

# HOUSE COMMITTEE ON SMART GROWTH AND COMMERCE

April 9, 2001  
3:15 PM

Hearing Room 50  
Tapes 102 – 105

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Rep. Bill Witt, Chair  
Rep. Betsy Johnson, Vice-Chair  
Rep. Tim Knopp, Vice-Chair  
Rep. Alan Bates  
Rep. Alan Brown  
Rep. Janet Carlson  
Rep. Richard Devlin  
Rep. Jerry Krummel  
Rep. Laurie Monnes-Anderson  
Rep. Vicki Walker

**MEMBER EXCUSED:** Rep. Bill Garrard

**STAFF PRESENT:** Daniel Clem, Committee Administrator  
Renee' Lunsford, Committee Assistant

**MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:** HB 3874 Public Hearing  
HB 3145 Work Session  
HB 2680A Work Session  
HB 2074 Public Hearing

---

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

---

<u>TAPE/#</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Comments</u>
<b>TAPE 102, A</b>		
005	Chair Witt	Calls the committee to order at 3:30 p.m. and opens a public hearing on HB 3874.
<b><u>HB 3874 PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
010	Rep. Bruce Starr	House District 3. Testifies in support of HB 3874.
033	Chair Witt	Asks Rep. Starr if he has reason to believe that state tax dollars are being used now to lobby for local anti-smoking ordinances.
035	Rep. Starr	Responds that it is his understanding that the money sent to the local level and spent through the tobacco-free coalitions is used to lobby for local ordinances against smoking.
038	Chair Witt	Asks Rep. Starr if he supports the proposed -1 amendments.
039	Rep. Starr	Responds affirmatively.
041	Rep. Knopp	Comments on Washington County funding for the 1997-99 biennium and the 1999-01 biennium, and asks why they were defunded.
044	Rep. Starr	Responds that the money was transferred to the American Lung Association. Notes that it was the locally elected leadership in Washington County that had a problem with the policy of using state tax dollars.
049	Chair Witt	Asks Rep. Starr if he knows what happened to that money.
050	Rep. Starr	Responds that he does not.
061	Chair Witt	Asks Rep. Starr if he believes that the bill as written will prevent

		the use of these funds for lobbying and political activities at the state level, as well as to local governments.
064	Rep. Starr	Responds that the bill is targeted to local ordinances.
067	Rep. Walker	Asks if there is a distinction between lobbying and providing factual information.
073	Rep. Starr	Responds that it depends on the context.
074	Rep. Walker	Asks Rep. Starr if he is concerned that there may be some confusion as to whether the action is lobbying or providing factual information.
080	Rep. Starr	Responds that he is not. Comments on what he would like to see these state tax dollars used for.
096	Chair Witt	Asks if most lobbyists would say that lobbying includes providing factual information.
100	Rep. Starr	Responds that this would generally be part of the definition.
103	Chair Witt	Comments that lobbying could include the providing of factual information for the purposes of the passage of an anti-smoking ordinance.
112	Rep. Walker	States there is a distinction with a difference here, but questions how someone would find it and prove it. Asks where someone would go if they felt someone were engaged in these activities.
119	Rep. Krummel	Comments that there is a distinction between lobbying and providing factual information. Asks Rep. Starr how he feels when an organization that is getting this money says they need to give the public information about local ordinances.
152	Rep. Starr	Responds that if it is an effort to provide information, that would be appropriate. Notes that it is the advocacy issue he is concerned with.
165	Rep. Devlin	Comments that he can foresee difficulty in differentiating between imparting factual information and advocating.
200	Rep. Carlson	Reads the statutory definition of lobbying.
210	Chair Witt	States that this definition would not apply. Comments on the language in the bill.
226	Rep. Devlin	States that this issue is not as simple as it sounds.
231	Chair Witt	Suggests that what the language is saying is that the money could be used to provide information without seeking the passage of a particular ordinance.
233	Rep. Devlin	Questions whether a person would be considered advocating if they answered questions before a city council meeting regarding a local anti-smoking ordinance.
238	Chair Witt	Responds that in this case the person would be giving their opinion.
245	Rep. Devlin	States that if this is the case, it is incumbent that a clear definition be written in statute.
249	Rep. Bates	Comments on the intent of the bill. Agrees with Rep. Devlin's comments regarding having a definition.
300	Rep. Walker	Comments on the language in the proposed -1 amendments. Suggests defining the term "political activity".
319	Rep. Monnes-Anderson	States that there is a need to define what constitutes lobbying.
328	Rep. Carlson	Comments in support of the bill. States she would like some clear definitions of lobbying and political activity.
347	Rep. Krummel	States using the word "advocate" rather than the word "lobbyist" might be an option.
355	Chair Witt	Closes the public hearing on HB 3874 and opens a work session

on HB 3145.

**HB 3145 WORK SESSION**

415 Dan Clem

Committee Administrator. Reads staff preliminary summary on HB 3145. Reviews the proposed -4 and -5 amendments.

**TAPE 103, A**

**(Tape 103, A from tape count 000 to 143 repeats the last part of Tape 102, A)**

144 John Powell

Representing Regence Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Oregon. Explains the intent of the proposed -5 amendments.

176 Chair Witt

Asks for clarification that if there is a 10-day grace period, and the notice doesn't go out until the tenth day, the cancellation could not be effective until the twentieth day.

179 Powell

Responds affirmatively.

180 Rep. Carlson

Asks whether in a group insurance policy the policyholder is the employer or the individuals who are the beneficiaries of the policy.

185 Powell

Responds that it is the payer, or the employer in this case.

**194 Rep. Knopp**

**MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3145-5 amendments dated 4/6/01.**

197 Rep. Krummel

Asks for clarification that the -5 amendments are all-inclusive and replace the bill, and no other amendments need to be adopted.

199 Chair Witt

Responds affirmatively.

205 Rep. Phil Barnhart

House District 40. Comments that the -5 amendments include the primary goal that he was concerned about.

221 Rep. Walker

Explains to Rep. Barnhart that Mr. Powell testified that most insurance companies have longer than a 10-day grace period.

236 Chair Witt

States that at least the policyholder will get notice before the policy gets cancelled.

**VOTE: 10-0**

**EXCUSED: 1 - Garrard**

**239 Chair Witt**

**Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**

**240 Rep. Knopp**

**MOTION: Moves HB 3145 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.**

256 Rep. Krummel

States that this is an interesting bill.

261 Chair Witt

Notes that insurance companies may use technical loopholes to rid themselves of high-risk policyholders.

265 Rep. Krummel

States that he will support the bill.

277 Chair Witt

Comments on the importance of the bill.

**VOTE: 10-0**

**AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.**

**EXCUSED: 1 - Garrard**

**298 Chair Witt**

**The motion CARRIES.**

300 Chair Witt

**REP. BARNHART will lead discussion on the floor.**

Closes the work session on HB 3145 and opens a work session on HB 2680A.

**HB 2680A WORK SESSION**

303 Clem

Reads staff preliminary summary on HB 2680A. Notes that there are proposed -2, -3, -4, and -5 amendments.

325 Mike Dewey

Representing the Oregon Cable Telecommunications Association. Testifies in support of the -2 and -5 amendments.

**TAPE 102, B**

020 Rep. Carlson

Asks for a definition of telecommunications.

027 Shelly Jensen

Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Manager, Verizon. Gives

the Federal Communication Commission's definition of telecommunications.

055 Questions and responses between Rep. Carlson and Mr. Dewey regarding what process rural communities use if they do not have anyone around to provide internet service; whether counties, colleges, universities, and community colleges are included in the -4 amendments; and whether the -4 amendments are a crucial piece to make happen what Mr. Dewey is talking about.

107 Jensen Notes that under the terms of the bill with the -2 amendments, local government would continue to be defined as a city or an entity created by a city.

111 Additional questions and responses between Rep. Carlson and Mr. Dewey regarding whether he has any objections to the -4 amendments; whether he is recommending adoption of the -3 amendments; and whether the price is being charged to consumers.

141 Chair Witt Asks for clarification that the bill excludes all provisions of services by cities to other government entities.

144 Dewey Responds affirmatively.

145 Rep. Krummel Asks for clarification that the committee is not looking at the -4 amendments.

150 Clem Clarifies that the proponent is asking the committee to look at the -2 and -5 amendments.

160 Questions and responses between Rep. Krummel and Mr. Dewey regarding whether the bill will exempt those instances where a local government entity partners with a city to provide data transmission services; whether anything in the bill prevents a city from developing a cable company; and what would happen if a city sabotaged a private company's efforts to establish a telecommunications company.

248 Rep. Bates States he feels the bill is better with the amendments. Expresses concerns he still has with the bill. Questions whether communities have a right to create something and pay for it, even if it may not be in their best interest, when a corporation could do the same thing.

272 Dewey Comments that when cities get into a competitive market, there needs to be a higher standard.

296 Chair Witt States that there is also the issue of using tax dollars to compete with private businesses and services.

306 Rep. Brown Asks whether the way the Central Lincoln PUD conducts their business would be effected by the bill and whether they would come under the authority of the PUC.

319 Dewey Responds they would conduct their business as usual and not come under the authority of the PUC.

344 Tom O'Connor Oregon Municipal Electric Utilities. Comments in opposition to the bill.

388 Libby Henry Representing the Eugene Water and Electric Board. Comments on her concerns with the bill.

408 Rep. Devlin Asks Mr. O'Connor if he would be willing to offer an amendment on the Senate side to correct the language in the bill that he feels would cause problems in terms of litigation.

438 O'Connor Responds that they would continue to oppose the bill.

440 Rep. Devlin Asks Mr. Dewey if his strategy is to keep the bill as bad as they can possibly keep it.

443 O'Connor Responds that he has worked with the parties involved in the bill and he would continue to do so, but they oppose the bill.

460 Rep. Carlson **MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2680A-4 amendments dated 3/19/01.**

**VOTE: 10-0**

465	Chair Witt	<b>EXCUSED: 1 - Garrard</b>
469	Rep. Carlson	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
		<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2680A-5 amendments dated 4/5/01.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 10-0</b>
477	Chair Witt	<b>EXCUSED: 1 - Garrard</b>
480	Rep. Carlson	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
		<b>MOTION: Moves HB 2680A to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b>
483	Rep. Brown	States that he will support the bill in committee, but will be voting against the bill on the floor.
490	Rep. Carlson	States she is in support of the bill.
<b>TAPE 103, B</b>		
004	Rep. Walker	Explains she will be voting against the bill.
012	Rep. Krummel	Comments that there are some things about the bill that are confusing, but he will support the bill.
035	Rep. Devlin	Explains that he will be supporting the bill.
060	Rep. Bates	States that he will be voting against the bill.
068	Chair Witt	Notes that the proponents of the bill have made tremendous concessions. Comments in support of the bill.
		<b>VOTE: 6-4</b>
		<b>AYE: 6 - Brown, Carlson, Devlin, Knopp, Krummel, Witt</b>
		<b>NAY: 4 - Bates, Johnson, Monnes Anderson, Walker V</b>
105	Chair Witt	<b>EXCUSED: 1 - Garrard</b>
		<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>
		<b>REP. DEVLIN will lead discussion on the floor.</b>
107	Chair Witt	Closes the work session on 2680A and opens a public hearing on HB 2074.
<b><u>HB 2074 PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
100	Clem	Reads preliminary staff summary on HB 2074.
134	Jason Williams	Executive Director, Taxpayer Association of Oregon. Submits written material ( <b>EXHIBIT A</b> ) and testifies in support of HB 2074.
218	Jan Esler-Rowe	Cascade Cigar and Tobacco. Testifies in support of HB 2074.
245	Steven Rowe	Co-Owner, Cascade Cigar and Wine. Testifies in support of HB 2074.
287	Chair Witt	Asks for clarification that even though nationwide statistics show that premium cigar usage has increased, Mr. Rowe's revenues have been dropping and he believes this is due to sales made through other channels.
302	Rowe	Responds affirmatively.
308		Questions and responses between Rep. Krummel and Ms. Esler-Rowe regarding the difference in taxation of boxes of cigars; whether the tax would still have to be paid if the seller was an internet business; and whether cigar prices will decrease with passage of the bill.
349	Chair Witt	Clarifies the pricing system.
355	Rep. Walker	Asks if the cigars are not all tobacco, what are they.
364	Esler-Rowe	Responds that the warning label indicates that they have non-tobacco ingredients.
368	Rep. Devlin	Asks Ms. Esler-Rowe what she thinks the basis for the 65% figure was.

390	Esler-Rowe	Responds she does not know.
395	Rep. Bates	Asks if this bill will solve the problem.
404	Esler-Rowe	Responds affirmatively.
416	Rep. Knopp	Asks where Oregon ranks on the taxing rates for the country.
423	Rowe	Responds that he cannot recall.
425	Chair Witt	States it is his recollection that Oregon is near the top.
433	Rep. Walker	Asks if cigar buyers pay sales tax if they buy on the internet.
437	Esler-Rowe	Responds they do not.
445	Rep. Walker	References the cost of cognac and questions whether it makes sense that higher quality cigars should cost more.
462	Rowe	Notes that cognac only has a \$.12 or \$.13 per bottle tax versus cigars having \$100 prices.
466	Rep. Knopp	Comments that the issue is really about small business.
<b>TAPE 104, A</b>		
003	Rep. Brown	Asks how they came up with the \$.50 figure.
007	Chair Witt	Responds that this is the number that he chose to put in the bill.
010	Williams	Notes that Oregon is ranked third in terms of tobacco taxes.
020	Rep. Johnson	Asks if there is an element of enforcement on the internet in terms of bringing cigars into the state without having the taxes properly applied to them.
030	Esler-Rowe	Responds that there is no enforcement.
037	Rep. Bates	Questions whether some states do not have taxes on tobacco products.
044	Williams	Notes that there are seven states that do not have a tax.
048	Rep. Johnson	Asks why cigars were singled out.
052	Esler-Rowe	Responds that the impression is that only rich people smoke cigars, but this is not true.
057	Chair Witt	Notes that this applies to other tobacco products and not just cigars.
062	Rep. Carlson	Referencing the material submitted by Mr. Williams, asks what portion of cigars would be included in the "Other Collections" category.
070	Williams	Responds that they would also like to know this.
082	Matthew Singley	Representing T. Whittaker Tobaccos. Testifies in support of HB 2074.
118	Tom Hekker	Cigar smoker. Testifies in support of HB 2074.
152	Don McIntire	Testifies in support of HB 2074.
222	Rep. Krummel	Asks for clarification that regardless of the cost of the cigars, the proposed tax will be \$.50 per cigar.
232	McIntire	States that this makes sense.
244	Rep. Bates	Asks Mr. Singley if his store sold only cigars.
250	Singley	Responds that his store sold only cigars, with the exception of one brand of specially requested cigarettes.
260	Rep. Bates	Asks Mr. Singley if T. Whittaker Tobaccos breaks out how they pay the taxes on cigars versus cigarettes.
262	Singley	Responds that they keep track of this, but he does not have any numbers with him today.
265	Chair Witt	Notes that the bill will be going to the Revenue committee and states that they could put a sunset on this bill so they could measure the revenue impact of any changes and review the bill down the road.
280	Rep. Monnes-Anderson	Comments that the bill is good for small businesses. Notes that it is hard for her to vote for any bill that would increase the use of tobacco products.

307	McIntire	States that the tax is not intended to curtail the activity.
342	Singley	Notes that they are talking about premium cigars.
390	Rep. Knopp	Asks for clarification that it is not the smoking of cigars, but the sale of cigars that is decreasing in Oregon.
400	McIntire	Responds that cigar smoking is not increasing, it has stabilized.
443	Rep. Carlson	Comments on the effect of taxation. Asks Mr. McIntire if the tax on cigarettes is the same as cigars, why is it not having the same impact on cigarette sales as it is on cigar sales.
461	McIntire	Clarifies that the tax on cigarettes is \$.68 per pack. Notes that it is other tobacco products that are being taxed differently.
467	Rep. Carlson	Asks for clarification that cigarettes are not included in ORS 323.505.
470	Chair Witt	Responds that this statute refers to other tobacco products.
473	Rep. Devlin	Asks how long the 35% tax rate was in effect. Notes that this rate did not appear to be an impediment to the revenue rising.
478	McIntire	Responds that it was initially established in 1986. States that it was slightly an impediment.
<b>TAPE 105, A</b>		
018	Rep. Devlin	Comments that one of the difficulties in determining the revenue impact is that the Legislative Revenue Office gives a static projection.
030	Chair Witt	Suggests putting a sunset on the bill so that it can be reviewed at a later date.
036	Rep. Devlin	Compares the bill to HB 2281.
040	Chair Witt	Comments that the fairness issue relative to HB 2074 is different than that of HB 2281.
050	Singley	Clarifies that they pay taxes on what they order, not what they sell.
059	McIntire	States that he spends over \$1,000 on cigars per year and the state is losing the money of that sale.
074	Rep. Walker	States she got a letter from New Hampshire in opposition to the bill from a cigar salesman who is benefiting from Oregon buyers. Asks for clarification that it is not the tax that is causing wine prices to be so high.
088	McIntire	Responds affirmatively.
091	Rep. Walker	Asks Mr. McIntire why he thinks people will stop buying on the internet and start buying locally if the tax is changed.
101	McIntire	Agrees that internet buying will still happen in some cases.
103	Rep. Walker	Asks if a person can buy cigarettes on the internet.
107	Singley	Responds that they can, but it is not entirely legal.
114	Michael Marsh	Testifies in support of HB 2074.
140	Joshua Alpert	Representing Oregon Health Leadership Against Tobacco. Submits and reads written testimony in opposition to HB 2074 <b>(EXHIBIT B).</b>
233	Rep. Knopp	States that Mr. Alpert's group is suggesting raising the cigarette tax, but they claim that cost causes people to stop smoking. Questions how they expect to raise revenues when they are defeating their own purpose.
236	Alpert	Explains that they have several purposes.
238	Chair Witt	Asks Mr. Alpert if he has any evidence to support their belief that increasing the sales tax reduced cigar smoking in Oregon.
248	Alpert	Responds that he has evidence that cigar smoking has gone

252 Chair Witt down, but he does not know whether that is due to the tax. Notes that the committee has been told that cigar use went up significantly nationally.

258 Rep. Knopp Asks how the Oregon voters decided that cigars were the key things they were going to raise taxes on.

268 Alpert Responds that he was not part of the Measure 44 campaign, but he believes that Oregon voters are not naive about the effects of all tobacco products.

276 Questions and responses between Chair Witt and Mr. Alpert regarding how the statistics in Mr. Alpert's written testimony are consistent with his statement that cigar smoking has gone down; whether Mr. Alpert has evidence that Oregon is different than the 50% increase; whether Mr. Alpert is surprised that people would buy cigars over the internet to avoid the 65% tax; and whether wine should be taxed the same way as tobacco products.

318 Ellen Lowe Comments on her role as a chief petitioner for Ballot Measure 44. Notes that Measure 44 was not sold to voters as a \$.30 increase on cigarettes and that was all.

371 Questions and responses between Chair Witt and Ms. Lowe regarding the number of people Ms. Lowe spoke to regarding Measure 44; the number of times cigars were mentioned in the ballot statements that appeared in the voter's pamphlet; whether Ms. Lowe is surprised that people have gone out-of-state to buy tobacco products and whether she believes Oregon is losing revenue because of this; and whether Ms. Lowe believes cigar smoking has declined in Oregon because of the increased tax.

**TAPE 104, B**

026 Chair Witt Closes the public hearing on HB 2074 and reopens the public hearing on HB 3874.

**HB 3874 PUBLIC HEARING (CONTINUED)**

030 John Valley Representing Oregon Health Leadership Against Tobacco. Submits and reads written testimony in opposition to HB 3874 (**EXHIBIT C**). Responds to earlier questions that the committee asked Rep. Starr.

097 Rep. Knopp Asks Mr. Valley if he is familiar with the document about the amount of money that goes to different counties.

099 Valley Responds that he is vaguely familiar with it.

100 Rep. Knopp Notes that the American Lung Association for Washington County received over \$500,000 in the 1999-01 biennium. Asks what the money was spent on.

102 Valley Responds that his understanding of the way all the coalitions work in each county is that they draw up a plan under Measure 44 where they request a certain amount of money for various activities.

117 Rep. Carlson Asks Mr. Valley if he thinks the bill would help clarify that even a person's time should not be used to promote or advocate in a political campaign.

127 Valley Explains that a coalition's policy committee will decide what they want to do. Notes that county staff are not that engaged in making these types of decisions.

147 Rep. Monnes-Anderson Responds to an earlier question from Rep. Knopp by commenting on how the money was used in Washington County.

160 Rep. Devlin Comments on the discussion on agency lobbying that took place last session. Relates example of a current lobbyist who is employed for an entity that is funded entirely by public dollars.

189 Valley Agrees with Rep. Devlin's remarks.

210 Chair Witt States he doesn't think there is a direct analogy with Rep. Devlin's example. Notes that the text of Measure 44 does not



refer to lobbying local governments.

227 Valley Comments that Measure 44 gave the Health Division the charge to deal with tobacco use in Oregon.

236 Chair Witt States that it sounds like lobbyists are being used routinely to lobby local governments.

240 Valley States that the dangers of second-hand smoke are presented to the people of the coalition, and it is up to the coalition to decide what they want to do with this information.

247 Chair Witt Asks Mr. Valley to clarify the statement in his written testimony that “Supporters of this bill apparently assume that Measure 44 funds are routinely and significantly used for hiring professional lobbyists.”

255 Valley Explains that the sense he has is that there is a great fear that Measure 44 money is being used to hire people to go out and pass these ordinances.

280 Rep. Monnes-Anderson Asks Mr. Valley if he sees the staff funded with the tobacco tax money still being able to provide information and technical assistance under the bill.

297 Valley Agrees with the point Rep. Monnes-Anderson is making. Comments on the concerns he’s heard if this bill passes.

322 Rep. Knopp Asks for clarification that it is the Health Division that approves the grants to the local coalitions.

324 Valley Responds that each county coalition has to submit their proposal to the Health Division for approval.

335 Questions and responses between Chair Witt and Mr. Valley regarding whether tobacco tax dollars were used for the contract the American Heart Association, the American Cancer Society, and the American Lung Association have with M&R Strategies and who is paying for the lobbying that is taking place in terms of local ordinances.

366 Mark Nelson Representing 7-11 and R. J. Reynolds. Testifies on funding from the Health Division and the situation in Washington County.

429 Chair Witt Asks for clarification that Mr. Nelson is saying that the Health Division has a policy that when it comes to providing funds to counties, they must have as part of their plan the political activity of seeking local anti-smoking ordinances.

438 Nelson Responds affirmatively.

439 Chair Witt Asks if this is a written policy.

440 Nelson Responds that there are some emails that have been obtained that reflect this policy.

Written testimony of Dennis Dahlen on HB 3874 submitted by Rep. Knopp for the record **(EXHIBIT D)**.

461 Discussion among the committee members regarding hearing from the Health Division on the bill.

480 Rep. Devlin Gives example of Rep. Monnes-Anderson, in her capacity as a public health nurse employed by the county, going to the City of Lake Oswego to testify on the impacts of second-hand smoke. Questions whether this would be appropriate.

**TAPE 105, B**

010 Rep. Carlson Asks for clarification that it is possible to still have a coalition, but to separate the political activity.

012 Nelson Responds affirmatively.

019 Rep. Monnes-Anderson Comments on the coalition in Clackamas County and the presentation that one of the members gave before the Lake Oswego city council. Questions whether Mr. Nelson disagrees with this practice.

031 Nelson States his belief that a person can walk this line, but he feels

042	Chair Witt	these groups have gone way beyond this line. Closes the public hearing on HB 3874. Outlines Wednesday's agenda.
062	Rep. Walker	Notes that she has the voter's pamphlet from 1996 and that cigars were only mentioned twice in the opposition statements and once in the explanatory statement.
081	Chair Witt	Adjourns the committee at 6:50 p.m.

Submitted By,

Reviewed By,

Renee' Lunsford,  
Committee Assistant

Daniel Clem,  
Committee Administrator

Transcribed By,

Stephen Kosiewicz

**EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

**A – HB 2074, written material, Jason Williams, 1 p**

**B – HB 2074, written testimony, Joshua Alpert, 2 pp.**

**C – HB 3874, written testimony, John Valley, 2 pp.**

**D – HB 3874, written testimony, Rep. Tim Knopp, 1 p**