## **HOUSE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION**

February 03, 1999 Hearing Room E

1:00 P.M. Tapes 18 - 20

**MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Ron Sunseri, Chair** 

Rep. William Morrisette, Vice-Chair Rep. Chris Beck Rep. Betsy Close Rep. Elaine Hopson Rep. Bob Jenson Rep. Tim Knopp Rep. Lynn Lundquist Rep. Carl Wilson Rep. Jackie Winters

**MEMBER EXCUSED:** 

STAFF PRESENT: Norm Fox, Administrator

Joan Diaz, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2072 ñ Public Hearing

HB 2539 ñ Public Hearing

HB 2249ñ Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 2551ñ Public Hearing

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speakeris exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments |
|--------|---------|----------|
|        |         |          |

| 005     | Chair Sunseri   | Calls meeting to order at 1:05 p.m. Opens public hearing on HB 2072.  |
|---------|-----------------|---|
| HB 2072 | PUBLIC HEARING  | <u>I</u>  |
| )08     | Carol Pedersen  | Private Citizen, Aloha. Supports HB 2072 which would prohibit universities and colleges from granting tenure to faculty. (EXHIBIT A)                |
| )63     | Pedersen        | Explains her experience at Portland State University. Discusses her efforts in filing a complaint with the university appeals committee.            |
| 20      | Pedersen        | Comments that tenure was removed by the legislature in the lower grades.<br>Explains that removing tenure in higher education would be appropriate. |
| 30      | Chair Sunseri   | Explains that the complaint referred to is currently on appeal.   |
| 135     | Rep. Morrisette | Asks is there any fiscal impact should the bill be passed.  |
| 40      | Norm Fox        | Committee Administrator. Explains that the statement from Fiscal has indicated that its impact is "indeterminate."                                  |
| 45      | Pedersen        | Clarifies that her complaint is not on appeal.  |
| 45      | Rep. Hobson     | Asks how far in the process was the complaint when she left the university.   |
| 48      | Pedersen        | Responds that she left the university and never heard back from the complaint committee.  |
| 55      | Rep. Hobson     | Asks was the complaint pursued.   |
| 57      | Pedersen        | Responds that the process took two years. Explains she wanted legislation to be drafted for others in her situation.                                |
| 69      | Rep. Wilson     | Asks does she represent a sizeable constituency.  |
| 73      | Pedersen        | Responds she represents no special interest group.  |
| .83     | Rep. Wilson     | Asks if there were a petition process initiated, would there be a large number of respondents.  |

| 186 | Pedersen        | Responds affirmatively.   |
|-----|-----------------|---|
| 192 | Rep. Lundquist  | Asks how much of a savings would result if tenure was eliminated.   |
| 195 | Pedersen        | Discusses the benefits of contract teachers versus tenured faculty.   |
| 208 | Rep. Lundquist  | Asks if there would be fewer professors.  |
| 209 | Pedersen        | Responds there would be more teaching and less research being conducted.  |
| 210 | Rep. Winters    | Asks for experiences with all professors.   |
| 211 | Pedersen        | Responds there was a negative experience with only one professor. Explains that a number of professors were unprepared for teaching.                          |
| 228 | Rep. Winters    | Asks did her education prepare her for her chosen profession.   |
| 230 | Pedersen        | Responds that only two classes were of value to her. Explains that the basic bachelorís degree (B. S.) was not enough preparation for her.                    |
| 263 | Rep. Jenson     | Asks if she sees any value in research at the university level.   |
| 280 | Pedersen        | Responds there is value in research, but that "teaching and research do not have much in common."   |
| 304 | Rep. Wilson     | Asks if Portland State University was her only educational experience.  |
| 321 | Pedersen        | Responds she received a B.S. at Portland State, she attended Portland<br>Community College (PCC) and business college.  |
| 322 | Rep. Wilson     | Asked what was her experience at PCC.   |
| 323 | Pedersen        | Responds that in many ways the community colleges are a better educational value than universities.   |
| 325 | Rep. Close      | Asks for explanation of term "publish or perish."   |
| 341 | Pedersen        | Responds with definition.   |
| 362 | Rep. Morrisette | Comments that he appreciates issues raised by the witness. Explains he would like to see a reexamination of the tenure system. Comments it would take serious |

|          |                | thought before dismantling that system.  |
|----------|----------------|--|
| 393      | Pedersen       | Responds this is not a fundamental change since the legislature abolished teacher tenure from the lower grades.  |
| TAPE 19, | A              |  |
| 015      | Rep. Knopp     | Acknowledges that there are some ineffective teachers. Asks why bring this issue to the legislature.   |
| 025      | Pedersen       | Responds and explains that there is no student representation in the tenure process.   |
| 030      | Rep. Knopp     | Asks would you be requesting this change in the law if you had not had a bad experience.   |
| 039      | Pedersen       | Responds it was a "good prompt."   |
| 054      | Grattan Kerans | Director, Government Relations, Oregon University System (OUS). Opposes HB 2072. States it would be very difficult to recruit or retain faculty if tenure was abolished. (EXHIBIT B)                                       |
| 097      | Kerans         | Describes post tenure review policy, and will provide the information to the committee.  |
| 150      | Kerans         | Explains faculty must engage in "teaching, research and service."  |
| 168      | Rep. Jenson    | Asks if there are non-tenure hiring policies in any U.S. university.   |
| 177      | Kerans         | Responds there are a few, but not at state universities.   |
| 188      | Rep. Jenson    | Asks what kinds of problems would occur if tenure was abolished.   |
| 190      | Kerans         | Responds the impact would be great, since the bill "grandfathers in" present faculty. Explains Oregon salaries are approximately 15% below the "marketplace," and without tenure, it would be hard to attract new faculty. |
| 208      | Rep. Jenson    | Asks for numbers of part-time or adjunct faculty at universities.  |
| 210      | Kerans         | Refers to exhibit.   |
| 215      | Tamara Dykeman | Government Relations Advocate, Oregon Community College Association  |

|                |                 | (OCCA). Opposes HB 2072 (EXHIBIT C).  |
|----------------|-----------------|---|
| 230            | Laurie Wimmer   | Legislative Representative, Oregon Education Association. Opposes HB 2072.<br>Explains that her organization is reviewing the number of adjunct faculty at the community colleges.  |
| 252            | Michael Reardon | Provost, Portland State University. Opposes HB 2072. Explains process for student complaints. (EXHIBIT D).  |
| 267            | Rep. Morrisette | Asks for copies of the policy.  |
|                | Chair Sunseri   | Closes public hearing on HB 2072. Opens public hearing on HB 2539.  |
| <u>HB 2539</u> | PUBLIC HEARING  |   |
| 310            | Kevin Campbell  | Legislative Representative, Oregon Association Chiefs of Police. Supports HB 2539 which establishes a maximum fine of \$100 for a student who does not regularly attend school. Acknowledges the bill needs some amendments. Comments that home school students are exempt. |
| 375            | Marc Adams      | Chief of Police, City of Keizer. Supports HB 2539. (EXHIBIT E). Explains that the police departmentis procedure is to return truants to school.   |
| TAPE 18        | 3, B            |   |
| 002            | Adams           | Explains that young people do not take current truancy laws seriously.  |
| 024            | Rep. Morrisette | Asks what leverage do the police have if the fine is not paid.  |
| 026            | Adams           | Responds that a judge has several options at his discretion.  |
| 042            | Rep. Jenson     | Comments he supports the bill in concept. Cautions "regularly attends school" could be construed as vague.  |
| 054            | Adams           | Responds that the bill needs clarification.   |
| 058            | Rep. Winters    | Asks if a peer court would be an effective option.  |
| 063            | Campbell        | Responds that would involve an agreement with juvenile departments; it could not be part of the bill.   |
| 069            | Rep. Hobson     | Asks how would enforcement be effected.   |

| 075            | Adams          | Responds that if juvenile courts take the responsibility, the students would take truancy more seriously.   |
|----------------|----------------|---|
| 088            | John Costelow  | Lieutenant, Salem Police Department. Supports HB 2539. Explains City of Salemís curfew law.   |
| 095            | Chair Sunseri  | Asks if a fine is assessed and the student ignores it, is it considered contempt.   |
| 101            | Rep. Hobson    | Asks what is the current truancy law.   |
| 107            | Adams          | Explains current law.   |
| 128            | Costelow       | Explains that current law does not give police the authority to handle the current situation. Explains no citation can be issued to either parent or student. Recommends a statewide policy on truancy.   |
| 192            | John Horton    | Judiciary Council, House Committee Judiciary, Criminal Law. Responds to earlier question that student could be held in contempt if fine is ignored.   |
| 205            | Rep. Jenson    | Asks where is the line of authority.  |
| 213            | Horton         | Clarifies the process as indicated in the bill.   |
| 230            | Rep. Jenson    | Asks where are the fines deposited.   |
| 235            | Horton         | Responds he will research that question and get back to the committee.  |
| 238            | Rep. Hobson    | Asks what happens if payment from the student is not forthcoming.   |
| 244            | Horton         | Cites the worst case scenario and explains the judge has many options.  |
| 262            | Chair Sunseri  | Cites ORS 339.925 (5) which specifies where fines are deposited.  |
| 265            | Chair Sunseri  | Closes public hearing on HB 2539. Opens public hearing on HB 2249.  |
| <u>HB 2249</u> | PUBLIC HEARING |   |
| 270            | David Mesirow  | Member, State Scholarship Commission. Supports HB 2249 which changes the name of the State Scholarship Commission to Oregon Student Assistance Commission. Discusses the numerous functions the commission performs besides administering scholarships. |

| 346              | Rep. Lundquist | Asks if the present name is a detriment.   |
|------------------|----------------|--|
| 347              | Mesirow        | Responds the purpose of the name change is to lessen confusion.  |
| 355              | Rep. Jenson    | Asks is there any cost to the state in making the name change.   |
| 392              | Tom Turner     | Director of Special Services, State Scholarship Commission. Supports HB 2249.<br>States that the estimated cost of \$10,000 will be absorbed by their present<br>budget.                                 |
| TAPE 19, B       | ,<br>,         |  |
| 002              | Chair Sunseri  | Clarifies how the estimated \$10,000 cost could be found in the commissionis existing budget.  |
| 005              | Rep. Winters   | Asks if there were no name change, what would the \$10,000 be used for.  |
| 011              | Turner         | Responds that he is hoping the cost would be less due to computer re-<br>programming.  |
| 027              | Rep. Beck      | Comments that the proposed name might be confused with persons seeking human resources. States that "scholarship" seems more appropriate.  |
| 033              | Turner         | Responds that other statesí scholarship commissions have changed their names<br>as their responsibilities have increased. Explains the commission also<br>administers loans, which are not scholarships. |
| 050              | Rep. Beck      | Asks if the commission is confident that people can identify the commission by the new name.   |
| 068              | Turner         | Responds that high school counselors, which are the commission's main liaison with students, will recognize the name.  |
| 075              | Rep. Beck      | Asks are there objections by any members of the commission.  |
| 080              | Mesirow        | Responds there is no objection.  |
| 090              | Chair Sunseri  | Closes public hearing on HB 2249. Opens work session on HB 2249.   |
| <u>HB 2249 W</u> | ORK SESSION    |  |
| 115              | Rep. Jenson    | MOTION: Moves HB 2249 to the floor with a DO PASS  |

|                  |               | recommendation.  |
|------------------|---------------|--|
|                  |               | VOTE: 10-0<br>AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye.  |
| 120              | Chair Sunseri | The motion CARRIES.  |
|                  |               | REP. JENSON will lead discussion on the floor.   |
| 125              | Chair Sunseri | Closes work session on HB 2249. Opens public hearing on HB 2251.   |
| <u>HB 2251 P</u> | UBLIC HEARING |  |
| 130              | Elwood Farber | Executive Director, State Scholarship Commission. Supports HB 2251 which changes the name of the Alternative Student Loan Program to Certificate of Initial Mastery (CIM) Achievement Loan Program (EXHIBIT F). Explains the bill also adds the CIM as an eligibility requirement. |
| 195              | Farber        | Explains program will be funded by up to \$20 million in tax exempt revenue bonds.   |
| 215              | Farber        | Explains requirements that students must meet to qualify for the low interest loan. Explains there will be no cost to the state.   |
| 220              | Chair Sunseri | States that current law allows schools to award a diploma or the CIM. Asks is it discriminatory to enable CIM recipients to receive these loans.   |
| 225              | Farber        | Responds that the incentive program is not discriminatory.   |
| 245              | Danny Santos  | Education Policy Coordinator, Governorís Office. Supports HB 2251 (EXHIBIT G). Explains further why the program is not discriminatory.   |
| 246              | Rep. Close    | Asks how does this program affect students who receive a General Education Diploma (GED).  |
| 253              | Farber        | Explains that the scholarship commission can adopt rules to include GED recipients.  |
| 260              | Chair Sunseri | Asks for clarification of CIM recipients versus GED recipients.  |

| 265 | Rep. Close    | Refers to witnessí written testimony which appears confusing.   |
|-----|---------------|---|
| 270 | Farber        | Acknowledges that his testimony is misleading, but it was not their intent to exclude GED recipients in drafting the legislation. |
| 275 | Chair Sunseri | Verifies that the language will be clarified in an amendment.   |
| 284 | Rep. Jenson   | Asks if interest on repayment of this loan is tax deductible.   |
| 309 | Farber        | Responds that he does not know.   |
| 315 | Turner        | Responds that under IRS regulations, interest can be deducted for the first five years of repayment.                              |
| 320 | Rep. Jenson   | Asks what are the income eligibility requirements.  |
| 330 | Turner        | Responds that the income bracket is approximately \$100,000 or more.  |
| 335 | Rep. Hobson   | Asks are you trying to raise the level of achievement for participating in this program by including a CIM requirement.           |
| 337 | Farber        | Responds affirmatively.   |
| 345 | Chair Sunseri | Asks if the GED would then be "put on a par with" the CIM.  |
| 349 | Farber        | Responds that the GED and a diploma would be evaluated the same.  |
| 357 | Rep. Jenson   | Asks if the language clarification will be eliminating the diploma requirement.   |
| 365 | Farber        | Responds that is not being considered. States the student must have a diploma or GED for post secondary education.                |
| 370 | Rep. Close    | Asks why not include the SATis scores.  |
| 376 | Farber        | Responds that the CIM program is the best mechanism.  |
| 382 | Rep. Beck     | Asks is the commission creating a loan program.   |
| 390 | Farber        | Responds that a name change is requested for an existing program.   |

| 398     | Chair Sunseri  | Comments that the bill is also changing terms.  |
|---------|----------------|---|
| 402     | Rep. Beck      | Summarizes changes listed in HB 2251.   |
| ГАРЕ 20 | ), A           |   |
| 004     | Rep. Hobson    | Asks if the GED and the diploma were given equal consideration in the previous implementation of this program.                              |
| 006     | Farber         | Responds affirmatively.   |
| 007     | Rep. Jenson    | Clarifies how the Certificate of Initial Mastery (CIM) and the Certificate of Advanced Mastery (CAM) differ.                                |
| 015     | Chair Sunseri  | Explains the difference, and states that the CIM will not qualify a student for post secondary education.                                   |
| )21     | Rep. Jenson    | Comments he will seek further information from the Department of Education.   |
| )25     | Rep. Winters   | Ask if HB 2251 passes, will there be any adverse impacts on any other group.  |
| 026     | Farber         | Responds that the commission did not consider any negative impacts on other groups.   |
| 028     | Chair Sunseri  | Clarifies that the CAM standards are not complete; therefore the Department of Education (DOE) cannot require the CAM for college entrance. |
| )36     | Santos         | Responds that his office is working with the DOE on ensuring eligibility for home schoolers for this program.                               |
| )39     | Chair Sunseri  | Asks if 25% of students achieve the CIM, what would be the status of the program  |
| )45     | Farber         | Responds the level of funding would be lower.   |
| 052     | Rep. Lundquist | Asks what would be the fiscal impact in this biennium.  |
| )62     | Santos         | Explains additional benefits of the program.  |
| )67     | Chair Sunseri  | Suggests the witness work on amendments. Closes public hearing on HB 2251.  |

| 078 | Chair Sunseri | Requests that the committee become familiar with SB 100A. States his intention is to spend approximately two weeks on the bill which will include evening meetings. |
|-----|---------------|---|
| 095 | Rep. Jenson   | Comments that he is alerting the committee to a community college annexation bill which is forthcoming.   |
| 101 | Chair Sunseri | Adjourns at 3:00 p.m.   |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Joan Diaz, Norm Fox,

Administrative Support Administrator

## EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A HB 2072, written testimony, Carol Pedersen, 6 pp
- B HB 2072, written material, Grattan Kerans, 3 pp
- C HB 2072, written testimony, Tamara Dykeman, 1 pp
- D HB 2072, written material, Michael Reardon, 3 pp
- E ñ HB 2539, written testimony, Marc Adams, 1 p
- F ñ HB 2251, written testimony, Elwood Farber, 2 pp
- G ñ HB 2251, written material, Danny Santos, 1 p
- H ñ HB 2072, written testimony submitted prior to meeting, John Moseley, 7 pp
- I ñ HB 2072, written testimony submitted after meeting, Melissa Watson, 1 p