HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY - CRIMINAL LAW

| January | 27, | 1999 | Hearing | Room | 357 |
|---------|-----|------|---------|------|-----|
|---------|-----|------|---------|------|-----|

8:30 a.m. Tapes 13 & 14

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Mannix, Chair

Rep. Bowman

Rep. Gianella

Rep. Hansen

Rep. Simmons

Rep. Sunseri

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Prozanski, Vice-Chair

STAFF PRESENT: John Horton, Counsel

Patsy Wood, Administrative Support

MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD:

HB 2294 Public Hearing and Possible Work Session

HB 2275 Public Hearing and Possible Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments | |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| TAPE 13, A | | | |
| 004 | Chair Mannix | Calls meeting to order at 8:31 a.m. | |
| HB 2275 PUBLIC HEARING | | | |

| 005 | Chair Mannix | States Rep. Prozanski is still ill and has asked that action on HB 2275 be deferred until he is able to attend. The Governor vetoed a bill last session relating to mail theft. |
|------------|----------------|--|
| 023 | Rep. Sunseri | Asks for a copy of the Governorís veto order. |
| 024 | Chair Mannix | We will also get a copy of the bill that was vetoed last session. Asks Counsel to contact the Governorís office and invite them to send a representative when the bill is again presented. |
| 032 | Chair Mannix | Closes public hearing on HB 2275. |
| HB 2294 PU | UBLIC HEARING | |
| 038 | Counsel Horton | Explains HB 2294 increasing the minimum hourly rate of compensation for attorneys appointed to represent indigent persons from \$30 to \$75. |
| 043 | KipLeonard | Lane County Circuit Court Judge |
| | | Testifies in support of HB 2294. Explains the cost of some attorneys to run their office is almost as much as they are being compensated. Lawyers are asking to be removed from the court-appointed attorneyis list, and the rate structure is the deciding factor. To provide competent lawyers, the state needs to compensate them adequately. States there are also contract providers to provide indigent services and that compensation is inadequate also. Cites an attorney in 1999 making less in real dollars than in 1988. |
| 155 | Chair Mannix | Discusses enticement options to provide to attorneys to take on indigent defense cases. |
| 184 | Leonard | The first option would have an impact on the State Court Administratoris office and I donit want that budget to suffer. It could cost \$2500-\$3000 a year, and Iim not sure how it would divide out for the lawyers. The tax deduction is a novel idea that bears looking at. Lawyers need money to keep their practice going, and even though these suggested deductions/credits might be an option, they might not keep the practice operating. |
| 219 | Chair Mannix | States these would be supplemental techniques to keep experienced lawyers working on these indigent cases. |
| 230 | Leonard | Explains they rely on a certain number of attorneys with skill to handle these cases, and now many of these lawyers are withdrawing from court-appointed cases. |
| 268 | Chair Mannix | Talks about the 2-tier system for judges, and allowing retired public employees who are on the PERS system, who pay their own health insurance premium, to work a certain number of hours per month in exchange for having their health insurance paid. Perhaps retired assistant Attorney Generalis, retired prosecutors, |

| | | retired public defenders or retired attorneys might be enticed into working with the indigent cases if their health insurance premium was paid. | | |
|------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 300 | Ann Christian | Director of Indigent Defense Services in the State Court Administratorís Office | | |
| | | Discusses "looking outside the box" for new ways to approach ongoing issues. Testifies on HB 2294 discussing what is presently happening with indigent defense and who is qualified to use court-appointed attorneys. Explains current hourly rate since 1983 when the state took over indigent defense from the counties. June 1, 1991 the Judicial Departmentis budget was provided sufficient monies to pay counsel \$40 per hour except in death penalty cases that receive \$55 per hour. | | |
| 423 | Christian | States that 15% of our indigent defense caseload is provided representation at an hourly rate which means a lower fiscal impact. | | |
| TAPE 14, A | | | | |
| 005 | Christian | Discusses the problem Judge Leonard is having in Lane County keeping competent court-appointed attorneys on the list for indigent cases. States it is not just a problem in Lane County. Talks about the Judicial Department budget regarding the Indigent Defense Account, and the resources to run the State courts, the judges, the staff, etc. Talks about the Judicial Conference of all state judges being neutral on this issue, and doesnit want to give the impression that increasing the hourly rate is the Judicial Department highest priority. | | |
| 072 | Chair Mannix | States heís aware of SB 66 that would create an oversight commission within the judicial branch to provide a buffer between judges and indigent defense. | | |
| 083 | Rep. Sunseri | Asks how \$75 rate was arrived at. | | |
| 085 | Christian | HB 2294 was not pre-session filed by the Judicial Department, but by the Oregon State Bar. It was a resolution adopted by the Oregon State Bar House of Delegates. States she doesnit know how they chose \$75/hour. | | |
| 105 | Rep. Sunseri | States he has to justify this increase to his constituents. | | |
| 109 | Christian | Explains there are other variations. | | |
| 120 | Chair Mannix | States bill has subsequent referral to the Ways & Means Committee. | | |
| 123 | Christian | The 1999-2000 Chief Justicesí budget has some money for an increased hourly rate. PLF and Oregon State Bar dues being absorbed for all attorneys providing | | |

| | | indigent services is an idea sheis had before. Discusses the idea of student loans being deferred or forgiven. |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 176 | Chair Mannix | Discusses different scenarios of paying attorneys for doing indigent criminal defense work in these cases. |
| 203 | Christian | Summarizes the Judicial Department is neutral on this matter, and the State Court Administratoris office is not opposing the bill. |
| 213 | Chair Mannix | Talks about a retired attorney who pays \$1600/yr for PLF could trade off time defending indigent criminal cases in lieu of dues. States he wants to make sure that the indigent defense figures are not seen as a trade-off for the rest of the Judicial branch dollars. |
| 237 | Rep. Hansen | Asks what is the relative cost-effectiveness of private attorney vs. public defender ñ do you have an average hourly figure? |
| 255 | Christian | Discusses why the public defenders would be at a higher hourly rate than \$40/hr. |
| 283 | Rep. Hansen | Asks how the decision is made whether to use public defender or a private attorney? |
| 286 | Christian | States judges appoint public defenders and contracted attorneys before going to private attorneys. Only about 15% of the caseload is on a private-bar basis. |
| 318 | Rep. Hansen | Is the 15% to supplement the pool of lawyers taking on the indigent cases? |
| 320 | Christian | Yes. |
| 322 | Rep. Bowman | Why wasnit a tier system developed depending on the severity of the case? |
| 342 | Christian | States her approach has been on a tiered basis \$40/hr and \$55/hr for death penalty, and there are any number of hourly combinations that could be looked at, but this bill was drafted by the Oregon State Bar. |
| 373 | Rep. Simmons | Asks what is the total for indigent defense within the Governorís current budget proposal? |
| 379 | Christian | The amount we put forward was \$139 million. |
| 388 | Rep. Simmons | States this would increase that budget to \$150 million? |
| 391 | Christian | This, plus about \$3.2 million for public defender prosecution salary parity would |

| | | bring us to that level. | | |
|-------------------|--------------|---|--|--|
| 395 | Rep. Simmons | States Oregon has made decisions to offer indigent defense services to significant numbers who are not required to be offered those services by our constitution. Asks if there is a breakout of the percentage of these folks in comparison to the total indigent defense budget? | | |
| 405 | Christian | Gives a breakdown of figures based on 133,000 cases in 1997. | | |
| TAPE 13, B | | | | |
| 006 | Christian | States there is some difficulty with overlapping between the U. S. Constitution and Oregon Constitution, as well as the Oregon statutory laws. | | |
| 022 | Rep. Simmons | Asks for breakout in dollars. | | |
| 025 | Chair Mannix | What if we provided by statute, that Class B & C misdemeanors could have the prosecutor enter a waiver saying no jail time so they wouldn't need a defender? | | |
| 042 | Christian | If the District Attorney and the judge could agree at arraignment that Class B & C misdemeanors would not have jail time, then there would be no federal, constitutional right to counsel. States she did some assumptions that if the Oregon Constitution was amended to say no jail for those misdemeanors, and therefore no counsel need be appointed, there would be a cost avoidance of \$5.4 to 9.4 million per biennium. | | |
| 075 | Chair Mannix | Questions whether or not it is politically viable for a district attorney to say "no jail" at the outset. | | |
| 076 | Rep. Bowman | Doesnit the defendant have to have a counsel be part of the conversation to waive jail time? | | |
| 079 | Chair Mannix | Not at the initial arraignment. | | |
| 082 | Christian | However, the Oregon Constitution says I do have a right to an attorney if I donit have the financial ability to hire counsel. | | |
| 090 | Rep. Bowman | States concern that a judge and district attorney could make a deal about a defendant and that defendant was not represented. | | |
| 092 | Chair Mannix | Under the current system they canít. | | |
| 099 | Bob Oleson | Oregon State Bar | | |
| | | | | |

| | | Testifies in support of HB 2294. Over the years, providing adequate funding for indigent defense has been a priority of the Oregon State Bar. |
|------------|--------------|--|
| 124 | John Tyner | Lawyer from Hillsboro on Board of Governors, Oregon State Bar |
| | | Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2294. (Exhibit A) Shows model of mean average wage profile of Oregon lawyer. (the tape was inaudible). |
| 228 | Rep. Sunseri | States the \$40/hr is not all the work an attorney would be doing. You can take as much court-appointed work as you want. |
| 240 | Tyner | Correct, most attorneys do not just depend upon the \$40/hr. |
| 263 | Rep. Bowman | Asks for the average number of years of experience of attorneys handling indigent cases in his second example. |
| 266 | Tyner | Most of those attorneys have 1-2 years of experience. Gives examples. |
| 289 | Rep. Sunseri | Discusses that someone might work 7-8 weeks on a case at \$40/hr, but the rest of the year was at a higher rate. |
| 293 | Tyner | Relates court-appointed attorney work to that of a real estate agent. |
| 297 | Rep. Sunseri | States there are many things in real estate that he doesnít get paid for. |
| 306 | Chair Mannix | Asks Mr. Tyner to finish his presentation within 5 minutes. |
| 309 | Tyner | States his diagram is to show the type of lawyer that is leaving the system. In summary, states federal mandates and principles. |
| 356 | Ross Shepard | Oregon State Bar House of Delegates |
| | | Testifies and submits written testimony in support of HB 2294. (Exhibit B) Discusses how HB 2294 came about. States at a meeting in Eugene, a resolution was unanimously passed, save 1 vote, that 1) public defender attorneys and their staff be compensated at a rate equal to their counterparts in District Attorney offices, and 2) the hourly rate for court-appointed counsel be statutorily increased to \$75 per hour. |
| TAPE 14, B | | |
| 006 | Shepard | States how much less an attorney makes than the district attorneyis office. They are looking for parity. Gives an example of salaries and how theyire set. Suggests asking for a fiscal impact on the public defenderis salary parity also. |

| 037 | Chair Mannix | Asks if a figure shows what percentage of criminal defendants privately engage counsel ñ those not considered indigent, and if the figure is broken down by county. |
|-----|---------------|---|
| 052 | James Rice | Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyerís Association Gives example of car mechanic billing \$65 an hour and attorneys having much more expense. Gives testimony on how efficient it is to have an experienced, |
| | | professional attorney on a case. |
| 121 | Rice | Currently the \$75 per hour is being paid to federal public defenders in Oregon which is a fair amount. Law is about service, not about making money. |
| 135 | Rep. Gianella | Asks Mr. Rice how he feels about retired attorneys and their experience. |
| 139 | Rice | Discusses an attorney in his office being "of counsel". However, at 65-70 years of age, older people may not be capable of working the long hours and may have lost some mental quickness. |
| 164 | Chair Mannix | Asks if Mr. Rice is saying the senior judges shouldnít be serving the 30 or 35 hours a month that they're serving? |
| 166 | Rice | No. States a judge doesnít prepare a case the way an attorney does and gives specific responsibilities attorneys perform. |
| 176 | Chair Mannix | Earlier suggestion of using retired attorneys was just to supplement the system. |
| 197 | Rep. Bowman | Asks if indigent defense lawyers being paid significantly less is a national problem? |
| 204 | Rice | Yes. |
| 221 | Rep. Bowman | Asks if this is a public relations problem. |
| 226 | Rice | We need to have minimum levels of funding and that is what this bill addresses. |
| 236 | Tyner | Explains there could be places for older individuals in this indigent defense system, especially in mentoring young lawyers or as counsel to small firm contractors. |
| 260 | Chair Mannix | Closes public hearing on HB 2294. Adjourns meeting at 10:13 a.m. |

| Patsy | Wood, | Sarah | Wats | son, | |
|-------|-------|-------|------|------|--|

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Administrative Support Office Manager

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñ HB 2294, written testimony of John J. Tyner III, 1 pg

B -- HB 2294, Resolution of Oregon State Bar, Ross Shepard, 1 pg