HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL GOVERNMENT

May 6, 1999 Hearing Room D

1:15 p.m. Tapes 82 - 83

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Carl Wilson, Chair

Rep. Jason Atkinson, Vice-Chair Rep. Chris Beck, Vice-Chair Rep. Jo Ann Bowman Rep. Ryan Deckert Rep. Steve Harper Rep. Bruce Starr Rep. Ron Sunseri

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Rep. Terry Thompson

STAFF PRESENT: Cara Filsinger, Administrator

Faye D. Trupka, Administrative Support

MEASURES HEARD: SB 347 Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 1031 Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 1201A Public Hearing

SB 1062 Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 512A Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments | |
|-------------------|------------|----------|--|
| TAPE 82, A | TAPE 82, A | | |
| | | | |

| 004 | Chair Wilson | Opens meeting at 1:34 p.m., and opens public hearing on SB 347. | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| <u>SB 347 P</u> | SB 347 PUBLIC HEARING | | | |
| 010 | Alan Scharn | Deputy Director, Department of Public Safety Standards and Training (DPSST). Testifies in support of SB 347. Submits and reads written testimony (EXHIBIT A). | | |
| 035 | Rep. Atkinson | Asks if fire protection equipment standards will be covered by the State Fire Marshal. | | |
| 037 | Scharn | Replies yes. | | |
| 040 | Chair Wilson | Asks about the volunteer fire fighter and the need for this legislation. | | |
| 043 | Scharn | Replies that 80% of firefighters are volunteers. Explains that DPSST has certified both volunteer and professional firefighters. | | |
| 052 | Chair Wilson | Asks the purpose of certification. | | |
| 054 | Scharn | Replies that the purpose is to maintain statewide standards. | | |
| 057 | Chair Wilson | Asks if there is a mix of certified and non-certified firefighters. | | |
| 060 | Scharn | Replies that is correct. Adds that many fire departments are staffed strictly by volunteers. | | |
| 090 | Chair Wilson | Closes public hearing on SB 347, and opens work session on SB 347. | | |
| <u>SB 347 W</u> | SB 347 WORK SESSION | | | |
| 095 | Rep. Bowman | MOTION: Moves SB 347 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. | | |
| | | VOTE: 8-0 | | |
| | | AYE: 8 - Atkinson, Beck, Bowman, Deckert, Harper, Starr, | | |
| | | Sunseri, Wilson | | |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 ñ Thompson | | |
| | | | | |
| | I | II. Contraction of the second s | | |

| | Chair Wilson | The motion Carries. |
|----------------|----------------|--|
| | | REP. BECK will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 098 | Chair Wilson | Closes work session on SB 347, and opens public hearing on SB 1031. |
| <u>SB 1031</u> | PUBLIC HEARING | |
| 100 | Cara Filsinger | Administrator. Explains provisions of SB 1031. Indicates that this bill gives metropolitan service districts the authority to make minor boundary changes. |
| 111 | Ray Phelps | Metro. Testifies in support of SB 1031. Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT B). |
| 114 | Kelly Ross | Home Builders Association of Portland. Discusses SB 1031-1 amendment (EXHIBIT N). |
| 126 | Rep. Beck | Asks what boundary changes are being requested. |
| 128 | Ross | Describes an Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) expansion, approved outside of Metrois jurisdiction. |
| 141 | Rep. Beck | Asks about the UGB expansion. |
| 146 | Ross | Explains that decision gave rise to the conclusion it is necessary that the Metro Council expand its district boundary. |
| 149 | Rep. Beck | Asks if any of the UGB or district boundary expansions are in areas under appeal. |
| 152 | Ross | Replies yes. |
| 153 | Rep. Beck | Asks if it is appropriate for Metro to expand the district boundary while under court appeal. |
| 160 | Phelps | Replies that the only areas that can be annexed are those that have approval of the majority of the owners and electors of the land. |
| 166 | Chair Wilson | Closes public hearing on SB 1031, and opens work session on SB 1031. |

SB 1031 WORK SESSION

| 170 | Rep. Bowman | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 1031-1 amendments dated 05/06/99. |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|
| 171 | Rep. Beck | States objection. |
| | | VOTE: 7-1 |
| | | AYE: 7 - Atkinson, Bowman, Deckert, Harper, Starr, |
| | | Sunseri, Wilson |
| | | NAY: 1 - Beck |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Thompson |
| | | |
| | Chair Wilson | The motion Carries. |
| 183 | Rep. Bowman | MOTION: Moves SB 1031 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. |
| 184 | Rep. Deckert | Comments that SB 1031 will assist his district. |
| | | VOTE: 8-0 |
| | | AYE: 8 - Atkinson, Beck, Bowman, Deckert, Harper, Starr, |
| | | Sunseri, Wilson |
| | | EXCUSED: 1 - Thompson |
| | Chair Wilson | The motion Carries. |
| | | |
| | | REP. DECKERT will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 185 | Chair Wilson | Closes work session on SB 1031, and opens public hearing on SB 1201A. |
| SB 1201A PUBLIC HEARING | | |

| 190 | Filsinger | Summarizes provisions of SB 1201-A. Explains effects of SB 1201A-2 amendments (EXHIBIT C). |
|---------|------------------|---|
| 195 | C. Lance Colley | Finance Director, City of Roseburg. Testifies in support of SB 1201A. Submits document from the Oregon Municipal Finance Officers (EXHIBIT D). |
| 210 | Chair Wilson | Asks for clarification of local budget law. |
| 220 | Colley | Replies that local budget law is used by every municipal entity in Oregon. Refers to (EXHIBIT D) , which matches the local budget law with Ballot Measure 50 requirements. |
| 249 | Linda Burglehaus | Director, Tax Supervising & Conservation Commission (TSCC). Testifies in support of SB 1201A. Provides history of TSCC. Addresses (EXHIBIT D). Indicates that the \$50,000 increase in the commissionis budget is to be funded by Multnomah County. |
| 290 | David A. Boyer | Finance Director, Multnomah County. Testifies in support of SB 1201A. Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT E). Discusses local budget law statutes. |
| 330 | Rep. Atkinson | Asks how much money is available for inter-fund loans. |
| 347 | Boyer | Replies the amount varies by district. Indicates that Multnomah County has approximately \$17 million. |
| 359 | Rep. Atkinson | Asks if the insurance rate is jeopardized by loaning against yourself. |
| 370 | Boyer | Replies no. Explains that it is a cash-flow issue. |
| 410 | Chair Wilson | Closes public hearing on SB 1201A, and opens public hearing on SB 1062. |
| SB 1062 | PUBLIC HEARING | |
| 446 | Filsinger | Summarizes provisions of SB 1062. |
| 482 | Phelps | Metro. Speaks in support of SB 1062. Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT F). |
| TAPE 83 | , A | |
| 004 | Phelps | Indicates that approval of this bill grants Metro the authorization to acquire conservation and highway scenic preservation easements. |

| 019 | Chair Wilson | Closes the public hearing on SB 1062, and opens work session on SB 1062. |
|----------------|------------------|---|
| <u>SB 1062</u> | WORK SESSION | |
| 023 | Rep. Atkinson | MOTION: Moves SB 1062 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. |
| | | VOTE: 8-0 AYE: 8 ñ Atkinson, Beck, Bowman, Deckert, Harper, Starr, Sunseri, Wilson EXCUSED: 1 - Thompson |
| | Chair Wilson | The motion CARRIES. |
| | | REP. ATKINSON will lead discussion on the floor. |
| 030 | Chair Wilson | Closes work session on SB 1062, and opens public hearing on SB 512A. |
| <u>SB 512A</u> | PUBLIC HEARING | |
| 065 | Rep. Dan Gardner | House District 13. Explains provisions of SB 512A. |
| 088 | Rep. Gary Hansen | House District 17. Testifies in support of SB 512A. |
| 123 | Rep. Bowman | Asks if SB 512A is a result of the efforts of the interim task force. |
| 128 | Rep. Hansen | Replies yes. |
| 133 | Rep. Harper | Asks why is this just a Metropolitan Portland problem. |
| 134 | Rep. Gardner | Indicates that 50% of the construction statewide takes place in the tri-county area. Discusses the uniform application form for electrical or building permits. |
| 150 | Rep. Harper | Asks why SB 512A addresses only Metropolitan Portland, when 50% of the problem is outside the tri-county area. |
| | | |

| 159 | Rep. Hansen | Suggests that SB 512A be a pilot project. |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 163 | Phelps | Speaks in support of SB 512A. Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT G) . Explains that SB 587, authored by Sen. Beyer, takes some of the materials in SB 512A and calls for those to be applied statewide. |
| 169 | Rep. Sunseri | Asks why create a new layer of government rather than use intergovernmental agency agreements. |
| 175 | Phelps | Explains that the board members are not paid compensation. Addresses the staff requirement. Emphasizes the necessity of retaining the 26-year policy of the uniform building code process. |
| 199 | Rep. Starr | Indicates a concern about the lack of a unified voice in a recent interim task force. Asks how close to an agreement is the task force. |
| 210 | Phelps | Replies that the task force approved SB 512A. Supports one building code process. Provides examples of lack of uniformity. |
| 250 | Phelps | Describes efforts of the task force to achieve consensus. Addresses the fiscal impact of this bill. |
| 275 | Rep. Starr | Asks if the task force would agree to support an amendment to include Yamhill and Columbia Counties. |
| 281 | Phelps | Indicates he does not know how to respond to this question. |
| 283 | Rep. Hansen | Explains that Yamhill and Columbia Counties were not involved in the discussion. Addresses agreements and solutions suggested by participants of the task force. |
| 303 | Phelps | Refers to HB 2971 and SB 512A. Indicates that there are minor differences between the two bills. |
| 311 | Chair Wilson | Asks about the fiscal impact. Inquires what benefits tax payers will receiving for that expenditure. |
| 331 | Rep. Gardner | Replies that the benefits are a more efficient system, uniform code enforcement, and a better delivery of services in the minor labor area. |
| 372 | Phelps | Indicates that private sector organizations historically oppose additional costs and additional bureaucracy. Discusses the bidding process. Describes fixed and variable costs. |
| | | |

| 410 | Phelps | Remarks that some added costs will be absorbed in the cost of operation by the construction contractor. Comments that some of the added costs will be passed onto the customer, who can expect improved service. | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| TAPE 82 , | TAPE 82, B | | | | |
| 008 | Rep. Bowman | Asks about individual cities not being involved. | | | |
| 014 | Phelps | Replies that this is an additional cost. | | | |
| 038 | Clint Hilman | Oregon Building Officials Association. Testifies in opposition to SB 512A. Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT H). Supports the need for consistent permit and application procedures. Believes that the creation of a new state board is not cost effective or efficient. Discusses task force participation. | | | |
| 070 | Ray Kerridge | City of Portland. Testifies in opposition to SB 512A. | | | |
| 095 | Alan Langendorf | President, Oregon Building Officials Association. Testifies in opposition to SB 512A. Opposes the creation of a new state board. States that the code interpretation is not uniform. Believes that SB 512A creates another layer of government, more regulations, more state agency staff, and excessive costs. Adds that everything contained in this bill is currently in statute or rule. | | | |
| 140 | Rep. Harper | Asks why is there lack of uniformity in building code interpretation. | | | |
| 144 | Langendorf | Replies that there are different methods of approach to inspect buildings for compliance. Discusses the lack of uniformity in the Building Codes Division, particularly regarding applications. | | | |
| 157 | Rep. Harper | Comments that a simple form might work. Asks how to create uniformity within the building code. | | | |
| 165 | Hilman | Answers that education will assist in creating uniformity. Speaks about his experience in the City of Gresham. Explains that the task force provided a forum to begin discussion. Discusses the common permit application. | | | |
| 184 | Rep. Deckert | Asks if the common permit procedure is currently in place. | | | |
| 187 | Hilman | Replies that it is not. Indicates the task force put together a common form that has not yet been adopted. | | | |
| 194 | Rep. Deckert | Comments about the lack of continuity from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. | | | |
| 201 | Langendorf | Addresses communication methods in place in various jurisdictions, such as e- | | | |

| | | mail and fax. |
|---------|--------------|--|
| 218 | Rep. Bowman | Refers to the purchase of permit forms in blocks for more than one area. Asks why is that a problem. |
| 234 | Kerridge | Asks if the representative is speaking of the minor labor program. |
| 239 | Rep. Bowman | Responds affirmatively. |
| 242 | Kerridge | States that there is no problem operating in the Metro area. |
| 254 | Rep. Bowman | Asks for clarification of Kerridgeis opposition to this bill. |
| 262 | Kerridge | Objects to the makeup of the board; also to the rule-making provisions. |
| 266 | Rep. Bowman | Asks which part of the bill discusses "sweeping" authority. |
| 268 | Kerridge | Replies that a reading of the entire bill shows it gives the board this power without restriction. |
| 306 | Kerridge | Discusses audits relative to the permit process. |
| 317 | Rep. Bowman | Addresses performance reviews and financial audits of the local building codes programs. |
| 332 | Langendorf | Explains that the audit requirement exists presently. |
| 348 | Shawn Miller | Associated Builders and Contractors and Independent Electrical Contractors of Oregon. Testifies in support of SB 512A. Addresses intergovernmental agreements. Supports code uniformity. |
| 383 | John Gervais | National Electrical Contractors Association and Sheet Metal Contractors Association. Testifies in support of SB 512A. Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT I). Indicates that SB 512A does not eliminate any local inspection programs or jobs. Adds that this bill does not reduce funds for local inspection programs. Addresses uniform application forms. |
| TAPE 83 | , B | <u></u> |
| 439 | Gervais | Indicates that the audit requirement for various building inspection activities was passed in 1995. |
| | | |

| h | 1 | |
|-----|----------------|---|
| 002 | Jim Bachenstos | Oregon Electric. Testifies in support of SB 512A. Indicates that labels must be purchased in blocks. Adds that if some labels are unused, the cost is passed onto the consumer. Discusses inconsistencies in the building codes. |
| 043 | Gervais | Explains that Bachenstos services various locations that require forms for each area. |
| 053 | Jane Cummins | League of Oregon Cities (LOC). Testifies in opposition to SB 512A. Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT J) . Indicates objection to the establishment of another state board with broad rule-making and fee-setting authority. Explains that this process has an initial cost of more than \$500,000. Provides members with resolutions from 23 cities in the tri-county area, opposing the creation of a new board. |
| 115 | Tim Erwert | City Manager, Hillsboro. Testifies in opposition to SB 512A. States concerns about increased costs. |
| 149 | Cummins | States that SB 512A creates another layer of government and decreases local control. Adds that this bill increases permit costs. States that SB 512A creates a second code interpretation board. |
| 179 | Cummins | Says the training dollars should remain available for statewide training. Explains the need for local programs to work together to make improvements. |
| 201 | Chair Wilson | Enters into the record the following written testimony: From Bill Kennemer, Chair, Clackamas County Board of Commissioners, in opposition to SB 512A (EXHIBIT K) From Lori Long on behalf of Associated General Contractors, Oregon-Columbia Chapter, in support of SB 512A (EXHIBIT L) From Tom Brian, Chair, Washington County Board of Commissioners, in support of SB 512A (EXHIBIT M) |
| 228 | Chair Wilson | Closes public hearing on SB 512A. Adjourns the meeting at 3:15 p.m. |

Transcribed By, Reviewed By,

Susan M. Pettey, Cara Filsinger,

Administrative Support Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A ñ SB 347, written testimony, Alan Scharn, 2 pp.
- B ñ SB 1031, written testimony, Ray Phelps, 1 p.
- C ñ SB 1201A, -2 amendments, Staff, 1 p.
- D ñ SB 1201A, document entitled Oregon Municipal Finance Officers Association,
- Clarence Colley, 5 pp.
- E ñ SB 1201A, written testimony, David Boyer, 1 p.
- F ñ SB 1062, written testimony, Ray Phelps, 2 pp.
- Gñ SB 512A, written testimony, Ray Phelps, 24 pp.
- H ñ SB 512A, written testimony, Clint Hilman, 14 pp.
- I ñ SB 512A, written testimony, John Gervais, 2 pp.
- J ñ SB 512A, written testimony, Jane Cummins, 51 pp.
- K ñ SB 512A, written testimony, Bill Kennemer, 4 pp.
- L ñ SB 512A, written testimony, Lori A. Long, 1 p.
- M ñ SB 512A, written testimony, Tom Brian, 1 p.
- N SB 1031, -1 amendments, Staff, 1 p.