## **HOUSE COMMITTEE ON RULES, ELECTIONS, AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

April 27, 1999 Hearing Room D

## 3:30 P.M. Tapes 47 - 48

**MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Mark Simmons, Chair** 

Rep. Richard Devlin, Vice-Chair Rep. Bruce Starr, Vice-Chair Rep. Jason Atkinson Rep. Chris Beck Rep. Roger Beyer Rep. Randall Edwards Rep. Dan Gardner Rep. Kevin Mannix Rep. Jim Welsh Rep. Max Williams

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Kitty Piercy

STAFF PRESENT: Cletus B. Moore, Jr., Administrator

Kevin E. Wells, Administrative Support

**MEASURE/ISSUES HEARD: HB 3285 Public Hearing** 

HJR 76 Public Hearing HB 3174 Public Hearing and Work Session LC 3820 Work Session HJR 42, Public Hearing HJR 67 Public Hearing

| TAPE/#           | Speaker             | Comments  |
|------------------|---------------------|---|
| <b>TAPE 47,</b>  | A                   |   |
| 003              | Chair Simmons       | Calls meeting to order at 3:30 p.m. Opens Public Hearing HB 3285. Points out the ñ6 amendments to HB 3285 (EXHIBIT A).  |
| <u>HB 3285 P</u> | UBLIC HEARING       |   |
| 008              | Rep. Jackie Winters | District 31. Testifies in support of HB 3285. Comments on a letter from the Governoris office (EXHIBIT B) and her reply (EXHIBIT C). Reviews the ñ6 amendments.   |
| 033              | Rep. Beyer          | Asks how the Legislative Ombudsman is appointed in HB 3285.   |
| 039              | Rep. Winters        | Responds that the Legislative Ombudsman will be appointed by the Legislature with consultation of the Governor.   |
| 041              | Rep. Beyer          | Asks if President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House decide on someone<br>and then seek the Governorís input. Asks what happens if the two parties cannot<br>agree.   |
| 046              | Rep. Winters        | Replies that is correct. Explains the Governorís role is only to consult and has no binding authority in the process.   |
| 048              | Greg Chaimov        | Legislative Counsel. Explains that the Legislative Administration Committee (LAC) appoints the Legislative Ombudsman by a majority vote.  |
| 054              | Rep. Beyer          | Asks who is on the LAC.   |
| 055              | Rep. Winters        | Replies that she does not know.   |
| 056              | Rep. Beyer          | Asks Chaimov who is on the committee.   |
| 057              | Chaimov             | Defers to Dave Henderson.   |
| 060              | Dave Henderson      | Legislative Administrator. Explains who is on the Legislative Administration<br>Committee: the Speaker of the House, the President of the Senate, four members<br>of the House appointed by the Speaker, and three members of the Senate<br>appointed by the President. |

| 067 | Rep. Beyer       | Asks if the members are appointed by either the Speaker or the President. States HB 3285 moves existing Ombudsmen offices into one office in the Legislature.  |
|-----|------------------|--|
| 071 | Rep. Winters     | States that is correct.  |
| 073 | Vice-Chair Starr | Asks if HB 3285 eliminates existing Ombudsmen.   |
| 074 | Rep. Winters     | States the plan is to create one Ombudsman office for the state.   |
| 076 | Vice-Chair Starr | Asks if HB 3285 eliminates existing Ombudsmen in various state agencies.   |
| 077 | Rep. Winters     | States that is correct.  |
| 079 | Rep. Beck        | Asks if they are eliminating the workers compensation ombudsman and saving the state \$928,000.  |
| 085 | Rep. Winters     | States there would be one office that everyone would go to for all complaints.   |
| 089 | Rep. Beck        | Notes that the fiscal impact is \$177,000.   |
| 093 | Rep. Winters     | Clarifies where the various existing ombudsmen serve. Explains that HB 3285 would have all Ombudsmen serve in one office.  |
| 107 | Rep. Beck        | Asks how many people lived in Oregon when Rep. Winters served as an Ombudsman.   |
| 109 | Rep. Winters     | States that she does not know. Explains how her office was staffed.  |
| 115 | Rep. Beck        | Asks if HB 3285 shifts all of these offices or if only one person will serve as<br>Ombudsman in the state.   |
| 118 | Rep. Winters     | States that one person cannot do all of this.  |
| 120 | Rep. Williams    | States that HB 3285 does not have any provisions to replace existing<br>Ombudsmen. Asks if that is correct. Asks Rep. Winters what her intentions were<br>in drafting HB 3285; to create one position or many positions in one office. |
| 128 | Rep. Winters     | Indicates she intended to shift existing positions into a legislative office.  |
| 130 | Rep. Williams    | Asks Chaimov what HB 3285 does.  |

| 133             | Chaimov                         | States that HB 3285 creates the office of Legislative Ombudsman, but does not effect in any way any other offices in the state.  |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 135             | Chair Simmons                   | Suggests that Rep. Winters draft additional amendments.  |
| 137             | Rep. Winters                    | Replies she will if it needs to be done.   |
| 140             | Vice-Chair Starr                | Asks if Chaimov has received a copy of the letter from the Governorís office.<br>Asks if HB 3285 is potentially unconstitutional.  |
| 145             | Chaimov                         | Answers that the ñ6 amendments are not unconstitutional in the opinion of LC.  |
| 154             | Vice-Chair Devlin               | Asks about the constitutionality of a Legislative Ombudsman directing executive agencies to take action.   |
| 162             | Chaimov                         | States that a potential separation of powers problem exists as HB 3285 is drafted.   |
| 166             | Chair Simmons                   | Clarifies what Rep. Wintersí intentions were. Asks if Rep. Winters will get additional amendments. States HB 3285 will be posted again. Closes Public Hearing HB 3285. Opens Public Hearing HJR 76. Asks for staff summary.  |
| <u>HJR 76 P</u> | UBLIC HEARING                   | <u></u>  |
| 190             | Cletus Moore                    | Administrator. Reviews HJR 76.   |
| 200             | David Fidanque                  | Executive Director, Oregon American Civil Liberty Union. Opposes HJR 76.<br>Discusses previous efforts, Measure 19 (1994) and Measure 31 (1996), to amend<br>the Free Speech provision in the Oregon Constitution. Explains the broad effects<br>of HJR 76 in all sectors of society. Expresses concern over HJR 76. |
| 235             | Chair Simmons                   | States that other states live with the provisions of HJR 76.   |
| 240             | Fidanque                        | Explains that there are cases of problems in other states from which Oregonís<br>Constitution protects it citizens. Discusses potential effects of HJR 76 on<br>businesses.  |
|                 |                                 |  |
| 285             | Rep. Williams                   | Discusses the Oregonís Free Speech Rights and relevant court cases.  |
| 285             | Rep. Williams Vice-Chair Devlin | Discusses the Oregonís Free Speech Rights and relevant court cases.         Explains that free speech laws are the most basic laws protecting civil liberty.         Asks for a broad debate on the issue.   |

|                |                       | that Oregonís Bill of Rights used to be the only protection available to the citizens of Oregon.   |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| 380            | Fidanque              | Continues to explain why Oregonís Bill of Rights is more specific than the Federal Bill of Rights. Notes that Oregon voters have consistently upheld Oregonís Bill of Rights.        |
| 420            | Chair Simmons         | Closes Public Hearing HJR 76. Opens Public Hearing HB 3174. Asks for Staff summary.  |
| <u>HB 3174</u> | PUBLIC HEARING        | <u>I</u>   |
| 425            | Moore                 | Explains HB 3174 eliminates the authority of state agencies to file legislation except through a member of the legislative assembly.   |
| TAPE 48        | 5, A                  | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>  |
| 003            | Chair Simmons         | Closes Public Hearing HB 3174. Opens Work Session HB 3174  |
|                | <u>HB 3174 WORK S</u> | ESSION   |
| 009            | Rep. Starr            | MOTION: Moves HB 3174 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.  |
| 011            | Rep. Beck             | Asks if HB 3174 forces state agencies to file measures through legislators.  |
| 014            | Vice-Chair Devlin     | Notes that the Governor retains the authority to file measures.  |
| 016            | Rep. Beck             | Asks what that means.  |
| 017            | Vice-Chair Devlin     | Explains the Governor can introduce legislation to the Legislature.  |
| 021            | Rep. Beck             | Asks how many bills were filed without the Governorís knowledge. Suggests that HB 3174 is unnecessary. Critiques the bill and its effects.   |
| 040            | Vice-Chair Starr      | States that HB 3174 reinforces the separation of powers in the three branches of government. Supports HB 3174.   |
| 053            | Rep. Edwards          | Explains that HB 3174 is a small bill that retains the balance of power in light of term limits. Suggests that HB 3174 upholds the separation of powers and the legislative process. |
|                |                       |  |

| 066              | Vice-Chair Devlin   | Supports HB 3174. Explains that the bill makes very minor changes to current practice.   |
|------------------|---------------------|--|
| 076              | Rep. Gardner        | States that HB 3174 is unnecessary and does not improve the system.  |
| 080              | Rep. Williams       | Explains that HB 3174 does not make a major change, but it does retain legislative power for the Legislature. Notes that it protects the Governorís authority to introduce legislative measures.                   |
| 096              | Rep. Mannix         | States that HB 3174 is not anti-executive branch legislation, but empowers the Legislature. Stresses that legislators need to be available to agencies and interact with them frequently. Supports HB 3174.        |
| 109              | Rep. Atkinson       | Supports HB 3174.  |
| 113              | Vice-Chair Devlin   | Asks Chaimov if Statewide office holders will still be able to file measures or if they will have to go through the Governor.  |
| 120              | Chaimov             | States that recently elected statewide officials would be able to file legislative measures. Adds that statewide officials, other than the Governor and those not recently elected, would be treated as an agency. |
| 130              |                     | VOTE: 9-2<br>AYE: 9 - Atkinson, Beyer, Devlin, Edwards, Mannix, Starr, Welsh,<br>Williams, Simmons<br>NAY: 2 - Beck, Gardner<br>EXCUSED: 1 - Piercy  |
|                  | Chair Simmons       | The motion CARRIES.  |
|                  |                     | REP. EDWARDS will lead discussion on the floor.  |
| 142              | Chair Simmons       | Close Work Session HB 3174. Opens a work session to introduce LC 3820 as a committee bill (EXHIBIT D).   |
| <u>: LC 3820</u> | WORK SESSION TO INT | RODUCE AS COMMITTEE BILL   |
| 152              | Rep. Beyer          | MOTION: Moves LC 3820 BE INTRODUCED as a committee bill.   |
|                  | п                   |  |

|                 |                | VOTE: 10-0<br>EXCUSED: 2 - Piercy, Welsh  |
|-----------------|----------------|---|
| 155             | Chair Simmons  | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.  |
| 156             | Chair Simmons  | Closes the work session on LC 3820. Opens Public Hearing HJR 42.  |
| <u>HJR 42 I</u> | PUBLIC HEARING |   |
| 180             | Kappy Eaton    | Government Chair, League of Women Voters. Opposes HJR 42 (EXHIBIT E).<br>States that Oregon voters have already voted against this idea. Discusses the ñ2<br>amendment (EXHIBIT F). Explains that the current process protects the<br>separation of powers. Discusses administrative rules and their creation. Notes<br>that legislators are free to participate in that process. |
| 240             | Don McIntire   | Gresham. Supports HJR 42. Discusses the process of creating administrative rules and their proliferation. Discusses the problem of non-responsiveness of state agencies.  |
| 290             | McIntire       | Continues to discuss problems with administrative rules. States HJR 42 is very different from Measure 45.   |
| 305             | Rep. Edwards   | States that HJR 42 gives the Legislature administrative powers. Expresses concern about giving the Legislature executive power.   |
| 316             | McIntire       | States that unelected officials should not have the authority to impose rules on the citizens.  |
| 328             | Rep. Edwards   | Comments on the separation of powers, and division of labor, between the executive branch and the Legislature.  |
| 337             | McIntire       | States that the Legislature has given too much discretion to agencies.  |
| 348             | Rep. Edwards   | Expresses concern that the Legislature can solve the problems McIntire is raising.  |
| 357             | McIntire       | States that HJR 42 will cause agencies to think more carefully before they propose administrative rules.  |
| 364             | Rep. Edwards   | Points out that this will not effect federal rules.   |
|                 |                |   |

|     | ü.        |   |
|-----|-----------|---|
| 368 | Rep. Beck | Asks, if this fails at the ballot, should the Legislature try again.  |
| 374 | McIntire  | Responds that it may not be necessary to keep trying. Adds that there is a persistent problem with administrative rules that needs redress. |
| 383 | Rep. Beck | Asks how many times Oregonians have voted on this issue in the last three year  |
| 385 | McIntire  | Replies that he does not know.  |
| 386 | Rep. Beck | States that there have been two votes in two years.   |
| 387 | McIntire  | Comments that they voted on two different measures.   |
| 388 | Rep. Beck | States that the measures were very similar.   |
| 395 | McIntire  | Comments on Measure 65.   |
| 396 | Rep. Beck | States that the issue has been revisited too often.   |
| 410 | McIntire  | Argues that previous initiative measures were very different.   |

| 004 | Rep. Beck        | Asks how often these issues need to be voted on.   |
|-----|------------------|--|
| 012 | McIntire         | Points out that schools keep sending levies back to the voters.  |
| 017 | Rep. Beck        | Suggests the timing is not right for HJR 42, as it was voted on recently. Stresses that voting on an issue three times in six years is too often.  |
| 023 | Rep. Ron Sunseri | District 22. Testifies in support of HJR 42. Explains why the resolution is necessary. Notes that administrative rules have the force of law, so the Legislature needs to have access to them. |
| 043 | Rep. Edwards     | Asks how to determine what rules the legislative committee reviews.  |
| 047 | Rep. Sunseri     | Explains that if an administrative rule receives three complaints it would be referred to the legislative committee.   |
| 054 | Rep. Edwards     | Asks why this is proposed as a constitutional amendment.   |
|     |                  |  |

| 056              | Rep. Sunseri     | Explains that a statutory requirement can be overturned.  |
|------------------|------------------|---|
| 061              | Rep. Edwards     | Suggests that annual sessions would address many of Rep. Sunseriís concerns.  |
| 063              | Rep. Sunseri     | Agrees with that assessment and supports annual sessions.   |
| 068              | Randy Tucker     | 1000 Friends of Oregon. Opposes HJR 42 (EXHIBIT G). Discusses the process<br>for challenging administrative rules. Notes that administrative rules infrequently<br>conflict with legislative intent. Expresses concern that the ability of three<br>individuals or entities to overturn an administrative rule is a very low standard.<br>States that legislators always have the power to overturn administrative rules. |
| 105              | Vice-Chair Starr | Asks how many votes were cast in the 1998 general election  |
| 107              | Tucker           | Answers that nearly a million votes were cast on Measure 65.  |
| 110              | Vice-Chair Starr | Suggest that 50,000 vote margin is not decisive.  |
| 113              | Tucker           | Explains that it was fairly convincing given the circumstances.   |
| 118              | Vice-Chair Starr | Suggests that as the early measure lost by a 3 to 1 margin and the later measure lost by a 50,000 margin, it indicates that the public is becoming aware of the problem of administrative rules.  |
| 120              | Tucker           | States that very few citizens understood Measure 65.  |
| 125              | Staff            | Distributes EXHIBIT H.  |
| 126              | Vice-Chair Starr | Close HJR 42. Opens Public Hearing HJR 67.  |
| <u>HJR 67 PI</u> | UBLIC HEARING    |   |
| 121              | Don McIntire     | Supports HJR 67. Discusses the number of judges who run unopposed. Explains the need for voters to have more information about judgesí perspectives and philosophies.   |
| 165              | Rep. Gardner     | Points out that the citizens of the state can recruit people to run against an unpopular judge.   |
| 168              | McIntire         | Explains that it is very difficult to find people to run for judicial positions.<br>Relates personal experiences.   |
|                  |                  |   |

| h   |                   |   |
|-----|-------------------|---|
| 173 | Rep. Edwards      | Asks about the "None of the Above" option.  |
| 180 | McIntire          | Explains that the option may sensitize judges to public sentiment.  |
| 189 | Rep. Edwards      | Asks if under votes, people not voting on a race, offers a strong enough comment<br>on a judge. Asks if the "None of the Above" option means judges run against<br>someone even in an uncontested race. |
| 195 | McIntire          | Replies that "None of the Above" is a campaign opponent.  |
| 200 | Rep. Edwards      | Asks if the system in Missouri works well.  |
| 203 | McIntire          | Indicates he does not know much about the system.   |
| 205 | Chair Simmons     | Asks if there are any other ideas that could be considered to address his concerns.   |
| 209 | McIntire          | Suggests that the Bar allow candidates to articulate their positions.   |
| 219 | Chair Simmons     | Closes Public Hearing HJR 67.   |
| 220 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Clarifies that in HJR 42 the statewide elected officials retain the authority to file measures.   |
| 228 | Chair Simmons     | Adjourns at 4:50 p.m.   |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Kevin E. Wells, Cletus B. Moore, Jr.,

Administrative Support Administrator

## EXHIBIT SUMMARY

## A ñ HB 3285, LC amendment ñ6 (4/21/99), staff, 7 pp.

B ñ HB 3285, written testimony, staff, 3 pp.

- C ñ HB 3285, letter, Rep. Jackie Winters, 2 pp.
- D ñ LC 3820, LC draft (4/13/99), staff, 2 pp.
- E ñ HJR 42, written testimony, Kappy Eaton, 1 p.
- F ñ HJR 42, LC amendment ñ2 (4/27/99), staff, 2 pp.
- G ñ HJR 42, written testimony, Randy Tucker, 2 pp.
- H ñ HJR 42, written testimony, staff, 2 pp.