HOUSE COMMITTEE ON RULES, ELECTIONS, AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS

June 9, 1999 Hearing Room D

3:30 P.M. Tapes 82 - 85

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Mark Simmons, Chair

| Rep. Richard Devlin, Vice-Chair |
|---------------------------------|
| Rep. Bruce Starr, Vice-Chair |
| Rep. Jason Atkinson |
| Rep. Chris Beck |
| Rep. Roger Beyer |
| Rep. Randall Edwards |
| Rep. Dan Gardner |
| Rep. Kevin Mannix |
| Rep. Kitty Piercy |
| Rep. Jim Welsh |
| Rep. Max Williams |

STAFF PRESENT: Cletus B. Moore, Jr., Administrator

Kevin E. Wells, Administrative Support

MEASURES HEARD: HB 2332 Work Session

SB 117 Public Hearing and Work Session

HB 3400 Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 1013A Public Hearing and Work Session

SB 570 Public Hearing and Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

| TAPE/# | Speaker | Comments | |
|------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| TAPE 82, A | | | |
| 002 | Chair Simmons | Calls the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. Opens Public Hearing HB 2332. | |
| <u>HB 2332 P</u> | UBLIC HEARING | | |
| 008 | Keith Putman | Policy Analyst. Reviews HB 2332 and the ñ1 amendments (EXHIBIT A). | |
| 022 | Rep. Beyer | Reviews the history of HB 2332. | |
| 045 | Kathy La Compte | Brooks Tree Farm. Presents (EXHIBIT B) in support of HB 2332. Relates business experience to underscore the need for HB 2332-1. | |
| 090 | Rep. Mannix | Asks if it Oregon Employment Department (OED) should work with the Oregon Department of Corrections to provide inmate work groups. | |
| 093 | La Compte | Answers that she has not considered that possibility. | |
| 095 | Rep. Mannix | Points out that it is legal to hire inmate work groups. Discusses benefits of having inmates working. | |
| 098 | John Ganzer | Monrovia Nursery. Presents (EXHIBIT C) in support of HB 2332-1. Discusses worker eligibility verification. Explains the need for OED to verify worker eligibility of people they refer to agricultural businesses. | |
| 134 | Norbert Kinnen | J. Frank Schmidt Nursery. Supports HB 2332. Discusses his business and employment practices. Reviews results of a recent audit of workers (EXHIBIT D). | |
| 190 | Kinnen | Explains there is a shortage of legal workers in certain industries. | |
| 215 | Rep. Gardner | Asks why the program is limited to Marion, Yamhill and Clackamas Counties. | |
| 218 | La Compte | Notes that HB 2332-1 is a pilot program. | |
| 223 | Kinnen | Explains that his association is working with OED. | |
| 240 | Annette Talbot | Legislative Coordinator, OED. Presents (EXHIBIT E) in opposition to HB 2332. Discusses the labor situation and related legal issues. States that OED cannot adopt the provisions in the ñ1 amendment. Explains that OED | |

| | | verification of workers does not address HB 2332 proponentsí concerns. |
|-----|------------------|---|
| 290 | Talbot | Discusses verification of workersí employment status, noting that it would require an additional 20 FTE. |
| 305 | Vice-Chair Starr | Asks what the impact is for the pilot program. |
| 309 | Talbot | Replies that OED legally cannot limit worker verification to particular counties and particular workers. |
| 315 | Vice-Chair Starr | Suggests that HB 2332 be applied statewide. |
| 316 | Talbot | Answers that is the only legal option. Expresses belief that verification will not address agricultural employers concerns. |
| 327 | Rep. Beyer | Asks if the pilot program can be run in the three counties for all workers. |
| 329 | Talbot | Replies that scenario is being examined. Suggests that it is not likely to be acceptable. |
| 340 | Rep. Beyer | Asks if unemployment claims are currently checked. |
| 342 | Talbot | Explains the process of checking the social security number on unemployment claims. |
| 360 | Rep. Beyer | Asks for clarification on who files claims. |
| 361 | Talbot | Answers she will get that information. Reviews statistics relevant to the question. |
| 376 | John Adams | Interim Employment Service Manager, Employment Service. States that he will get the information Rep. Beyer requested. |
| 385 | Rep. Beyer | Asks how many referrals are for entry level, no skill work. |
| 386 | Talbot | Explains that the information is broken down by profession, not by job level. |
| 404 | Rep. Beyer | Asks if OED can verify only entry level workers. |
| 415 | Adams | Explains that many agricultural jobs are not entry level; verifying only entry level workers does not address the issue of illegal workers. |

TAPE 83, A

| 009 | Rep. Beyer | Asks if there are waivers to these requirements. |
|-----|---------------|---|
| 010 | Talbot | Replies that waivers are not available. |
| 014 | Adams | Explains the process of requesting waivers. |
| 020 | Putman | Asks a pilot test is eligible for a planning waiver. |
| 028 | Adams | Replies that he needs to examine the proposal. |
| 040 | Talbot | Expresses willingness to work with the Legislature on this issue. Discusses options available to OED on the federal level. |
| 065 | Michael Dale | Oregon Law Center. Presents (EXHIBIT F) in opposition to HB 2332. Reviews the history of employment eligibility verification by referral agencies. Outlines opposition to HB 2332: unfair burden to segments of the population and intrusiveness to individual privacy. |
| 120 | Dale | Discusses the pilot program proposed in HB 2332-1. Explains that agricultural jobs often are not entry level. Adds that there is a shortage of skilled agricultural workers. States that there is no shortage of American workers for entry-level agricultural jobs. |
| 181 | Rep. Beyer | Suggests that further work is needed on the proposed amendment. |
| 195 | Chair Simmons | Agrees that additional work is needed. Closes Public Hearing HB 2332. Opens Public Hearing SB 1117. |

SB 1117 PUBLIC HEARING

| 210 | Cletus Moore | Committee Administrator. Reviews SB 1117. |
|-----|--------------|--|
| 218 | Scott Barrie | Oregon Building Industry Association (OBIA). Presents (EXHIBIT G) in support of SB 1117. Explains SB 1117 clarifies regulation of interior design by engineering statute. |
| 235 | Joe Brewer | Administrator, Oregon Building Codes Division. Neutral on SB 1117 (EXHIBIT H). States that SB 1117 does not diminish the authority of Building Officials. |
| 243 | Pat Bridges | Director of Technology and Codes, OBIA. Supports SB 1117. |

| 258 | Chair Simmons | Closes Public Hearing SB 1117. Opens Work Session SB 1117. | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| <u>SB 1117 WORK SESSION</u> | | | | |
| 262 | Rep. Starr | MOTION: Moves SB 1117 to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. | | |
| | | VOTE: 10-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 2 - Beyer, Edwards | | |
| | Chair Simmons | The motion CARRIES. | | |
| | | STARR will lead discussion on the floor. | | |
| 285 | Chair Simmons | Closes Work Session SB 1117. Opens Public Hearing SB 16A. | | |
| <u>SB 16A PU</u> | SB 16A PUBLIC HEARING | | | |
| 297 | Chair Simmons | Closes public hearing on SB 16A and opens public hearing on HB 3400. | | |
| <u>HB 3400 P</u> | UBLIC HEARING | | | |
| 300 | Moore | Reviews fiscal impact of HB 3400 and the ñ5 amendments (EXHIBIT I). | | |
| 305 | Rep. Beck | Testifies in support of HB 3400. Explains that he has worked to reduce the fiscal impact of HB 3400. | | |
| 320 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Asks if the ñ5 amendments restrict application of HB 3400 to only the Legislature. | | |
| 323 | Rep. Beck | Replies Vice-Chair Devlin is correct. Explains which Legislative proceedings will be closed-captioned. | | |
| 340 | Carol Studeman | LNS Captioning. Supports HB 3400. | | |
| 352 | Chair Simmons | Asks for elaboration on the federal grant to partially cover costs. | | |
| | | | | |

| 353 | Carolyn Gazely | LNS Caption. Supports HB 3400. Explains the educational grant that has been secured to help pay for HB 3400. |
|------------------|-------------------|--|
| 382 | Chair Simmons | Close Public Hearing HB 3400. Open Work Session HB 3400. |
| <u>HB 3400 W</u> | ORK SESSION | |
| 387 | Rep. Beck | Asks if HB 3400 needs to be referred to Ways and Means. |
| 388 | Chair Simmons | Points out that the fiscal impact is indeterminate. |
| 405 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Expresses belief that the cost would be less than \$50,000. Suggests that HB 3400 does not need to be referred to Ways and Means. |
| TAPE 82, E | 8 | |
| 010 | Vice-Chair Starr | Asks how Vice-Chair Devlin arrived at his numbers. |
| 013 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Explains his assumptions. |
| 015 | Rep. Beck | Explains that Legislative Fiscal Office had to estimate the costs. Points out that captioning companies fees vary widely. States that the costs will be minimal. |
| 025 | Gazely | Explains the fees charged for captioning. States that the state's responsibility is \$100 per hour. |
| 036 | Chair Simmons | Asks what happens if the grant is not secured. |
| 038 | Gazely | Offers to provide assurances that the grant will be awarded. |
| 041 | Chair Simmons | Outlines the options available to the committee. Asks for Dave Hendersonís input. Recaps the committeeís discussion. |
| 056 | Dave Henderson | Legislative Administrator. Explains that the Legislature does not have these costs budgeted. |
| 060 | Chair Simmons | Asks if the Legislative Administration budget has been worked on in Ways and Means. |
| 062 | Henderson | Answers that the Legislative Administration budget has not received a hearing at this time. |

| 065 | Rep. Welsh | Discusses the fiscal impact of HB 3400. | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 076 | Rep. Williams | States that HB 3400 can be sent to the floor and the Senate can workout the details of the budget if necessary. | | | |
| 082 | Chair Simmons | Points out that if HB 3400 is referred to Ways and Means that it will pass out the both the House and Senate Floor simultaneously. | | | |
| 085 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Notes that many bills do not come out of Ways and Means. | | | |
| 092 | Chair Simmons | States that the Legislative Administrationís budget is not large. Expresses concern about encumbering that budget. | | | |
| 104 | Rep. Beyer | Expresses preference for sending HB 3400 to Ways and Means. | | | |
| 109 | Chair Simmons | States HB 3400 will be rescheduled for Monday. Closes Public Hearing HB 3400. Reopens Public Hearing SB 16A. | | | |
| <u>SB 16A P</u> | SB 16A PUBLIC HEARING | | | | |
| 125 | Janet Adkins | Policy Analyst. Reviews SB 16 and the ñA9 amendment (EXHIBIT J). | | | |
| 130 | Scott Nehring | Immediate Past President, Oregon Optometric Association. Supports SB 16 (EXHIBIT K). Explains the need for direct access eye care providers. Relates anecdotal support for the provisions of SB 16A. | | | |
| 206 | Wayne Schumacher | Executive Director, Oregon Optometric Association. Supports SB 16A. Reviews the history of the legislation. Outlines previous discussions. Discusses anticipated costs of SB 16A. | | | |
| 260 | Schumacher | Discusses support for SB 16A. Stresses that it is not a state mandate. Reviews SB 16A. Examines the language of "emergency". Supports the ñA9 amendment. | | | |
| 325 | John Powell | Regence Blue Cross/Blue Shield. Opposes SB 16A ñA9 amendments (EXHIBIT L). Discusses language of "emergency." | | | |
| 380 | Powell | States that provisions for emergencies already exist. Discusses costs associated with SB 16A. | | | |
| 416 | Peggy Anet | Opposes SB 16A (EXHIBIT M). Discusses the fiscal analysis. | | | |
| TAPE 83 | , B | <u></u> | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 023 | Dave Fiskum | Providence Health Plans and PacifiCare of Oregon. Opposes SB 16A ñA9 amendments. Urges support SB 16A as passed by the Senate. |
|-----|---------------|---|
| 032 | Mike Dewey | ODS Health Plans. Opposes SB 16A ñA9 amendments. Supports SB 16A as passed by the Senate. |
| 037 | Jodi Fischer | Oregon Academy of Opthalmology. Supports SB 16A. Opposes the ñA9 amendments (EXHIBIT N). Comments on direct access to eye care providers. |
| 062 | Jim Anderson | Oregon Medical Association. Opposes SB 16A with the ñA9 amendments. |
| 070 | Bruce Bishop | Kaiser Permanente. Opposes SB 16A and the ñA9 amendments (EXHIBIT O). Points out that SB 16A does not effect self-insurers. |
| 085 | Rep. Gardner | Asks what the objection is to including the name and title of eye care professionals. |
| 091 | Bishop | Explains that it is redundant. |
| 094 | Rep. Gardner | Asks if that is required of everyone. |
| 095 | Bishop | Replies that is his understanding. |
| 097 | Rep. Williams | Asks if the other witnesses agree with Bishopís assessment of SB 21 (1997). |
| 101 | John Powell | Replies that he does not know. |
| 105 | Rep. Williams | Asks Powell if he objects to that portion of the ñ9 amendment. |
| 113 | Powell | Answers that he does not believe that provision is necessary. |
| 123 | Rep. Williams | Comments on the ñ9 amendments. |
| 130 | Anet | Comments on the discussion in the Senate concerning the difference between primary care providers and specialty care providers. |
| 165 | Powell | Points out the difficulty of inserting the proposed requirement to receive a referral from a primary care provider. |
| 185 | Chair Simmons | Close Public Hearing SB 16A. Opens Work Session SB 16A. |

SB 16A WORK SESSION

| 190 | Rep. Beck | Expresses concern about working on a bill that was previously worked out in the Senate. |
|-----|-------------------|---|
| 202 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Expresses concern about the ñA9 amendments and the disagreement on what it does. |
| 220 | Rep. Welsh | Discusses the ñA9 amendments; does not support them in their entirety. States the compromise reached in the Senate ought to be respected. |
| 236 | Vice-Chair Starr | Asks if there was a work group that finalized SB 16A. |
| 243 | Bill Cross | Oregon Optemetric Association (OOA). Answers that there was a work group that tried to reach consensus, but did not. States OOA supported SB 16A to keep the bill moving forward. Explains the ñA9 was drafted to address lingering concerns. |
| 278 | Vice-Chair Starr | Asks if optometrists compromised, while other parties did not. |
| 279 | Cross | Agrees with that characterization. |
| 300 | Vice-Chair Starr | Asks Cross if he told SB 16 <i>i</i> s proponents that further amendments would be introduced. |
| 302 | Cross | Replies that he did not have a draft of the amendments to share with interested parties, but he did inform them of the concept. |
| 317 | Vice-Chair Starr | States concern that those opposing the ñA9 amendment did not lobby the committee. |
| 330 | Rep. Beck | Asks if the goal of SB 16-A9 is for optometrists to be allowed to provide direct access in certain cases. |
| 350 | Cross | Answers that is correct. |
| 369 | Rep. Beck | Asks why a patient would not go to opthamologists. |
| 371 | Cross | Replies that they are not primary care physician (PCP) either. |
| 380 | Rep. Williams | Asks Powell to clarify the issues. Offers an experience as illustration. |

TAPE 84, A

| 002 | Powell | Clarifies what an emergency situation is. Stresses the need for primary care providers to address whole body medical problems. |
|-----|-------------------|---|
| 025 | Rep. Williams | States that it is often difficult to see primary care physicians in a timely fashion and it is easier to see an optometrist. |
| 040 | Powell | Refers to the definition of "emergency" to show that one can go an optometrist when it is an emergency. |
| 056 | Rep. Gardner | Asks on what does the determination of what is emergency depend. |
| 061 | Powell | Replies insurers are not going to manipulate patients in that regard. |
| 064 | Rep. Gardner | Asks if insurers will ask patients if the situation was an emergency. |
| 065 | Powell | Answers that insurers have a systematic process to determine if the medical situation was an emergency. |
| 072 | Rep. Gardner | Asks what that process is. |
| 076 | Powell | Responds that there would be an assumption that there was a problem that needed immediate redress. Adds that the diagnosis and billing code offer insight into the situation. |
| 080 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Asks if the emergency medical condition definition was modified in the last Legislative Session. |
| 091 | Powell | Answers that SB 21 incorporated SB 911 (both 1997). |
| 097 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Asks if a bill similar to SB 16A passed in the last Legislature. |
| 099 | Powell | Replies that there was a similar bill that did not pass either chamber. |
| 101 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Discusses his experience with PCPís. Underscores the slow response he experienced. |
| 115 | Powell | Stresses that such slow response is a provider problem. |
| 125 | Vice-Chair Devlin | States that unless the PCP considers a situation an emergency, then there is not rapid response. Comments on changes in physician practice. |

| 143 | Powell | Points out that such arguments could be made for other specialty areas of medicine. | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| 156 | Rep. Beyer | Asks Cross if he is satisfied with the ñA9 amendment. | |
| 159 | Cross | Notes a technical change that needs to be made. | |
| 165 | Rep. Beyer | Comments on needed changes. | |
| 170 | Cross | Clarifies his proposed language changes. | |
| 178 | Rep. Beyer | Suggests changes to clarify the ñA9 amendments. | |
| 190 | Chair Simmons | Agrees with Rep. Beyer. | |
| 199 | Rep. Beck | Asks what the Senate will do with these amendments. | |
| 201 | Chair Simmons | States that SB 16A will go into a conference committee. | |
| 206 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Expresses preference for working on SB 16A at a later date. | |
| 214 | Rep. Beyer | Asks to see a refined amendment. | |
| 216 | Chair Simmons | States SB 16A will be rescheduled. Asks the parties to work together. Close Work Session SB 16A. Opens Public Hearing SB 1013A. | |
| <u>SB 1013A P</u> | UBLIC HEARING | <u>.</u> | |
| 233 | Vice-Chair Devlin | Explains SB 1013A clarifies "vendor" and "agent" in state election law. | |
| 259 | Mark Wiener | Supports SB 1013A. Discusses the roles of vendors and agents in election law. Explains the need for legislative direction. | |
| 330 | Wiener | Continues to explain the need for clarification of the issue. | |
| 349 | Chair Simmons | Close Public Hearing SB 1031A. Opens Work Session SB 1031A. | |
| <u>SB 1013A V</u> | SB 1013A WORK SESSION | | |
| 359 | Rep. Devlin | MOTION: Moves SB 1013A to the floor with a DO PASS | |

| | | recommendation. | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| | | VOTE: 7-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 5 - Beyer, Mannix, Piercy, Welsh, Williams | |
| | Chair Simmons | The motion CARRIES. | |
| | | REP. DEVLIN will lead discussion on the floor. | |
| 365 | Chair Simmons | Opens Public Hearing SB 570. | |
| SB 570 PUBLIC HEARING | | | |
| 369 | | Staff submits (EXHIBIT R). | |
| 370 | Mike Grainey | Assistant Director, Office of Energy, Department of Consumer and Business Services. Presents (EXHIBIT P) in support of SB 570. Explains that it makes a technical correction to previous legislation, by including renters in an incentive program. Notes that the provision is retroactive. | |
| 405 | Bernie Bottomly | Director of Legislative Affairs, Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon. Presents (EXHIBIT Q) in support of SB 570. Discusses the transportation tax credit provision in SB 570-3. | |
| 445 | Steve Vincent | Vista Utilities. Supports SB 570-3. Discusses the amendment. | |
| 450 | Chair Simmons | Asks what appliances renters can receive tax credit for. | |
| 452 | Grainey | Explains which appliances are eligible for tax credit. | |
| TAPE 85, A | | | |
| 008 | Rep. Edwards | Asks Grainey to explain how the tax credit system works. | |
| 011 | Grainey | Explains the process. | |
| 015 | Rep. Edwards | Asks if qualifying appliances tend to be more expensive. | |

| 017 | Grainey | Answers that energy efficient appliances ten to be higher priced. | |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 020 | Rep. Beyer | Relates a personal experience with purchasing a tax credit machine. | |
| 033 | Rep. Edwards | Asks for clarification on the transportation provision. | |
| 037 | Grainey | Clarifies the provision and the language used by Legislative Council. | |
| 045 | Chair Simmons | Close Public Hearing SB 570. Opens Work Session SB 570. | |
| <u>SB 570 WORK SESSION</u> | | | |
| 051 | Rep. Beyer | MOTION: Moves to ADOPT SB 570-3 amendments dated 6/9/99. | |
| 052 | Rep. Beck | Notes that he has worked with Grainey on this issue previously. Supports the further refinement to the transportation program. | |
| | | VOTE: 10-0 EXCUSED: 2 - Mannix, Piercy | |
| | Chair Simmons | Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED. | |
| 086 | Rep. Gardner | MOTION: Moves SB 570 to the floor with a DO PASS AS AMENDED recommendation. | |
| | | VOTE: 10-0 AYE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote Aye. EXCUSED: 2 - Mannix, Piercy | |
| | Chair Simmons | The motion CARRIES. | |
| | | REP. WELSH will lead discussion on the floor. | |
| 102 | Chair Simmons | Closes Work Session SB 570. Adjourns the meeting at 5:50 p.m. | |

Submitted By, Reviewed By,

Kevin E. Wells, Amy Fraley,

Administrative Support Committee Coordinator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A ñ HB 2332, -1 amendments, staff, 1 p

B ñ HB 2332, written tesitmony and letter, Kathy LaCompte, 2 pp

C ñ HB 2332, written testimony, John Ganzer, 1 p

D ñ HB 2332, written testimony, Norbert Kinnen, 1 p

E ñ HB 2332, written testimony and forms, Annette Talbot, 7 pp

F ñ HB 2332, written testimony, Michael Dale, 1 p

G ñ SB 117, letter and statute, Scott Birnie, 6 pp

H ñ SB 1117, written testimony, Joe Brewer, 1 p

I ñ HB 3400, -5 amendments, staff, 6 pp

J ñ SB 16, -A9 amendments, staff, 1 p

K ñ SB 16, written testimony, Scott Nehring, 7 pp

L ñ SB 16, written testimony and bill excerpt, 2 pp

M ñ SB 16, written testimony, Peggy Anet, 1 p

N ñ SB 16, written testimony, Jodi Fischer, 1 p

O ñ SB 16, written testimony, Bruce Bishop, 2 pp

P ñ SB 570, written testimony, Mike Grainey, 2 pp

Q ñ SB 570, written testimony, Bernie Bottomly, 1 p

R ñ SB 570, -3 amendments, staff, 8 pp