 1:41 p.m.

OPENED WORK SESSION ON HB 2670

016 Rep. Susan Morgan Spoke in support of the (-1) amendments, which addresses the issues raised at the public hearing. (Exhibit 1)

033 Joseph Wolf Presented testimony in support of the (-1) amendments. (Exhibit 2)

075 Rep. Morgan Referenced a package of letters distributed of

local jurisdictions that would lose tax revenue if the golf course is granted a tax exempt

status. (Exhibit 2)

Described the (-2) amendments. (Exhibit 1)

O81 Gil Riddell Spoke in support of the (-2) amendments.

(Exhibit 1)

091 Lizbeth Martin- Reviewed the Revenue Impact to the (-2)

Mahar amendments. (Exhibit 3)

112 Vice Chair Carlson MOTION: MOVED LC (-2)

AMENDMENTS DATED 03/21/2001 TO HB 2670 BE ADOPTED. HEARING NO OBJECTION, THE CHAIR SO ORDERED. (ALL MEMBERS PRESENT

EXCEPT REP. BECK, EXCUSED)

110 Vice Chair Carlson MOTION: MOVED HB 2670 TO THE

HOUSE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS AS

AMENDED RECOMMENDATION.

120 ROLL CALL VOTE: MOTION PASSED

8-0-1

REPRESENTATIVES VOTING AYE: Bates, Brown, Hass, Williams, Witt,

Carlson, Kafoury, Chair Shetterly

REPRESENTATIVES EXCUSED: Beck,

Rep. Morgan will carry the bill.

OPE	ENED PUBLIC HEA	RING ON HB 3942
135	Paul Warner	Discussed the changes made to the Recession Scenario document and the Survey of Current Business on consumption patterns, as described by Rufolo April 6. (Exhibits 4-5)
180	Governor John Kitzhaber	Reviewed history of Oregon's tax structure and spoke in support of the measure.
299	Rep. Witt	Are you comfortable in the direction in which this package goes with all of the elements addressed?
307	Governor.Kitzhaber	Yes, what is needed now is a thoughtful discussion.
340	Tony VanVliet	Spoke in support of the measure and provided institutional memory and background.
TAP	PE 120, SIDE A	
025	VanVliet	Continued with testimony in support of the measure and provided institutional memory and background.
081	Vice Chair Carlson	Questions and discussion regarding zero-based budgeting.
147	Rep. Bates	Questions and discussion regarding budget cuts and impact on regions of Oregon.
170	Rep. Hass	Questions and discussion regarding whether the measure is to ambitious to be accomplished this session.
235	Vice Chair Carlson	Questions and discussion regarding whether the measure should be referred out to the people or done statutorily.
OPE	ENED WORK SESSI	ION ON HB 2477
271	Ed Waters	Reviewed the measure, the (-1) and the (-2) amendments. (Exhibits)

		amendments.	(Exhibits)	
321	Chair Shetterly	MOTION:	MOVED NTS DATED	(-1) TO

HB 2477 BE ADOPTED.

Questioned if the (-1) amendments achieve the intent of Rep. Close; spoke to the effect

358 Rep. Witt

	of the (-1) amendments, as they compare to federal legislation. (Exhibit 6)
	Questions and discussion regarding whom requested the (-2) amendments.
350 Waters	The (-2) amendments were at the request of the Legislative Revenue Office (LRO); based on conversations with Legislative Counsel I felt the (-2) amendments better addressed Rep. Close's intention. (Exhibit 7)
356 Rep. Witt	Spoke to what he understood the intent of the measure to be and how the (-2) amendments would artificially limit the credit and not be in line with the federal credit. (Exhibit 7)
361 Chair Shetterly	Do the (-1) amendments cap the credit? (Exhibit 6)
363 Waters	"The language 'for an individual' would allow two credits for a joint return and one credit for a single return."
368 Rep. Witt	Questioned if that was Rep. Close's intent.
378	MOTION TO ADOPT THE (-1)
	AMENDMENTS DATED 03/30/2001 TO HB 2477 WITHDRAWN BY IMPLIED CONSENT.
	HB 2477 WITHDRAWN BY IMPLIED
OPENED WORK SESS	HB 2477 WITHDRAWN BY IMPLIED CONSENT. Distributed Fiscal Impact statement. (Exhibit 8)
OPENED WORK SESS 395 Waters	HB 2477 WITHDRAWN BY IMPLIED CONSENT. Distributed Fiscal Impact statement. (Exhibit 8)
	HB 2477 WITHDRAWN BY IMPLIED CONSENT. Distributed Fiscal Impact statement. (Exhibit 8) HON ON HB 3080 Reviewed the measure and the (-1) amendments, (Reference 03/20/2001, Exhibit 10), and described the (-2) amendments.
395 Waters	HB 2477 WITHDRAWN BY IMPLIED CONSENT. Distributed Fiscal Impact statement. (Exhibit 8) HON ON HB 3080 Reviewed the measure and the (-1) amendments, (Reference 03/20/2001, Exhibit 10), and described the (-2) amendments. (Exhibit 9) Explained why he requested the (-2)

EXCEPT REP. BECK, EXCUSED)

Wi MOTION: MOVED LC (-2)

AMENDMENTS DATED 04/03/2001 TO HB 3080 BE ADOPTED. (ALL MEMBERS PRESENT EXCEPT REP.

BECK, EXCUSED)

Rep. Witt MOTION: MOVED HB 3080 TO THE

HOUSE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS AS

AMENDED RECOMMENDATION.

027 ROLL CALL VOTE: MOTION PASSED

8-0-1

REPRESENTATIVES VOTING AYE: Bates, Brown, Hass, Williams, Witt,

Carlson, Kafoury, Chair Shetterly

REPRESENTATIVES EXCUSED: Beck

Rep. Williams will carry the bill.

OPENED PUBLIC HEARING ON HJM 25

035 James Jensen Provided a description of the measure and

discussed the background. (Exhibits 10-12)

133 Rep. Witt Spoke in support of the measure.

153 Chair Shetterly Explained his objective in scheduling this

measure and if this moved out of Committee it

would be in conjunction with HB 2704.

OPENED WORK SESSION ON HB 2704

182 Ed Waters Reviewed the measure and described various

scenarios, as outlined in the table "Marriage

Penalty Relief Options." (Exhibit 13)

Distributed a fiscal impact statement. (Exhibit

14)

217 Rep. Witt Spoke in support of the \$1,640 for a single

filer and \$3,280 for joint filers, as explained in

the table. (Exhibit 13)

233 Waters Noted the amounts in the table are indexed for

inflation beginning in the 2003 tax year and

	the adjusted amount is set in five-dollar increments.
236 Rep. Witt	Questioned if the indexing is currently included in the measure or does that need to be added.
254 Waters	That would need to be added.
256 Rep. Witt	Is the indexing reflected in the revenue projection?
257 Waters	Yes.
239 Rep. Bates	Questioned if the same bracketing problems would be seen in this measure as there is in the federal law.
242 Debra Buchana	The bracket amounts for married filing joint are exactly doubled; the inequity seen in the federal tax code is not present in Oregon's tax code.
256 Vice C Kafoury	thair Questioned if this measure raises taxes for single people.
261 Rep. Witt	Yes, to the extent that their standard deduction is decreased.
-	
279 Vice C	is decreased. Chair Spoke to preference of seeing the deduction raised for married people rather than lowering
279 Vice C	is decreased. Chair Spoke to preference of seeing the deduction raised for married people rather than lowering
279 Vice C Kafoury	is decreased. Chair Spoke to preference of seeing the deduction raised for married people rather than lowering a deduction for single people. Explained that was considered but it had a higher revenue impact; the move in this direction was an attempt to be as revenue neutral as possible.
279 Vice C Kafoury 287 Rep. Witt	is decreased. Chair Spoke to preference of seeing the deduction raised for married people rather than lowering a deduction for single people. Explained that was considered but it had a higher revenue impact; the move in this direction was an attempt to be as revenue neutral as possible. Reminded Committee of the Monday meeting

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Joan Green Kim Taylor James

Committee Assistant Revenue Office Manager

Exhibit Summary:

- 1. HB 2670, (-2) amendment, (DJ/ps) 03/21/01, Rep. Morgan, 2 pages
- 2. HB 2670, Testimony, Rep. Morgan, 3 pages
- 3. HB 2670, (-2) Staff Measure Summary and Revenue Impact statement, Martin-Mahar, 2 pages
- 4. HB 3942, Recession Scenarios dated 04/06/2001, Warner, 3 pages
- 5. HB 3942, March 2001 Survey of Current Business, Warner, 2 pages
- 6. HB 2477, (-1) amendment, (DJ/hm/ps) 03/30/01, LRO Staff, 1 page
- 7. HB 2477, (-2) amendment, (DJ/hm/ps) 04/06/01, LRO Staff, 1 page
- 8. HB 2477, Fiscal statement, Waters, 1 page
- 9. HB 3080, (-2) amendment, (DJ/ps) 04/03/01, Chair Shetterly, 1 page
- 10. HJM 25, Staff Measure Summary, Revenue and Fiscal statements, Jensen, 2 pages
- 11. HJM 25, Informational Sheet on Marriage Penalty Tax, Jensen, 1 page
- 12. HJM 25, Informational Sheet on Congressional Tax Packet, Jensen, 2 pages
- 13. HB 2704, Spread Sheet for Marriage Penalty Relief Options, Waters, 1 page
- 14. HB 2704, Fiscal statement, Waters, 1 page