

SENATE COMMITTEE ON RULES AND REDISTRICTING

April 24, 2001 Hearing Room C
3:00 P. M. Tapes 67 - 68

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Steve Harper, Chair
Sen. Peter Courtney, Vice-Chair
Sen. Jason Atkinson
Sen. Lee Beyer
Sen. Kate Brown
Sen. Randy Miller
Sen. John Minnis

STAFF PRESENT: Craig Allen, Committee Administrator
Annetta Mullins, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: Executive Appointments
Commission on Asian Affairs
Oregon Criminal Justice Commission
Oregon Board of Dentistry
State Board of Forestry
Oregon Government Standards and Practices Commission
Home Care Commission
Oregon Board of Investigators
Board of Medical Examiners
Oregon Racing Commission
Director of the Department of Revenue
Public Hearing
SB 620
Introduction of Committee Measure
Introduction of Committee Legislative Counsel Draft Requests

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

<u>TAPE/#</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Comments</u>
<u>TAPE 67, A</u>		
003	Chair Harper	Calls meeting to order at 3:04 p.m.
		<u>EXECUTIVE APPOINTMENTS</u>
		<u>COMMISSION ON ASIAN AFFAIRS - CAROL SUZUKI (EXHIBIT A)</u>
008	Sen. Courtney	MOTION: Moves to waive the appearance of Carol Suzuki and moves the APPOINTMENT of Carol Suzuki to the Commission on Asian Affairs to the floor with the recommendation that the appointment BE CONFIRMED.
009		VOTE: 4-0 EXCUSED: 3 - L. Beyer, Brown, Miller
	Chair Harper	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. HARPER will lead discussion on the floor.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION - DANIEL GLODE (EXHIBIT B)

011 Sen. Courtney MOTION: Moves to waive the appearance of Daniel Glode and moves the APPOINTMENT of Daniel Glode to the Oregon Criminal Justice Commission to the floor with the recommendation that the appointment BE CONFIRMED.

014 VOTE: 4-0
EXCUSED: 3 - L. Beyer, Brown, Miller

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. HARPER will lead discussion on the floor.

OREGON BOARD OF DENTISTRY - MELISSA GRANT, KEENETH JOHNSON, LINDA LATSHAW (EXHIBIT C).

015 Sen. Courtney MOTION: Moves to waive the appearances of Melissa Grant, Kenneth Johnson, and Linda Latshaw and moves the REAPPOINTMENTS of Kenneth Johnson and Linda Latshaw and the appointment of Melissa Grant to the Oregon Board of Dentistry to the floor with the recommendation that the reappointments and appointment BE CONFIRMED EN BLOC.

016 VOTE: 4-0
EXCUSED: 3 - L. Beyer, Brown, Miller

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. HARPER will lead discussion on the floor.

STATE BOARD OF FORESTRY - CHRISTOPHER HEFFERNAN (EXHIBIT D)

017 Sen. Courtney MOTION: Moves to waive the appearance of Christopher Heffernan and moves the APPOINTMENT of Christopher Heffernan to the State Board of Forestry to the floor with the recommendation that the appointment BE CONFIRMED.

022 VOTE: 5-0
EXCUSED: 2 - L. Beyer, Brown

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. ATKINSON will lead discussion on the floor.

GOVERNMENT STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION - EDWIN GOLOBAY (EXHIBIT E)

033 Sen. Courtney MOTION: Moves to waive the appearance of Edwin Golobay and moves the APPOINTMENT of Edwin Golobay to the Oregon Government Standards and Practices Commission to the floor with the recommendation that the appointment

034 BE CONFIRMED.
VOTE: 5-0
EXCUSED: 2 - L. Beyer, Brown

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. HARPER will lead discussion on the floor.

HOME CARE COMMISSION - LYNN CAMERON, ESTILL DEITZ, MICHAEL GOLDHAMMER, GENEVIEVE GRAHAM (EXHIBIT F)

035 Sen. Courtney MOTION: Moves to waive the appearances of Lynn Cameron, Estill Deitz, Michael Goldhammer, and Genevieve Graham and moves the APPOINTMENTS of Lynn Cameron, Estill Deitz, Michael Goldhammer, and Genevieve Graham to the Home Care Commission to the floor with the recommendation that the appointments BE CONFIRMED EN BLOC.

042 VOTE: 5-0
EXCUSED: 2 - L. Beyer, Brown

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. HARPER will lead discussion on the floor.

OREGON BOARD OF INVESTIGATORS - EDWARD MOUERY (EXHIBIT G)

048 Sen. Courtney MOTION: Moves to waive the appearance of Edward Mouery and moves the APPOINTMENT of Edward Mouery to the Oregon Board of Investigators to the floor with the recommendation that the appointment BE CONFIRMED.

050 VOTE: 5-0
EXCUSED: 2 - Atkinson, Brown

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. HARPER will lead discussion on the floor.

BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS - SHERIDAN THIRINGER (EXHIBIT H)

051 Sen. Courtney MOTION: Moves to waive the appearance of Sheridan Thiringer and moves the APPOINTMENT of Sheridan Thiringer to the Board of Medical Examiners to the floor with the recommendation that the appointment BE CONFIRMED.

053 VOTE: 6-0
EXCUSED: 1 - Brown

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. HARPER will lead discussion on the floor.

OREGON RACING COMMISSION - TODD THORNE (EXHIBIT I)

059 Sen. Courtney **MOTION: Moves to waive the appearance of Todd Thorne and moves the APPOINTMENT of Todd Thorne to the Oregon Racing Commission to the floor with the recommendation that the appointment BE CONFIRMED.**

062 **VOTE: 6-0**
EXCUSED: 1 - Brown

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. HARPER will lead discussion on the floor.

DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE - ELIZABETH HARCHENKO (EXHIBIT J)

067 Sen. Courtney **MOTION: Moves to waive the appearance of Elizabeth Harchenko and moves the REAPPOINTMENT of Elizabeth Harchenko to the Director of the Department of Revenue to the floor with the recommendation that the appointment BE CONFIRMED.**

068 **VOTE: 6-0**
EXCUSED: 1 - Brown

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

SEN. HARPER will lead discussion on the floor.

076 Sen. Atkinson Asks that he be allowed to carry the appointment of Mr. Heffernan to the State Board of Forestry.
Chair Harper Responds affirmatively and states that the remainder of the appointees to the various boards and commissions will be considered en block on the Senate Floor.

077 Chair Harper Closes executive appointments and opens a public hearing on SB 620.

SB 620 – PUBLIC HEARING

083 Senator R. Beyer District 16. Presents the SB 620–1 amendments (EXHIBIT K) that replace the entire bill. Explains that people who used to have contracts with the State of Oregon have been shut out of the bidding process on state contracts because other agencies are doing the work. The SB 620-1 amendments attempt to make it so that if agencies are going to be in the job of doing work for other public bodies we get a true cost reflection of the job. The SB 620-1 amendments would put a limitation on contracts and state agencies would have to go through the bidding process. The bill says if an agency wishes to bid, they shall be required to include all costs that would be included by private sector contractors. The intent is to include the true agency costs so if they are competing against public businesses all costs are included.

140 Believes in Section 4 the wording should say "advertised" instead of "entered into" to make it clear it applies to anything that is happening in the future.

156 Sen. L. Beyer Asks if this is intended to apply only to state agencies.
Sen. R. Beyer Responds it would apply to state agencies if they are offering the

		contract or are bidding on a contract. That would be the intent and believes that is what the language does.
165	Chair Harper	Acknowledges an affirmative head nod from Cindy Hunt in the audience.
	Sen. L. Beyer	Asks if this would interfere with contracts with federal government agencies.
	Sen. R. Beyer	Responds that he believes if state agencies are trying to get contracts with the federal government, they would have to include in the bids for the jobs all associated costs.
173	Sen. L. Beyer	Asks if contracts are synonymous with grants.
164	Cindy Hunt	Legislative Counsel's office. Explains there is currently an exemption for contracts between one public contracting agency and public agencies or the federal government. The SB 620-1 amendments effect that if a contract is between a state agency and any other entity and if the contract is more than \$25,000 they need to competitively bid it. If the contracts are currently with the federal government, the contract would not necessarily have to be competitively bid.
194	Hunt	Explains that she thinks it would depend on the grant itself. Some grants from the federal government are a gift of money, the federal government is not necessarily providing a service.
	Sen. L. Beyer	Asks if it only applies to state agencies bidding for work.
	Hunt	Responds that it applies in two instances. Currently if a state agency enters into an agreement with another agency or the federal government, they don't have to competitively bid it. Under these amendments, if the project is over \$25,000, they need to go through the competitive bids processes.
212	Chair Harper	Asks if they don't have to competitively bid at all, or whether the contract is over \$75,000. Asks if this is lowering the threshold or establishing a threshold.
	Hunt	States that under the statute there is an exemption if the contract is made with any other public agency or the federal government. It doesn't necessarily have a threshold.
	Hunt	Adds that if a public agency other than a state agency that wants to do a contract that would normally go to a state agency, it is also exempted from competitive bidding under this.
235	Sen. L. Beyer	Comments that the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) and Jackson County have entered into contracts for work so they would not duplicate each other. Asks if this would apply.
	Hunt	Responds that if the agreement is between ODOT and a county, it would because ODOT is a state agency.
234	Sen. R. Beyer	Comments in the last two sessions the legislature has striven to make "government" more efficient by allowing them to work together and cooperate more, but in the process that has given them the competitive advantage over jobs that used to be done by private industry. The intent is to not prohibit governments working together, but to get the true costs. If it costs less when all costs are included, it is okay but if not, then we should let private industry do the work.
	Sen. L. Beyer	Asks if Sen. R. Beyer has examples.
260	Sen. R. Beyer	Comments on the prison industry going into the nursery business and states that this bill does not affect that. This is designed to

300	Dugan Petty	go after the other issues where agencies are competing. Deputy Administrator, Transportation, Purchasing and Print Services, Department of Administrative Services. Explains the effects of the SB 620-1 amendments (EXHIBIT L). Issues discussed:
409	Chair Harper	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How we know we are getting the best buy if there is no competitive bidding.
TAPE 68, A 014	Petty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why state agencies fear the paperwork. • Whether this bill would apply to counties contracting for health services, etc. • Whether this bill would affect Regional Strategy Boards to perform economic development services.
	044	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether it would apply to the school formula. • Whether there is a legal distinction between a grant that is written as an intergovernmental agreement and a contract that is written as an intergovernmental agreement. • Federal money that flows through requiring certain obligations.
100		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overriding reason a public agency would not go to bid. • Whether SB 620 is in the best interest of the state.
140	Doug Tindall	State Maintenance Engineer, Oregon Department of Transportation. Presents statement in opposition to the SB 620–1 amendments (EXHIBIT M).
172	Jacqueline Zimmer	Oregon Association of Areas on Aging. States she believes they are unintended targets of this legislation (EXHIBIT N).
220	Bill Penhollow	Association of Oregon Counties. Testifies in opposition to SB 620 with the SB 620-1 amendments (EXHIBIT O). Comments on the various state and county agencies that would be affected negatively by the amendments.
289	Laura Pryor	County Judge in Gilliam County. Explains that when doing projects in Gilliam County they have to aggregate projects to get a job big enough for bid and they must also aggregate funding. States that the county partners well with ODOT.
347	Craig Allen	Administrator. Informs members that James H. Van Dyke, Senior Deputy City Attorney, City of Portland, has submitted written testimony (EXHIBIT P).
353	Don Loving	Public Affairs Director, Oregon American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees. (AFSCME). Testifies in opposition to the SB 620-1 amendments. Comments their concern is that the language is very broad and does not compare apples to apples. Believes the amendments would inflate contracting "in costs" with artificial costs and would essentially preclude their members from competitively bidding on the projects and therefore cost taxpayers money.
437	Arlen Stepper	Past President Local 189 of AFSCME at the City of Portland. Comments on labor-management partnership at the Portland Water Bureau. Explains they had to come up with a cost methodology (EXHIBIT Q). A group of city accountants and a variety of people came up with a "incremental cost model" which included costs for administrators, administration of the Endangered Species Act, field wells, Bull Run, equipment and the equipment supervisor, and the engineer designing the job.

States that they had two court challenges by the Associated General Contractors and won both cases. The cost methodology was reviewed by a neutral third-party accounting firm and they also sent it to a subject expert at Columbia University to have it reviewed. It withstood all the tests.

TAPE 67, B

- 048 Stepper Explains that they do not bid on jobs that come up once a year such as mowing. States that cities that are on the cutting edge, that are dealing with privatization, and that want to have competitive services use the incremental cost approach. States that the SB 620-1 amendments do not get at deciding whether those services are effective.
- 097 Jason Williams Executive Director, Taxpayers Association of Oregon. Summarizes prepared statement in support of SB 620 (**EXHIBIT R**).
- 131 Margaret Johnson Deputy Administrator, Mental Health and Developmental Disability Services Division, Oregon Department of Human Resources. Testifies in opposition to the SB 620-1 amendments (**EXHIBIT S**).
- 160 Joe Schweinhart League of Oregon Cities (LOC). Testifies in opposition to SB 620-1 amendments. States that the cities work well under the intergovernmental agreements and would not want anything to stand in the way of those agreements or hurt the working relationship.
- 169 Tom O'Connor Oregon Municipal Electric Utilities. States their concern is in the energy facility siting process. The Department of Energy contracts with other state agencies such as the Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Department of Agriculture, Department of Environmental Quality, and Fish and Wildlife to perform the assessment of the project. If those have to go to competitive bid, it would lengthen the siting process.
- 184 Chair Harper Closes the public hearing on SB 620 and opens a work session on introduction of committee measures.

INTRODUCTION OF COMMITTEE MEASURES

189 Sen. Courtney **MOTION: Moves LC 4206 BE INTRODUCED as a committee bill.**

191 **VOTE: 4-0**
EXCUSED: 3 - Brown, Miller, Minnis

Chair Harper Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.

NOTE: LC 4206 IS INTRODUCED AS SB 965.

INTRODUCTION OF COMMITTEE LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL DRAFT REQUESTS

195 Sen. Courtney **MOTION: Moves TO MAKE A LC DRAFT REQUEST OUTLINED IN MEMORANDUM FROM ROY HEMMINGWAY (EXHIBIT T) BE INTRODUCED AS A SENATE RULES AND REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE LC DRAFT REQUEST.**

203 **VOTE: 4-0**
EXCUSED: 3 - Brown, Miller, Minnis

	Chair Harper	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
204	Sen. Courtney	MOTION: Moves TO MAKE LC DRAFT REQUEST OUTLINED IN STAFF MEMO (EXHIBIT U) BE INTRODUCED AS A SENATE RULES AND REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE LC DRAFT REQUEST.
210		VOTE: 4-0 EXCUSED: 3 - Brown, Miller, Minnis
	Chair Harper	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
211	Chair Harper	Adjourn meeting at 4:16 p.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Annetta Mullins, Craig Allen,
Committee Assistant Committee Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A - Asian Affairs, Commission on, staff and Governor's office, 6 pp**
- B - Criminal Justice Commission, Oregon, staff and Governor's office, 5 pp**
- C - Dentistry, Oregon Board of, staff and Governor's office, 27 pp**
- D - Forestry, State Board of, staff and Governor's office, 9 pp**
- E - Government Standards and Practices Commission, staff and Governor's office, 6 pp**
- F - Home Care Commission, staff and Governor's office, 25 pp**
- G - Investigators, Oregon Board of, staff and Governor's office, 4 pp**
- H - Medical Examiners, Board of, staff and Governor's office, 7 pp**
- I - Racing Commission, Oregon, staff and Governor's office, 4 pp**
- J - Revenue, Director of Department of, staff and Governor's office, 4 pp**
- K - SB 620, SB 620-1 amendments, Sen. R. Beyer, 8 pp**
- L - SB 620, prepared statement, Dugan Petty, 2 pp**
- M - SB 620, prepared statement, Doug Tindall, 1 p**
- N - SB 620, prepared statement, Jacqueline Zimmer, 1 p**
- O - SB 620, prepared statement, Bill Penhollow, 1 p**
- P - SB 620, prepared statement, James H. Van Dyke, 2 pp**
- Q - SB 620, Cost Comparison Methodology for Construction Projects report, Arlen Stepper, 33 pp**
- R - SB 620, prepared statement, James D. Williams, 1 p**
- S - SB 620, prepared statement, Margaret A. Johnson, 2 pp**
- T - Introduction of Committee Legislative Counsel Draft Request, Roy Hemmingway, 4 pp**
- U - Introduction of Committee Legislative Counsel Draft Request, staff, 1 p**

SENATE COMMITTEE ON RULES AND REDISTRICTING

April 24, 2001 Hearing Room CORVALLIS
6:30 P.M. Tapes 69 - 70

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Steve Harper, Chair
Sen. Peter Courtney, Vice-Chair

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Sen. Jason Atkinson
Sen. Lee Beyer
Sen. Kate Brown
Sen. Randy Miller
Sen. John Minnis

VISITING MEMBERS: Sen. Cliff Trow
Sen. Mae Yih
Rep. Richard Devlin
Rep. Lane Shetterly
Rep. Kelley Wirth

STAFF PRESENT: Craig Allen, Committee Administrator
Annetta Mullins, Committee Assistant

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: Redistricting

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

<u>TAPE/#</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Comments</u>
TAPE 69, A		
004	Chair Harper	Calls meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. and opens a public hearing on redistricting.
<u>REDISTRICTING - PUBLIC HEARING</u>		
	Staff	Distributes handout (EXHIBIT A).
	Chair Harper	Explains redistricting process.
020	Members	Introduce themselves.
034	Dale Kraus	Linn County resident. Is concerned about redistricting. Albany has people interested in deregulation and they have been working with Congressman Peter DeFazio. There has been talk about making the district she lives in part of Congresswoman Darlene Hooley's district. Feels Congresswoman Hooley has her issues but the people in the district have somebody working for them on the energy situation. Is schoolteacher and is concerned about utility bill being one-half of her paycheck for energy.
054	Edwin Christie	Resident of Crabtree. Interested in keeping Congressman DeFazio because he is working on trade issues, regulation of electric energy, and gasoline. The issues will be negative on households and at every level of government. Feels

084	Lee Vasche	<p>Congressman DeFazio is more in step with what the people of Albany and the Crabtree area are interested in.</p> <p>Oregon State University student. Comments that Corvallis should be moved into the 4th Congressional District because it would create more of an education district with the University of Oregon and Oregon State University together. Believes the students' needs would be better represented in Washington, D.C. It also makes more sense geographically to have Benton County as a whole in Congressional District 4.</p>
105	Marilyn Slizeski	<p>Resident of Philomath. Comments on redistricting of Legislative Districts 35 and 34. Some of the growth in Corvallis is happening on the southwest side and the growth in Philomath is happening on the east side. Essentially there is no separation of the communities. Philomath has changed from a mill community to a bedroom community for Corvallis. Most Philomath residents work in Corvallis and depend on Corvallis for their hospital services, entertainment, and shopping. District 35 needs about 4,000 more population. Currently Philomath is in District 34 which is tied it to a lot of small rural communities and their issues are different. It makes sense that Philomath would provide that 4,000 to District 35.</p>
137	Mondalee Lengkeek	<p>Mayor of Tangent. States that Tangent's linkage with Albany has been successful in the past and the people are very satisfied with Congressman DeFazio as their representative. Tangent is geographically close to Corvallis but is a rural community. It is the desire of the community to maintain the rural nature. Tangent has very little in common with the Corvallis community. Tangent would not be interested in being in District 35.</p>
159	Mike Volpe	<p>Corvallis resident. Agree with Marilyn Slizeski about Philomath. The two communities are closely linked and it makes sense to have Philomath and Corvallis in the same district. Also agrees with having the two university towns in same congressional district.</p>
	Chair Harper	<p>Comments that a letter from Alan Holcombe encouraging that Philomath and Corvallis be included in one house district has been received by the committee and is made a part of the record (EXHIBIT B).</p>
180	Annabelle Jaramillo	<p>Resident of Philomath. Comments on how to redistrict for house legislative districts. Suggests that Philomath and its outlying areas be included in House District 35. There is commonality in the geographic region and the two cities were contiguous. They can still use the geographic or political boundaries connected by transportation linkages. Many of the interests of Corvallis are the interests of Philomath.</p>
200	Linda Modrell	<p>Benton County Commissioner and resident outside Philomath. Benton County is currently in House Districts 34, 35, and 36. Understands that District 34 has a surplus of close to 4,000 votes while House District 35 needs approximately 5,500, and House District 36 also needs about 2,300 population. Explains that the municipality of Albany extends into Benton County and Philomath is entirely in Benton County. It makes sense to expand District 34 to Philomath. The adjustment would be</p>

		<p>consistent with the issues of community of interest including transportation and in some cases the Corvallis School District programs. The adjustment would not dilute the voting strength of any language or ethnic minority, nor would it breach any existing geographic boundaries. Moving the boundary of House District 35 north into eastern and north Albany could have the same effect in terms of population distribution. However, Albany is currently split by a major river and county boundaries. The community of interest there besides the county is that of transportation.</p>
252	Charlie Tomlinson	<p>Resident of Corvallis and President, Corvallis City Council. States that the citizens of Corvallis would like to keep Corvallis in its own house and senate district and that those districts should also include the urban growth boundary (UGB) (EXHIBIT C).</p>
	Chair Harper Tomlinson	<p>Asks what the comparison of the UGB is to the city limits. Comments the population of Corvallis is 50,000 and believes the population in the UGB is less than 5,000. Adds that the population of Benton County is 75,000.</p>
296	Sen. Trow	<p>Comments that the senate district is larger than Corvallis and would have to include additional areas. Asks if Tomlinson would object to putting Vinyard Mountain and some of the areas to the north and to the east in the senate district.</p>
	Tomlinson	<p>Responds that the League of Women Voters is having their annual meeting this evening and he was asked to bring a message from the residents of Vinyard Mountain. Currently they are in Senator Yih's district and appreciate the work Sen. Yih does, but their community of interest in Corvallis. Explains that the area is at the outer fringe of the UGB.</p>
316	Sen. Courtney Tomlinson	<p>Asks where Philomath is in relationship to the UGB. Responds it is outside the UGB and to the west. Adds that the City of Corvallis and Philomath recently, in the last five years, worked on a land use plan, referred to as the West Corvallis-North Philomath Plan, to work in the urban fringe area west of the city and to the north of Philomath to bring some land use planning to the area.</p>
329	Janet Steele	<p>President, Albany Area Chamber of Commerce. States they represent about 700 members in the Albany area, which also includes Millersburg and Tangent. Adds that they also include members who are in Corvallis, Eugene, Salem, and Lebanon. Comments they enjoy North Albany being with the remainder of Albany even though North Albany is in Benton County, but its ties are to Albany in Linn County and they would not want to see that separated off. The Chamber's Governmental Affairs Committee asks to move all of Albany into District 5 because currently Albany is split between two congressional districts. Also suggests that Corvallis and Philomath be moved into Congressional District 4. Corvallis and Eugene have university systems that create a special bond economically and socially. Believes the change would result in the population changes needed.</p>
390	Sen. Trow	<p>Comments that he is not sure the universities would be content to be in the same congressional districts. Believes they enjoy each having their own congressperson.</p>

442	Pat Malone	Resident of Kings Valley area (outside Philomath). Suggests that all of Corvallis be in one district and that Philomath be added to increase the population of the district.
Tape 70, A 037	Jeff Kubler	Resident of Adair Village and Chairman of Benton County Republican Party. Comments there is confusion among voters in Benton County about whether they are in the 4 th or 5 th Congressional District. Suggests that is why it makes sense to cut off the little finger that comes down off the 5 th district around Corvallis and put it in 4 th congressional district.
062	Chair Harper	Determines there are no further witnesses signed up to testify. Explains handout.
082	Chair Harper	Adjourns meeting at 7:03 p.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Annetta Mullins, Committee Assistant	Craig Allen, Committee Administrator
---	---

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A - Redistricting, handout, staff, 4 pp**
- B - Redistricting, letter, Alan Holcombe, 1 p**
- C - Redistricting, prepared statement, Charles Tomlinson, 1 p**