

**HOUSE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE**

**SUBCOMMITTEE ON REGULATIONS**

**April 19, 1999 Hearing Room 350**

**3:00 p.m. Tape 41 - 42**

**MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Bill Witt, Chair**

**Rep. Al King**

**Rep. Anita Rasmussen**

**Rep. Mark Simmons**

**STAFF PRESENT: Jason Cody, Administrator**

**Nancy Geisler, Administrative Support**

**MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 3521 Public Hearing**

**HB 3388 Public Hearing**

**HB 3144 Work Session**

**HB 3521 Public Hearing and Work Session**

**HB 3234 Public Hearing and Work Session**

**HB 3535 Public Hearing and Work Session**

**HB 3556 Public Hearing**

**HB 3559 Public Hearing**

**HB 3069 Public Hearing**

**HB 3281 Public Hearing**

**HB 3558 Public Hearing**

**HB 3388 Public Hearing**

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

--	--	--

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
<b>TAPE 41, A</b>		
002	Chair Witt	Opens the meeting at 3:15 p.m. and opens public hearing on HB 3521.
<b><u>HB 3521 ñ PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
004	Rep. Karen Minnis	District 20. Testifies in support of HB 3521. Reports the impetus for HB 3521 was that someone traded in their old car to purchase another car. Notes that the loan for the new car was not approved. Advises that when the new car was returned to the dealer, the trade-in had already been sold, so the person did not have a car. Emphasizes that dealers should hold trade-ins until the loan is approved.
028	Chair Witt	Closes public hearing on HB 3521 and opens public hearing on HB 3388.
<b><u>HB 3388 ñ PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
030	Jason Cody	Explains HB 3388.
034	Rep. Ryan Deckert	District 8. Presents testimony in support of HB 3388 ( <b>EXHIBIT A</b> ). States that sweepstakes mailings are misleading and affect the elderly because disclaimers are not printed in language large enough for them to read.
064	Rep. Deckert	Notes that people are led to believe two things through the misleading mailings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That they must purchase magazines or other merchandise in order to win.</li> <li>• They can be led to believe they have won when they read in large type, "You are the winner" and cannot read the small print which states "if you return the correct winning number."</li> </ul>
074	Chair Witt	Closes public hearing on HB 3388 and opens work session on HB 3144.
<b><u>HB 3144 ñ WORK SESSION</u></b>		
090	Cody	Explains HB 3144.
099	Michael Smith	Legislative Committee Chair, Structural Engineering Association of Oregon. Explains the ñ1 amendments ( <b>EXHIBIT B</b> ) to HB 3144.
126	Chair Witt	Points out letter from John Talbot ( <b>EXHIBIT C</b> ) expressing his feelings with regard to the amendments. Reads from ORS 455.447 regarding the definition of "significant structure." Continues reading from ORS 455.447 and explains how

		the amendments define "essential facilities."
178	Rep. Rasmussen	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3144-1 amendments dated 4/15/99.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 4-0</b>
	Chair Witt	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
187	Rep. Rasmussen	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 3144 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b>
190	Rep. King	Remarks that, even though Talbot's letter states a lot of opposition to HB 3144, there has been a lot of support shown.
200	Chair Witt	Notes he appreciates the effort by those who worked on the amendments to HB 3144.
206	Rep. Rasmussen	States Talbot will have another opportunity to express his feelings on HB 3144 on the Senate side.
207	Chair Witt	Agrees with this.
209		<b>VOTE: 4-0</b> <b>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</b>
212	Chair Witt	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>  <b>REP. WITT will lead discussion in the full committee.</b>
218	Chair Witt	Closes work session on HB 3144 and reopens public hearing on HB 3521.
<b><u>HB 3521 n PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
225	Cody	Explains HB 3521.
230	Darrell Fuller	Lobbyist, Oregon Automobile Dealers Association (OADA). Testifies in support

		of HB 3521. Reports his concern with keeping a trade-in vehicle until a loan is approved was dealers having vehicles on their lots which they are unable to sell. Adds that dealers assured him this does not occur often enough to create a hardship.
260	Peter Shepherd	Attorney, Financial Fraud, Consumer Protection Section, Department of Justice (DOJ). Presents testimony in support of HB 3521 ( <b>EXHIBIT D</b> ). Urges the committee to adopt the hand-engrossed amendments from the DOJ ( <b>EXHIBIT E</b> ). Adds the DOJ's amendments return all parties to the status quo before the transaction began and the sale failed.
304	Shepherd	Explains it is unlikely that HB 3521 will impose a burden on dealers.
324	Rep. King	Asks if an intervening bankruptcy of the dealer would make a difference in the status.
327	Shepherd	States he does not believe it would, because bankruptcy proceedings trump everything that happens under authority of state law.
337	Monty King	Lobbyist, Oregon Independent Auto Dealers Association (OIADA). Testifies in support of HB 3521. Notes the amendments make it clear that things are to go back to the status quo.
360	Chair Witt	Asks if M. King is satisfied that the amendments strengthen HB 3521.
365	King	Answers yes.
376	Chair Witt	Closes the public hearing on HB 3521 and opens work session on HB 3521.
<b><u>HB 3521 n WORK SESSION</u></b>		
380	Rep. King	Declares a potential conflict of interest because Monty King is his brother.
384	Rep. Rasmussen	Asks if the conceptual amendments can be moved forward to the committee.
390	Chair Witt	Answers yes.
394	Rep. Rasmussen	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3521 conceptual amendments dated 4/19/99 to add a subsection (3) under SECTION 2 on page 1.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 4-0</b>

396	Chair Witt	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
398	Rep. Rasmussen	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 3521 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b>
400	Rep. King	Declares a potential conflict of interest.
		<b>VOTE: 4-0</b> <b>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.</b>
402	Chair Witt	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>  <b>REP. RASMUSSEN will lead discussion in the full committee.</b>
<b>TAPE 42, A</b>		
011	Chair Witt	Closes work session on HB 3521 and opens public hearing on HB 3234.
<b><u>HB 3234 n PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
015	Cody	Explains HB 3234.
019	Roger Martin	Lobbyist, Martin and Associates. Testifies in support of HB 3234.
041	Martin	Comments that they are asking for a window of opportunity for companies to register their plant electricians and grandfather them in. Emphasizes these electricians will only do the work they have always done in the plant, but will not be licensed to go outside the plant as electricians.
080	Rep. Rasmussen	Asks why plant engineers who were already doing this work were not captured before 1997 and brought through the regular process.
086	Martin	States they were not aware of pertinent legislation at that time.
090	Rep. Rasmussen	Inquires if they were previously aware that the law existed.
092	Martin	Answers they were unaware of the change in 1995 which required them to be grandfathered in.

096	Rep. Rasmussen	Asks if people are outside the regular process doing work, why does this legislation need to keep being reopened.
103	Martin	Notes the nearest apprenticeship program is in Eugene, and they did not know the new requirement had been put into law.
107	Rep. Rasmussen	Inquires if a plant engineer loses their status if they come in under this concept.
110	Martin	Explains the plant engineers would retain their status. Reports they are millwrights who do multiple tasks, and the electrical part of their work may only come up once a month.
118	Paul Haugen	Vice President, Timber Products Company. Testifies in support of HB 3234. Explains the millwrights are mechanical workers who work side by side with journeymen electricians. Adds they want to grandfather the millwrights in with regard to on-the-job experience. Explains these people need more education to become limited maintenance electricians (LMEs).
130	Rep. Rasmussen	Inquires if in 1996 a plant has five LMEs and the law sunsets out, does the status of the LMEs go away, or are they permanently licensed LMEs.
135	Haugen	Asks for clarification.
137	Rep. Rasmussen	Describes it is 1996 and there are five people who go through the alternative licensing process and become LMEs. Adds if the bill sunsets in 1997, did the status sunset or did the process of getting there sunset.
146	Haugen	Answers the process of getting there sunset, and what sunset is the fact that those people could receive on-the-job training for experience they had in the past.
149	Rep. King	States he believes Haugen means credit for training.
150	Haugen	Agrees he meant credit for on-the-job training. Notes they want to ensure that on-the-job training is credited and continue to make sure that their employees pass the test for the LME.
153	Rep. Rasmussen	Remarks that by reopening HB 3234 as a moving sunset, it will become a permanent status that there will be alternative licensing. Asks if Haugen is trying to aim for being able to send a replacement employee for someone who quits through the program.
158	Haugen	States there must be an approved apprenticeship program, requiring hours worked and a test. Reports that, since they missed the window of opportunity, they want to credit their employees with on-the-job training and make sure they can secure an LME license.

168	Rep. Rasmussen	Notes she understands this, but there are a couple of things going on.
170	Martin	Responds they are not trying to change the body of the law. Reiterates their plant electricians did not take advantage of the window of opportunity they had in 1995 and 1997 to prove they had the experience to become LMEs.
176	Cindy Robert	Lobbyist, Martin and Associates. Clarifies the process for obtaining an LME license. Notes grandfather clause is being put in so that people with the experience but no apprenticeship program can be grandfathered in before more stringent rules apply.
196	Chair Witt	Asks if there is no approved apprenticeship program, will experience be considered for licensing.
199	Robert	Answers same experience, same test.
201	Rep. Simmons	Notes that just because a person has not gone through the apprenticeship program does not mean they do not have the job skills to do the work.
205	Robert	Answers this is correct.
206	Chair Witt	Notes this gives a window of opportunity.
208	Robert	Replies yes.
210	Rep. King	Asks if HB 3234 allows new people who are gaining practical experience to come in under succeeding windows of opportunity, therefore undoing a need to have apprenticeship programs.
219	Haugen	States the people they are talking about have a four-year apprenticeship program regulated by the Bureau of Labor and have gone through a similar type of apprenticeship.
225	Rep. King	Asks if they are trying to get people who have been in place at work to be able to function as they always have, or are new people being put in because they have on-the-job training without an apprenticeship program.
233	Robert	Reports they do not want people who have garnered experience and have been there all along to have to restart the apprenticeship program because they missed the deadline. Adds new people are involved in apprenticeship programs.
237	Rep. Simmons	Clarifies this if for people who missed the 1997 deadline, not for those who have been there in 1998 and 1999.

241	Robert	States those people would be involved in an apprenticeship program.
258	Joe Brewer	Administrator, Oregon Building Codes Division. Presents testimony in support of HB 3234 ( <b>EXHIBIT F</b> ). Reports approximately 400 additional LME licenses being issued as a result of HB 3234.
279	Chair Witt	Asks if he supports HB 3234.
282	Brewer	Answers yes, the Building Codes Division feels it would provide an equal opportunity for people to be qualified as LMEs.
282	Chair Witt	Inquires if these people would still have to undergo the usual testing in order to be licensed.
286	Brewer	Answers yes.
290	Rep. Simmons	Asks if the individuals affected by this legislature do limited kinds of work, not heavy duty computer operations.
295	Brewer	Explains these individuals would provide a full range of services within a given plant.
303	John Gervais	Lobbyist, National Electrical Contractors Association. States they would feel more comfortable if HB 3234 allowed people working now to sign up and bypass the traditional apprenticeship program.
317	Chair Witt	Reports that HB 3234 allows these people to file a licensing application on or before December 31, 2000. Explains the apprenticeship committee making the determination will consider these individuals' experience up to that time.
330	Gervais	States he does not read HB 3234 that way, but sees it as blessing people with "illegal experience" and letting them sit for the test and be licensed if they pass the test. Notes they are concerned new hires will avoid learning the business before December 31, 2000.
342	Chair Witt	Closes public hearing on HB 3234 and opens work session on HB 3234.
<b><u>HB 3234 n WORK SESSION</u></b>		
346	Chair Witt	Asks the committee how they feel about HB 3234.
349	Rep. Simmons	Answers he is agreeable to HB 3234.



350	Rep. Rasmussen	States she is uncomfortable with HB 3234, but may change her mind with more information.
356	Rep. King	Notes that he is glad to support HB 3234 through the committee and to the floor. Adds they need to decide whether to build the apprenticeship program to the appropriate level or just accept on-the-job experience.
372	Chair Witt	Comments he agrees with Rep. King and is willing to support HB 3234 with the understanding that it sunsets on December 31, 2000. Adds if HB 3234 comes up for extension in 2001, he will probably vote no on it.
389	Rep. Simmons	Thinks they should see if apprenticeship programs are available geographically.
399	Chair Witt	Remarks that might be a reason to make some changes in the apprenticeship program, but does not have any direct bearing on HB 3234.
402	Rep. Simmons	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 3234 to the full committee with a DO PASS recommendation.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 3-1</b>  <b>AYE: 3 - King, Simmons, Witt</b>  <b>NAY: 1 ñ Rasmussen</b>
404	Chair Witt	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>  <b>REP. SIMMONS will lead discussion in the full committee.</b>  <b>NOTE: Rep. Rasmussen reserves the right to change her decision in the full committee.</b>
<b>TAPE 41, B</b>		
013	Chair Witt	Closes work session on HB 3234 and opens public hearing on HB 3535.
<b><u>HB 3535 ñ PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
017	Cody	Explains HB 3535.

022	Rep. Simmons	States that HB 3535 would make it illegal to knowingly misrepresent the geographic location of a business.
042	Bruce Shaul	Sprint. Asks about the liability of the directory company taking a misleading listing. States he believes that HB 3234 limits liability in that regard.
053	Rep. Simmons	Answers HB 3535 does limit the liability of the publisher of the telephone directory.
057	Shaul	Notes the onus is on the business to give a valid directory listing.
059	Rep. Simmons	Agrees with this.
060	Chair Witt	Reads from HB 3535 to clarify this issue.
065	Rep. Simmons	Reports HB 3535 involves truth in advertising. Indicates the committee can either move HB 3535 or narrow it to include only flower shops.
074	Chair Witt	Thinks that HB 3535 should not be limited to only flower shops, but they should consider ramification of the language in general.
078	Rep. King	Asks about a circumstance where someone named "Salem" advertises their business in all cities named Salems in the United States.
087	Rep. Simmons	Comments HB 3535 requires a physical address.
089	Chair Witt	Reads language in HB 3535, page 1, lines 4 and 5, regarding intentional misrepresentation. Adds this is a high standard.
098	Rep. King	Suggests the ability to prove intent is a high standard.
099	Chair Witt	Agrees with this and notes it is a good idea. Reads from HB 3535 again and notes concern about the word "implies" on page 1, line 6.
106	Rep. Rasmussen	Inquires what is the harm they are trying to address.
111	Chair Witt	States people might believe they are doing business with a local firm, but the firm is intentionally misrepresenting their location. Adds people want local support and follow-up on what they buy. Notes HB 3535 also protects local businesses.
127	Rep. Simmons	Agrees this is true. Comments these operations charge a much higher price for their products and generate bad will for the local florist companies.

135	Chair Witt	Reports these operations are called supply pirates in his business area.
143	Gervais	Reiterates that when customers use a plumber or electrician who is not local, the customers must pay for travel time, and this can dramatically increase the expense.
156	Rep. Simmons	States this can be avoided if the business' physical location is listed in the telephone book.
162	Gervais	Comments that deception is costly, and HB 3535 gives people an opportunity to consider travel costs when ordering service.
166	Chair Witt	Suggests HB 3535 does not require putting a business address in the telephone book. States it does not allow intentional misrepresentation of a business location or falsely stating the location of a business.
181	Rep. King	Asks what the penalty is if someone misrepresents their business location.
183	Chair Witt	Notes this is engaging in an unlawful trade practice under HB 3535.
185	Rep. Rasmussen	Asks what this means.
187	Chair Witt	Replies this means the business is then subject to fines.
189	Shepherd	Explains the penalties for an unlawful trade practice are up to \$25,000 civil penalty per violation in an action brought by the government. Comments the penalty may also result in private action by a consumer, and this involves \$200 minimum damages recovery for the consumer. Adds that only consumers can bring claims, not competitors.
206	Rep. King	Inquires if this statute will be enforced.
210	Shepherd	Answers the DOJ has more complaints than they can deal with, so they must triage the complaints. Reports an isolated event is unlikely to bring an enforcement action. Adds the amount of penalty imposed is up to a court.
221	Rep. King	Suggests persistence could cause compliance efforts. Asks if the statutes are enforced, do the entities just relocate.
227	Shepherd	Comments the DOJ tries to deter people using a minimum investment of resources, so will typically send a harsh letter to the company first.
237	Chair Witt	Asks about the language in HB 3535, page 1, line 5, regarding the words "assumed or fictitious." States they want to control intentional misrepresentation.

249	Shepherd	Agrees with this and adds that leaving in "assumed or fictitious" might allow a business to use a truthful business name which still intentionally misrepresents the geographic location.
254	Chair Witt	Inquires if Shepherd would support an amendment to eliminate the words "assumed or fictitious."
257	Shepherd	Answers yes.
259	Chair Witt	Asks what Shepherd's thoughts are on the word "implies."
263	Shepherd	Reports he is not as concerned about the word "implies" as Chair Witt is because without this word in the statute, there would be less leverage in getting a businesses to change its behavior.
279	Chair Witt	Asks if that false implication would have to be with intent from the language of the statute.
284	Shepherd	Answers no, because of the presence of the word "or."
287	Chair Witt	Inquires if something is falsely stated negligently, should that be an unlawful trade practice.
290	Shepherd	Responds current law of the Unlawful Trade Practices Act allows negligent misrepresentations to technically be a violation of the law. Adds a misrepresentation can take place if the person knows or should know that the misrepresentation is being made. Notes that HB 3535 has this same intent.
311	Chair Witt	Asks when the DOJ looks at these kinds of cases and decides to pursue them, would intentional misrepresentation be viewed more harshly than negligent misrepresentation.
317	Shepherd	Confirms this is right. Adds they try to focus their limited resources on the worst of the worst, and intentional misrepresentation is worse than negligent misrepresentation. Emphasizes this does not explain how a private litigant would apply the Unlawful Trade Practices Act.
329	Chair Witt	Comments that would be subject to a court decision.
331	Shepherd	Replies this is correct.
333	Chair Witt	Closes public hearing on HB 3535 and opens work session on HB 3535.
<b><u>HB 3535 n WORK SESSION</u></b>		

337	Chair Witt	Acknowledges he likes HB 3535 and thinks it serves a public need. Suggest some language changes.
347	Rep. Simmons	Notes he agrees.
349	Rep. Rasmussen	Suggests using "business entry" rather than a business name, so the entire address issue will be covered.
353	Chair Witt	Comments it should be "business name" or "business entry."
359	Rep. Rasmussen	Reports she is concerned about doing business as (DBA) being able to slip under the law.
362	Chair Witt	Answers a DBA would be included in the words "business name."
366	Rep. Rasmussen	Asks if she wanted to call her business "Enterprise Flowers" because she is a "Star Trek" fan, would she be violating the statute.
370	Chair Witt	Inquires if she is intentionally misrepresenting the geographic location.
372	Rep. Rasmussen	Answers she does not believe she is.
376	Chair Witt	Thinks if a person can show that they have not intentionally represented their geographic location, they are not within the purview of HB 3535.
<b>389</b>	<b>Rep. Simmons</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves to CONCEPTUALLY AMEND HB 3535 on page 1, in line 5, after "an," delete "assumed or fictitious."</b>
		<b>VOTE: 4-0</b>
<b>407</b>	<b>Chair Witt</b>	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>  <b>NOTE: Rep. Rasmussen declares she will reserve her objection for the full committee.</b>
<b>409</b>	<b>Rep. Simmons</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 3535 to the full committee with a DO PASS AS CONCEPTUALLY AMENDED recommendation.</b>

		<p><b>VOTE: 3-0</b></p> <p><b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. King</b></p>
411	Chair Witt	<p>Hearing no objection, declares the motion <b>CARRIED</b>.</p> <p><b>REP. SIMMONS</b> will lead discussion in the full Committee.</p> <p><b>NOTE: Rep. Rasmussen</b> declares she supports HB 3535 into the full committee, but continues to have reservations about it.</p>
<b>TAPE 42, B</b>		
013	Chair Witt	Closes work session on HB 3535 and opens public hearing on HB 3556 and 3559.
<b><u>HB 3556 n PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
026	Rep. Dan Gardner	Districts 13. Explains HB 3556.
028	John Gervais	Lobbyist, International Union of Elevator Constructors. Presents testimony in support of HB 3556 ( <b>EXHIBIT G</b> ). Remarks statute does not require the mechanical portion of apprenticeship training for an elevator installer, and only the electrical portion of the training is required. Suggests this a serious public safety concern.
072	Gervais	Distributes a memo addressed to Legislative Council regarding proposed amendments to HB 3556 ( <b>EXHIBIT H</b> ).
089	Chair Witt	Closes public hearing on HB 3556 and opens public hearing on HB 3559.
<b><u>HB 3559 n PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
094	Rep. Gardner	Reports that HB 3556 with amendments is the preferred bill, and if it is moved out, there is no necessity for HB 3559.
096	Chair Witt	Clarifies that if HB 3556 is passed, there is no need for HB 3559.
098	Rep. Gardner	Replies that is correct.

100	Chair Witt	Closes public hearing on HB 3559 and opens public hearing on HB 3069, HB 3281, and HB 3558.
<b><u>HB 3069, HB 3281, AND HB 3558 n PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
121	Chair Witt	Closes public hearing on HB 3069, HB 3281, and HB 3558 and reopens public hearing on HB 3388.
<b><u>HB 3388 n PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
125	Cody	Explains HB 3388.
130	Shepherd	Reports the DOJ signed up as neutral on HB 3388. Presents written material regarding HB 3388 ( <b>EXHIBIT I</b> ). Comments that sweepstakes and prize promotion scams are a significant problem for Oregon consumers, particularly for elderly people.
160	Shepherd	Comments the DOJ does not feel HB 3388 either adds or subtracts from the State's ability to address these problems. Indicates the Oregon Unlawful Trade Practices Act gives the Attorney General authority to adopt rules which will define specific practices or acts as unlawful trade practices.
181	Shepherd	Suggests the committee may want to consider the use of sweepstakes promotion to change one's long distance telephone service or to add services that are billed through a consumer's telephone bill. Explains these two practices are described as slamming and cramming.
221	Chair Witt	Clarifies that the language of HB 3388 only confirms what the DOJ has already adopted in terms of rules relative to these types of solicitations, but that they believe there is a need for statutory language in other areas which would be helpful for consumers.
233	Shepherd	Answers that is correct.
235	Rep. Simmons	Asks what kind of alternative language Shepherd would suggest.
238	Shepherd	Replies the DOJ would focus on smaller categories which involve the use of sweepstakes promotions in conjunction with particular kinds of products, such as long distance service.
259	Rep. Simmons	Suggests it might be appropriate for Shepherd and Rep. Deckert to work on some amendments to HB 3388.
261	Chair Witt	Agrees this is a good idea.

264	Rep. Rasmussen	Comments they could move HB 3388 and the amendment to the full committee and let the second round of amendments catch up.
267	Chair Witt	Announces he would like to let Davis give his testimony now, and they will hold HB 3388 in committee.
278	James Davis	Lobbyist, Oregon State Council of Senior Citizens, United Seniors of Oregon, and the Gray Panthers. Testifies in support of HB 3388. Explains HB 3388 will add consumer protection to sweepstakes by making it clear to participants that they are not required to purchase anything in order to enter.
302	Davis	Notes that HB 3388 addresses deceptive solicitations which insinuate the recipient is a winner or finalist in a contest. Reports seniors spend thousands of dollars entering sweepstakes and contests with virtually no chance of winning.
320	Davis	Notes they would like to see HB 3388 put into statute and stronger language put in to stop deceptive practices. States they support the ñ1 amendments. Explains the need for larger type on sweepstakes/contest documents.
357	Chair Witt	Asks if Davis and Shepherd would work with Rep. Deckert on HB 3388.
365	Shepherd	Answer yes.
369	Chair Witt	Closes the public hearing on HB 3388 and adjourns meeting at 5:00 p.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Nancy Geisler, Jason Cody,

Administrative Support Administrator

#### **EXHIBIT SUMMARY**



**A ñ HB 3388, written material and proposed ñ1 amendments, Rep. Ryan Deckert, 11 pp**

**B ñ HB 3144, proposed ñ1 amendments, Michael Smith, 1 p**

**C ñ HB 3144, written material and proposed amendments, John Talbot, 4 pp**

**D ñ HB 3521, written material, Peter Shepherd, 9 pp**

**E ñ HB 3521, engrossed amendments, Peter Shepherd 1 p**

**F ñ HB 3234, written testimony, Joe Brewer, 1 p**

**G ñ HB 3556, written material, John Gervais, 31 pp**

**H ñ HB 3556, proposed amendments, John Gervais, 1 p**

**I ñ HB 3388, written material, Peter Shepherd, 7 pp**