HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STREAM RESTORATION <u>& SPECIES RECOVERY</u>

March 02, 2001 1:00 P.M. Hearing Room E Tapes 48 - 49

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Rep. Bob Jenson, Chair
	Rep. Jan Lee, Vice-Chair
	Rep. Randy Leonard Vice-Chair
	Rep. Tim Knopp
	Rep. Jeff Kruse
	Rep. Patti Smith
	Rep. Al King
	Rep. Carolyn Tomei
	Rep. Kelley Wirth
STAFF PRESENT:	Sandy Thiele-Cirka, Committee Administrator Linda K. Gatto, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:

Work Session SB 214A Informational Meeting Bonneville Power Administration Watershed Council Panel

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. <u>Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words.</u> For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 48, A		
004	Chair Jenson	Calls the meeting to order at 1:07 p.m. and opens a work sessior on SB 214-A.
WORK SES	SSION – SB 214A	
010	Chair Jenson	Reviews SB 214-3 amendments.
012	Rep. Tomei	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 214A-3 amendments dated 03/01/01 (EXHIBIT A).
015		VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion is ADOPTED.
018	Rep. Lee	MOTION: Moves SB 214A to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.
022		VOTE: 6-0
		Smith, Wirth, Knopp, Tomei, Lee, Jenson
		EXCUSED: Kruse, King, Leonard
027 Rep. Tomei	Rep. Tomei	MOTION: Moves to send SB 214A as amended to the
		CONSENT calendar.
		VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion carries.
047	Rep. King	MOTION: Requests unanimous consent that the rules BE
		Suspended to allow Rep. King BE RECORDED as voting AYE on SB 214A as amended.
050		
030		VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion carries.

055	Chair Jenson	Closes the work session on SB 214A and opens informational meeting.
INFORMATI	IONAL MEETING	
070	Anne Morrow	Constituent Account Executive, Bonneville Power
		Administration (BPA). Explains and discusses the role of
		Bonneville Power. Reviews the role of the council and the hydro
		system impact on the fish and wildlife.
082	Mark Shaw	Manager, Anadromous Resident Fish & Wildlife, BPA. Submits
		a current fish habitat projects list (EXHIBIT B) and provides an
	C1	overview on how the projects are implemented.
154	Shaw	Discusses how the BPA interacts with the Oregon Plan.
288	Rep. Tomei	Questions why the Umatilla was funded for \$34 million and the
201	<u>C1</u>	Willamette for less than \$4 million.
291	Shaw	Responds that at the time the focus was on waters above
220	DI	Bonneville.
330	Rep. Lee	Questions if the assessment areas overlap.
324	Shaw	Responds that the breakdown is on sub-basin areas and notes that
		there is overlap. The goal is to have a common foundation to set
		priorities on a regional basis. Discussion on coordination efforts and limitations.
356	Rep. King	Comments on the need to coordinate efforts with the Oregon
550	Kep. King	Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB).
TAPE 49, A		Watershed Emilaneoment Board (OWEB).
0	Shaw	Responds the Oregon Plan is not directly linked to BPA's
		projects. Expresses that the Oregon Department Fish & Wildlife
		(ODFW), conservation districts, and tribal governments fish and
		wildlife priorities are given consideration.
096	Rep. Lee	Comments that if the protocols used by the Environmental
		Protection Agency (EPA), Northwest Power Planning Council
		(NWPPC), and OWEB were standardized for the region, the
		work being done would be complementary.
118	Shaw	Responds in agreement.
139	Chair Jenson	MOTION: Requests unanimous consent that the rules BE
		SUSPENDED to allow Rep. Kruse and Rep. Leonard BE
		RECORDED as voting AYE on SB 214A as amended.
		VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion carries.
154	Wendy Kroger	Greater Salem Watershed Association. Reads prepared testimony
220		(EXHIBIT D).
330	Mary King	Representing Johnson Creek Watershed, submits (EXHIBIT E).
377	Rep. Leonard	Questions the role and importance of the council coordinators.
		Notes concerns regarding the funding reduction for the
393	Ving	coordinators in Johnson Creek and the Columbia Slough. Responds there is a meeting scheduled where this topic will be
393	King	discussed. Reviews the coordinator's responsibility.
TAPE 48, B		discussed. Reviews the coordinator's responsibility.
021	Rep. Tomei	Questions how much time is devoted to the watershed council a
0-1	nop: romer	month.
022	King	Responds approximately ten hours.
028	Kroger	Responds as a volunteer 80 hours a month.
038		Discussion on council coordinators.
050	Al White	Representing a non-profit private environmental group. Provides
		personal support and perspective of local watershed council
		(EXHIBIT F).

136 162	White Chair Jenson	Explains the work being done by watershed councils and the importance of volunteers which are stretch the funds invested by the state. Closes informational meeting and adjourns the meeting at 2:15
Submitted By,		p.m. Reviewed By,

Linda K. Gatto, Committee Assistant Sandy Thiele-Cirka, Committee Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A. SB 214A-3 amendments dated 03/01/01, staff, 1 p

B. Bonneville Power Administration, Mark Shaw, 19 pp.

C. Watershed Councils, written testimony submitted by fax, Pam Folts, 2 pp.

D. Watershed Councils, written testimony, Wendy Kroger, 4 pp.

E. Watershed Councils, printed materials, Mary King, 9 pp.

F. Watershed Councils, written testimony, Al White, 1 p