

**HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE ON CIVIL LAW**

**April 26, 1999 Hearing Room 357**

**1:00 p.m. Tapes 126 - 127**

**MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Lane Shetterly, Chair**

**Rep. Max Williams, Vice-Chair**

**Rep. Judy Uherbelau, Vice-Chair**

**Rep. Vic Backlund**

**Rep. Randall Edwards**

**Rep. Kathy Lowe**

**Rep. Vicki Walker**

**Rep. Larry Wells**

**Rep. Bill Witt**

**STAFF PRESENT: Aaron Felton, Counsel**

**Nancy Richards, Administrative Support**

**MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 3576 - Work Session**

**HB 3526 - Work Session**

**HB 3397 - Work Session**

**HB 2474 - Work Session**

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments

**TAPE 126, A**

004	Chair Shetterly	Calls meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.
<b><u>HB 3576 - WORK SESSION</u></b>		
019	Counsel Felton	HB 3576 exempts from public disclosure information that would adversely affect public sale or purchase of electric power.
031	<b>Diane Callum</b>	<b>Oregon Public Utilities District Association</b> Explains the ñ1 amendments concerning clarifying "public body" <b>(EXHIBIT A)</b> .
052	<b>Tom OiConnor</b>	<b>Oregon Municipal Electric Utilities</b> Explains proprietary information of private entities when they are in contract with the public body.
074	Chair Shetterly	Asks about the ñ2 amendments.
078	Callum	The -2 amendments are not going to be used.
082	Rep. Edwards	Who would enforce the law when public records were disclosed if HB 3576 passed?
085	Callum	There are recourses in court to ask for clarification as with any other exemptions.
092	OiConnor	The District Attorney has been asked to render an opinion to the situation when public records are disclosed.
094	Chair Shetterly	Explains the process of what happens when someone discloses public records that shouldn't be disclosed.
098	OiConnor	Relates a situation where a business disclosed public records which brought about the proposed HB 3576.
108	Rep. Edwards	Asks about the exemption of disclosure of public records in circumstances of business competition.
118	OiConnor	Explains the circumstance when a utility company would disclose customer information for another company.
147	<b>Laurie Brockman</b>	<b>Oregon Newspapers Publishers Association</b>

		Explains the similar language in HB 1149 with HB 3576. Discusses the concerns with putting HB 3576 with SB 501.
164	Rep. Williams	States that the approach for any statute dealing with public records should be consistent in purpose.
175	Rep. Uherbelau	States that there should be input from Legislative Counsel regarding proprietary documentation of public records.
205	Rep. Edwards	Asks about the language of "information" in HB 3576 which does not explain precisely what type of information would be in the employee records.
215	Callum	Explains what personal information would be in employee records.
221	O'Connor	Explains the intent of HB 3576 concerning personal identifiable information in employee records.
236	Callum	States that language concerning personal information in HB 3576 was submitted twice to Legislative Counsel for language clarification.
244	Rep. Williams	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 3576-1 amendments dated 04/09/99.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 8-0</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b>
	Chair Shetterly	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
250	Rep. Williams	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 3576-1 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b>
	Chair Shetterly	<b>VOTE: 8-0</b> <b>AYE: 8 - Backlund, Edwards, Lowe, Uherbelau, Walker, Wells, Williams, Shetterly</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Witt</b>
	Chair Shetterly	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>

**REP. EDWARDS will lead discussion on the floor.**

**HB 3526 n WORK SESSION**

280	<b>Bob Livingston</b>	<b>Oregon Firefighters Council</b> Explains SB 846 and the relationship to HB 3526 having the same purpose of confidential information in counseling.
306	Rep. Uherbelau	Asks about the purpose of the Human Resources committee referring HB 3526 to the Civil Law committee.
309	Livingston	Explains that Human Resources referred to Civil Law because of the legal issues in HB 3526.
317	Rep. Edwards	What committee will hear SB 846?
321	Livingston	Speculates that SB 846 will be assigned to Civil Law committee.
339	Chair Shetterly	Closes work session.

**HB 3397 n WORK SESSION**

341	Counsel Felton	HB 3397 exempts from public disclosure record of employee addresses submitted to special district to obtain assistance in promoting alternative to single occupant motor vehicle transportation.
357	<b>Bernie Bottomly</b>	<b>Tri-County and Metropolitan Transportation (Tri-Met)</b> Discusses the issue of public records regarding employee information lists that are given to Tri-Met.
381	<b>Laurie Brockman</b>	<b>Oregon Newspapers Association (ONA)</b> Discusses the ONA concern for the opportunity of public interest to be considered in disclosing public records.
396	Chair Shetterly	How long does Tri-Met have the employee information lists?
401	Bottomly	Usually a couple of weeks for processing.
405	Rep. Uherbelau	Why do Oregon newspapers think that the employee information lists should be disclosed?

427	Brockman	Explains the exemptions of SB 502 regarding information that would be exempt from disclosure of public record. Discusses the history of the public records law and how it relates to disclosure of employee information lists.
<b>Tape 127, A</b>		
032	Rep. Uherbelau	Asks about limiting the access of public records.
045	Brockman	Discusses the balancing issue of disclosing public records which would allow disclosure in certain circumstances.
061	Rep. Uherbelau	States that the public would not feel comfortable knowing that employee information lists are lent to other companies even for a public interest such as carpooling.
070	Chair Shetterly	Was there a request to Tri-Met for employee information from an outside company?
073	Bottomly	There was a request for employee information from an Oregonian newspaper reporter which would use the information for an article on commute patterns. Explains circumstances when employee lists can be disclosed if the lists are in possession of Tri-Met at the same time of the requests. States that the language in HB 3397 would apply only to disclosure of addresses.
<b>088</b>	<b>Rep. Uherbelau</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 3397 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 8-0</b> <b>AYE: 8 - Backlund, Edwards, Lowe, Uherbelau, Walker, Wells, Williams, Shetterly</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Witt</b>
	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>  <b>REP. BACKLUND will lead discussion on the floor.</b>
<b><u>HB 2474 n WORK SESSION</u></b>		
121	Counsel Felton	HB 2474 allows person developing real property to challenge conditions of approval imposed b local government as condemnation claim under section 18, Article I, Oregon Constitution, or Fifth Amendment, United States Constitution.

135	<b>John Chandler</b>	<b>Governmental Affairs, Oregon Building Industry Association (OBIA)</b> Explains what the ñ2 amendments do regarding the problem with approval conditions on land use ( <b>EXHIBIT B</b> ).
182	Rep. Uherbelau	Asks for explanation of the approval condition procedure.
186	Chandler	Explains the three steps where an applicant can challenge a condition of approval for land use.
191	Rep. Uherbelau	Could a landowner use all three steps to challenge a condition of approval for land use?
197	Chandler	No. Explains the circumstance where a landowner would go to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA).
206	Rep. Edwards	Should the semi-colon at the end of line 19, page 1 of the -2 amendments be changed to "or".
208	Chair Shetterly	Explains the procedure in the statute drafting manual regarding colons
215	Rep. Wells	Could a developer proceed with land use plans if there is a condition on appeal?
226	Chandler	It would be on a case by case basis. Discusses some of the remedies of HB 2474 for going ahead with the land use project if there is a condition placed on the land.
244	Rep. Wells	You can't move ahead with the project at all if there is a condition placed on the land?
252	Chandler	Not with current law. Discusses the problem on lines 21 & 22 concerning time lines for filing appeals in circuit court.
268	Rep. Uherbelau	Why was 180 days decided upon for the filing time line?
276	<b>Dave Hunnicutt</b>	<b>Oregonians in Action</b> The 180-day time line applies only to action of damages in the circuit court.
285	<b>Art Schlack</b>	<b>Association of Oregon Counties</b> States there should be a balance with time lines between the developer and the government.

298	Chandler	Explains the appeal procedure for conditional land use. Explains why the ñ3 amendments are not going to be proposed until later. Discusses what changes OBIA wants in the language of HB 2474.
360	Rep. Lowe	Would the land use procedure in HB 2474 apply to local planning boards?
391	Chandler	Yes, HB 2474 would apply to any land use action. Explains the appeal procedure when a condition is placed on land that isn't agreeable between two involved entities.
428	Chair Shetterly	Could you have the option of not proceeding with the condition placed on the land and appeal later?
432	Chandler	Yes.
453	Rep. Wells	Could the developer get reimbursed after winning the appeal if he moved ahead with a land use condition that wasn't agreed upon?
465	Chandler	It is current law that the developer would receive his money back if an illegal condition was imposed by a governmental entity.
<b>Tape 126, B</b>		
010	Chandler	Gives an example of a situation where a developer complies with the government on a land use improvement and the developer appeals later. Discusses how HB 2474 would make it easier for land use on local government as well as the developer.
041	Rep. Lowe	Would HB 2474 apply if the developer was prohibited from building on the land?
046	Chandler	No. HB 2474 would only apply to conditions of approval for land use.
061	Chair Shetterly	States that Legislative Counsel is working on the -3 amendments . HB 2474 is on loan from the General Government.
068	Rep. Uherbelau	Please explain the conceptual amendments.
072	<b>Phillip Fell</b>	<b>League of Oregon Cities (LOC)</b> Expresses the support of the LOC to the ñ2 amendments.
082	Chandler	Explains the conceptual amendments to HB 2474.

123	<b>Marge Kafoury</b>	<b>City of Portland</b> Express support for HB 2474 with the -2 and conceptual amendments.
131	Rep. Lowe	What stand is the League of Women Voters taking with HB 3576?
138	Chandler	I donit know.
142	Rep. Wells	If you accept the condition on the land use would you pay a fee:
145	Chandler	Explains lines 12-15, page 1 of HB 2474 concerning paying fees.
157	Rep. Wells	Could appealing a condition on land use be used to delay paying a fee?
160	Chandler	If the developer accepts the condition then a fee needs to be paid.
<b>165</b>	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 2474-2 with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation with committee approval back to General Government.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 8-0</b> <b>AYE: 8 - Backlund, Edwards, Lowe, Uherbelau, Walker, Wells, Williams, Shetterly</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Witt</b>
	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>
170	Chair Shetterly	Closes work session.
		Adjourns meeting at 2:21 p.m.

Submitted By, Reviewed By,



Nancy Richards, Aaron Felton,  
Administrative Support Counsel

**EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

**A - HB 3576, Proposed -1 amendments, Counsel, p. 1**

**B - HB 2474, Proposed -2 amendments,**

**HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE ON CIVIL LAW**

**April 26, 1999 Hearing Room 357**

**3:00 p.m. Tapes 128 - 130**

**MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Lane Shetterly, Chair**

**Rep. Max Williams, Vice-Chair**

**Rep. Judy Uherbelau, Vice-Chair**

**Rep. Vic Backlund**

**Rep. Randall Edwards**

**Rep. Kathy Lowe**

**Rep. Vicki Walker**

**Rep. Larry Wells**

**MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Bill Witt**

**GUEST MEMBERS: Sen. David Nelson**

**Sen. Veral Tarno**

**Sen. Kate Brown**

**Sen. Peter Courtney**

**Sen. Neil Bryant**

**Sen. Elaine Qutub**

**Sen. Ginny Burdick**

**STAFF PRESENT: Aaron Felton, Counsel**

**Bill Taylor, Counsel**

**Nancy Richards, Administrative Support**

**MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 2525 - Public Hearing & Work Session**

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
<b>TAPE 127, A</b>		
004	Chair Shetterly	Calls meeting to order at 3:10 p.m.
<b><u>HB 2525 n PUBLIC HEARING</u></b>		
013	<b>Rep. Lane Shetterly</b>	<p><b>State Representative, House District 34</b></p> <p>Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2525 (<b>EXHIBIT A</b>). Gives the history of HB 2525. Explains the -2, -3, -7, -10, -11, -12, -16, -17, -24, -20-21, -22, 23 and the -24 amendments (<b>EXHIBIT B-N</b>). Explains the structure of the Hearing Officer Panel and their duties regarding conducting contested case hearings by state agencies. Discusses the differences between HB 2525 and HB 2948 from the 1997 legislative session. Explains the duties of the oversight committee. HB 2525 imposes strict disclosure requirements for <i>ex parte</i> contacts with a hearing office assigned from the panel. Explains standards and training program for hearing officers on the Hearing Officer Panel. States that HB 2525 is a revolutionary change in the way state business is done.</p>
306	<b>Henry Lazenby</b>	<p><b>Legal Counsel, Governor's Office</b></p> <p>Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2525 (<b>EXHIBITS O &amp; P</b>). Discusses some problems with HB 2525 regarding the fiscal impact. Gives a history of HB 2948 proposed in the 1997 legislative session and explains the relationship with HB 2525. Explains the duties of the hearing officer who serve on the Hearing Officer Panel.</p>
<b>Tape 129, A</b>		
015	<b>David Schuman</b>	<p><b>Deputy Attorney General</b></p> <p>Testifies in support of HB 2525. Describes what a contested case hearing is in regards to broad policy decisions. Describes the history of an administrative law. States that HB 2525 is a compromise and explains why it works for everyone involved in the hearing process.</p>
056	Rep. Walker	How will you preserve the hearing records?

058	Chair Shetterly	The hearing records will be preserved by audio recording. HB 2525 does not change that process.
062	Rep. Walker	Asks about the exempting the Workeris Compensation Board from HB 2525 and not the Construction Contractoris Board.
068	Chair Shetterly	Explains why the Construction Contractoris Board was not exempted.
084	Lazenby	Explains the circumstances which resulted in certain boards being exempted from the Hearing Officer Panel. States that a citizen has the right to ask for a hearing. Discusses the standards and training process of HB 2525. States that fairness of HB 2525 is that the hearings will be independent from the boards involved in the hearing process.
149	Rep. Uherbelau	Why was the Unemployment Claims Board exempted from HB 2525?
159	Lazenby	Explains why the federal time line concerning requirements for the unemployment claims caused the exemption of the Unemployment Claims Board from HB 2525.
170	Chair Shetterly	States that the Unemployment Appeals Board for unemployment claims is the only unemployment board exempt from HB 2525.
175	Lazenby	Explains that in the amendments there are changes that resulted from federal requirements governing some of the hearing procedures.
184	Rep. Wells	What percent of the hearings in state government will be covered by the Hearing Officer Panel?
203	Lazenby	I will get that information for the committee. Explains the two categories of hearings involved in HB 2525.
224	Chair Shetterly	Explains how HB 2948 had fewer agencies included for hearing exemptions than HB 2525. States that HB 2525 will affect over half of the hearings in the State of Oregon.
244	Rep. Lowe	Would HB 2525 include local planning commission hearings?
254	Chair Shetterly	HB 2525 provides contracting for local agencies to run their hearings. Explains how HB 2525 will effect the quality of hearing officers.
315	<b>John DiLorenzo</b>	<b>Oregon Litigation Reform Coalition</b>  Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2525 ( <b>EXHIBIT Q</b> ). Discusses the problems with the proposed HB 2948 in the 1997 legislative

		session. Expresses support of the amendments to HB 2525. Discusses the four key components of HB 2948 that needed amending regarding remedies for our administrative hearing system.
<b>Tape 128, B</b>		
030	DiLorenzo	Thanks those that contributed to the creation of HB 2525.
058	<b>Janice Krem</b>	<b>Attorney, Government Relations</b>  Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2525 ( <b>EXHIBIT R</b> ). States that HB 2525 establishes a good balance for our administrative hearing system and remedies many of the real and perceived problems with our current system. Discusses the impartiality of the hearing officer when working for the agency that is prosecuting the case. States that HB 2525 gives a more cost-effective way of conducting administrative hearings. HB 2525 consolidates hearing services of many agencies into one existing agency, without sacrificing expertise with conducting hearings.
137	Vice-Chair Williams	Asks about Ms. Krem's work background.
141	Krem	Explains her work background.
162	Rep. Lowe	Asks about the purpose of the 21 amendments.
170	Chair Shetterly	Explains what the 21 amendments do in regards to the scheduling of hearings and to the authority of the Chief Hearing Officer.
190	Rep. Lowe	Is there a way for an agency to be exempt from having the hearing officer as provided by the hearing process in HB 2525?
194	Chair Shetterly	Explains how the 7 amendments provide a way for agencies to have a different hearing officer.
196	Rep. Lowe	Who appoints the hearing officer for the agency that does not want the original appointed hearing officer?
197	Chair Shetterly	The Chief Hearing Officer.
202	<b>Kathleen Haley</b>	<b>Medical Board of Medical Examiners</b>  Testifies neutrally to HB 2525. Explains the effect of the amendments on the Medical Board concerning the timing of depositions.
229	Chair Shetterly	Explains how the amendments to HB 2525 affect the time lines of depositions.

271	<b>Jon Mangis</b>	<b>Department of Veterans Affairs (DVA)</b>  Testifies and submits written testimony neutrally to HB 2525 ( <b>EXHIBIT S</b> ). Explains why the DVA should be excluded from HB 2525 regarding the loan program's hearing procedures.
319	Chair Shetterly	Explains why DVA should not be excluded from the hearing process of HB 2525.
341	<b>Genoa Ingram</b>	<b>Oregon Association of Realtors (OAR)</b>  Testifies and submits written testimony in opposition of HB 2525 ( <b>EXHIBIT T</b> ). Discusses the reasons why the OAR opposes HB 2525 regarding the cost to the OAR.
399	Chair Shetterly	Discusses why realtors were not exempted from HB 2525.
406	Ingram	Discusses the unfair fiscal impact that HB 2525 imposes on the OAR. States that the real estate agency needs to be included in section 9, page 3 of HB 2525 concerning exemptions.
<b>Tape 129, B</b>		
023	<b>John Baker</b>	<b>Realtor, State of Oregon</b>  Testifies and in opposition to HB 2525. Discusses why the Oregon Real Estate Commissioner understands the real estate industry and should keep their own Chief Hearings Officer.
055	Chair Shetterly	Explains why the Chief Hearings Officer that HB 2525 provides will be able to handle the real estate hearings.
073	Baker	Presents a video for education of the future hearings officer related to real estate procedures ( <b>EXHIBIT U</b> ). Discusses the separation of the real estate commissioner with the staff and the lack of cost savings when you have a commissioner and a hearings officer. Asks to have the real estate agency excluded from section 9, page 3 of HB 2525.
080	Chair Shetterly	Explains why the combination of hearings would not have expertise for every agency it comprises. Discusses why it is more important to have fairness than expertise in the hearings.
117	Baker	States that he would be spending his time as an attorney watching over the hearings officer for expertise.
119	Rep. Lowe	Would the Oregon State Bar be included in the hearing process?

121	Chair Shetterly	The Oregon State Bar is a part of the Judicial branch and would not be included in the administrative section.
126	<b>Steve Delaney</b>	<b>Government Relations, Oregon Public Employees Retirement System (PERS)</b>  Testifies and submits written testimony neutrally to HB 2525 ( <b>EXHIBIT V</b> ). Suggests a hearing officer be assigned to a particular agency to handle all of their cases.
158	Chair Shetterly	Explains how HB 2525 intends to appoint hearing officers for expertise.
170	<b>Maureen Bock</b>	<b>Workers Compensation Board (WCB)</b>  Testifies in support of HB 2525. Explains the WCB's hearing procedure.
214	<b>Jane Myers</b>	<b>Oregon Dental Association (ODA)</b>  Testifies and submits written testimony neutrally to HB 2525 ( <b>EXHIBIT W</b> ). Gives background of the ODA. Discusses a recent trend survey of ODA members concerning passage of HB 2525.
260	Chair Shetterly	Explains how the -16 amendments make it clear that agencies are entitled to use their specialized knowledge in making decisions on final orders in a proceeding.
280	<b>Fred Van Natta</b>	<b>Oregon Building Industry Association (OBIA)</b>  Testifies and submits written testimony in opposition of HB 2525 ( <b>EXHIBIT X</b> ). Discusses why the OBIA is opposed to HB 2525 concerning the current dispute resolution process. States that HB 2525 as it applies to the OBIA, is neither less government nor better government. Discusses the fiscal impact for contractors if HB 2525 passes.
411	Chair Shetterly	Explains why HB 2525 cannot be amended concerning the dispute resolution process of OBIA.
431	Van Natta	Asks why the governor can veto HB 2525 based on the removal of two party cases.
449	Rep. Williams	States that the governor's veto will rely on keeping HB 2525 the way it is currently proposed.
<b>Tape 130, A</b>		
026	<b>Annette Talbot</b>	<b>Employment Department, State of Oregon</b>  Testifies in support of HB 2525. Expresses the Employment Department's support for HB 2525 and willingness to work with the new hearings program that

		HB 2525 will provide.
053	Rep. Lowe	Asks about the concern of some of the agencies with the need for technical expertise with the hearings officer.
060	<b>Becky Osborne</b>	<b>Employment Department, State of Oregon</b> Testifies in support of HB 2525. Explains what cases the employment department handles. Explains agency expertise that HB 2525 will address.
090	Chair Shetterly	Explains the agencies' ability to find out historical facts as to whether an event did or did not occur. Discusses what agencies are opposed to HB 2525.
144	<b>Rep. Williams</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-2 amendments dated 03/30/99.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 8-0</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b>
	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
145	<b>Rep. Williams</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-3 amendments dated 03/30/99.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 8-0</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b>
	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
149	<b>Rep. Williams</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-7 amendments dated 03/30/99.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 8-0</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b>
	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>



151	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-10 amendments dated 03/30/99.
		VOTE: 8-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt
	Chair Shetterly	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
154	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-11 amendments dated 03/30/99.
		VOTE: 8-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt
	Chair Shetterly	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
157	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-12 amendments dated 03/30/99.
		VOTE: 8-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt
	Chair Shetterly	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
162	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-16 amendments dated 03/30/99.
		VOTE: 8-0 EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt
	Chair Shetterly	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
165	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-17 amendments dated 03/30/99.

		<p><b>VOTE: 8-0</b></p> <p><b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b></p>
	Chair Shetterly	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
171	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-20 amendments dated 04/20/99.
		<p><b>VOTE: 8-0</b></p> <p><b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b></p>
	Chair Shetterly	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
174	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-21 amendments dated 04/20/99.
		<p><b>VOTE: 8-0</b></p> <p><b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b></p>
	Chair Shetterly	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
177	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-22 amendments dated 04/20/99.
		<p><b>VOTE: 8-0</b></p> <p><b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b></p>
	Chair Shetterly	Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.
184	Rep. Williams	MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-23 amendments dated 04/20/99.
		<p><b>VOTE: 8-0</b></p>

		<b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b>
	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
<b>188</b>	<b>Rep. Williams</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves to ADOPT HB 2525-24 amendments dated 04/23/99.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 8-0</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Rep. Witt</b>
	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.</b>
<b>196</b>	<b>Rep. Williams</b>	<b>MOTION: Moves HB 2525 to Ways and Means Committee with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation.</b>
		<b>VOTE: 8-0</b> <b>AYE: 8 - Backlund, Edwards, Lowe, Uherbelau, Walker, Wells, Williams, Shetterly</b> <b>EXCUSED: 1 - Witt</b>
	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>The motion CARRIES.</b>
<b>208</b>	<b>Chair Shetterly</b>	<b>Adjourns meeting at 5:16 p.m.</b>

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Nancy Richards, Aaron Felton,  
Administrative Support Counsel

-  
-

**EXHIBIT SUMMARY**

- A - HB 2525, Written testimony, Rep. Shetterly, p. 2**
- B - HB 2525, Proposed -2, amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 1**
- C - HB 2525, Proposed -3 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 2**
- D - HB 2525, Proposed -7 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 1**
- E - HB 2525, Proposed -10 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 1**
- F - HB 2525, Proposed -11 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 6**
- G - HB 2525, Proposed -12 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 1**
- H - HB 2525, Proposed -16 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 1**
- I - HB 2525, Proposed -17 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 1**
- J - HB 2525, Proposed -20 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 4**
- K - HB 2525, Proposed -21 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 1**
- L - HB 2525, Proposed -22 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 2**
- M - HB 2525, Proposed -23 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 1**

**N - HB 2525, Proposed -24 amendments, Rep. Shetterly, p. 1**

**O - HB 2525, Written testimony, Henry Lazenby, p. 10**

**P - HB 2525, Written testimony, Henry Lazenby, p. 2**

**Q - HB 2525, Written testimony, John DiLorenzo, p. 5**

**R - HB 2525, Written testimony, Janice Krem, p. 4**

**S - HB 2525, Written testimony, Jon Mangis, p. 7**

**T - HB 2525, Written testimony, Genoa Ingram, p. 2**

**U - HB 2525, Video "Misrepresentation", John Baker, Video**

**V - HB 2525, Written testimony, Steve Delaney, p. 4**

**W - HB 2525, Written testimony, Jane Meyers, p. 2**

**X - HB 2525, Written testimony, Fred Van Natta, p. 2**